

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C. Jura Liaukonyte* (Jurate@cornell.edu), Nadia Streletskaya* (nas245@cornell.edu) and Harry M. Kaiser* (hmk2@cornell.edu) *Dyson School of Applied Economics and Management, Cornell University;

Selected Poster prepared for presentation at the 2015 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association and Western Agricultural Economics Association Joint Annual Meeting, San Francisco, CA, July 26-28

Copyright 2015 by Jura Liaukonyte, Nadia Streletskaya and Harry M. Kaiser. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Noisy Information Signals and Endogenous Preferences for Labeled Attributes



Noisy Information Signals and Endogenous Preferences for Labeled Attributes

Background & Motivation

Consumers are largely uninformed about production methods:

- Only 30% of Americans know that foods produced through biotechnology are available in supermarkets
- Over 80% support mandatory labels on foods containing DNA (about the same % support labeling GMOs). [All food contains DNA.]

In most economic models:

- Preferences for labeled vs. non-labeled foods are exogenous
- A label serves as an identifier,
- If consumers perceive the label itself (and not the information in the label) as a warning signal, then the assumption that consumer preferences are exogenous to labeling policy no longer holds.

"... GM labels may well mislead and alarm consumers, especially (though not only) if the government requires them. Any such requirement would inevitably lead many consumers to suspect that public officials, including scientists, believe that something is wrong with GM foods — and perhaps that they pose a health risk."

> Cass Sunstein (former Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs in Obama's administration)

Objectives

- Is there evidence that there is a potential **signaling effect** of labels that is separate from the preferences for the labeled credence attribute?
- Does widely documented lower WTP for products with labeled credence attributes reflect a priori consumer preferences, OR
- Whether it is the result of a self-fulfilling prophecy, where labeling induces concern about the attributes.

Jura Liaukonyte*, Nadia Streletskaya* and Harry M. Kaiser* *Dyson School of Applied Economics and Management, Cornell University;

Experimental Design



$$\left(\frac{\rho^4}{\xi^2}, \frac{\rho^4}{\xi^2}, \frac{\rho^4}{1+\rho^2/\xi^2}\right)$$

- parameters:

	Action	Outcome
Control	• Do not mention existence of credence attribute	Baseline product idiosyncrasyBaseline information noise
	ADD MORE INFO	
Label + No information	 Reveal existence of credence attribute X via "Contains X" label 	Idiosyncrasy changesInformation noise changes
	ADD MORE INFO	
Label + Information	 Reveal existence of credence attribute X via "Contains X" label Provide additional information 	 Idiosyncrasy is the same as in "Label+Information" Information noise changes further
Findings		
 Strong evidence for labels-as-signals effect Evidence that organic-shoppers, overestimate the riskiness of consuming the labeled product. additional information reduces the noise in the signal, partially mitigating the negative signaling effect of the label. Conventional shoppers do not have strong priors about the possible implications of the labeled ingredients or production processes. Additional information raises uncertainty and further reduces WTP compared to the label by itself 		

Identifying Signaling Effect

• Estimate shifts and rotation effects of the demand curves as a function of different levels of information

• Show that these effects are a function of two key

• ρ^2 (idiosuncracy)

• ξ^2 (noise in the information signal).