

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Scandinavian Forest Economics No. 44, 2012



Proceedings of the Biennial Meeting of the Scandinavian Society of Forest Economics Hyytiälä, Finland, May 2012

Anne Toppinen, Heimo Karppinen & Kati Kleemola (eds.)

Observations and implications of Finnish forest owners' economizing behaviour

Hujala, T.1 & Kurttila, M.2

Forest management decisions can be seen as economic choices. The assumption of rational and economically optimal choices frequently fails in family forestry, in which various multiple-use motivations as well as legacy and sentimental values shape landowners' decisions. Moreover, scarcity of time and information as well as the imperfection of individuals' cognitive abilities result in bounded rationality. Pingle and Day (1996) illustrated six modes of economizing behavior as decision-makers' pragmatic responses to the limits of rationality: trial and error, imitation, following an authority, habit, thoughtless impulse, and hunch. This presentation argues that among these, following an authority, imitation and habit are the most common ones in family forest owners' decision making. Recent interview and survey datasets from Finland provide evidence on the economizing decision-making logic and frequency of related decisionmaking strategies among family forest owners. Trusting forest professionals, thus delegating decision-making power is the prevalent strategy for approximately one fifth of owners. Meanwhile, two fifths of owners want to learn from authorities and outcomes of comparable previous actions. Asking for peer advice and learning from other owners' experiences reflects a mix of imitation and learning from authority. In turn, many owners tend to build their own decision rules to make forestry decisions easier and approach the habit type of choice behavior. These findings indicate that the quality of advice and forest management guidelines have rather direct impact on the quality of forest owners' decisions. When public funding for forestry advisory continues to decline and the significance of peer advice via social media and traditional communication channels increases, free-of-charge economizing behavior may become more complex. This may increase the need for market services that particularly focus on facilitating the ease of forest ownership. Lack of suitable authorities or role models may lead to bad decisions or indecisive and thus passive owners.

Keywords: bounded rationality, forestry advisory, market services, peer-to-peer learning

¹ Finnish Forest Research Institute (Metla), Vantaa Unit, P.O.Box 18, FI-01301 Vantaa. Correspondence: teppo.hujala@metla.fi.

² Finnish Forest Research Institute (Metla), Joensuu Unit, P.O.Box 27, FI-80101 Joensuu.