

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Estimating Market Power of Agricultural and Food Industries: An Issue of Data Aggregation

Jungmin Lee and Chanjin Chung¹

Selected Poster prepared for presentation at the 2015 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association and Western Agricultural Economics Association Joint Annual Meeting, San Francisco, CA, July 26-28

Copyright 2015 by Jungmin Lee and Chanjin Chung. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

¹ Jungmin Lee is a graduate research associate, Chanjin Chung is a Professor & Charles Breedlove Professorship in Agribusiness, Department of Agricultural Economics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma.



Estimating Market Power of Agricultural and Food Industries: An Issue of Data Aggregation Bias Jungmin Lee¹ and Chanjin Chung²

¹ Ph.D. Student ² Professor & Charles Breedlove Professor in Agribusiness, Department of Agricultural Economics, Oklahoma State University

Introduction

As agricultural and food industries become increasingly integrated and concentrated, there have been numerous studies estimating market power of these industries. New empirical industrial organization (NEIO) models first derive conceptual models from profit-maximizing-theory of a single "representative" firm, and then market power parameters are estimated using market- or industrylevel data due to the lack of firm-level data. However, it is well known in empirical econometrics that when relations are derived from microeconomic theory, but are estimated by means of aggregated data, the aggregation can lead to biased parameter estimates.

Take the estimation of market power in the U.S. beef processing industry as an example. A conceptual model for this analysis may start from the theory of profit maximization and derive an individual processor's price equation with a market conduct parameter typically represented by a conjectural coefficient or elasticity of the processor. However, the empirical estimation of the model is usually based on aggregate time-series data at either market or industry level, which does not consider the heterogeneity of individual processor's firm behavior. The applied econometrics literature indicates that ignoring heterogeneity in estimates of individual firm behavior (represented by conjectural coefficient or elasticity) may result in biased estimation of overall market power of U.S. beef processors. Therefore, the issue of aggregation bias raises concerns regarding the validity of market power estimated from aggregate data and highlights the need for research designed to enhance our understanding of aggregation bias in estimating market power. A proper understanding of the aggregation problem and the particular method chosen for the resolution is of the crucial importance for estimating market power of agricultural and food industries.

Objectives

- This paper derives aggregation bias analytically from the previous NEIO approach. A statistical procedure is developed to quantify the degree of bias and conditions under which aggregation bias is likely to occur.
- New procedure is introduced to demonstrate how the aggregation bias can be reduced or eliminated by combining aggregated market-level data with published firm-level data.

Methodology

Traditional NEIO model

Let's suppose that cost function is a trans-log cost function form as

 $\log c = \beta_0 + \sum_{a=1}^{3} \beta_a \log w_a + \beta_y \log y + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{3} \sum_{b=1}^{3} \beta_{ab} \log w_a \log w_b + \sum_{a=1}^{3} \beta_{ya} \log y \log w_a + \beta_{yy} (\log y)^2 + v_i \quad (1)$ where $\beta_{nm} = \beta_{mn}^{a=1}$, w_n is input price, a, b = K, L, M, K is capital input, L is labor input, M is intermediate input and y is output.

The input demand function can be derived by Shephard's Lemma when cost is minimized under profit maximization problem.

$$s_a = \beta_a + \sum_{b=1}^{3} \beta_{ab} \log w_{ab} + \beta_{ya} \log y$$
 (2)

where $s_a = \frac{x_a W_a}{x_a W_a}$, a= K, L, M and s_a is the industrial cost-share equation.

The equation (5) can be generated from the first order condition of profit maximization equation.

 $p = \left(\frac{c}{c}\right) \left[\beta_{y} + 2\beta_{yy} \log y + \beta_{y_{K}} \log w_{K} + \beta_{y_{L}} \log w_{L}\right]$ where η is demand elasticity, c is total cost.

The equation (6) is output demand function and can be written as

$$\ln y = a + \eta \ln \left(p/S \right) + \rho \ln(q/S) \tag{4}$$

where η is demand elasticity, ρ is income elasticity, q is GNP, S is GNP deflator.

The equation (7) is total cost

$$c = w_K x_K + w_L x_L + w_M x_M \tag{5}$$

2. Aggregation Bias

 Aggregation Bias from Cost Share Equation Let's assume that n firms exist, then sum each firm's cost share equation and divide by n, then the

equation is expressed as

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}s_{ai} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{ai} + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{b=1}^{3}\beta_{abi}\log w_{abi} + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{yai}\log y_{i} \qquad (6)$$
Adding and subtracting $\sum_{b=1}^{3}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{abi}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log w_{abi}$ and $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{yai}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log y_{i}$, the equation (6) is
 $\overline{s}_{a} = \overline{\beta}_{a} + \sum_{a}^{3}\overline{\beta}_{ab}\log \overline{w}_{ab} + \overline{\beta}_{ya}\log \overline{y} + \sum_{a}^{3}\operatorname{cov}_{i}(\beta_{abi}, w_{abi}) + \operatorname{cov}(\beta_{yai}, \log y_{i}) \qquad (7)$

Adding and subtracting
$$\sum_{b=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{abi} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log w_{abi} = 1$$
$$\overline{s}_{a} = \overline{\beta}_{a} + \sum_{b=1}^{3} \overline{\beta}_{ab} \log \overline{w}_{ab} + \overline{\beta}_{ya} \log \overline{y} + \sum_{b=1}^{3} \operatorname{cov}_{i} (1 + 1) \sum_{b=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{b=1}^{3} \overline{\beta}_{ab} \sum_{b=1}^{n} (1 + 1) \sum_{b=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{b=1}^{n} \frac{1}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{b=1}^{n}\overline{\beta}_{ab}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\log w_{abi} - \log \overline{w}_{ab}^{g})^{2} - \frac{1}{2n}\overline{\beta}_{ya}\sum(\log y_{i} - \log \overline{y}_{ab}^{g})^{2}$$

Aggregation Bias from Price Equation

The equation (3) can be showed as equation (8)

$$p = \left(\frac{c}{y}\right) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{4} \beta_{j} \log \bar{x}_{j} \right\} / \left\{ 1 - \frac{\theta}{\eta} \right\}$$
(8)

where i=1,2, ...,n and $(\beta_{0i},\beta_{1i},\beta_{2i}\beta_{3i},\beta_{4i}) = (\beta_{yi},2\beta_{yyi},\beta_{y_{Ki}},\beta_{y_{Li}},\beta_{y_{Mi}}), (x_{0i},x_{1i},x_{2i},x_{3i},x_{4i}) = (1, y_i, w_{Ki}, w_{Li}, w_{Mi})$ Let's add and subtract $\sum_{i=0}^{4} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{ji} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_{ji}$ to right sight and also $\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i}$ to left side of equation (8),

$$p = \left(\frac{c}{y}\right)^{h} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{4} \overline{\beta}_{j} \log \overline{x}_{j} + \sum_{j=0}^{4} \operatorname{cov}_{i}(\beta_{ji}, \log x_{ji}) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=0}^{4} \overline{\beta}_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\log x_{ji} - \log \overline{x}_{j}^{g}\right)^{2} \right\} / \left\{ 1 - \frac{\theta}{\eta} - \frac{1}{\eta} \operatorname{cov}\left(\theta_{i}, \frac{y_{i}}{c_{i}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{c}{y}\right)^{h} \right\}$$
(9)

where $\left\lfloor \frac{c}{v} \right\rfloor$ is the harmonic mean of $\frac{i}{y_i}$

$$+ \beta_{yM} \log w_M \Big] / \Big(1 - \frac{\theta}{\eta} \Big)$$
 (3)

• Theil(1971) derived an matrix equation that delineated aggregation bias form the linear model based on theoretical finding. We extend Theil's foundation to equation (9) and derive different kinds of aggregation bias. $Y = \overline{X}\overline{\beta} + \xi$ (10)

where $(x_{0i}, x_{1i}, x_{2i}, x_{3i}, x_{4i}, x_{5i}) = (1, y_i, w_{Ki}, w_{Li}, w_{Mi})$ $\left(\beta_{0i},\beta_{1i},\beta_{2i}\beta_{3i},\beta_{4i},\beta_{5i}\right) = \left(\beta_{yi},2\beta_{yyi},\beta_{y_{Ki}},\beta_{y_{Li}},\beta_{y_{Mi}}\right) \quad \xi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\beta_{i}-\overline{\beta}\right) \left(X_{i}-\overline{X}\right) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{x,ig}^{2}\overline{\beta} + \varepsilon$ Y and ε are column vector with T-elements of

$$p_{t} / \left(\frac{c}{y}\right)_{t}^{h} \text{and}$$
$$\overline{\beta}' = \left[\overline{\beta}_{0}\right]$$
$$\beta'_{i} = \left[\beta_{0i}\right]$$

 $+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ W_{i} - \frac{1}{n} I \right\} \beta_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i} + \left(\overline{X}' \overline{X} \right)^{-1} \overline{X}' \varepsilon \qquad (11)$

$$\overline{b} = \overline{\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{$$

From the equation (10), where $W_i = (\overline{X}'\overline{X})^{-1}\overline{X}'\frac{1}{n}X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n W_i = I, R_i = (\overline{X}'\overline{X})^{-1}\overline{X}'\frac{1}{2n}S_{x,ig}^2\overline{\beta}$

Appelbaum, E. Power. Jo Chung, C., and Sectiona Econon Stoker, T. M. 19 Aggrega Literatu Theil, T. Princip



d $\varepsilon_{\rm f}$, $\beta_{\rm i}$ and vector can be expressed as

 $\overline{\beta}_{1} \quad \overline{\beta}_{2} \quad \overline{\beta}_{3} \quad \overline{\beta}_{4} \quad \overline{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\beta}_{y} & 2\overline{\beta}_{yy} & \overline{\beta}_{yK} & \overline{\beta}_{yL} & \overline{\beta}_{yM} & \overline{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$ $\beta_{1i} \quad \beta_{2i} \quad \beta_{3i} \quad \beta_{4i} \quad \theta_i = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{yi} & 2\beta_{yyi} & \beta_{yKi} & \beta_{yLi} & \beta_{yMi} & \theta_i \end{bmatrix}$

• The second term of right side of equation (11) is aggregation bias from ignoring the parameter heterogeneity and third term is the bias caused by using linearly aggregated data for nonlinear macro model.

Further Studies

 A new procedure that combines aggregate data and publically available firm-level data will be developed to reduce aggregation bias.

• Empirical analysis will be conducted to demonstrate the aggregation bias and how the aggregation bias can be reduced by combining aggregate data and publically available firm-level data in the newly developed procedure.

References

1982. The Estimation of the Degree of Oligopoly	
ournal of Econometrics 19(2), 287-299.	
I Harry M. K.2002 "Advertising Evaluation and Cross-	
al Data Aggregation." American Journal of Agricultural	
nics 800-806.	
993. "Empirical Approaches to the Problem of	
ation over Individuals." Journal of Economic	
ure 1827-1874.	
oles of Econometrics. New York: Wiley, 1971	