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Introduction
The United States commenced negotiations for a free trade agreement (FTA) with the Andean countries of Colombia, Peru, and Ecuador in May 2004. The U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) also hopes to add Bolivia to this FTA. While the United States continues to support a Free Trade Area of the Americas (FTAA) that would encompass 34 Western Hemisphere countries, it is also pursuing bilateral and regional FTAs within the hemisphere, including the Andean region.
The United States has an agricultural trade deficit with these four Andean countries. The top agricultural imports from the region are coffee, bananas, and cut flowers, while wheat and corn are the leading U.S. agricultural exports to these countries. Many of the agricultural products exported by Andean countries to the United States already face low or no tariffs, while U.S. exports are impeded by higher tariffs in these countries. The United States may benefit overall from the removal of trade barriers under an FTA with the Andean countries, but producers of some commodities, such as sugar, could be harmed.
The objectives of this paper are to present U.S. agricultural trade data with Colombia, Peru, Ecuador, and Bolivia, determine existing trade barriers, and analyze the effect of a free trade agreement on U.S. - Andean trade of agricultural commodities. Trade data, tariff data, and commodity market information for this report were obtained from the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) U.S. Trade Internet System; the FAS Production, Supply \& Distribution (PS\&D) database; the International Grains Council; various Global Agriculture Information Network (GAIN) reports from FAS attaches; and the United States Trade Representative (USTR) National Trade Estimate Report on Foreign Trade Barriers.

## General Characteristics

The four Andean countries have a total population of 92 million, with the largest populations in Colombia and Peru (Table 1). The U.S. population, in comparison, is 293 million. Per capita GDP in the Andean countries, calculated on a purchasing power parity basis, ranges from $\$ 2,400$ in Bolivia to $\$ 6,300$ in Colombia, compared to $\$ 37,800$ in the United States. Agriculture accounts for 11.5 percent of GDP in the Andean countries. This share of GDP is highest in Bolivia ( 15 percent) and Colombia ( 13.7 percent), and lowest in Bolivia (8 percent). In the United States, agriculture represents just 1.4 percent of GDP.

[^0]Table 1. General Characteristics of the United States and the Andean Countries

|  |  | United States | Colombia | Ecuador | Peru | Bolivia | Andean Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | (million people) | 293.0 | 42.3 | 13.2 | 27.5 | 8.7 | 91.8 |
| Per Capita GDP | (U.S. \$, purchasing | 37,800 | 6,300 | 3,300 | 5,200 | 2,400 | 5,200 |
| \% GDP from Agriculture | power parity) | \% | $1.4 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| Total Land | (million hectares) | 916 | 104 | 28 | 128 | 108 | 368 |
| Agricultural Land | (million hectares) | 412 | 46 | 8 | 31 | 37 | 122 |
| Arable Land | (million hectares) | 176 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| Per Capita Arable Land | (hectares) | 0.60 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.33 | 0.11 |

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Intemational Database; CIA World Fact Book; and FAOSTAT
Total land area for the four countries is 368 million hectares, including 122 million hectares of agricultural land and 11 million hectares of arable land. Comparatively, there are 412 million hectares of agricultural land and 176 million hectares of arable land in the United States. There are 0.60 hectares of arable land per capita in the United States and just 0.11 hectares of arable land per capita in the four Andean countries. Per capita arable land is the lowest in Colombia and higher in Bolivia. Because of this per capita land distribution, there is little probability that the Andean countries will produce enough agricultural commodities to be significant exporters.

## U.S. - Andean Agricultural Trade

The United States has maintained an agricultural deficit of about $\$ 1$ billion with the Andean countries in recent years (Table 2). Agricultural trade with these four countries has been rather flat over the last ten years, with U.S. imports averaging $\$ 1.9$ billion and exports averaging $\$ 0.9$ billion. Colombia is the largest U.S. trading partner among the Andean countries. U.S. agricultural imports from Colombia equaled $\$ 1$ billion in 2003, while exports to the country totaled $\$ 0.5$ billion. Ecuador is the next largest source of U.S. agricultural imports, while Peru is the second-largest destination for U.S. agricultural exports. U.S. agricultural trade with Bolivia has been minimal.

Table 2. U.S. Agricultural Trade with Andean Countries

|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colombia | 1,023,219 | 1,135,014 | 1,127,919 | 1,430,370 | 1,298,287 | 1,189,744 | 1,123,389 | 925,948 | 929,477 | 1,030,862 |
| Ecuador | 516,588 | 548,299 | 537,122 | 547,636 | 518,973 | 568,317 | 451,256 | 484,521 | 505,337 | 558,176 |
| Peru | 119,872 | 190,600 | 157,771 | 276,388 | 222,465 | 221,819 | 196,284 | 206,232 | 245,873 | 276,816 |
| Bolivia | 25,960 | 10,275 | 13,809 | 19,505 | 17,177 | 14,669 | 16,285 | 16,380 | 20,799 | 21,438 |
| Total | 1,685,639 | 1,884,188 | 1,836,621 | 2,273,899 | 2,056,902 | 1,994,549 | 1,787,214 | 1,633,081 | 1,701,486 | 1,887,292 |
| U.S. Exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colombia | 303,546 | 463,836 | 619,987 | 538,036 | 575,738 | 440,215 | 415,400 | 452,152 | 520,072 | 511,720 |
| Ecuador | 70,837 | 160,476 | 156,873 | 190,049 | 179,892 | 96,259 | 100,921 | 109,263 | 142,705 | 100,017 |
| Peru | 207,365 | 298,462 | 308,718 | 192,459 | 362,205 | 290,608 | 170,274 | 212,494 | 213,859 | 233,829 |
| Bolivia | 31,596 | 27,865 | 40,287 | 30,724 | 28,839 | 19,836 | 13,457 | 15,384 | 19,240 | 30,844 |
| Total | 613,344 | 950,639 | 1,125,865 | 951,268 | 1,146,674 | 846,918 | 700,052 | 789,293 | 895,876 | 876,410 |
| Trade Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colombia | -719,673 | -671,178 | -507,932 | -892,334 | -722,549 | -749,529 | -707,989 | -473,796 | -409,405 | -519,142 |
| Ecuador | -445,751 | -387,823 | -380,249 | -357,587 | -339,081 | -472,058 | -350,335 | -375,258 | -362,632 | -458,159 |
| Peru | 87,493 | 107,862 | 150,947 | -83,929 | 139,740 | 68,789 | -26,010 | 6,262 | -32,014 | -42,987 |
| Bolivia | 5,636 | 17,590 | 26,478 | 11,219 | 11,662 | 5,167 | -2,828 | -996 | -1,559 | 9,406 |
| Total | -1,072,295 | -933,549 | -710,756 | -1,322,631 | -910,228 | -1,147,631 | -1,087,162 | -843,788 | -805,610 | -1,010,882 |

[^1]Cut flowers, bananas, and coffee are the most imported agricultural commodities from these countries (Table 3). These three commodities account for over 70 percent of the value of U.S. agricultural imports from the Andean countries. U.S. imports of coffee peaked in 1997 at $\$ 1$ billion but have since dropped significantly. Imports of bananas and cut flowers have been relatively stable. Other U.S. imports include asparagus, sugar, tobacco, and mangoes. Imports of asparagus and tobacco have been increasing, but imports of sugar have declined since the mid-1990s.
Coffee has been the top agricultural import from Colombia, followed by cut flowers and bananas (Table 4). These three commodities accounted for 85 percent of the value of U.S. agricultural imports from Colombia in 2003. Imports of tobacco from Colombia have increased in recent years, and sugar imports from the country equaled $\$ 40$ million in 2003. Bananas are the major commodity imported from Ecuador (Table 5). Imports of bananas from Ecuador have been rather flat in recent years, though, while imports of cut flowers have been increasing. U.S. coffee imports from Ecuador have dropped substantially over the last decade. Peru exports asparagus, coffee, mangoes, onions, and sugar to the United States (Table 6), and Bolivia exports Brazil nuts (Table 7).

Coffee and bananas are considered noncompetitive imports because they are not produced in the United States. More than half of the imports from the Andean countries are noncompetitive imports. The share of imports consisting of competitive products, however, has been increasing, while imports of noncompetitive products have actually been decreasing. The most significant competitive import is cut flowers; other competitive imports include vegetables, fruit, tobacco, and sugar.

## EXPORTS

Wheat and corn are the major U.S. agricultural exports to the four Andean countries. Other U.S. exports include cotton, soybeans, soybean oil, soybean meal, wheat flour, dairy products, and rice (Table 8). Corn is the major export to Colombia, followed by wheat, cotton, and soybeans (Table 9). Wheat is the primary agricultural export to Peru, which also imports cotton and soybean oil (Table 10). Ecuador has imported smaller amounts of corn, cotton, wheat, and soybean meal from the United States (Table 11), and Bolivia imports small quantities of wheat and wheat flour (Table 12).

## Existing Trade Barriers

The Office of the United States Trade Representative annually publishes a National Trade Estimate Report on Foreign Trade Barriers, which surveys significant foreign barriers to U.S. exports in a number of countries. The 2004 report notes that while duties and many non-tariff barriers in the Andean countries have been reduced, agricultural imports continue to fall under the variable price band import duty system. Under this system, import duties increase when prices are low and decrease when prices are high. The ad valorem rates are adjusted according to the relationship between commodity reference prices and established floor and ceiling prices.

Table 3. U.S. Agricultural Imports from Andean Countries

|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commodities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cut Flowers | 297,754 | 372,795 | 436,460 | 445,250 | 452,203 | 437,781 | 439,015 | 404,540 | 378,518 | 452,045 |
| Bananas \& Plantains | 434,581 | 428,547 | 394,642 | 468,856 | 495,955 | 551,839 | 476,873 | 455,803 | 490,995 | 449,295 |
| Coffee | 693,672 | 765,283 | 647,587 | 1,022,809 | 854,118 | 652,738 | 553,425 | 379,925 | 397,160 | 447,807 |
| Asparagus, fresh or frz | 14,240 | 20,785 | 24,413 | 29,155 | 33,028 | 42,518 | 47,586 | 53,502 | 63,093 | 85,405 |
| Sugar | 72,562 | 96,924 | 102,593 | 83,609 | 50,168 | 45,587 | 40,286 | 51,975 | 53,960 | 65,609 |
| Tobacco - Mfg | 0 | 0 | 16 | 48 | 329 | 102 | 1,063 | 16,408 | 33,389 | 55,652 |
| Mangoes | 4,005 | 4,749 | 7,330 | 3,826 | 8,774 | 20,019 | 23,512 | 24,587 | 30,781 | 32,839 |
| Tobacco - Unmfg | 5,206 | 3,218 | 6,923 | 7,895 | 9,985 | 8,322 | 7,281 | 10,802 | 10,970 | 19,092 |
| Brazil Nuts | 8,136 | 6,328 | 11,416 | 14,200 | 10,542 | 13,071 | 12,803 | 13,323 | 12,384 | 17,994 |
| Onions | 53 | 459 | 4,824 | 3,335 | 5,622 | 11,391 | 6,382 | 13,362 | 15,725 | 13,626 |
| Pineapples, fresh or frz | 82 | 310 | 1,103 | 1,159 | 752 | 1,786 | 1,989 | 2,730 | 7,353 | 13,172 |
| Competitive vs. Noncompetitive products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noncompetitive Ag Imports | 1,205,420 | 1,282,808 | 1,148,116 | 1,598,098 | 1,395,793 | 1,286,061 | 1,082,119 | 888,290 | 945,852 | 982,540 |
| Competitive Ag imports | 480,219 | 601,380 | 688,505 | 675,799 | 661,108 | 708,487 | 705,095 | 744,791 | 755,633 | 904,753 |
| Selected Non-Ag Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish | 679,260 | 665,935 | 582,597 | 828,693 | 789,816 | 637,274 | 445,906 | 469,013 | 504,128 | 562,754 |

Table 4. U.S. Agricultural Imports from Colombia

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ----thous | nd U.S. do | ars |  |
| Commodities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee | 518,863 | 451,181 | 318,118 | 328,355 | 374,137 |
| Cut Flowers | 343,684 | 347,242 | 302,450 | 289,521 | 343,637 |
| Bananas \& Plantains | 224,054 | 220,984 | 185,239 | 186,963 | 165,218 |
| Tobacco - Mfg | 11 | 853 | 15,736 | 28,269 | 50,271 |
| Sugar | 28,340 | 26,810 | 17,431 | 30,512 | 39,878 |
| Animals \& Prods | 23,191 | 18,895 | 26,385 | 22,673 | 19,294 |
| Confectionary Prods | 12,470 | 14,358 | 12,816 | 13,638 | 18,660 |
| Grains \& Feeds | 5,494 | 5,612 | 13,975 | 16,885 | 18,217 |
| Fruits \& Preps | 3,924 | 3,576 | 6,183 | 7,537 | 10,383 |
| Noncompetitive vs. Competitive products |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noncompetitive Ag Imports | 752,333 | 676,346 | 511,948 | 521,474 | 548,679 |
| Competitive Ag Imports | 437,410 | 447,043 | 414,000 | 408,003 | 482,183 |
| Selected Non-Ag Products |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shellfish | 36,836 | 42,272 | 42,563 | 39,142 | 28,754 |

Table 5. U.S. Agricultural Imports from Ecuador


Table 6. U.S. Agricultural Imports from Peru

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -thou | U.S. do | ars- |  |
| Commodities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asparagus, fresh or frozen | 38,683 | 44,860 | 51,243 | 62,059 | 84,224 |
| Coffee | 89,426 | 86,101 | 48,108 | 57,610 | 61,706 |
| Mangoes | 13,040 | 13,479 | 15,840 | 20,016 | 18,086 |
| Sugar | 8,808 | 6,931 | 26,807 | 16,651 | 17,850 |
| Onions | 11,241 | 6,108 | 11,976 | 14,715 | 12,964 |
| Non-competitive Spices | 1,456 | 1,098 | 2,565 | 7,079 | 7,830 |
| Asparagus - Prep | 505 | 1,325 | 845 | 1,474 | 5,578 |
| Tobacco - Mfg | 0 | 97 | 499 | 4,836 | 5,206 |
| Seeds - Field \& Garden | 1,563 | 1,680 | 2,767 | 3,262 | 4,488 |
| Bananas \& Plantains | 9 | 217 | 1,925 | 6,560 | 4,467 |
| Brazil Nuts | 5,164 | 2,048 | 2,514 | 2,093 | 4,425 |
| Grapes, fresh | 131 | 563 | 1,862 | 5,395 | 3,685 |
| Peas | 2,987 | 2,315 | 2,494 | 4,633 | 3,208 |
| Noncompetitive vs. Competitive products |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noncompetitive Ag Imports | 105,102 | 98,701 | 60,254 | 77,559 | 80,793 |
| Competitive Ag Imports | 116,716 | 97,584 | 145,979 | 168,314 | 196,023 |

Table 7. U.S. Agricultural Imports from Bolivia

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commodities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brazil Nuts | 7,907 | 10,756 | 10,808 | 10,291 | 13,531 |
| Sugar | 3,598 | 2,635 | 3,114 | 6,797 | 3,203 |
| Coffee | 1,309 | 1,143 | 1,064 | 1,851 | 2,388 |
| Noncompetitive vs. Competitive products |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noncompetitive Ag Imports | 2,247 | 1,549 | 1,520 | 2,204 | 3,031 |
| Competitive Ag Imports | 12,422 | 14,736 | 14,859 | 18,595 | 18,407 |

Source: FAS/USDA

Table 8. U.S. Agricultural Exports to Andean Countries


Table 9. U.S. Agricultural Exports to Colombia


Sounce: FAS/USDA

Table 10. U.S. Agricultural Exports to Peru

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -thou | U.S. do | s- | ------- |
| Commodities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat, unmilled | 68,526 | 29,576 | 76,286 | 64,686 | 100,716 |
| Cotton | 13,342 | 19,156 | 30,257 | 35,181 | 43,289 |
| Soybean Oil | 25,806 | 20,403 | 16,291 | 15,038 | 18,618 |
| Dairy Products | 3,131 | 3,080 | 4,422 | 5,513 | 4,921 |
| Pules | 5,284 | 4,130 | 4,766 | 5,481 | 4,747 |
| Rice - paddy, milled | 17,024 | 4,892 | 118 | 2,919 | 4,503 |
| Corn | 62,226 | 24,280 | 22,944 | 17,279 | 4,095 |
| Selected Non-Agricultural Products |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen | 20,565 | 17,899 | 25,978 | 22,000 | 24,667 |
| Farm Machinery | 8,763 | 5,275 | 8,468 | 6,896 | 6,602 |

Table 11. U.S. Agricultural Exports to Ecuador

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Commodities | 20,443 | 12,297 | 13,831 | 29,503 | 22,686 |
| Corn | 8,667 | 25,641 | 20,360 | 15,934 | 16,875 |
| Cotton | 22,287 | 26,781 | 17,171 | 34,348 | 11,834 |
| Wheat, unmilled | 16,260 | 6,339 | 21,837 | 19,533 | 6,255 |
| Soybean Meal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selected Non-Agricultural Products |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen | 14,798 | 10,945 | 14,756 | 9,692 | 13,568 |
| Ag Chemicals | 12,376 | 10,730 | 8,558 | 11,032 | 10,502 |
| Farm Machinery | 5,191 | 5,058 | 9,431 | 6,511 | 10,061 |
| Fish \& Shellfish | 4,913 | 3,329 | 3,797 | 1,172 | 5,353 |
| Source: FAS/USDA |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12. U.S. Agricultural Exports to Bolivia

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commodities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat Flour | 6,000 | 6,609 | 4,006 | 4,936 | 8,683 |
| Wheat, Unmilled | 6,116 | 717 | 2,407 | 6,470 | 6,152 |
| Dairy Products | 492 | 434 | 2,075 | 1,500 | 3,835 |
| Seeds, Field/Garden | 505 | 379 | 516 | 754 | 3,052 |

Source: FAS/USDA

These variable duties are an important trade barrier for U.S. exports. The USTR (2004) report states that the price band system results in duties approaching or exceeding 100 percent for U.S. exports to Colombia of products such as corn, wheat, rice, soybeans, pork, poultry, cheeses, and powdered milk. The USTR report also finds high duties in Ecuador. Ecuador committed to phase out the price-band system as part of its accession to the WTO in 1996, but the USTR notes that it has not yet done so. Ecuador bound its tariffs plus price bands on these commodities at between 31.5 percent and 85.5 percent, and the country has also agreed to establish TRQs for certain agricultural imports. In May 2000, Ecuador established regulations for 17 agricultural products, with tariff rates ranging from 19 to 45 percent. These products include sorghum, wheat, corn, frozen turkey and chicken products, powdered milk, and soybean meal. Peru also subjects sensitive agricultural products, including corn, rice, sugar, and powdered milk, to a variable price band levy. This levy fluctuates to ensure that the import prices of such products equal a predetermined minimum import price. U.S. exporters of processed food are at a disadvantage because processed food imports from other Andean countries and Chile enter duty-free.
There are also non-tariff barriers that restrict U.S. exports to the country, according to the USTR report. In Colombia, import licenses restrict imports of certain agricultural goods such as chicken and turkey products. Import licenses for products that compete with domestic products must be approved by the Ministry of Agriculture. These products include wheat, malting barley, poultry, corn, rice, sorghum, cotton, wheat flour, and oilseeds. In Ecuador, prior authorization for importation of all goods is required before the Central Bank can issue an import license, and prior authorization is needed from the Ministry of Agriculture for importation of most commodities, although wheat is exempt from this requirement.
In 1999, Ecuador added a requirement that all importers must present a certificate verifying that their agricultural products have not been produced using modern biotechnology. In 2002, the National Commission for Biosafety was created to govern biotechnology-related products and regulations issues.
The USTR reports that Colombian phytosanitary requirements for imports are excessive and time-consuming. The report also found that Ecuador's denials of SPS certification often appear to lack a scientific basis and have been used in a discriminatory fashion to block imports from the United States that compete with domestically produced goods. This is found to be most common with poultry, pork, beef, dairy, and fresh fruit.

Most non-tariff barriers have been eliminated in Peru, but the country's animal and plant health agency imposes trade barriers on agricultural products. Peru established an import ban on U.S. poultry products due to the presence of Avian influenza and Newcastle disease. Beef products need to be certified that the animals were been born, raised, and slaughtered in the country of origin. Peru also has a ban on imports of paddy rice from the United States.

## Individual Commodities

## Wheat

The United States exported 1.5 million metric tons of wheat to the Andean countries in 2003, with most of those exports shipped to Colombia or Peru (Figure 1). Over the last five years, annual wheat exports have averaged 690 thousand metric tons to Colombia, 520 thousand metric tons to Peru, 160 thousand metric tons to Ecuador, and 30 thousand metric tons to Bolivia. There is very little wheat production in the Andean countries, so most consumption is provided by imports.


Figure 2 shows Colombian wheat imports by source since 1969/70. U.S. exports to Colombia vary from year to year, and there has been no real long-term trend in exports to the country. The quantity generally ranges from 200 thousand to 700 thousand metric tons per year. U.S. market share in Colombia, on the other hand, has declined. The United States held close to 100 percent market share in the 1970s and early 1980s. In the mid-1980s and the 1990s, Colombian wheat imports rose, yet U.S. exports to the country actually declined and the market share dropped to around 30 percent. U.S. wheat exports to the country rebounded significantly in the late 1990s, and U.S. market share has since ranged from 45 percent to 65 percent. In 2002/03, U.S. exports to the country reached a high of 760 thousand metric tons and market share reached 65 percent, its highest level since 1990/91. Canada is the major competitor for the United States in Colombia. Argentina and Australia are not significant wheat exporters to Colombia, but a trade agreement between the Community of Andean Nations (CAN) and Mercosur (a trade bloc including Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, and Uruguay) could result in more Argentine exports to Colombia. Demand for wheat in Colombia is increasing because of the growing demand for pasta (FAS March 10, 2004).

The United States is the major wheat exporter to Peru, with close to 50 percent market share. Other wheat exporters to Peru include Argentina, Canada, Ukraine, and Russia. Mercosur accepted Peru as a member in December 2003, which could give Argentina an advantage in exporting to the country. Peru reduced import tariffs for wheat from 25 percent to 17 percent on January 1, 2004, but

Figure 2. Coiombia Wheat Imports by Source

wheat is also assessed a 19 percent value-added tax. Wheat consumption in Peru is increasing due to growing demand for bread as the population increases and the country's economy recovers. Bread consumption is still comparatively low in Peru; however, the country is the second largest per capita pasta consumer in South America. (FAS January 6, 2004)
Ecuador's imports from the United States have decreased within the last few years to about 40 percent market share. Canada is the main U.S. competitor, and Argentina is also an exporter to Ecuador. An FAS (March 5, 2004) report notes that Canadian wheat is perceived in Ecuador to be of higher quality. This report also states that Ecuador abandoned the price band system for wheat in 2001, and set a tariff rate at 10 percent, but the country was forced to go back to the price band in 2003 as part of their inclusion in CAN.

## Corn

Colombia is an important market for U.S. corn exports. The country ranked as the sixth-largest destination for U.S. corn exports in 2003, totaling 1.6 million metric tons. The United States also exports corn to Ecuador and Peru. U.S. corn exports to Colombia increased significantly in the early 1990s, but have been stable over the last five years (Figure 3). Exports to Ecuador have risen, while Peru's corn imports from the United States vary from year to year.
According to an FAS (March 10, 2004) report, corn production in Colombia is increasing, but the feed industry relies heavily on imports, and a majority of the country's imports are from the United States. The United States held a market share of 77 percent in 2003. Argentina is a competitor in the Colombian corn market. As a member of Mercosur, Argentina faces lower duties than the United States in exporting corn to Colombia, but the FAS notes that freight costs from the United States are lower. Recent trade negotiations between CAN and Mercosur could give Argentine exporters more of an advantage. Colombia supports its local corn production by giving duty reductions to importers who also purchase domestically produced corn (FAS March 10, 2004).

Corn exports to Ecuador have increased as domestic production in the country has failed to keep up with increasing demand. The United States is the main exporter to Ecuador, with the remainder exported from Argentina. According to FAS reports (March 5, 2004 and January 6, 2004), Ecuador prefers U.S. corn because of its high quality, but feed users in Peru prefer to use corn from Peru

Figure 3. U.S. Corn Exports to Andean Countries

or Argentina. U.S. corn exports to Peru dropped in 2003 because of lower prices from Argentina. The FAS notes that U.S. corn exports to Ecuador and Peru are assessed imports duties of 15 percent and 12 percent, respectively, plus the variable levy under the price band system.

## Oilseeds

The United States exports soybeans to Colombia, soybean meal to Colombia and Ecuador, and soybean oil to Peru. Among these countries, Colombia has been the most important market for U.S. exports. The United States exported 125 thousand metric tons of soybeans and 48 thousand metric tons of soybean meal to Colombia in 2003. The Colombian government is trying to encourage domestic soybean production, but production in the country remains low because of high production costs and poor climate (FAS February 1,2004). Colombia imports soybeans largely from the United States, Paraguay, and Bolivia. Imports from Paraguay and Bolivia face lower duties, but the FAS (February 1, 2004) notes that shipping costs from the United States are lower. A U.S. - Andean FTA could reduce or eliminate the tariff advantages of Paraguay and Bolivia. The lower U.S. shipping costs would become more important, and the United States could increase market share. FAS reports that Paraguay, which is currently the top exporter of soybeans to Colombia, pays a tariff that is one-third less than the tariff paid by the United States. Bolivia is the main supplier of soybean meal and soybean oil to Colombia. As a member of the Andean Community, Bolivia pays no tariff for exports to Colombia. About 13 percent of Colombia's soybean meal imports are from the United States.

Ecuador is an importer of soybean meal and soybean oil. Almost all soybean oil in Ecuador is imported from Argentina, and soybean meal is imported mostly from Uruguay, Bolivia, and Argentina. U.S. exporters face a tariff disadvantage in relation to competing South American suppliers. Imports from Bolivia face no tariffs under the CAN agreement, and the applied tariff rates for soybean meal and oil imports from Argentina and Brazil are 20 percent lower than the rates for U.S. products, which are subject to a 15 percent tariff plus the variable levy under the price band system (FAS April 1, 2004). The Andean price band system is a significant barrier for U.S. exports of soybean products to the Andean countries. High variable duties on imports of soybeans, soybean meal, and soybean oil are applied to imports from countries outside the CAN agreement.

## Rice

A number of the largest export markets for U.S. rice are Latin American countries, including Mexico, Brazil, and a few Central American and Caribbean countries, but the Andean countries have not been significant importers of U.S. rice. Peru's rice imports are mostly from Uruguay, and total imports in Peru are small compared to domestic production. Paddy rice imports from the United States are currently banned for phytosanitary reasons (FAS January 6, 2004). Ecuador imports little rice besides what is smuggled in from Peru (FAS March 5, 2004). Some Peruvian rice is being smuggled into Ecuador and then exported to Colombia because rice from Ecuador faces lower tariffs in Colombia. Colombia also imports very little rice and does not import any rice from the United States because prices are lower from competitors such as Thailand (FAS March 10, 2004).
FAS reports show that the domestic rice industries are highly protected in each of these countries. Colombia uses a restrictive tariff-rate quota (TRQ). The quota is small and the above-quota tariff is 80 percent. Rice is a staple food in Ecuador, and the government of Ecuador is promoting a self-sufficiency program. Peru has banned rice imports from Asian countries because of phytosanitary reasons, and it has encouraged domestic production. Imports in Peru face a tariff of 25 percent plus the variable levy under the price band system.

## Cotton and Products

Because of the Andean Trade Benefits Act, Colombian exports of textiles and garments do not face any tariffs in the United States. The elimination of these tariffs has led to an expansion of Colombia's textile industry, which has led to an increase in demand for cotton. Colombia is meeting this increased demand by increasing both imports and domestic production. The value of U.S. cotton exports to Colombia increased by 33 percent in 2003. However, Colombian production is increasing even more rapidly, which the Colombian government is encouraging by guaranteeing a minimum price and implementing a tariff-rate quota system (FAS May 1, 2004). The United States supplies a significant share of Colombia's cotton imports, equal to 67 percent in 2003, and most of the remainder was imported from Burkina Faso, Brazil, and Australia. The FAS (May 1, 2004) finds that the United States has an advantage over its competitors in exporting cotton to Colombia because of lower freight rates and high quality. While U.S. cotton exports to Colombia have increased, U.S. imports of textiles and garments from Colombia increased substantially. Under the Andean FTA, these trends could continue.

## Sugar

Colombia is the fourth-leading sugar exporter to the United States, following Guatemala, the Dominican Republic, and Brazil (Table 13). Colombian sugar exports to the United States averaged 93 thousand metric tons per year from 1999 to 2002, and the quantity jumped to 154 thousand metric tons in 2003. The country ranked as the eighth-largest sugar exporter in the world in 2002/03 with total sugar exports equaling 1.3 million metric tons (Table 14). Peru also exports sugar to the United States at an average of about 40 thousand metric tons per year. U.S. sugar imports from these countries are restricted by its quota system. Opening the U.S. market to an increase in sugar imports from Andean countries could have a significant impact on the U.S. sugar industry.

Table 13. U.S. Sugar Imports by Source

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Guatemala | 689 | 151,490 | 85,779 | 92,006 | 238,687 |
| Dominican Republic | 143,333 | 199,981 | 151,366 | 170,439 | 178,656 |
| Brazil | 179,127 | 152,202 | 230,731 | 129,189 | 154,705 |
| Colombia | 93,083 | 68,535 | 58,774 | 91,428 | 153,800 |
| Philippines | 141,533 | 91,663 | 89,573 | 76,692 | 137,761 |
| Australia | 76,802 | 92,158 | 83,413 | 90,756 | 79,197 |
| El Salvador | 60,944 | 60,661 | 80,197 | 55,557 | 77,947 |
| Argentina | 21,285 | 42,579 | 43,581 | 65,377 | 44,006 |
| Peru | 21,213 | 21,857 | 62,453 | 41,760 | 41,023 |
| Costa Rica | 74,212 | 67,920 | 22,821 | 15,274 | 39,574 |
| Mexico | 101,027 | 86,556 | 126,367 | 182,896 | 35,534 |
| Total | 1,612,341 | 1,473,581 | 1,356,445 | 1,394,046 | 1,530,657 |

Table 14. World Sugar Exports

|  | 1999/00 | 2000/01 | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | --thous | nd metric | ns |  |
| Brazil | 11,300 | 7,700 | 11,600 | 14,000 | 14,540 |
| Thailand | 4,147 | 3,394 | 4,157 | 5,100 | 5,250 |
| EU-15 | 6,138 | 6,607 | 4,793 | 5,281 | 4,703 |
| Australia | 4,123 | 3,056 | 3,594 | 4,114 | 3,907 |
| Cuba | 3,400 | 2,980 | 2,700 | 2,400 | 2,200 |
| Guatemala | 1,140 | 1,190 | 1,310 | 1,360 | 1,460 |
| South Africa | 1,410 | 1,580 | 1,235 | 1,565 | 1,300 |
| Colombia | 959 | 965 | 1,085 | 1,306 | 1,300 |
| United Arab Emirates | 690 | 530 | 625 | 760 | 780 |
| Mauritius | 426 | 544 | 526 | 567 | 590 |
| Bolivia | 37 | 39 | 82 | 120 | 145 |
| Ecuador | 44 | 60 | 55 | 48 | 97 |
| Peru | 21 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 61 |

Source: PS\&D Database, FAS/USDA

The United States has been negotiating bilateral free trade agreements with a number of sugar producing countries. The U.S.-Australia FTA does not allow for any additional sugar imports, but the Central American Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA) permits 108 thousand metric tons of additional imports, with gradual increases, and FTA negotiations are also in progress with Thailand. The FTAA could also allow for increases in sugar imports. The cumulative effect of the bilateral and regional FTAs on the U.S. sugar industry could be significant. In analyzing the potential effect of CAFTA, Koo et al. (2003) estimated the impact that additional sugar imports would have on the U.S. sugar industry. They calculated that with 500 thousand tons of additional imports, the Red River Valley would continue to produce sugar at historical levels, but the price of sugar beets would be much lower, near the break-even price.

## Coffee

The United States is the most important market for coffee exports from the Andean countries. Colombia is the second-largest source of U.S. coffee imports, behind Brazil, and Peru ranks as the eighth-largest coffee exporter to the United States. Since the United States does not produce coffee or apply tariffs to coffee imports, the Andean FTA would not likely have a significant effect on coffee trade. Colombia, though, wants the United States to join the International Coffee Organization, hoping to improve the international rules regarding coffee trade (FAS May 15, 2004).

## Conclusions

The United States has an agricultural trade deficit with the Andean countries. The top agricultural imports from the region are coffee, bananas, and cut flowers, while wheat and corn are the leading U.S. agricultural exports to these countries. U.S. agricultural trade with the Andean region has been stagnant over the last few years, but a free trade agreement would likely lead to an increase in both exports and imports. Exports could increase more than imports under the free trade agreement since the Andean countries generally have greater barriers to trade. Many of the U.S. agricultural imports from these countries already enter duty-free, but U.S. exports to the region face high tariffs and other barriers. The Andean price band system is a significant impediment for U.S. agricultural exports to these countries. U.S. exporters are also at a disadvantage since the Andean countries often charge lower tariffs to other South American countries, including Argentina. Argentina and Canada are two of the main competitors of the United States. A U.S.Andean FTA could negate the advantage Argentina and other South American countries have and could give the United States an advantage over Canada.
A free trade agreement with the Andean countries could lead to an increase in U.S. exports of wheat, corn, soybeans and soybean products, cotton, and possibly rice. Since many products from the Andean countries already enter duty-free, an increase in imports would not likely be as significant. Imports of some commodities, such as sugar, could increase, depending on the details of the agreement. The Andean countries, most notably Colombia, are producers and exporters of sugar.
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