

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

A NOTE ON LIVESTOCK BREEDING POLICIES IN STABLE AND DEVELOPMENT SITUATIONS

P. F. BYRNE and A. T. A. HEALY*

University of New England

Multi-stage linear programming is used to develop sheep replacement policies in a number of different situations. Policies are developed for eight and sixteen year periods assuming, firstly a constant feed supply, and secondly, an increasing feed supply over the period. The results show the optimal flock composition together with the sheep sale activities for each year of the plan. The marginal value products indicate which constraints are the most important and whether any should be relaxed in order to make the model more realistic. It is concluded that it is not necessary to use a very long planning period in order to determine the activities for the early years. The results from the shorter planning period appear to be consistent with long-term goals.

Introduction

Two recent studies have examined the relative profitability of different sheep replacement policies in a breeding flock.¹ These studies suggested "optimal" flock structures under various assumptions. However, neither study allowed for stochastic influences nor was the best method of changing from the current to the suggested policy indicated. The major emphasis of this study is to examine the latter of these two aspects. It has been limited to the deterministic case and no attempt has been made to examine the effect of variability, of either prices or biological parameters. While the examples considered deal only with sheep, the method can be readily adapted to other types of livestock such as beef cattle.

Scobie [2, p. 137] prepared some budgets to indicate how a change in flock structure might be effected. Here we aim to determine the optimal means of making the change in a situation where the grazier, for some reason, does not wish to, or cannot purchase sheep. In addition we examine the case where feed supply is constant and where the feed supply is increasing over time—the typical situation with pasture improvement.

Method

Multi-stage linear programming is used to determine the optimal breeding and culling policy. Townsley and Schroder [4] demonstrated the use of linear programming to determine the optimal breeding flock composition. Again this was a static solution to the problem and did not indicate how a change should be carried out. We extend that type of model to a multi-stage model. Basically it consists of a *run* and a *sell* activity for each age group of ewes and for each group of young sheep.

* Mr Healy is now with Westralian Farmers Co-operative Ltd., Perth.

1 See Byrne [1] and Scobie [2]. The latter contains much of the relevant information that was originally presented in [3].

Since we are examining a situation where changes in the livestock inventory are likely, we must account for this in the objective function. Thus, in all cases the objective is to maximize discounted net revenue plus the discounted terminal value of the flock. A discount rate of 6.5 per cent is used.

The most important information required is the *relative* difference in performance of the different age groups of ewes. By performance we mean items such as wool production, fertility, and the survival from one year to the next. Since we use the information used previously by Byrne [1, p. 148] it will not be listed. Some of these data are presented in Table 1, the first sub matrix of a block-diagonal matrix.

Results

A number of situations were examined and two main factors that were varied are the annual feed supply and the lambing rate of ewes. The starting flock composition was the same as that given by Scobie [2, p. 137], the actual numbers that he presented being scaled by a factor of 0.5.

When the feed supply is constant, we are virtually considering the same problem that was examined by Byrne and by Scobie as well as considering the transition stages. Table 2 shows the optimal plan under these conditions. The last row of Table 2 (REV) is the amount of revenue or cash drawn. These figures are undiscounted although in all cases the objective was to maximize discounted revenue.

After year six the flock structure remains stable and is very similar to the optimum suggested by Byrne previously [1, p. 152] where the ewes are kept for three years and 13 per cent are kept for another year. It is apparent that it does pay to move almost as quickly as possible to the optimal structure as determined by the static methods. It would have been possible to reach the stable "optimal" structure one year earlier by having only 865 maiden ewes in the second year but apparently it is more profitable to have a large number of young ewes in the second year. This policy is one where all ewe hoggets except the culls are put into the ewe flock.

A drop of 20 per cent in lambing percentage did not change the optimal policy of putting as many young ewes as possible into the breeding flock. In other words, no 1.5 year old ewes, apart from the culls, are sold. Again the flock structure becomes stable by about year six, the pattern being similar to that indicated in Table 2. With the lower reproductive performance it is necessary to retain 85 per cent of the ewes for their fourth breeding season once the structure has stabilized.

Development Situation

We now examine the use of multi-stage linear programming for determining dynamic breeding policies in a development situation. Usually this involves pasture improvement which results in a change in the quality as well as the quantity of feed produced. In cases where there is little or no improved pasture at the start, the change in feed quality is likely to cause changes in biological parameters such as reproduction, wool production, and the survival rates. Since the proportional change

TABLE 1

<u> </u>		YEAR	1									
•			Ru	n Ewe	s of A	ge Gro	up				Sell Ev	ves of
	В	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4
OBJECTIVE									-			
YEAR 1 Cash Feed Ewe Age Group 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	0 5215 524 514 504 489 469 0 0	1 1·44 1	1 1.62	1 1·7 1	1 1·8	1 1·8	1 1·7	1 1·62	-4 1	-8 1	6·:	5 -4 · 5
Weaners Cull Ewe Weaners Ewe Weaners Wether Weaners Cull Ewe Hoggets Ewe Wool Revenue Weaner Wool Rev.	0 105 942 1047 480 0	-4·3	-4 · 4	-4·3	-4 · 2	4.0	-3·4	-3·2	-4.	3 -4.4	4 -4.3	3 -4·2
YEAR 2 Cash Feed Ewe Age Group 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	0 5215 0 0 0 0 0 0	- ·98	. 00	- ·97	- ∙ 96:	5 - ·96	- ⋅95	93				
Weaners Cull Ewe Weaners Ewe Weaners Wether Weaners Cull Ewe Hoggets Ewe Wool Revenue Weaner Wool Revenue	0 0 0 0 0 0	- ·68	- ·77	- ·81	- ·86	- ·86	- ·81	- ·77				

YEAR 3

- (a) All Sheep sales take place immediately after shearing, hence these activities supply wool revenue.
- (b) Weaner transfer activity (WTF) separates weaners into three groups.
- (c) The following abbreviations are used; (CEW) cull ewe weaners; (EW) ewe weaners, and (WW) wether weaners.

is not likely to be the same for each parameter, or for each age group, the respective matrix coefficients must be adjusted in the appropriate years.

In cases where there is a considerable amount of improved pasture at the start, it is less likely that there will be any change in the relative values of the various biological parameters. In such cases, changes in quality can be taken into account in the estimates of the total feed supply. In the example considered below, we assume this to be the case. Table 3 shows the flock composition and sales for a typical development situation. The first row of this table shows the number of feed units available.

These results show that in a developing situation it is necessary to retain ewes for longer periods in order to utilize the available feed. In years four to seven there is a total of seven age groups. The flock com-

Matrix—Year 1

					YEAR 2
WTF(b)	Run Young Sheep CEW EW(c)	Sell Young Sheep(a) WW EW(c)	Sell Wool Ewe Wean.	Draw Cash	Run Ewes
	·8 ·8	- ·3 -2·5	-1 -1	1	
	1 1	1 1 -4:3	1		
	-1.05 -1.05		1		1 1
	- ·96				1 1
1 - ·05 - ·45 - ·50	- ·96				_4.3 _4.4
	1 - ·05 - ·45	1 1 1 -1·05 -1·05 ·96 ·95 ·50	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	WTF(b) Sheep (Sheep(a) WW EW(c) Ewe Wean. Cash

position becomes relatively stable by year thirteen, six years after the feed supply becomes stable. The process is very similar from year seven to that observed in the previous examples from year one. Thus in both cases it takes about six years for the composition to become stable, given a constant feed supply.

Marginal Value Products

In the development situation we have considered, the rate of increase in the feed supply is fairly rapid, particularly from year two to year six when it is about 20 per cent per annum. Because of the rapid increase, a feed surplus occurred in years five and six (184 and 791 units respectively). This reflects the fact that it was not possible to increase sheep numbers sufficiently under the current set of constraints.

TABLE 2
Flock Composition and Sheep Sales with a Constant Feed Supply

ă.						Ye	ar				
•		ID(a)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Run ewes	of										
age group		R1	524	905	855	867	864	865	865	865	865
	2	R2	514	514	887	838	850	847	848	847	847
	3	R3	504	504	503	869	821	833	830	831	830
	4	R4	489	489	382	73	109	101	103	102	102
Sell ewes	5	R5	469	197							
		64			406						
age of	4·5 5·5	S4		27.5	106	415	733	696	705	703	703
	5·5 6·5	S5 S6		275	472	369	71	106	97	99	99
Run Ewe	0.3	30		450	189						
Weaners (Sell Cull I		REW	1047	990	1003	1001	1001	1001	1001	1001	1001
Hoggets		SCEH	481	101	95	96	96	96	96	96	96
Net Revenue	\$'000	REV	15.9	18 · 2	19.2	20 · 1	20.7	20.6	20.6	20.6	20.6

(a) ID refers to the activity identification to be used in Table 3.

Examination of the marginal value products enables assessment of the importance of some of the constraints, in particular the exclusion of sheep purchasing opportunities. Table 4 shows the marginal value products for ewes for the first six years of the plan. They indicate the present value of the amount the grazier can afford to pay for sheep in full wool in the various age groups.

It can be seen that the marginal value products of young sheep are particularly high in the first four years. Since the values in the first five years generally exceed normal market prices it will pay to purchase sheep in any of these years. The highest marginal value product will be obtained from additional maiden ewes (1.5 years of age) in year four. No doubt the reason for these high values is the presence of surplus feed in years five and six.

In the first case examined, with the higher reproductive performance and the constant feed supply, the marginal value products of the first three age groups of ewes in year one are \$13.56, \$12.23 and \$10.80. If the market values are less than these then it will pay to purchase young sheep, provided finance is not limiting; this in turn will bring about a more rapid change towards the stable composition. This agrees with the budgets of Scobie where he found that it was more profitable to purchase young sheep in order to reach the optimal flock structure within one year.

Planning Period

Two planning periods were examined, eight and sixteen years. While all the results presented have been obtained from the sixteen-year model it is important to note that the results from the eight-year model are

⁽b) The number of wether weaners sold at the start of the year is equal to the number of ewe weaners run. Of the total ewe weaners 10 per cent are culls that must be sold at the age of 1.5 years.

TABLE 3
Flock Composition and Sales with Increasing Feed Supply

ID 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 FEED(*) 5.22 5.8 6.8 8.6 10-4 12.8 14·0								Year						
D(a) 5.22 5.8 6.8 8.6 10.4 12.8 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 15.4 524 905 855 987 1184 1482 1744 2050 2386 2306 2325 514 514 887 838 967 1161 1453 1709 2099 2338 2260 2325 504 504 503 869 821 948 1137 1424 1675 1969 2291 489 489 489 481 797 920 1103 996 474 227 472 472 472 471 813 694 430 686 385 1151 1682 519 4469 472 472 473 453 452 722 722 686 385 1151 1682 519 667 1065 961 457 519 667 667 667 667 667 667 667 667 667 66	DI	I	2	3	4	5	9	7	∞	6	10	111	12	13
524 905 855 987 1184 1482 1744 2050 2386 2306 2325 514 514 887 838 967 1161 1453 1709 2009 2338 2260 504 504 503 869 821 948 1137 1424 1675 1969 2291 489 489 489 843 797 920 1103 996 474 227 469 472 472 472 471 813 694 53 306 453 453 452 722 74 887 1065 961 457 50 270 400 400 638 Total 101 95 105 25.4 30.3 36.1 47.9 50.5 54.1 55.0	FEED(a)	5.22	5.8	8.9	9.8	10.4	12.8	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0
514 514 887 838 967 1161 1453 1709 2009 2338 2260 504 504 503 869 821 948 1137 1424 1675 1969 2291 489 489 489 488 843 797 920 1103 996 474 227 469 472 472 472 471 813 694 53 306 453 453 452 722 59 667 1887 1065 961 457 50 72 147 50 72 147 50 400 400 638 51 151 2686 52 15 99 1142 1371 1716 2019 2373 2762 2669 2691 2686 (b) 15 9 16 8 17 8 19 5 25 4 30 3 36 1 47 9 50 5 5 5 1 55 0	R1	524	905	855	284	1184	1482	1744	2050	2386	2306	2325	2321	2322
504 504 503 869 821 948 1137 1424 1675 1969 2291 489 489 489 488 843 797 920 1103 996 474 227 469 472 472 471 813 694 53 306 453 453 452 722 291 430 430 686 385 1151 1682 50 50 1142 1371 1716 2019 2373 2762 2669 2691 2686 (b) 15·9 16·8 17·8 19·5 25·4 30·3 36·1 47·9 50·5 54·1 55·0	R2	514	514	887	838	296	1161	1453	1709	2009	2338	2260	2279	2777
489 489 489 488 843 797 920 1103 996 474 227 469 472 472 472 471 813 694 53 306 453 453 452 722 59 1103 996 474 227 59 1103 996 474 227 50 453 453 453 452 722 59 667 50 1147 50 270 400 400 638 50 569 269 2686 50 15.9 16.8 17.8 19.5 25.4 30.3 36.1 47.9 50.5 54.1 55.0	R3	504	504	503	698	821	948	1137	1424	1675	1969	2291	2215	2233
469 472 472 471 813 694 53 306 453 453 722 291 430 430 686 385 1151 1682 397 147 50 400 400 400 638 409 1142 1371 1716 2019 2373 2762 2669 2691 2686 (b) 15.9 16.8 17.8 19.5 25.4 30.3 36.1 47.9 50.5 54.1 55.0	R4	489	489	489	488	843	797	920	1103	966	474	227	286	272
53 366 453 452 722 291 430 430 686 385 1151 1682 1 397 147 59 667 50 400 400 638 265 2569 2691 2686 258 (b) 15:9 16:8 17:8 19:5 25:4 30:3 36:1 47:9 50:5 54:1 55:0 5	R5	469	472	472	472	471	813	694	i i)		ì) 	1
74 887 1151 1682 1 397 147 50 270 400 400 638 265 2569 2691 2686 25 (b) 15.9 16.8 17.8 19.5 25.4 30.3 36.1 47.9 50.5 54.1 55.0 5	R6		53	306	453	453	452	722						
74 887 1065 961 457 50 50 667 1065 961 457 74 887 1065 961 457 59 667 1065 961 457 74 887 1065 961 457 74 887 1065 961 457 457 1065 191 457 74 887 1065 961 457	R7				291	430	430	430	989					
74 887 1065 961 457 59 667 1065 961 457 50 270 400 400 400 638 H 481 101 95 108 132 165 194 228 265 258 (b) 15·9 16·8 17·8 19·5 25·4 30·3 36·1 47·9 50·5 54·1 55·0	\$2 2.5									385	1151	1682	1937	1876
397 147 59 667 50 270 400 400 638 269 2691 2686 2	S2		•					74	887	1065	961	457	219	276
70 270 400 400 400 638 7 1047 990 1142 1371 1716 2019 2373 2762 2669 2691 2686 (b) 15·9 16·8 17·8 19·5 25·4 30·3 36·1 47·9 50·5 54·1 55·0	S 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		397	147				59	<i>L</i> 99					
7 1047 990 1142 1371 1716 2019 2373 2762 2669 2691 2686 H 481 101 95 108 132 165 194 228 265 256 (b) 15·9 16·8 17·8 19·5 25·4 30·3 36·1 47·9 50·5 54·1 55·0	. 8S			OC.		270	400	400	400	819				
481 101 95 108 132 165 194 228 265 256 258 15·9 16·8 17·8 19·5 25·4 30·3 36·1 47·9 50·5 54·1 55·0	REW	1047	066	1142	1371	1716	2019	2373	2762	2669	2691	2686	2687	7896
15.9 16.8 17.8 19.5 25.4 30.3 36.1 47.9 50.5 54.1 55.0	SCEH	481	101	95	108	132	165	194	228	265	256	258	258	258
	REV(")	15.9	16.8	17.8	19.5	25.4	30.3	36.1	47.9	50.5	54 · 1	55.0	55.4	55.3

(a) Feed is in terms of thousands of units. The unit is the amount of feed required to maintain one dry sheep for one year.
(b) Revenue is in terms of thousands of dollars.

TABLE 4

Marginal Value Products for Breeding Ewes Given an Increasing
Feed Supply

•			Ye	ear		
Age Group	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ewe Weaners	17 · 4	17.3	16.6	18.7	13 · 2	9.1
1	23.6	23.3	$22 \cdot 7$	26 · 4	19.2	13.5
2	21.0	21.4	$21 \cdot 1$	26.2	18 · 8	13.0
3	15.2	18.7	19.2	25.3	17 · 8	11.9
4	9.3	$13 \cdot 1$	16.7	24.0	16.8	10.9
5	$7 \cdot 5$	$7 \cdot 1$	11.2	$22 \cdot 1$	15.3	9.7
6	6 · 1	5.6	5 · 2	16.6	13.6	8 · 4
7	$4.\overline{9}$	4.7	4 · 1	10.6	8 · 8	7.3

very similar. In all cases examined they are identical for the first six years of the plan. This indicates that the short-term goal, of maximizing the discounted net returns for eight years plus the discounted terminal value, is consistent with the longer-term goal of maximizing the same objective over sixteen years. Thus, for the purpose of planning it is not necessary to use the larger and more expensive model. This is particularly relevant when we consider the fact that both sheep and wool price changes are likely to occur making replanning essential well before the end of the planning period.

Discussion

In this paper we have shown that multi-stage linear programming can be used to determine optimal sheep breeding policies for any particular set of constraints. The technique provides a very convenient way of determining such policies in both stable and development situations. It is apparent that it is not necessary to apply this technique when considering situations similar to the example presented. For these cases it is possible to follow the rule of retaining all of the young ewes that are considered suitable for breeding. In different situations, for example, where sheep prices are of a greater magnitude, the above rule is not likely to apply.² In such cases, only by applying the multi-stage linear programming approach is it possible to see whether any simple rule can be used. Likewise for beef cattle it would be necessary to apply this technique to determine whether any general rules can be used.

When this technique is applied the marginal value products provide valuable information about the constraints imposed and indicate whether any should be relaxed. In the development situation examined, some of the marginal value products of the ewes and weaners were high relative to market values. This suggests that some ewe purchasing activities should be included in order to make the model more realistic.

The model that we have considered is limited to breeding and selling activities, but could be extended to include other livestock activities and other farm activities. It could form part of a whole farm development

 $^{^2}$ Such a case occurred in Western Australia where the price of the 1.5 year old ewes was considerably higher (\$11.00). The optimal policy was to sell approximately one third of these ewes and retain the rest of the ewe flock for seven years.

model incorporating a number of pasture improvement and other investment activities. While the size of the resulting matrix will present the usual difficulties, our experience suggests that this problem can be checked, to some extent, by limiting the length of the planning period.

References

- [1] Byrne, P. F. 'Optimal Culling Policy for Breeding Ewes', Aust. J. Agric. Econ., 11:144-153, 1967.
- [2] Scobie, G. M. 'Economic Aspects of Replacement Policies in Merino Sheep Flocks', Q. Rev. Agric. Econ., 21:126-139, 1968.
 [3] An Economic Study of Replacement Policies in Merino Sheep Flocks,
- Bureau of Agricultural Economics, December, 1967.

 [4] Townsley, R. and Schroder, W. 'A Note on Breeding Flock Composition in Relation to Economic Criteria', Aust. J. Agric. Econ., 8:66-73, 1964.