

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.





Topics

Data

Publications

Newsroom

Calendar

Site Map | A-Z Index | Advanced Search | Search Tips

You are here: Home / Amber Waves / Long-Term Agricultural Productivity Growth Lowers Agricultural Commodity Prices, Short-Term Productivity Changes Contribute to Price Variability

Stay Connected









Finding: Farm Economy

April 06, 2015







EMAIL

Long-Term Agricultural Productivity Growth Lowers Agricultural Commodity Prices, Short-Term Productivity Changes Contribute to Price Variability

by Sun Ling Wang



U.S. food grain prices rose to more than 2.5 times their 2000 level by 2008, and the prices of feed grain more than doubled over the same period. While overall agricultural commodity prices briefly declined in 2009, they rebounded in the following years. To explain the rise of agricultural commodity prices, discussion has focused on demand-side factors, such as the growing demand for food in emerging countries and the increased use of crops in biofuel



Search Amber Waves

Go

Amber Waves Home

All Articles

About Amber Waves

Share or Save This Article





production. However, agricultural commodity prices also are affected by supply-side factors such as an unexpected increase or decline in agricultural productivity growth or oil prices.


USDA's Economic Research Service measures total factor productivity (TFP), a measure of agricultural productivity that takes into account all outputs produced at the farm and all inputs used in the production process. TFP measures changes in the efficiency with which inputs are transformed into outputs. The estimated TFP growth rate is the difference between aggregate output growth and aggregate input growth. Productivity growth is the major driver of U.S. agricultural expansion. According to USDA-ERS's productivity estimates, U.S. agricultural TFP in 2011 was nearly 2.5 times its 1948 level. This means that U.S. farms can produce 150 percent more agricultural output with the same level of total input use. Continuing growth in U.S. agricultural productivity can not only free land, labor, and other inputs for use in other sectors along the economic growth but can also help lower agricultural commodity prices for consumers.

Between 1948 and 2011, the real price (deflated by consumer price index (CPI)) of agricultural outputs declined by about 60 percent; this indicates that the price of agricultural produce grew much more slowly than the overall consumer price level. While long-term agricultural productivity is driven by innovations in animal and crop genetics, farm equipment, chemicals, and farm organization, short-term TFP growth can fluctuate considerably from year to year in response to unexpected changes in output produced, input use, or both, due to transitory events, such as adverse weather, pest or animal disease. The short-term fluctuation in TFP growth rates can affect agricultural commodity prices as well.

Given the complexity of agricultural commodity price variability, ERS researchers used historical data and a model that accounted for the impacts of unexpected changes in foreign demand, domestic food demand, oil price, and agricultural productivity growth to decompose unpredictable changes in real agricultural commodity prices into various sources. The findings show that a one-time unexpected 5-percent increase in productivity (a positive productivity shock) will lower overall agricultural commodity prices by about an estimated 2 percent in the year the shock initiated. The same would be true in reverse for a negative productivity shock. However, there is no long-term impact from a one-time productivity shock on agricultural commodity price variability.

Agricultural commodity price changes in response to productivity shocks aren't long-lasting





Note: Solid line (blue) represents the mean impact of commodity price's response to a productivity shock (5 percentage points changes in productivity growth rate), and the dotted lines (red) represent two standard deviation impacts from the mean (95 percent of the observations will fall within this range).


Source: USDA, Economic Research Service.

This article is drawn from...

"Impacts of energy shocks on US agricultural productivity growth and commodity prices—A structural VAR analysis", by Sun Ling Wang and Lihong McPhail, Energy Economics, 2014

You may also be interested in...

Agricultural Productivity in the U.S., by Eldon Ball, Sun Ling Wang, Richard Nehring, and Roberto Mosheim, USDA, Economic



Amber Waves on Your Tablet

On the go? Stay connected with our Amber Waves app for tablets. Subscribe to the quarterly magazine on iTunes or Google Play.

ERS Home | USDA.gov | Careers | Site Map | What's New | E-Mail Updates | RSS | Text Only | Report Fraud FOIA | Accessibility | Information Quality | Privacy Policy & Nondiscrimination Statement | USA.gov | White House