

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Public-Private Partnerships in the Port Sector: The case of Stock Listing of Operating Port Entities

Sotiris Theofanis, Rutgers University, Maritime Infrastructure Engineering and Management Program Maria Boile, Rutgers University

TRF, 46th Annual Forum

SCOPE

- TYPOLOGY OF PORT ENTITIES
- FORMS OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS IN THE PORT SECTOR
- PRE-LISTING CHALLENGES
- POST-LISTING CHALLENGES
- FUTURE OF STOCK LISTED PORT OPERATING ENTITIES

- LANDLORD PORTS
- TOOL PORTS
- OPERATING PORTS

The Landlord Port

The Port Authority:

- Owns the basic infrastructure, land, access and protection works
- Leases land to port operators, normally through long-term concession
- Retains all regulatory functions

The most widespread model worldwide

The Tool Port The Port Authority:

- Owns the infrastructure, the superstructure and heavy equipment
- Rents the above to operators
- Retains all regulatory functions

The Operating (Service) Port The Port Authority:

- Owns and operate every port asset
- Provides all commercial services (nautical-technical and cargo handling) to vessels and cargo
- Fulfills all regulatory functions

THE ROLE OF PORT AUTHORITY - 1

- The policy making and planning function
- The land developer and landlord function
- The regulatory, supervisory and monitoring function
- The promotion function
- The commercial function

THE ROLE OF PORT AUTHORITY - 2

 When the Port Authority combines the commercial function an external Regulator has to be formed

 In most privatization schemes the Port Authority is limited to developer, planner, regulator functions

FORMS OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS IN THE PORT SECTOR - 1

LANDLORD PORT

Concession Contracts with various types of operating entities (BOT, BOOT, BOO), investments normally in superstructure & equipment

TOOL PORTS

Medium duration lease contracts associated with equipment investment, management contracts

OPERATING PORTSOutright sell or Stock listing

FORMS OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS IN THE PORT SECTOR - 2

 Port Authorities have financial stake in port operation activities

(e.g., Rotterdam Port Authority in ECT until recently, or Rotterdam Port Authority with PSA in VLISSINGEN Container Terminal

 Port Authorities have financial stake in hinterland terminals

(Rotterdam Port Authority owns a stake at CSKD-Intrans operating rail terminals in Czech Republic and Slovakia)

FORMS OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS IN THE PORT SECTOR - 3

 Port Authorities have expanded the scope of their activities in Global Port Operations

(the case of PSA Corp.)

 Port Authorities of Operating Type have partially or totally privatized through IPOs

(UK, New Zealand, Greece)

WHY OPERATING PORT ENTITIES ARE STOCK LISTED?

- INTEGRATE HANDLING ACTIVITIES-THE MOST PROFITABLE PART OF PORT ACTIVITIES
- FORMALLY TO RAISE MONEY TO FUND INVESTMENTS
- IN PRACTICE GOVERNMENTS SEEK TO REDUCE THEIR FISCAL DEFICIT
- TO BE EXPOSED TO MARKET CONDITIONS

 CORPORATIZE THE ENTITY TO BE LISTED

ENSURE PROPER RESTRUCTURING
 OF THE NEWLY FORMED ENTITY

 ENSURE PROPER LONG TERM PROPERTY OR USE RIGHTS FOR THE OPERATING ENTITY

 SEEK PROFIT MAXIMIZATION FOR THE PRE-LISTING PERIOD – AVOID TO CONTINUE SERVICE PROVISION IN LOSS MAKING ACTIVITIES

 MAKE THE RELATION BETWEEN PROFIT AND VALUE OF ASSETS ATTRACTIVE

 HANDLE PROPERLY THE MATTER OF VALUATION

(UK EXHIBITS A NEGATIVE PARADIGM OF EXTREMELY UNDERVALUED PORT PROPERTY DURING OUTRIGHT SALE OF PREVIOUS TRUST PORT PROPERTY)

THE "VALUE TRIANGLE"

- P/BV (price/book value)
 OWNERS INTEREST TO MAXIMIZE
- P/E (price/earnings)
 LEAD UNDERWRITER'S INTEREST TO MINIMIZE
- E (earnings)
 MANAGER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO MAXIMIZE

- Provided that valuation is fair (P/B) maximization minimizes opposition to process
- Minimization of (P/E) makes IPO more attractive and potential for success (oversubscription) is more likely to happen (hard underwriters particularly interested)
- E maximization make attractive (P/E) ratios more easily attainable and leads to P and (P/BV) maximization more likely to happen

THE PROCESS OF AQUIRING PROPERTY OR USE RIGHTS FOR A PORT OPERATING COMPANY

DIRECT OUTRIGHT SALE TO OPERATING **COMPANY LANDOWNER** DIRECT LEASE (STATE OR OTHER) TO OPERATING **COMPANY LONG TERM LEASE FORMATION OF** LEASE TO SPECIAL PURPOSE **OPERATING COMPANY VEHICLE**

STOCK LISTED PORT COMPANIES- BASIC DATA

| ENTITY | COUNTRY | P/TURNOVE R | P/EBITDA | P/BV | P/E |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------|------|-------|
| ABP | UK | 3.2 | 6.88 | 1.27 | 14.1 |
| BLG | GERMANY | 0.35 | 2.88 | 0.73 | 8.61 |
| EUROKAI | GERMANY | 0.18 | 0.93 | 1.06 | 11.37 |
| FORTH PORTS | UK | 2.74 | 7.02 | 2.04 | 13.4 |
| MERSEY DOCKS | UK | 1.72 | 9.68 | 1.15 | 12.37 |
| THESSALONIKI P.A. | GREECE | 1.58 | N/A | 0.66 | 22.93 |
| PORTS OF AUCKLAND | NEW ZEALAND | 5.19 | 11.97 | 2.35 | 21.1 |
| SIMONGROUP | UK | 0.42 | 66.29 | 0.5 | N/A |
| SUTTON HARBOR HLDGS | UK | 2.16 | 12.1 | 0.84 | 19.6 |
| TERNOR SOC | PORTUGAL | N/A | N/A | 0.11 | 6.7 |
| TERMINALES DE PORTUGAL | PORTUGAL | 0.09 | 0.94 | 0.14 | 6.4 |

POST-LISTING CHALLENGES / MANAGEMENT-SHAREHOLDERS -1

PROFIT MAXIMIZATION

ACTIVITY EXPANSION IN SCALE &
 VOLUME – BUSINESS DIVERSIFICATION

ONGOING COMPANY RESTRUCTURING

 CREATE THE PROPER CORPORATE GOVERNANCE SCHEME

POST-LISTING CHALLENGES / MANAGEMENT-SHAREHOLDERS -2

- AVOID GETTING INVOLVED IN LOSS MAKING ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH REGULATORY FUNCTIONS
- NEGOTIATE WITH GOVERNMENT OR SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLE COMPANY LONG TERM RETURN INVESTMENTS IN RELATION WITH DURATION OF PROPERTY USE

POST-LISTING CHALLENGES / STATE - 1

- IF INVOLVED IN SHAREHOLDING CAPITAL TO DISTIGUISH BETWEEN SHAREHOLDING & REGULATORY FUNCTIONS
- IF MAJORITY OF SHAREHOLDING CAPITAL FALLS WITH THE PRIVATE SECTOR TAKE MEASURES TO RETAIN REGULATORY FUNCTIONS

POST-LISTING CHALLENGES / STATE - 2

 AVOID THE CREATION OF A PROFIT MAXIMIZING PRIVATE MONOPOLY

 CREATE A NATIONAL OR REGIONAL REGULATORY BODY TO ENSURE THAT MARKET FUNCTIONS PROPERLY & ALSO ENSURE THAT REGULATORY FUNCTIONS ARE RETAINED BY THE STATE (eg MPA and PSA in Singapore)

THE FUTURE OF STOCK LISTED PORT OPERATING ENTITIES - 1

- THE EMERGENCE OF GLOBAL PORT OPERATORS AND THE CONFINED ROLE OF PORT AUTHORITIES
- GLOBALIZATION & CONCENTRATION IN LINER SHIPPING PUSHES PORT AUTHORITIES TO LANDLORD ROLE
- STOCK LISTED PORT COMPANIES IN GENERAL PROFITABLE BUSINESSES-ATTRACT INTEREST OF INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS

THE FUTURE OF STOCK LISTED PORT OPERATING ENTITIES - 2

- IN GENERAL VULNERABLE TO STATE DECISION MAKING
- SEEK TO AUGMENT THEIR NON CORE PORT ACTIVITIES TO MAXIMIZE PROFIT (eg. Real estate)