

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Scandinavian Forest Economics No. 44, 2012



Proceedings of the Biennial Meeting of the Scandinavian Society of Forest Economics Hyytiälä, Finland, May 2012

Anne Toppinen, Heimo Karppinen & Kati Kleemola (eds.)

Investigating embedding effects in valuation of forest: "Linking qualitative evidence to quantitative analysis of embedding effect"

Bakhtiari, F.

Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen, Rolighedsvej 23, DK 1958 Frederiksberg, Denmark fba@life.ku.dk

Embedding effects play a crucial role on the validity of choice experiment method (CE) outcomes. Therefore, identifying these issues and their relation with attribute and level selection is crucial in choice experiment studies. In this study, two aspect of embedding i.e. contextual embedding issue and scope sensitivity in forest valuation among different forest users such as active, passive and potential active users are addressed.

Regarding contextual embedding, a preliminary qualitative study showed that public expressed their preference jointly and inseparable about two forest characteristics, i.e. "diversity of species" and "insurance and resilience of forest ecosystem through species diversity" which don't fully correspond with ecologist' point of views. As the first step, using split sample CE study was undertaken in which 'species diversity' was evaluate in two different embedding contexts. Using two identical questionnaires but applying reminder of insurance services of biodiversity in only one of questionnaire, we tested for the separability of these two attributes. As the second step, scope sensitivity was integrated in the internal tests among different levels of environmental improvement relevant with attributes. The results suggested that respondents are insensitive to the reminder and these two concepts are inseparable in their view so their WTPs cover both attributes. In addition, based on the results public were sensitive to the scope (level) of each attributes and forest active users had higher WTP in compare with Forest passive users. So it suggested that non-scope sensitive values unrelated to or inconsistent with the level of environmental improvement could be estimated through choice experiments and applying qualitative evidences in attribute and levels identification. This also shield light on getting true public's values from the improvement of ecosystem services to be informed to policy-makers.

Keywords: Embedding effect, Valuation, Choice experiment, Qualitative evidence, Insurance