



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

Empirical evidence on the viability of positive information to augment environmental regulation

Yanbing Wang¹, Michael Delgado¹, Neha Khanna², Vicki Bogan³

¹ Purdue University, ² Binghamton University, ³ Cornell University

Contact information: wang1551@purdue.edu

***Selected Poster prepared for presentation at the
2015 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association and Western Agricultural Economics
Association Joint Annual Meeting, San Francisco, CA, July 26-28***

*Copyright 2015 by Yanbing Wang, Michael Delgado, Neha Khanna, Vicki Bogan. All rights reserved.
Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means,
provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.*



Empirical evidence on the viability of positive information to augment environmental regulation

Yanbing Wang¹, Michael Delgado¹, Neha Khanna², Vicki Bogan³
¹ Purdue University, ² Binghamton University, ³ Cornell University

Introduction

We investigate the impact of positive environment-related media releases on a firm's stock market value in order to understand the firm's motivation behind such announcements as well as the implications of such market interactions for real environmental improvements. We contend the mixed evidence of the financial impact of positive environmental news in past literature is due to lack of comprehensively discriminating between event types. We consider four types of events and analyze these events jointly and separately. We also investigate confounding events, and address the endogeneity in the announcement decision via a model of selection.

Objectives

- Stock market reaction to positive environmental announcements
 - Study announcements by type
 - Deal with selection bias
- Policy implications of firm-investor interactions
 - Assess the potential to influence environmental quality
 - Environmental performance vs. disclosure

Types of Environmental Announcements

- **Action** - a current or completed action that demonstrates environmental responsibility
- **Announcement** - a future activity that demonstrates environmental responsibility
- **Recognition** - environmental performance being recognized by a third party
- **Report** - release of an environmental report, or a corporate social responsibility report that highlights its environmental activities

Methodology

- Stock market reaction
 - Event study
 - Immediate impact of an event on firm value
- Determinants of investor reaction magnitude
 - Regressions
 - Conditional mean, conditional quantile, nonlinear least squares
 - Financial characteristics; environmental characteristics; industry effects; event type effects

Results

- Event study

Event Window	Type	Sample Size	CAR	θ	Z_G	T_{std-CS}
[-1, 2]	All (incl. 08)	345	0.37	2.30**	4.16***	2.19**
	All	326	0.40	2.58**	4.35***	2.29**
	Action	46	0.37	0.75	0.27	0.32
	Announcement	43	0.98	2.25**	1.93**	2.56**
	Recognition	116	0.39	1.52	3.51***	1.78*
	Report	121	0.23	0.95	2.40**	0.38
[-1, 3]	All (incl. 08)	345	0.47	2.61***	3.62***	2.37**
	All	326	0.51	2.89***	3.79***	2.55**
	Action	46	0.42	0.76	0.27	0.41
	Announcement	43	1.05	2.16**	1.31	2.27**
	Recognition	116	0.54	1.92*	3.51***	2.10**
	Report	121	0.31	1.13	1.85*	0.57

- Conditional mean regression

	[-1, 2]			[-1, 3]		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Size	0.008*** (0.003)	0.008*** (0.003)	0.008** (0.004)	0.011*** (0.003)	0.011*** (0.003)	0.011*** (0.004)
Profit	0.178 (0.110)	0.214* (0.111)	0.227** (0.113)	0.207* (0.117)	0.255** (0.118)	0.265** (0.120)
MB	0.0003 (0.001)	0.0002 (0.001)	0.0002 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Lev	-0.009 (0.016)	-0.010 (0.016)	-0.017 (0.018)	-0.017 (0.017)	-0.019 (0.017)	-0.031 (0.019)
ME	-0.009*** (0.003)	-0.009*** (0.003)	-0.010** (0.004)	-0.013*** (0.003)	-0.013*** (0.003)	-0.014*** (0.004)
eqPerf	0.0004* (0.0002)	0.0003* (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0004** (0.0002)	0.0004* (0.0002)	0.0004* (0.0002)
Discl	-0.0002 (0.0001)	-0.0001 (0.0001)	-0.0002 (0.0001)	-0.0002* (0.0001)	-0.0002* (0.0001)	-0.0003** (0.0001)
Perf	-0.0002 (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0002)
Announcement		0.011* (0.006)	0.013* (0.006)		0.011 (0.007)	0.013* (0.007)
Recognition		0.002 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)		0.007 (0.006)	0.008 (0.006)
Report		-0.002	-0.002		-0.002	-0.001

Conclusions

- Stock market reaction
 - Overall significantly positive stock market reaction to positive environmental news
 - Self-made announcements of future activities bear the largest increase in stock value
 - Environmental quality not warranted
- Confounding events
 - Reduce magnitude of market reaction
 - Cannot rule out strategic announcement
- Determinants of investor reaction magnitude
 - Firm size, profitability, and growth prospect affect investor reaction
 - Investors benchmark firm past environmental characteristics



References

- Capelle-Blancard, Gunther, and Marie-Aude Laguna. "How does the stock market respond to chemical disasters?" *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 59.2 (2010): 192-205.
- Fisher-Vanden, Karen, and Karin S. Thorburn. "Voluntary corporate environmental initiatives and shareholder wealth." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 62.3 (2011): 430-445.
- Hamilton, James T. "Pollution as news: media and stock market reactions to the toxics release inventory data." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 28.1 (1995): 98-113.

Acknowledgement

This project is partially funded by the Purdue University Agricultural Research Assistantship