

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Supermarket Access and Childhood Obesity: Natural Experiments of Store Openings and Closings					
Di Zeng ¹ , Michael R. Thomsen ¹ , Rodolfo M. Nayga, Jr. ¹ , Heather L. Rouse ²					
¹ Department of Agricultural Economic and Agribusiness, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville					
² Arkansas Center for Health Improvement and University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock					
Selected Poster prepared for presentation at the 2015 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association and Western Agricultural Economics Association Joint Annual Meeting, San Francisco CA, July 26-28.					
Copyright 2015 by Di Zeng, Michael R. Thomsen, Rodolfo M. Nayga, Jr., and Heather L. Rouse. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.					

Supermarket Access and Childhood Obesity: Natural Experiments of Store Openings and Closings

Di Zeng¹, Michael R. Thomsen¹, Rodolfo M. Nayga, Jr.¹, Heather L. Rouse²

1 Department of Agricultural Economic and Agribusiness, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

2 Arkansas Center for Health Improvement and University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock





United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture

Motivation

- Childhood obesity is a major public health issue in the United States.
 - Roughly 17 percent are obese (Ogden et al., 2014).
 - Increased health risks extend into adulthood (Serdula et al., 1993; Biro and Wien, 2010).
 - Results in huge fiscal burden (Trasande and Chatterjee, 2009).
- There is a need for improved understanding of the causal factors.
 - Obesity is inadequately explained by individual factors (Garner and Wooley, 1991).
 - The social/physical context where decisions are made could play a role (Cummins and Macintyre, 2006).
 - The commercial food environment is one context faced by all people.
- Grocery stores (e.g. supermarkets) are the major provider of daily foods.
 - 91.0 percent share of total food store sales (US Census Bureau, 2011)
 - Provision of fresh fruits and vegetables
 - Lower food prices
- Access to grocery stores can affect the bodyweight of children.
 - Existing observational studies find difficulties in establishing causality.
 - There is a need for experimental/quasi-experimental investigations.

Data

- Annual Body Mass Index (BMI) screening of public schoolchildren
 - Started in the 2003/2004 school year to monitor childhood obesity.
 - All schoolchildren were measured between 2003/2004 and 2006/2007 school years.
 - Only even graders up to tenth grade were measured after 2006/2007 school years.
 - BMI is measured using an age- and gender-specific z-score.
 - Data also include the gender, age, race and free/reduced price school lunch qualifications of students, and geo-referenced residential addresses.
- Food store location data from Dun and Bradstreet business lists
 - Year-specific archival data were obtained to identify supermarket openings and closings.
 - Store types were identified using standard industrial classification (SIC) codes.
 - Inspections company names/trade styles were implemented to minimize misclassifications.
 - We also used phone calls and/or Google street-images to verify store formats in questionable cases.
- The food store location data were matched to each student's residence by year.
 - Supermarket openings/closings around each residence were identified.

Natural Experiment Design

- Supermarket openings
 - Comparison of the BMI z-scores of children who observed new supermarket openings and those of children who never had access to supermarkets.
- Supermarket closings
 - Comparison of the BMI z-scores of children who observed supermarket closings (and therefore lost supermarket access) and those of children who always had access to supermarkets.
- Residences are defined as having access to supermarkets if the distance from residence to the nearest supermarket is less than one (five) mile(s) in an urban (rural) setting.
 - The binary supermarket access indicator equals one in this case; or zero otherwise.
 - 56.93% (46.80%) children had access to supermarkets under these best available midpoints.
- The sample was restricted to include children with four consecutive years of observations.
 - Kept observations between 2003/2004 and 2006/2007 school years (Table 1).
 - Considered supermarket openings/closings between 2004/2005 and 2005/2006.
 - There are two rounds of observations before treatment and another two rounds after treatment.
 - Supermarket openings were observed for 1,019 children.
 - Supermarket closings were observed for 1,210 children.

Table 1. Grade structure of restricted sample

Year	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007
Cohort 1	Pre-Kindergarten	Kindergarten	1	2
Cohort 2	Kindergarten	1	2	3
Cohort 3	1	2	3	4
Cohort 4	2	3	4	5
Cohort 5	3	4	5	6
Cohort 6	4	5	6	7
Cohort 7	5	6	7	8
Cohort 8	6	7	8	9
Cohort 9	7	8	9	10
Cohort 10	8	9	10	11
Cohort 11	9	10	11	12

Empirical Results

- Specification: difference-in-difference (DID) model (child i in community c in year t). $BMI_{ict} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (Treatment_i \times Post_t) + \beta_2 Treatment_i + \beta_3 Post_t + \mathbf{X}'_{it}\beta_4 + \mathbf{X}'_c\beta_5 + \epsilon_{ict}$
- Impact estimates (* significant at 5% level; ** significant at 1% level)

		Openings	Closings
Baseline DID regression		-0.038 (0.032)	0.001 (0.030)
Robustness: DID matching		-0.023 (0.027)	0.003 (0.034)
	Younger children (up to 120 months)	-0.076 (0.036) *	0.004 (0.034)
	Older children (over 120 months)	-0.023 (0.047)	0.049 (0.042)
	Rural children	-0.083 (0.046)	-0.065 (0.041)
Impact hotorogonaity	Urban children	-0.005 (0.045)	0.075 (0.045)
Impact heterogeneity	High vehicle ownership rate (above average)	-0.012 (0.046)	0.019 (0.048)
	Low vehicle ownership rate (below average)	-0.090 (0.045) *	0.001 (0.039)
	High income (above median)	-0.024 (0.056)	-0.003 (0.044)
	Low income (below median)	-0.096 (0.034) **	-0.021 (0.034)

Discussion

- There is little population-wide evidence that access to supermarket matters to the BMI of children.
- Supermarket openings reduce the BMI of younger children (<=120 months).
 - Younger children follow the development stage of adiposity rebound where increased BMI after early childhood is generally observed.
 - Supermarket access partially offsets the increasing trend.
- Supermarket openings reduce the BMI of children from low-income communities and communities with low vehicle ownership rates.
 - Access to healthy foods significantly matters for disadvantaged families.

References

- Biro, F. M. and Wien, M. (2010). Childhood obesity and adult morbidities. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 91(5): 1499S—1505S.
- Cummins, S. and Macintyre, S. (2006). Food environments and obesity – neighbourhood or nation? *International Journal* of *Epidemiology* 35: 100-104.
- Garner, D. M. and Wooley, S. C. (1991). Confronting the failure of behavioral and dietary treatments for obesity. *Clinical Psychology Review* 11: 729-780.
- Ogden, C., Carroll, M. D., Kit, B. K. and Flegal, K. M. (2014).
 Prevalence of Childhood and Adult Obesity, in the United States. *Journal of American Medical Association* 311(8): 806-814.
- Serdula, M. K., Ivery, D., Coates, R. J., Freedman, D. S., Williamson, D. F. and Byers, T. (1993). Do obese children become obese adults? A review of the literature. *Preventive Medicine* 22(2): 167-177.
- Trasande, L. and Chatterjee, S. (2009). The impact of obesity on health service utilization and costs in childhood. *Obesity* 17: 1749-1754.
- US Census Bureau. (2011). Annual Retail Trade Report. Washington, DC.

Acknowledgement

This project is supported by Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Competitive Grant no. 2011-68001-30014 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture under the program title "Childhood Obesity Prevention: Integrated Research, Education, and Extension to Prevent Childhood Obesity", program code A2101.