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## Background

Since 1997:

- fees for veterinary services doubled (vet price index rose to 216) - dog owners who did not visit a veterinarian in prior year rose to $19 \%$ number of visits/year by dog owners who did visit declined by $18 \%$ alternative sellers of pet health services or products proliferated and
veterinarians' incomes have stagnated
compared to similarly educated professionals'


Sources: KMPG (1999); BLS (various years)
Question
Is an aggressive pricing strategy rational, or is the demand for veterinary services more price elastic than veterinarians implicitly believe?

## Data

```
The 2012 Pet Demographic Survey (AVMA, 2012)
Nationwide scope; every five years (2002, 2007, 2012,..)
50,000 respondent households
    age, family size, education, employment status, race, ethnicity, income
    housing type, location
Pets and pet characteristics:
    numbers of dogs, cats, birds,
    each pet's age, sex, weight, breed, source,
Attitudes about pets and about veterinarian
pet category (Dogs, cats, birds, horses, ...)
        Expenditure on the las/most recent visit to a veterinarian with dog(s)
        Total expenditure on veterinary care for the previous year on dog(s)
        veterinary procedures during the previous year (0/1)
        Total number of visits in previous year to the veterinarian with dog(s)
Pet health insurance (0/1)
```


## Nationwide scope; annual

$\sim 700$ responding veterinary practices or hospitals
by location, size and type of practice,
for each type of pet (dog, cat, horse
for each age, sex, weight class (as appropriate): fee charged for each veterinary procedure or service, and percent change in fee charged since prior year

## Data Issues

Expenditure data on visit bundles confounds prices paid, quantities, and procedures purchased.
PDS "amount spent" responses displayed far more variation than AAHA "fee charged" data


How to measure the latent price faced by pet owners who did not visit a veterinarian in the year?

## Solutions

Focus on observations reporting "wellness visits" Focus on observations reporting "weliness vis excluding outliers ( $\$ 30 \leq$ spent $\leq \$ 250$ ).
Measure $Q$ by the answer "times visited." -Measure P by "spent"/ "times visited."
-Measure latent $P$ using hedonic regression.


## Demand Function Estimation



The binary choice to make a wellness visit to a veterinarian appears* to be price elastic. And the demand for canine wellness visits may* be:

1) price inelastic among current consumers
2) more price elastic among non-consumers who are nonetheless "in the market"
3) price elastic among poorer households
4) income inelastic among all potential consumers
5) ~unitary income elastic among those who do not think routine checkups are important.


| Summary: Nine Models of Demand for Canine Wellness Visits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# |  |  |  |  |
| Model Specification |  |  |  |  |  |

* All findings are tentative and preliminary given the ambiguity in PDS
questions/responses, recall error, and other issues with the existing data

