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# A PERSPECTIVE ON FOOD EXPENDITURES AND THE MARKETING SPREADS between the farm values and retail food prices 

John N. Ferris<br>Department of Agricultural Economics Michigan State University

Both farm and food prices are the focus of public attention from time to time-when farm prices are perceived to be "too low" and when food prices are perceived to be "too high." The first situation seems to be perennial, the second only infrequently. In fact, only in the 1970's has there been, in recent history, widespread reaction by consumers to sharply rising food prices. But should this situation again develop, consumers would be quick to react as evident from the concerns raised by the 1988 drought.

Factors which affect farm prices are numerous and complex. But given the level of farm prices, the procedures for determining food prices are less of a challenge. This is because of the structure of food marketing and processing. While competitive, this sector is in a position to pass along higher raw material costs. While farm prices are highly volatile, the marketing spread between the farm and retail level is much more stable and primarily a function of the costs of providing marketing services. Even so, the marketing sector is so important in the food price picture that careful examination of this component is essential.

## Description of Agriculture/Food

While international trade is becoming of increased importance in the U.S. food industry, this sector is still primarily domestic. Exports of agricultural products in recent years have represented about one-fifth and imports about one-eighth of gross farm income.


The source and composition of the U.S. food supply is shown in Table 1. In total, American consumers spent about half a trillion dollars on food and alcoholic beverages in 1986 and 1987. Of this total, nearly three-fourths was on food originating from U.S. farms. Around one-seventh was from imported food and seafood and a one-seventh from alcoholic beverages.

Of the food originating on U.S. farms, nearly 40 percent of expenditures were away from home in recent years. Of the food consumed at home, farmers received about 30 percent of the retail value. Of the food consumed away from home, the farmers' share has been about 16 percent. For food both consumed at home and away from home, the farmers' share has been about one-fourth. Considering that farmers spend about twothirds of their gross farm income on cash expenses, the value added at the farm as a factor in total expenditures on food is about one dollar out of twelve. While farmers play a key role in the domestic food supply, their value added amounts to only about 8-9 percent of the retail value of domestically produced farm food. If you add the value of imported food, seafood and alcoholic beverages, the contribution of the American farmer to the U.S. food supply amounts to only about 6 percent.

For food consumed at home, the largest expenditure for marketing is processing, calculated at over $\$ 70$ billion in recent years (Table 1). This represents about 45 percent of the total marketing bill. Second in importance is retailing at a third of the marketing bill.

For food consumed away from home, the food service sector is predominant, as would be expected. Of the total marketing bill for food consumed away from home, food service has represented 70 percent of the total for domestically produced farm food.

## Trends in Total Food Expenditures and Consumer Food Prices

Another series in food expenditures tabulated by the federal government, slightly different from the data presented in Table 1, is shown in Table 2. This table compares expenditures at home and away from home with disposable personal income. Note that
the proportion of disposable personal income spent on food at home declined from around 20 percent in 1929 to under 8 percent in 1987; the percent spent away from home increased from around 3.5 percent in the early part of the period to nearly 4.5 percent by 1987.

In total, U.S. consumers have been spending about 12 percent of their disposable income on food in recent years.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics compiles extensive data on consumer food prices as illustrated in Table 3. Using 1982-84 as a base, the index for recent years indicates the most rapid inflation for fish, fresh fruits and vegetables and food away from home.

## Trends in Consumer Expenditures on Farm Foods

Over time, consumer expenditures on farm foods away from home have increased relative to expenditures on foods consumed at home (Table 4). In 1987, nearly 40 percent of the total of such expenditures were away from home compared to about 25 percent 25 years earlier.

Another trend has been a reduction in the share of consumer expenditures on domestic farm foods received by farmers. The farmers' share has declined from around 40 percent in the early 1950's to about 25 percent in recent years (Table 4). Not only have inflation in marketing costs and increased processing added to the margin, but also the trend to more away-from-home consumption has contributed to the marketing bill.

Total consumer expenditures on domestic farm foods by major categories are presented in Table 5. Since 1975, shares remained about the same on meat, fruits and vegetables, dairy products and grain mill products. Shares increased on poultry and declined on eggs and other foods. Note the rise and eventual decline on the farm value on bakery products, grain mill products and eggs.

The increased importance of the marketing bill in total expenditures on farm foods is illustrated in Figure 1. The allocation of the food dollar is shown in Figure 2. In addition to the $25 ¢$ received by farmers, another $34 \zeta$ is paid to labor in the marketing
bill, followed by $8 \zeta$ for packaging, etc. About $5 \zeta$ of the consumer food dollar goes for before-tax profits in marketing firms.

Historically, food retailers have earned about 1 percent profit after taxes per dollar of sales. Profits of food manufacturers have been around 3-4 percent of sales. The USDA explains this difference as follows: $1 /$

Supermarkets turn over their inventory 15 to 20 times each year, much more frequently than food processors. Although the profit on each sale is less for food retailers, there is a greater flow of products. In a year's time, the profit from each sale adds up to a total return on money invested similar to other retail businesses.

For the purpose of evaluating industry performance, profits as a percentage of stockholders' investment is the best measure since it can be more directly compared to other industries or financial investments. Return on equity in food retailing has averaged between 12 and 13 percent most years, but there has been considerable variation among companies. Profit rates in food manufacturing have been about a fifth higher than for food chains.

## The Market Basket and Trends

The U.S. Department of Agriculture has been tabulating a series called the "market basket of farm foods." This relates to a combination of foods purchased in food stores primarily for home consumption. The weights are based on the quantities of foods purchased annually in food stores per urban household between July 1972 and June 1974. The current base period for the series is 1982-84. The index of retail prices, the farm value, farm-to-retail spread and the farm value as a share of the retail price since 1950 are presented in Table 6.

For many years, farmers received about 40 percent of the retail food store price. This share has declined to around 30 percent. While the farm-to-retail spread has increased consistently over this period, the farm value, while also increasing, has fluctuated from year to year.

[^0]The market basket series on major food categories is shown for recent years in Table 7. This series is published on a monthly as well as an annual basis.2/ Note that in recent years, the farmers' share of the food store dollar ranged from around 53-61 percent on eggs to 8-11 percent on cereals.

## Individual Product Series

In addition to the general categories in the market basket series, the U.S. Department of Agriculture also collects and analyzes retail and farm price data on individual products. A set of these statistics for a recent year is included in Table 8. Consistent with the market basket series, the farmers' share ranged from 62 percent on eggs to 7 percent on white bread.

For some products such as beef, accounting has to be made for certain by-products in the process of converting a live animal to beef. A diagram of the necessary computations is given in Figure 3. For each pound of retail beef, about 2.4 pounds of live animal are required. Multiplying the liveweight price per pound in 1985 of 59.25 ¢ by 2.4 yields a "gross farm value" of $142.2 \grave{\text {. }}$. By-product values for hide, offal, fat and bone
 farm value" of $126.8 ¢$. The net farm value of $126.8 ¢$ in turn, subtracted from the retail price of $232.6 ¢$, equaled the farm-retail spread of $105.8 ¢$. A similar computation procedure is followed on pork and other commodities where by-products are involved.

## Predicting Marketing Spreads

To predict food prices, a standard procedure is to forecast farm prices in one step and the marketing spread in a second step. In Figure 4 is a derivation of per capita expenditures on food at retail and the value of these expenditures at the farm. To represent strictly price changes, the expenditures relate to a fixed bundle of food

[^1]purchases that were average for 1982-84. Note the increased importance of the spread between the retail value and the farm value.

The spread as shown in Figure 4 was transformed into an index with 1982-84 $=100$ and plotted against the Consumer Price Index, also with a base of 1982-84 = 100 (Figure 5). Note that the spread was closely correlated with the CPI. The CPI, as a proxy for the costs of marketing, provides an excellent indicator of what the farm-retail marketing spread will be. This is the case whether analyzing the total spread on food as in Figure 5 or for food at home (Figure 6) or away from home (Figure 7).

For individual commodities, such as beef and pork, the parallel movement of retail prices and farm value can be observed over time (Figures 8 and 9). On these products, too, the marketing spread is closely related to the CPI (Figures 10 and 11). However, some departures from this relationship can be noted. For this reason, other factors are involved and require attention by analysts.

To rely solely on the CPI or other single measures of inflation to forecast marketing spreads is not sufficient for a comprehensive analysis. Inclusion of wage rates and labor productivity is of particular importance because of the central role of labor costs in marketing spreads. Also, energy costs affect transportation costs in a way not reflected proportionally in the CPI. But as a rule of thumb, one can expect marketing spreads from year-to-year to increase about in line with general inflation.

In the short run, margins tend to widen when farm prices fall and tighten when prices rise. This is attributed to lags in the pricing process at retail and a general reluctance on the part of retailers to change prices frequently. Because consumers are sensitive to rising prices, retailers delay hiking prices and may hesitate lowering their prices even as farm and wholesale prices decline reasoning that retail prices would not have had to be adjusted upward with subsequent increases at wholesale.

Another phenomeno sometimes observed is that a sharp rise in farm prices may be accompanied by an increase in retail prices more than proportional to the increase at the
farm level. If the rising farm prices are well known to consumers, processors and retailers can capitalize on this opportunity to adjust their margins with minimum adverse publicity. Such increases in margins may or may not be clearly justified in the short-run, but may be necessary for the firms to achieve target margins in the long-run.

The marketing spread can be considered as the equilibrium between the supply of and demand for marketing services just as we can establish an equilibrium farm price from supply and demand for farm products. The structure of food processing and marketing, however, is much different that for agricultural production. As stated in Farm-Retail Spreads for Food Products:-4/

Many of the larger costs in the farm-retail spread are relatively inflexible. Wages of many marketing firm employees are fixed by contracts between unions and employers and generally are not changed until leases expire. Freight rates of railroads and many motor-truck carriers and charges for electric power, telephone, telegraph, and other public utilities are fixed by Government agencies. These rates generally are changed only after applications have been made and public hearings held. All these conditions lead to less flexibility from year-to-year in farm-retail spread than in farm and retail prices.... Today's rising taxes, higher depreciation charges on plant and equipment, wage contracts and minimum wage laws all contribute to less flexibility.

The food industry is fairly competitive, but in a way different from agricultural production. The structure is such in food marketing that increased cost can be passed along to the consumer. There are no assurances of survival and the attrition of firms in food marketing is substantial. Farmers, however, are not able to directly pass along increased costs and encounter economic stress in periods of over-supply. Eventually, however, by adjusting output, farmers can collectively influence prices received. The problem arises from the fact that the adjustment does not always come quickly and a price-cost squeeze can continue for some time.

[^2]Table 1. Expenditures on Food and Alcoholic Beverages in the U.S. in 1986 and 1987

|  | 1986 |  | 1987 ${ }^{\text {// }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bil. \$ | Bil. \$ | Bil. \$ | Bil. \$ |
| Food originating on U.S. farms ${ }^{2 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Food consumed at home |  | 226.0 |  | 232.3 |
| Farm value |  | 67.8 |  | 69.7 |
| Marketing bill |  |  |  |  |
| Processing cost | 70.1 |  | 71.5 | $\checkmark$ |
| Inter-city transportation | 13.4 |  | 13.6 |  |
| Wholesaling cost | 22.5 |  | 23.8 |  |
| Retailing cost | 52.2 |  | 53.7 |  |
| Total |  | 158.2 |  | 162.6 |
| Expenditures for eating |  |  |  |  |
| away from home |  | 133.6 |  | 144.8 |
| Farm value |  | 21.3 |  | 24.2 |
| Marketing bill |  |  |  |  |
| Processing cost | 20.8 |  | 22.2 |  |
| Inter-city transportation | 3.4 |  | 3.6 |  |
| Wholesaling cost | 8.0 |  | 8.5 |  |
| Food service cost | 80.1 |  | 86.3 |  |
| Total |  | 112.3 |  | 120.6 |
| Total |  | 359.6 |  | 377.1 |
| Imported food and seafood ${ }^{3 /}$ |  | 66.6 |  | 72.4 |
| Alcoholic beverages ${ }^{3 /}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Consumed at home | 40.7 |  | 41.7 |  |
| Consumed away from home | 28.9 |  | 31.2 |  |
| Total |  | 69.6 |  | 72.9 |
| Total |  | 495.8 |  | 522.4 |

1/Preliminary.
$\underline{2 /}$ Food Cost Review, 1987, Ag. Econ. Report No. 596, ERS, USDA, September 1988.

3/ Food Consumption, Prices, Expenditures, 1966-87, Stat. Bul. 773, ERS, USDA, January 1989.

Table 2. Food Expenditures by Families and Individuals as a Share of Disposable Personal Income

| Year | Disposable personal income | Expenditures for food |  |  | Proportion of income spent for food |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | At home $\underline{1 /}$ | Away from home 2/ | Total | At home | Away from home | Total |
|  | Billion dollars | --------- M1111ion dollars ----------- |  |  | Percent |  |  |
| 1929 | 81.7 | 16,918 | 2,617 | 19,535 | 20.7 | 3.2 | 23.9 |
| 1939 | 69.7 | 12,952 | 2,289 | 15,241 | 18.6 | 3.3 | 21.9 |
| 1949 | 187.9 | 33,774 | 7,775 | 41,549 | 18.0 | 4.1 | 22.1 |
| 1960 | 358.9 | 50,558 | 12,562 | 63,120 | 14.1 | 3.5 | 17.6 |
| 1961 | 373.8 | 51,069 | 13,100 | 64,169 | 13.7 | 3.5 | 17.2 |
| 1962 | 396.2 | 51,996 | 13,897 | 65,893 | 13.1 | 3.5 | 16.6 |
| 1963 | 415.8 | 52,374 | 14,546 | 66,920 | 12.6 | 3.5 | 16.1 |
| 1964 | 451.9 | 54,530 | 15,685 | 70,215 | 12.1 | 3.5 | 15.5 |
| 1965 | 486.8 | 57,382 | 16,946 | 74,328 | 11.8 | 3.5 | 15.3 |
| 1966 | 525.9 | 59,884 | 18,636 | 78,520 | 11.4 | 3.5 | 14.9 |
| 1967 | 562.1 | 60,254 | 19,776 | 80,030 | 10.7 | 3.5 | 14.2 |
| 1968 | 609.6 | 63,510 | 21,723 | 85,233 | 10.4 | 3.6 | 14.0 |
| 1969 | 656.7 | 67,956 | 23,362 | 91,318 | 10.3 | 3.6 | 13.9 |
| 1970 | 715.6 | 74,166 | 25,845 | 99,511 | 10.4 | 3.6 | 13.9 |
| 1971 | 776.8 | 78,074 | 26,922 | 104,996 | 10.1 | 3.5 | 13.5 |
| 1972 | 839.6 | 84,441 | 30,134 | 114,575 | 10.1 | 3.6 | 13.6 |
| 1973 | 949.8 | 93,133 | 33,483 | 126,616 | 9.8 | 3.5 | 13.3 |
| 1974 | 1,038.4 | 105,374 | 37,059 | 142,433 | 10.1 | 3.6 | 13.7 |
| 1975 | 1,142.8 | 115,087 | 44,056 | 159,143 | 10.1 | 3.9 | 13.9 |
| 1976 | 1,252.6 | 122,949 | 50,415 | 173,364 | 9.8 | 4.0 | 13.8 |
| 1977 | 1,379.3 | 131,616 | 56,143 | 187,759 | 9.5 | 4.1 | 13.6 |
| 1978 | 1,551.2 | 144,989 | 64,281 | 209,270 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 13.5 |
| 1979 | 1,729.3 | 161,692 | 73,700 | 235,392 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 13.6 |
| 1980 | 1,917.9 | 178,463 | 81,793 | 260,256 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 13.6 |
| 1981 | 2,127.6 | 190,317 | 89,858 | 280,175 | 8.9 | 4.2 | 13.2 |
| 1982 | 2,261.4 | 197,737 | 96,406 | 294,143 | 8.7 | 4.3 | 13.0 |
| 1983 | 2,428.1 | 208,385 | 105,824 | 314,209 | 8.6 | 4.4 | 12.9 |
| 1984 | 2,668.6 | 220,482 | 114,822 | 335,304 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 12.6 |
| 1985 | 2,838.7 | 229,859 | 122,411 | 352,270 | 8.1 | 4.3 | 12.4 |
| 1986 | 3,019.6 | 237,597 | 131,940 | 369,537 | 7.9 | 4.4 | 12.2 |
| 1987 | 3,209.7 | 245,628 | 142,565 | 388,193 | 7.7 | 4.4 | 12.1 |

1/ Food purchases from grocery stores and other retall outlets, including purchases with food stamps and food produced and consumed on farms, because the value of these foods is included in personal income. Excludes Government-donated foods. 2/ Purchases of meals and snacks by families and individuals, and food furnished employees because it is included in personal income. Excludes food pald for by government and business, such as donated foods to schools, meals in prisons and other institutions, and expense-account meals.

Table 3. Consumer Price Index for Food, Major Groups, 1966-87

$N A=$ Not available.
1/ Beef, veal, lamb, mutton, pork, and processed meat. 2/ Includes butter. 3/ Excludes butter.
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table 4. Marketing Bill and Farm Value Components of Consumer Expenditures for Domestically Produced Foods

| Year | Consumer expenditures |  |  | Marketing bil1 | Farm <br> value | ```Farm value share of expenditures``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | At home 1/ | Away from home 2/ |  |  |  |
|  |  | ----- | $1110 n$ do | - | --- | Percent |
| 1950 | 44.0 | - | - -- | 26.0 | 18.0 | 41 |
| 1951 | 49.2 | -- | -- | 28.7 | 20.5 | 42 |
| 1952 | 50.9 | -- | -- | 30.5 | 20.4 | 40 |
| 1953 | 51.0 | -- | -- | 31.5 | 19.5 | 38 |
| 1954 | 51.1 | -- | -- | 32.3 | 18.8 | 37 |
| 1955 | 53.1 | -- | -- | 34.4 | 18.7 | 35 |
| 1956 | 55.5 | -- | -- | 36.3 | 19.2 | 35 |
| 1957 | 58.3 | -- | -- | 37.9 | 20.4 | 35 |
| 1958 | 61.0 | -- | -- | 39.6 | 21.4 | 35 |
| 1959 | 63.6 | -- | -- | 42.4 | 21.2 | 33 |
| 1960 | 66.9 | -- | -- | 44.6 | 22.3 | 33 |
| 1961 | 68.7 | -- | -- | 45.7 | 23.0 | 33 |
| 1962 | 71.3 | -- | -- | 47.6 | 23.7 | 33 |
| 1963 | 74.0 | 56.0 | 18.0 | 49.9 | 24.1 | 33 |
| 1964 | 77.5 | 58.5 | 19.0 | 52.6 | 24.9 | 32 |
| 1965 | 81.1 | 60.2 | 20.9 | 54.0 | 27.1 | 33 |
| 1966 | 86.9 | 64.0 | 22.9 | 57.1 | 29.8 | 34 |
| 1967 | 91.6 | 66.8 | 24.8 | 62.4 | 29.2 | 32 |
| 1968 | 96.8 | 69.5 | 27.3 | 65.9 | 30.9 | 32 |
| 1969 | 102.6 | 73.1 | 29.5 | 68.3 | 34.3 | 33 |
| 1970 | 110.6 | 78.2 | 32.4 | 75.1 | 35.5 | 32 |
| 1971 | 114.6 | 80.6 | 34.0 | 78.5 | 36.1 | 32 |
| 1972 | 122.2 | 85.4 | 36.8 | 82.4 | 39.8 | 33 |
| 1973 | 138.8 | 98.5 | 40.3 | 87.1 | 51.7 | 37 |
| 1974 | 154.6 | 109.5 | 45.1 | 98.2 | 56.4 | 36 |
| 1975 | 167.0 | 116.2 | 50.8 | 111.4 | 55.6 | 33 |
| 1976 | 183.3 | 127.2 | 56.1 | 125.0 | 58.3 | 32 |
| 1977 | 190.9 | 130.8 | 60.1 | 132.7 | 58.2 | 30 |
| 1978 | 216.9 | 149.2 | 67.7 | 147.4 | 69.5 | 32 |
| 1979 | 245.2 | 169.4 | 75.8 | 166.0 | 79.2 | 32 |
| 1980 | 264.4 | 180.1 | 84.3 | 182.7 | 81.7 | 31 |
| 1981 | 287.7 | 194.0 | 93.7 | 204.5 | 83.2 | 29 |
| 1982 | 298.9 | 196.7 | 102.2 | 215.2 | 83.7 | 28 |
| 1983 | 315.0 | 204.6 | 110.4 | 229.3 | 85.7 | 27 |
| 1984 | 332.0 | 213.1 | 118.9 | 240.6 | 91.4 | 28 |
| 1985 | 345.4 | 220.8 | 124.6 | 257.1 | 88.3 | 26 |
| 1986 | 359.6 | 226.0 | 133.6 | 270.5 | 89.7 | 25 |
| 1987 3/ | 377.1 | 232.3 | 144.8 | 283.2 | 93.9 | 25 |

-- = Not avallable.
1/ Includes food primarily purchased at retail foodstores. 2/ Includes food purchased at restaurants, fast food outlets, and other public eating places, and food served in institutions such as hospitals, schools, and rest homes. 3/ Preliminary. Some historical data have been revised.

Table 5. Consumer Expenditures and Farm Value for Major Food Groups

| Year | Meat | Fruits and vegetables $1 /$ | Dairy products | Bakery products | Poultry | ```Grain mill products 2/``` | Eggs | Other foods 3/ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Billion dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 48.0 | 35.6 | 23.3 | 18.2 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 23.3 | 167.0 |
| 1976 | 55.2 | 38.8 | 26.4 | 18.8 | 9.1 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 24.1 | 183.3 |
| 1977 | 59.0 | 40.8 | 27.8 | 18.1 | 9.6 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 24.9 | 190.9 |
| 1978 | 69.5 | 46.3 | 30.1 | 21.1 | 10.9 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 28.3 | 216.9 |
| 1979 | 80.2 | 52.5 | 33.5 | 23.8 | 12.6 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 30.1 | 245.3 |
| 1980 | 83.3 | 55.5 | 37.8 | 26.8 | 13.3 | 8.4 | 5.0 | 34.3 | 264.4 |
| 1981 | 86.6 | 62.8 | 41.4 | 29.0 | 14.7 | 8.9 | 5.2 | 39.1 | 287.7 |
| 1982 | 91.9 | 66.7 | 42.0 | 30.6 | 15.1 | 9.0 | 5.2 | 38.4 | 298.9 |
| 1983 | 97.9 | 70.0 | 45.0 | 31.0 | 16.3 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 39.8 | 315.0 |
| 1984 | 101.7 | 74.7 | 47.4 | 33.0 | 18.4 | 10.3 | 5.8 | 40.7 | 332.0 |
| 1985 | 103.2 | 78.5 | 49.4 | 34.6 | 19.9 | 10.9 | 6.1 | 42.8 | 345.4 |
| 1986 | 106.3 | 81.6 | 51.4 | 36.6 | 21.2 | 11.7 | 6.4 | 44.4 | 359.6 |
| 1987 | 109.9 | 85.7 | 54.0 | 38.9 | 23.3 | 12.0 | 6.7 | 46.6 | 377.1 |
| Farm value: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 20.6 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 55.6 |
| 1976 | 21.6 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 6.4 | 58.3 |
| 1977 | 22.0 | 8.6 | 11.5 | 2.3 | 4.2 | . 9 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 58.2 |
| 1978 | 28.0 | 10.0 | 12.7 | 2.8 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 7.7 | 69.5 |
| 1979 | 31.5 | 10.9 | 14.6 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 9.3 | 79.2 |
| 1980 | 30.8 | 11.7 | 16.0 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 9.8 | 81.7 |
| 1981 | 31.1 | 13.3 | 17.0 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 8.1 | 83.2 |
| 1982 | 31.5 | 13.8 | 16.7 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 8.4 | 83.7 |
| 1983 | 31.4 | 13.3 | 18.0 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 8.8 | 85.7 |
| 1984 | 32.4 | 15.1 | 18.1 | 3.7 | 8.0 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 9.7 | 91.4 |
| 1985 | 30.5 | 15.2 | 17.7 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 10.0 | 88.3 |
| 1986 | 30.9 | 14.9 | 17.8 | 2.9 | 9.0 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 10.0 | 89.1 |
| 1987 | 34.2 | 16.8 | 18.1 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 11.0 | 93.9 |

1/ Also includes soups, baby foods, condiments, dressings, spreads, and relishes. 2/ Includes flour, flour mixes, cereals, rice, and pasta. 3/ Includes fats and oils, sugar, tree nuts, peanuts, and miscellaneous foods.

Table 6. Indexes of Retail Price, Farm Value, and the Farm-to-Retail Price Spread and Farm Value as a Share of Retail Price

| Year | Retail price | Farm value | Farm-to-retail spread | Farm value share of retail price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------------1982-84=100-------------- |  |  | Percent |
| 1950 | 30 | 40 | 25 | 47 |
| 1951 | 33 | 46 | 26 | 49 |
| 1952 | 34 | 44 | 28 | 47 |
| 1953 | 32 | 41 | 28 | 45 |
| 1954 | 32 | 39 | 28 | 43 |
| 1955 | 31 | 36 | 29 | 41 |
| 1956 | 32 | 36 | 29 | 40 |
| 1957 | 33 | 37 | 30 | 40 |
| 1958 | 35 | 40 | 32 | 41 |
| 1959 | 34 | 37 | 32 | 39 |
| 1960 | 34 | 38 | 32 | 39 |
| 1961 | 34 | 37 | 33 | 39 |
| 1962 | 34 | 38 | 33 | 39 |
| 1963 | 34 | 36 | 33 | 38 |
| 1964 | 34 | 36 | 34 | 36 |
| 1965 | 35 | 40 | 33 | 38 |
| 1966 | 37 | 43 | 34 | 39 |
| 1967 | 37 | 40 | 35 | 39 |
| 1968 | 38 | 42 | 36 | 38 |
| 1969 | 40 | 46 | 37 | 39 |
| 1970 | 42 | 46 | 40 | 37 |
| 1971 | 43 | 46 | 41 | 37 |
| 1972 | 45 | 50 | 42 | 38 |
| 1973 | 52 | 68 | 44 | 44 |
| 1974 | 60 | 73 | 53 | 42 |
| 1975 | 64 | 76 | 58 | 40 |
| 1976 | 65 | 72 | 61 | 38 |
| 1977 | 66 | 72 | 63 | 37 |
| 1978 | 74 | 83 | 68 | 38 |
| 1979 | 82 | 92 | 77 | 38 |
| 1980 | 88 | 97 | 83 | 37 |
| 1981 | 95 | 99 | 92 | 36 |
| 1982 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 35 |
| 1983 | 99 | 97 | 100 | 34 |
| 1984 | 103 | 104 | 103 | 35 |
| 1985 | 104 | 96 | 108 | 32 |
| 1986 | 106 | 95 | 112 | 31 |
| 1987 2/ | 112 | 97 | 119 | 30 |

1/ For a market basket of foods bought in foodstores in a base period, currently 1982-84. The retail price index is derived from BLS data. Farm value is based on prices received by farmers for commodities. The spread between the retail price and farm value represents charges for processing and marketing. 2/ Preliminary.

Table 7. Farm-Retail Price Spreads

|  | Annual |  |  |  | 1987 | 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 P | Dec | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| Market basket $1 /$ | 104.1 | 106.3 | 111.6 | 116.5 | 112.7 | 117.3 | 118.4 | 119.5 | 119.3 | 118.9 | 119.5 |
| Retail cost (1982-84=100) | 96.2 | 94.9 | 97.1 | 100.5 | 94.1 | 104.5 | 104.5 | 105.4 | 104.5 | 104.4 | 103.1 |
| Farm-retail spread (1982-84=100) | 108.3 | 112.5 | 119.4 | 125.1 | 122.8 | 124.2 | 125.8 | 127.0 | 127.2 | 126.7 | 128.3 |
| Farm value-retail cost (\%) | 32.4 | 31.2 | 30.5 | 30.2 | 29.2 | 31.2 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 30.7 | 30.7 | . 2 |
| Meat products (1982-84=100) | 98.9 | 102.0 | 109.6 | 112.2 | 110.4 | 113.4 | 113.2 | 113.4 | 113.0 | 113.0 | 112.7 |
| Retail cost $(1982-84=100)$ | 91.3 | 94.3 | 101.2 | 99.7 | 93.1 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 100.3 | 97.6 | 97.8 | 98.8 |
| Farm-retail spread ( $1982-84=100$ ) | 106.7 | 109.8 | 118.3 | 125.0 | 128.1 | 129.7 | 129.3 | 126.8 | 128.8 | 128.6 | 126. |
| Farm value-retail cost (\%) | 46.8 | 46.8 | 46.7 | 45.0 | 42.7 | 43.6 | 43.6 | 44.8 | 43. | 43.8 | 4 |
| Dairy products ${ }^{\text {Retaii cost }}$ (1982-84=100) | 103.2 | 103.3 | 105.9 | 108.4 | 106.7 | 107.6 | 108.2 | 108.9 | 109.9 | 110.6 | 111.4 |
| Retail cost $(1982-84=100)$ Farm value ( $1982-84=100)$ | 95.2 | 92.6 | 93.3 | 90.5 | 92.5 | 88.0 | 88.8 | 89.3 | 92.3 | 96.3 | 98.0 |
| Farm value ${ }^{\text {Farm-retail spread }(1982-84=100) ~}$ | 110.5 | 113.3 | 117.5 | 124.8 | 119.8 | 125.7 | 126.1 | 127.0 | 126.1 | 123.8 | 123.8 |
|  | 44.2 | 43.0 | 42.3 | 40.1 | 41.6 | 39.2 | 39.4 | 39.4 | 40.3 | 41.8 | 42.2 |
| Poultry cost (1982-84=100) |  | 114.2 | 112.6 | 120.7 | 107.8 | 129.0 | 131.7 | 133.4 | 129.4 | 127.2 | 127.1 |
| Retail cost ( $1982-84=100)$ | 105.2 | 115.1 | 112.6 | 110.4 | 85.1 | 135.5 | 133.8 | 128.4 | 124.8 | 117.9 | 114.4 |
| Farm-retail spread (1982-84=100) | 106.6 | 113.3 | 134.2 | 132.6 | 133.9 | 121.5 | 129.3 | 139.1 | 134.7 | 137.9 | 141.7 |
| Farm value-retail cost (\%) | 53.3 | 53.9 | 44.6 | 49.0 | 42.3 | 56.2 | 54.4 | 51.5 | 51.6 | 49.6 | 48.2 |
| Eggs | 91.0 | 97.2 | 91.5 | 93.6 | 85.5 | 95.1 | 104.2 | 103.1 | 105.5 | 101.2 | . 6 |
| Retail cost (1982-84=100) | 85.7 | 92.4 | 76.8 | 76.7 | 66.7 | 84.9 | 86.6 | 97.0 | 87.6 | 89.2 | 90.1 |
| Farm-retail spread ( $1982-84=100$ ) | 100.4 | 106.0 | 117.9 | 123.9 | 119.2 | 113.4 | 135.9 | 114.1 | 137.6 | 122.8 | 116.7 |
| Farm value-retail cost (\%) | 60.5 | 61.0 | 53.9 | 52.7 | 50.2 | 57.4 | 53.4 | 60.4 | 53.4 | 56.6 | 58.1 |
| Cereal \& bakery products | 107.9 | 110.9 | 114.8 | 122.1 | 116.8 | 122.1 | 124.0 | 124.7 | 125.6 | 125.9 | 126.6 |
| Retail cost $(1982-84=100)$ | 94.3 | 76.3 | 71.0 | 92.3 | 76.4 | 97.1 | 99.1 | 98.7 | 100.1 | 98.9 | 100.5 |
| Farm-retail spread ( $1982-84=100$ ) | 109.8 | 115.7 | 120.9 | 126.3 | 122.4 | 125.6 | 127.5 | 128.3 | 129.2 | 129.7 | 130.2 |
| Farm value-retail cost (\%) | 10.7 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 9.7 |
| Fresh fruits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail cost ( $1982-84=100)$ | 118.4 | 120.4 | 135.6 | 113.3 | 138.5 | 129.6 | 125.5 | 118.6 | 116.0 | 123.9 | 110.9 |
| Farm-retail spread ( $1982-84=100$ ) | 121.8 | 128.0 | 145.7 | 160.2 | 127.4 | 160.4 | 166.4 | 175.5 | 168.5 | 158.5 | 163.7 |
| Farm value-retail cost (\%) | 29.6 | 27.4 | 26.5 | 24.6 | 32.2 | 27.2 | 25.8 | 23.8 | 24.1 | 26.5 | 23.8 |
| Fresh vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail costs (1982-84=100) | 103.5 | 107.7 | 121.6 | 129.3 | 140.2 | 127.0 | 121. | 125.9 | 127.1 | 118.2 | 101.7 |
|  | 108.9 | 116.8 | 126.5 | 139.4 | 153.8 | 134.7 | 128.2 | 135.3 | 130.6 | 131.1 | 149.1 |
| Farm value-retail cost (\%) | 30.5 | 28.4 | 31.3 | 28.8 | 27.6 | 30.0 | 32.7 | 32.4 | 33.4 | 31.7 | 26.0 |
| Processed fruits \& vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail cost $(1982-84=100)$ Farm value ( $1982-84=100)$ | 107.0 | 105.3 101.5 | 109.0 | 117.6 136.5 | 110.0 127.4 | 117.8 139.8 | 119.2 140.1 | 120.4 | 121.4 145.2 | 121.9 145.0 | 121.9 136.8 |
| Farm-retail spread ( $1982-84=100$ ) | 103.7 | 106.4 | 108.3 | 111.7 | 104.6 | 110.9 | 112.7 | 113.4 | 114.0 | 114.7 | 117.2 |
| Farm value-retail costs (\%) | 26.2 | 22.9 | 24.2 | 27.6 | 27.5 | 28.2 | 27.9 | 28.2 | 28.4 | 28.3 | 26. |
| Fats \& oils |  |  |  |  | 107.7 | 112.6 | 114.9 | 115.9 | 117.1 | 117.1 | 118.5 |
| Retail cost $(1982-84=100)$ Farm value ( $1982-84=100)$ | 104.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 106.5 \\ 76.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108.1 \\ 74.1 \end{array}$ | 103.2 | 78.9 | 132.9 | 114.7 | 106.1 | 102.5 | 98.9 | 100.4 |
| Farm-retait spread ( $1982-84=100$ ) | 110.6 | 117.6 | 120.6 | 116.8 | 118.3 | 105.1 | 115.0 | 119.5 | 122.5 | 123.8 | 125.2 |
| Farm value-retail cost (X) | 25.8 | 19.2 | 18.4 | 24.5 | 19.7 | 31.8 | 26.9 | 24.6 | 23.5 | 22.7 | 22.8 |

Table 8. Farm Value Share of Retail Prices of Selected Foods, 1987

| Item | Retail <br> price | Farm-to- <br> Falue <br> retail <br> spread | Farm value <br> share of <br> retail price 1/ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1/ Computed from unrounded data.

## Marketing Bill, Farm Value, and Consumer Expenditures for Farm Foods

The marketing bill, the largest share of the food dollar, has risen faster than the cost of raw foodstuffs, reflecting the high cost of labor, packaging, and other inputs.
$\$$ billion


1986 forecast. Data for domestically produced farm foods purchased by civilian consumers for consumption both at home and away from home.

Figure 1

## What a Dollar Spent on Food Paid for in 1985

Labor accounts for just over one-third of the food dollar. About 10.8 million workers were employed in food marketing industries in 1985.


1985 preliminary. Other costs include property taxes and insurance, accounting and professional services, promotion, bad debts, and miscellaneous items.

Choice Beef: Retail Price, Farm Value, and Farm-to-Retail Spread, 1985


- Byproduct value is lor hide. offal, tat, and bone.

RETAIL AND FARH UALUES PER CAPITA OF A FIXED BUNDLE OF POOD EXPENDITURES FOR HOHE AND AWAY FROH HOHE CONSLMPTION IN 1982-84


Figure 4

Index of the harketing spread on pary food COHPARED WITH THE CONSIMER PRICE INDEX ON ALL ITEMS*


[^3]

Figure 6

Index of the marketing spread on fary food consumed away from hohe COHPARED WITH THE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX OH ALL ITEMS*


Figure 7

RETAIL PRICE AND PARM VALUB ON BEEF


Figure 8
ritail price and parn valus on pork


Figure 9
marxeting spread on beef compared to the consuribr price index


Figure 10
marketing spread on pork cohparid to the consunir price impix
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[^0]:    1/Developments in Marketing Spreads for Agricultural Products in 1976, ERS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Economics Report No. 367, March 1977.

[^1]:    2/Agricultural Outlook, ERS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, monthly.

[^2]:    4/Farm-Retail Spreads for Food Products, ERS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Miscellaneous Publication No. 741, January 1972.

[^3]:    *1982-84=100

