



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

378.77427

D34

S73

95-42

Staff Paper

**Share Milkers: New Zealand Rules
Michigan Economics
by**

**Sherrill B. Nott, Dept. Of Agr. Economics
George W. Atkeson, Extension Dairy Agent**

Staff Paper No. 95-42

**Waite Library
Applied Economics - U of M
1994 Buford Ave - 232 ClaOff
St Paul MN 55108-6040 USA**



**Department of Agricultural Economics
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
East Lansing, Michigan**

MSU is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Institution

378.77427
D34
573
95-42

Share Milkers: New Zealand Rules, Michigan Economics

by

Sherrill B. Nott, Dept. of Agr. Economics

George W. Atkeson, Extension Dairy Agent

Executive Summary

New Zealand dairy farmers have a system of working young managers into their industry called sharemilking. Young people start with no capital as hired help, and progress through tenant situations where they receive 29%, then 39%, then 50% of milk sales. By middle age they may accumulate enough resources to become owner operators.

This paper examines how New Zealand sharemilking rules would work given Michigan dairy farm economics. The average income statement of 143 Telfarmers for 1994 was allocated between owner and tenant. The profit measure is called net income to equity. All owner and family labor is treated as an expense. Cash interest and depreciation are expenses; inventory changes of livestock and crop inventories are included. The net income to equity averaged \$19,269 for the 143 farms.

The various tenant percentages would split the profit as follows:

	Owner	Tenant
29% Sharemilker	\$-20,452	\$39,721
39% Sharemilker	-25,199	44,468
50% Sharemilker	136,039	-116,770

Given the different organizational structure of Michigan's dairy farms, neither landlord nor tenant would likely find New Zealand's percentage allocations acceptable.

Information Sources

The New Zealand splits between landlords and tenants were taken from Management and Financial Characteristics of New Zealand Dairy Farms by Warren J. Parker, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand, August, 1993, 36 pages. It was given at a Workshop on "Parallels in Dairy Grazing in New Zealand and the Midwest" at Arlington Research Station, Wisconsin, August 25-28, 1993. Added details were taken from unpublished correspondence course materials from New Zealand.

Telfarm is a mail in accounting system farmers voluntarily subscribe to and pay for sponsored by Michigan State University Extension and the Department of Agricultural Economics.

Specialized dairy farmers who have completed the fiscal year including reporting inventory changes and cropping results have their management analyses pooled and averaged. In 1994, there were 143 such farms.

Telfarm software automatically splits the results into livestock and crop divisions. This break out of expense data made it possible to closely duplicate the New Zealand rules. For example, in the 29% share milker rules, the tenant provides all the livestock labor, and the owner does the crops labor. Telfarm allocates labor between crops and livestock, allowing this budgeting analysis to closely simulate the rules. However, a few assumptions were needed. These are described in a later section.

Results

The next 3 tables show the budgeted results for 29%, 39% and 50% share, respectively. Each Table has the same 1994 Telfarm base situation on the left. The real estate owner, or landlord, portion is in the center and the tenant, or share milker, portion is on the right of each table. The line number column on the left is merely for referencing in the below discussion.

Table 1. Comparing NZ Sharemilker Strategies 71% - 29%
Average returns for 143 Michigan Telfarmers

Line Number	Base Situation, 1994	Farm Owner	29% Share Milker
1	**INCOME**		
2	Milk income:		
3	Cows 128		
4	Lbs. sold 19,908		
5	Price \$13.48		
6	-----		
7	Milk income: \$344,386	\$244,514	\$99,872
8	Bob calves:		
9	60 hd \$120 7,200	5,112	2,088
10	Cull Cows:		
11	36 hd 519 18,590	18,590	
12	Bred heifers:		
13	10 hd 1,100 11,000	11,000	
14	Replacement heifer transfer:		
15	Value at weaning	30 hd \$175 (5,250)	5,250
16	Cash crops 27,638	27,638	
17	Government payments 7,025	7,025	
18	-----	-----	-----
19	Total cash income \$415,839	\$308,629	\$107,210
20	=====	=====	=====

			<u>Base</u>		<u>Owner</u>	<u>29 % Share</u>
21	**EXPENSES**					
22	Labor Costs:					
23	Operator (noncash)					
24	Crops					
25	1,222 hr	\$6.50	7,943	1,222 hr	\$6.50	\$7,943
26	Dairy cattle					
27	1,560 hr	6.50	10,140		1,560 hr	\$6.50 \$10,140
28	Family (noncash)					
29	Crops					
30	989 hr	6.50	6,429	989 hr	6.50	6,429
31	Dairy cattle					
32	1,263 hr	6.50	8,210		1,263 hr	6.50 8,210
33	Hired (cash)					
34	Crops					
35	2,677 hr	8.64	23,129	2,677 hr	8.64	23,129
36	Dairy cattle					
37	3,418 hr	8.64	29,532		3,418 hr	8.64 29,532
38	Machinery repairs:					
39	Crops		16,652		16,652	
40	Dairy cattle		9,561		9,361	200
41	Gas, oil, diesel:					
42	Crops		7,488		7,488	
43	Dairy cattle		952			952
44	Custom hire, crops		7,437		7,437	
45	Interest paid, machinery:					
46	Crops		1,761		1,761	
47	Dairy cattle		598		598	
48	Conservation, crops		185		185	
49	Buildings, shed repairs:					
50	Crops		2,530		2,530	
51	Dairy cattle		1,453		1,253	200
52	Insurance:					
53	Crops		1,890		1,890	
54	Dairy cattle		2,830		2,830	
55	Lease on buildings, crops		2,687		2,687	
56	Interest paid, buildings:					
57	Crops		655		655	
58	Dairy cattle		1,306		1,306	
59	Crop items:					
60	Fertilizer and lime		17,111		17,111	
61	Supplies and packaging		292		292	
62	Seeds and plants		8,171		8,171	
63	Chemicals, weed sprays		8,977		8,977	
64	Marketing		226		226	
65	Other items and irrigation		826		826	
66	Interest paid for inventory		2,660		2,660	
67	Dairy cattle items:					
68	Semen, breeding supplies		3,671		3,671	
69	Veterinary, drugs		11,067		11,067	

		<u>Base</u>	<u>Owner</u>	<u>29 % Share</u>
70	Marketing, milk hauling	21,963	15,594	6,369
71	Livestock supplies	9,096	6,458	2,638
72	Bedding, registrations, DHI	7,524	7,524	
73	Interest paid on cattle	5,696	5,696	
74	Land taxes:			
75	Crops	5,687	5,687	
76	Dairy cattle	1,464	1,464	
77	Interest paid, land:			
78	Crops	4,591	4,591	
79	Dairy cattle	44	44	
80	Cash rent, crop land	13,429	13,429	
81	Electricity, phone, utilities:			
82	Crops	1,112	1,112	
83	Dairy cattle	8,149	100	8,049
84	Miscellaneous items:			
85	Crops	1,642	1,642	
86	Dairy cattle	2,097	2,097	
87	Purchased dairy feeds	87,373	86,173	60 hd 20 1,200
88		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
89	Total Above Expenses	\$366,235	\$298,746	\$67,489
90				
91	Initial Income to Equity:	49,605	9,884	39,721
92		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
93	Plus Inventory changes:			
94	Feeds and crops	\$4,871	\$4,871	0
95	Dairy cattle	10,030	10,030	0
96	Minus depreciation, capital adjustments:			
97	Machinery:			
98	Crops	19,859	19,859	0
99	Dairy cattle	7,297	7,297	0
100	Buildings:			
101	Crops	3,796	3,796	0
102	Dairy cattle	6,376	6,376	0
103	Purchased dairy livestock	10,925	10,925	0
104	Plus gain on sale of machinery	3,016	3,016	0
105		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
106	**NET INCOME TO EQUITY**	<u>\$19,269</u>	<u>(\$20,452)</u>	<u>\$39,721</u>

Table 2.

Comparing NZ Sharemilker Strategies 61% - 39%
Average returns for 143 Michigan Telfarmers

Line Number	Base Situation, 1994	Farm Owner	39% Share Milker
1	**INCOME**		
2	Milk income:		
3	Cows 128		
4	Lbs. sold 19,908		
5	Price \$13.48		
6	-----		
7	Milk income: \$344,386	\$210,076	\$134,311
8	Bob calves:		
9	60 hd \$120 7,200	4,392	2,808
10	Cull Cows:		
11	36 hd 519 18,590	18,590	
12	Bred heifers:		
13	10 hd 1,100 11,000	11,000	
14	Replacement heifer transfer:		
15	Value at weaning 30 hd \$175 (5,250)		5,250
16	Cash crops 27,638	27,638	
17	Government payments 7,025	7,025	
18	-----	-----	-----
19	Total cash income \$415,839	\$273,471	\$142,369
20	=====	=====	=====
21	**EXPENSES**		
22	Labor Costs:		
23	Operator (noncash)		
24	Crops		
25	1,222 hr \$6.50 7,943 611 hr \$6.50 \$3,972 611 hr \$6.50 \$3,972		
26	Dairy cattle		
27	1,560 hr 6.50 10,140 1,560 hr \$6.50 10,140		
28	Family (noncash)		
29	Crops		
30	989 hr 6.50 6,429 495 hr 6.50 3,214 495 hr 6.50 3,214		
31	Dairy cattle		
32	1,263 hr 6.50 8,210 1,263 hr 6.50 8,210		
33	Hired (cash)		
34	Crops		
35	2,677 hr 8.64 23,129 1,339 hr 8.64 11,565 1,339 hr 8.64 11,565		
36	Dairy cattle		
37	3,418 hr 8.64 29,532 3,418 hr 8.64 29,532		
38	Machinery repairs:		
39	Crops 16,652	16,652	
40	Dairy cattle 9,561	9,361	200
41	Gas, oil, diesel:		
42	Crops 7,488	7,488	
43	Dairy cattle 952		952
44	Custom hire, crops 7,437	7,437	
45	Interest paid, machinery:		
46	Crops 1,761	1,761	

	<u>Base</u>	<u>Owner</u>	<u>39 % Share</u>
47 Dairy cattle	598	598	
48 Conservation, crops	185	185	
49 Buildings, shed repairs:			
50 Crops	2,530	2,530	
51 Dairy cattle	1,453	1,253	200
52 Insurance:			
53 Crops	1,890	1,890	
54 Dairy cattle	2,830	2,830	
55 Lease on buildings, crops	2,687	2,687	
56 Interest paid, buildings:			
57 Crops	655	655	
58 Dairy cattle	1,306	1,306	
59 Crop items:			
60 Fertilizer and lime	17,111	8,556	8,556
61 Supplies and packaging	292	292	
62 Seeds and plants	8,171	8,171	
63 Chemicals, weed sprays	8,977	8,977	
64 Marketing	226	226	
65 Other items and irrigation	826	826	
66 Interest paid for inventory	2,660	2,660	
67 Dairy cattle items:			
68 Semen, breeding supplies	3,671	3,671	
69 Veterinary, drugs	11,067	11,067	
70 Marketing, milk hauling	21,963	13,397	8,566
71 Livestock supplies	9,096	5,549	3,547
72 Bedding, registrations, DHI	7,524	7,524	
73 Interest paid on cattle	5,696	5,696	
74 Land taxes:			
75 Crops	5,687	5,687	
76 Dairy cattle	1,464	1,464	
77 Interest paid, land:			
78 Crops	4,591	4,591	
79 Dairy cattle	44	44	
80 Cash rent, crop land	13,429	13,429	
81 Electricity, phone, utilities:			
82 Crops	1,112	1,112	
83 Dairy cattle	8,149	100	8,049
84 Miscellaneous items:			
85 Crops	1,642	1,642	
86 Dairy cattle	2,097	2,097	
87 Purchased dairy feeds	87,373	86,173	60 hd 20 1,200
88			
89 Total Above Expenses	\$366,235	\$268,334	\$97,901
90			
91 Initial Income to Equity:	49,605	5,137	44,468
92			
93 Plus Inventory changes:			
94 Feeds and crops	\$4,871	\$4,871	0
95 Dairy cattle	10,030	10,030	0

	Base	Owner	39 % Share
96	Minus depreciation, capital adjustments:		
97	Machinery:		
98	Crops 19,859	19,859	0
99	Dairy cattle 7,297	7,297	0
100	Buildings:		
101	Crops 3,796	3,796	0
102	Dairy cattle 6,376	6,376	0
103	Purchased dairy livestock 10,925	10,925	0
104	Plus gain on sale of machinery 3,016	3,016	0
105			
106	**NET INCOME TO EQUITY** \$19,269	(\$25,199)	\$44,468

Table 3. Comparing NZ Sharemilker Strategies 50% - 50%
Average returns for 143 Michigan Telfarmers

Line Number	Base Situation, 1994	Farm Owner	50% Share Milker
1	**INCOME**		
2	Milk income:		
3	Cows 128		
4	Lbs. sold 19,908		
5	Price \$13.48		
6			
7	Milk income: \$344,386	\$172,193	\$172,193
8	Bob calves:		
9	60 hd \$120 7,200	3,600	3,600
10	Cull Cows:		
11	36 hd 519 18,590		18,590
12	Bred heifers:		
13	10 hd 1,100 11,000		11,000
14	Replacement heifer transfer:		
15	Value at weaning		
16	Cash crops 27,638	27,638	
17	Government payments 7,025	7,025	
18			
19	Total cash income \$415,839	\$210,456	\$205,383
20			
21	**EXPENSES**		
22	Labor Costs:		
23	Operator (noncash)		
24	Crops		
25	1,222 hr \$6.50 7,943	\$0 1,222 hr \$6.50	\$7,943
26	Dairy cattle		
27	1,560 hr 6.50 10,140	1,560 hr 6.50	10,140
28	Family (noncash)		
29	Crops		
30	989 hr 6.50 6,429	989 hr 6.50	6,429

			<u>Base</u>	<u>Owner</u>	<u>50 % Share</u>
31	Dairy cattle				
32	1,263 hr	6.50	8,210	1,263 hr 6.50	8,210
33	Hired (cash)				
34	Crops				
35	2,677 hr	8.64	23,129	2,677 hr 8.64	23,129
36	Dairy cattle				
37	3,418 hr	8.64	29,532	3,418 hr 8.64	29,532
38	Machinery repairs:				
39	Crops		16,652		16,652
40	Dairy cattle		9,561		9,561
41	Gas, oil, diesel:				
42	Crops		7,488		7,488
43	Dairy cattle		952		952
44	Custom hire, crops		7,437		7,437
45	Interest paid, machinery:				
46	Crops		1,761		1,761
47	Dairy cattle		598		598
48	Conservation, crops		185		185
49	Buildings, shed repairs:				
50	Crops		2,530	2,530	
51	Dairy cattle		1,453	1,453	
52	Insurance:				
53	Crops		1,890		1,890
54	Dairy cattle		2,830		2,830
55	Lease on buildings, crops		2,687	2,687	
56	Interest paid, buildings:				
57	Crops		655	655	
58	Dairy cattle		1,306	1,306	
59	Crop items:				
60	Fertilizer and lime		17,111	17,111	
61	Supplies and packaging		292		292
62	Seeds and plants		8,171	4,086	4,086
63	Chemicals, weed sprays		8,977	8,977	
64	Marketing		226	226	
65	Other items and irrigation		826		826
66	Interest paid for inventory		2,660		2,660
67	Dairy cattle items:				
68	Semen, breeding supplies		3,671		3,671
69	Veterinary, drugs		11,067		11,067
70	Marketing, milk hauling		21,963		21,963
71	Livestock supplies		9,096		9,096
72	Bedding, registrations, DHI		7,524		7,524
73	Interest paid on cattle		5,696		5,696
74	Land taxes:				
75	Crops		5,687	5,687	
76	Dairy cattle		1,464	1,464	
77	Interest paid, land:				
78	Crops		4,591	4,591	
79	Dairy cattle		44	44	

	<u>Base</u>	<u>Owner</u>	<u>50 % Share</u>
80 Cash rent, crop land	13,429	13,429	
81 Electricity, phone, utilities:			
82 Crops	1,112		1,112
83 Dairy cattle	8,149		8,149
84 Miscellaneous items:			
85 Crops	1,642		1,642
86 Dairy cattle	2,097		2,097
87 Purchased dairy feeds	87,373		87,373
88	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
89 Total Above Expenses	\$366,235	\$64,246	\$301,989
90			
91 Initial Income to Equity:	49,605	146,211	(96,606)
92	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
93 Plus Inventory changes:			
94 Feeds and crops	\$4,871		4,871
95 Dairy cattle	10,030		10,030
96 Minus depreciation, capital adjustments:			
97 Machinery:			
98 Crops	19,859		19,859
99 Dairy cattle	7,297		7,297
100 Buildings:			
101 Crops	3,796	3,796	0
102 Dairy cattle	6,376	6,376	0
103 Purchased dairy livestock	10,925		10,925
104 Plus gain on sale of machinery	3,016		3,016
105	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
106 **NET INCOME TO EQUITY**	\$19,269	\$136,039	(\$116,770)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

Discussion and Assumptions

The Michigan average of the 143 farms had 128 cows, and about \$900,000 of assets, of which 25% were borrowed. There were 358 tillable acres owned and 214 rented.

The 29% tenant supplies all the labor for the livestock chores and gets 29% of both milk sales and bob calf sales. The owner provides all the feed including doing all the labor for harvesting and storing crops. Table 1, line 15, shows one of the rules; the tenant gets paid half the value at weaning for any replacement calves kept for the herd. We assumed 60 calves would be kept, worth \$175 at weaning, with half being 30 head. This rule also applies to the 39% tenant.

Michigan dairy farms typically have a cash crop enterprise; excess corn, winter wheat, and soybeans are examples. The existence of crops also drive most of the government program

payments. On lines 16 and 17 we left the full income with the owner. In Table 3, the 50% tenant does all the labor on the farm, including the crop harvesting, and owns all the field machinery. The cash crop enterprise in Table 3 is subsidized by the tenant. In New Zealand, cash crops on dairy farms are not a factor, and considerably fewer farm grown crops would be raised for storage and feeding.

The labor differences are seen in lines 22 through 37. The Telfarm accounting system, for analysis purposes, charged all operator and family unpaid labor at \$6.50 per hour. The farm reports the hours in each category. Hired labor averaged \$8.64 per hour in 1994. This includes administration costs, fringe benefits and payroll taxes. In simulating the New Zealand allocations, we assumed the tenant would supply operator and unpaid hours comparable to the owner operator. The opportunity cost of family labor was ignored. Labor substitution was also ignored; in reality some of the unused operator labor could have replaced part of the more expensive hired labor.

The New Zealand tenant apparently has to supply the milking machine inflations and maintain them. We assumed in line 40 this was \$200.

The New Zealand tenant supplies power less an electricity charge for pumping water. Apparently this is pumping water to the cows on pasture. This resulted in the line 43 and line 83 allocations.

Considering lines 69, 70 and 71, the 29% tenant provides that percentage of livestock supplies and all the cleaning compounds used. The owner provides all the veterinary and drugs, but the tenant does pay for bloat guard. We doubt any bloat guard was in line 69, so none was allocated to the tenant. It was not clear from the materials we had whether the tenant paid a share of the milk hauling on line 70. We assumed they do.

New Zealand custom is for the tenant to provide half the cost of calf grain up to weaning age. We assumed in Michigan the cost of grain through weaning was \$40. per calf, with half being \$20 for the 60 calves. See line 87.

Looking at line 106, the average of this sample of 143 Michigan dairy farms earned \$19,269 return to equity. Recall that family and operator unpaid labor was charged as an expense. Table 1 shows that under New Zealand rules, the 29% share milker would have made \$39,721 return to equity. In addition, the tenant would have had \$10,140 from line 27 plus \$8,210 from line 32. The owner would have had a loss of -\$20,452.

The responsibility of the 39% share milker is similar to the 29% tenant. The 39% tenant does provide half the cost of added labor for crop production and part of the fertilizer costs. These are reflected in Table 2.

The budget for the 39% tenant shows on line 106 that the owner would lose -\$25,199 while the share milker would gain \$44,468 return to equity.

As shown in Table 3., the 50-50 share arrangement is different from the other two. The 50% tenant owns all the cows and field crop equipment, plus does all the work. The owner has the real estate, including barns and milking center. We assumed the landlord would keep all the cash crop income and government payments. The landlord would pay all the fertilizer purchased and half the seed.

Line 106 shows the 50% share milker under Michigan conditions would lose -\$116,770 while the landlord would make \$136,039 return on equity.

Implications

The New Zealand share milker system has a long history. It was designed to ease young people into dairy farming over a several year period. The young could start with no capital. They could learn the work, earn, save and advance at a pace related to their abilities. Farmers at retirement age would have a system allowing them to ease out of the business as they desired.

Many in Michigan's dairy industry are concerned at the high cost faced by a young person wishing to get into dairy farming. One hears of northern dairy farms with willing sellers but no buyers.

Michigan dairy farmers need a systematic way to bring young people into the industry. They applaud the New Zealand goals. The New Zealand share milker percentages and rules, as assumed in this paper, would likely need revision to be accepted by Michigan citizens.