

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Research Ideas in Transportation Economics

Gary Barnes
Humphrey Institute of Public Affairs
University of Minnesota
gbarnes@hhh.umn.edu

Overview

- Focus on issues for which significant political decisions would benefit from better economic analysis
- Three examples
 - Specialized transit services
 - Economic and social impacts of transportation investments and policies
 - Congestion pricing

Specialized Transit Services

- Origins in human services
- They would like it to be more efficient, but don't really understand what this means or how to do it
- A challenging subject:
 - Very complex, many very relevant details, little written down
 - Research "clients" often don't understand economics that well – it can be hard to communicate these ideas

Research Issues: Efficiency

- Understanding what efficiency means in this context and how to measure it
- Considerable confusion in existing research
 - Inappropriately comparing costs across agencies with different services, clients, etc.
 - Counting service cuts, or shifting costs to other budgets, as efficiency gains
 - Counting expenditures as benefits when they go to another transit agency

Research Issues: Institutions

- Services are funded by dozens of programs, all with their own rules
- Variations in driver and vehicle standards, who can be transported, what price can be charged, how funding is allocated,...
- Funders demand "coordination" but this variety of rules makes it hard in practice
- Incentive structures lead to undesirable choices by providers

Economic and Social Impacts

- Significant transportation debates revolve around issues that are basically economic in nature, but without good economic insight
- Examples from Minnesota:
 - Twin Cities economic vitality will suffer if congestion is not addressed immediately
 - Projects can be justified by economic development impacts even if B/C is low
 - Travel behavior is strongly dependent on urban design and land use

The Problem

- Travel forecasting models and BCA address a tightly circumscribed set of issues
- The fact that these other issues are excluded leads all sides to believe that BCA results can be discounted or even ignored entirely
- Some of these issues have been studied at length, but very often by researchers with a clear preference for a given answer

Research Needs

- These issues are fuzzy, probably cannot be addressed with the clarity of BCA
- But lesser insights would still be useful:
 - Rules of thumb: bounds on likely outcomes of a policy or investment under various conditions
 - Statements about the quality of evidence, certainty of conclusions, for various issues
 - Estimated value or utility of given outcomes
 - Better research designs, data collection strategies

Congestion Pricing

- Economic principles are well understood in the abstract
- But politicians and citizens are sensitive to impacts that theory often doesn't address
 - Neighborhood costs of local street traffic
 - Congestion on non-priced routes
 - Impacts on lower incomes
 - Potential business losses
 - Value of reliable travel time option

Research Ideas

- It is not inherently implausible to think that pricing might be a good idea in some cases and not in others, depending on how these secondary issues resolve
- Need standardized theory of how they should be addressed, in general and in the context of specific proposals (what local data is necessary)