AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

378.752
D34
W-94-17

WAITE MEMORIAL BOOK COLLECTION
DEPT. OF APPLIED ECONOMICS
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
1994 BUFORD AVE.-232 COB
ST. PAUL MN 55108 U.S.A.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL AND RESOURCE ECONOMICS
SYMONS HALL
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
COLLEGE PARK 20742

[redacted]

378.752
D34
W-94-17

AGGREGATE HOMOTHETIC SEPARABILITY

by

Robert G. Chambers

Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland 20742

Working Paper No. 94-17

October 1994

Aggregate Homothetic Separability

Magnus and Woodland have recently derived necessary and sufficient conditions for an aggregate cost function derived from industry cost functions exhibiting homothetic separability to inherit homothetic separability. This paper extends the Magnus and Woodland contribution, using weaker assumptions, and develops necessary and sufficient conditions for an aggregate sector cost function derived from general (i.e., ones that need not exhibit homothetic separability) industry cost functions to exhibit homothetic separability.

I. The Industry Cost Functions

Following the convention established in Magnus and Woodland, the analysis focuses on technologies with two types of net outputs: fuel inputs and nonfuel net outputs. It is assumed that there is a production sector with q industries, each industry possesses its own technology which is characterized by the production sets, $T^k \subset \Re^{n+m}$ $(k = 1, ...,q)$ where $\Re$ stands for the real numbers and n is the number of fuel inputs and m is the number of nonfuel net outputs. The fuel-input set is denoted:

$$X^k(y^k) = \{x: (-x, y^k) \in T^k\}.$$

Dual to each T^k is a sectoral cost function:

$$C^k(p, y^k) = \min_x\{ p'x : (-x, y^k) \in T^k\},$$

where p is the vector of strictly positive fuel-input prices. Following, Magnus and Woodland each industry cost function satisfies:

Assumption 1: The industry cost functions, $C^k(p, y^k)$ $(k = 1,...,q)$ satisfy:

a) $C^k(p, y^k)$ is defined for $p \in P$ and $y^k \in Y^k$ (the set of producible outputs for T^k).

b) Y^k is nonempty and convex.

c) $P = \{p: \ p > 0^n\}$.

d) For each $y^k \in Y^k$, $C^k(p, y^k)$ is a concave, positively linearly homogeneous, and closed function of $p \in P$.

e) $C^k(p, y^k) > 0$ for all $p \in P$, $y^k \in Y^k$ and $C^k(p, y^k) > 0$ if $y^k \neq 0^m$.

II. The Sectoral Cost Function

As Magnus and Woodland point out, each of the industry cost functions can, in principle, be estimated using data from the various industries. Unfortunately, as a general rule sufficient data do not often exist to estimate these industry-level cost functions, and lacking this data the more common empirical practice is for researchers to estimate sector-level cost functions using aggregate data. And when considering the demand for fuel inputs it is particularly common for researchers to assume that the technology underlying the aggregate sector-level cost function is consistent with homothetic separability of the fuel inputs (Fuss 1977; Griffin 1977; and Pindyck 1979). Magnus and Woodland (1990) examined the theoretical consistency of this practice under the presumption that each of the industry cost functions were also consistent with homothetic separability. They establish that this practice is consistent only if each industry fuel price index is proportional to the sectoral price index. In this section, I establish necessary and sufficient conditions for a sectoral cost function to exhibit homothetic separability when the only restrictions placed on the industry cost functions are those listed in Assumption 1. (In addition to Assumption 1 and homothetic separability in fuel inputs, Magnus and Woodland also impose a restriction on the variational properties of the net output component of the industry cost functions that is not needed in the current analysis.)

In what follows, denote

$$x = \sum_k x^k,$$

as the vector of fuel inputs applied across the q industries, and

$$X(y^1,\ldots,y^q) = \{x : x \text{ can produce } (y^1,\ldots,y^q)\}$$

as the aggregate input set . The sectoral cost function is then defined by:

$$C(p, y^1,\ldots,y^q) = \text{Min } \{p'x : x \in X(y^1,\ldots,y^q)\}.$$

Assumption 2: $C(p, y^1,\ldots,y^q) = \sum_k C^k(p, y^k)$.

Assumption 3: $C(p, y^1,\ldots,y^q) = c(p)h(y^1,\ldots,y^q)$.

Assumption 2 corresponds to Assumption 3 in Magnus and Woodland, while Assumption 3, which is implicit in Magnus and Woodland, requires the aggregate cost function to be consistent with homothetic separability, i.e., one must be able to express $X(y^1,\ldots,y^q)$ as $h(y^1,\ldots,y^q)X(1)$ where $X(1)$ is a reference input set dual to $c(p)$.

My result is:

Theorem: If the industry cost functions satisfy Assumption 1 and the sector cost function satisfies Assumptions 2 and 3, each industry cost function must be expressible as:

$$C^k(p, y^k) = b^k(p) + c(p)h^k(y^k),$$

with

$$\sum_k b^k(p) = 0,$$

and

$$h(y^1,\ldots,y^q) = \sum_k h^k(y^k).$$

Proof: That a sector cost function constructed from such industry cost functions satisfies Assumptions 2 and 3 is obvious. To go the other way, by Assumptions 2 and 3 it must be true that:

$$c(p)h(y^1,\ldots,y^q) = \Sigma_k C^k(p, y^k).$$

Pick a reference vector $p^* \in P$ and substitute it into the preceding equation to obtain using Assumption 1 (e) and Assumptions 2 and 3:

$$h(y^1,\ldots,y^q) = \Sigma_k C^k(p^*, y^k)/c(p^*) = \Sigma_k h^k(y^k)$$

after renormalization. Hence,

(a) $$c(p)\, \Sigma_k h^k(y^k) = \Sigma_k C^k(p, y^k).$$

Now set $y^k = 0$, a common reference vector, $k = 1,\ldots,q$. (Note, if the Y^k do not contain a common reference vector the proof remains unaffected if arbitrary reference vectors are chosen for each Y^k.) This yields:

(b) $$c(p)\, \Sigma_k h^k(0) = \Sigma_k C^k(p, 0).$$

By setting all $y^j = 0$ (their reference levels) for $j \neq k$ in (a), while using (b) it then follows that:

$$C^k(p, y^k) = C^k(p, 0) + c(p)(h^k(y^k) - h^k(0))$$
$$= b^k(p) + c(p)h^k(y^k),$$

using an obvious definition of $b^k(p)$. Summing $b^k(p)$ over k yields the final restriction in the Theorem. **QED**

The implication of the theorem is perhaps more intuitive if cast in terms of fuel input sets. Using McFadden's (1978) composition rules, the input sets associated with the industry cost functions must satisfy:

$$X^k(y^k) = B^k(1) + h^k(y^k)X(1).$$

The sector cost function exhibits homothetic separability in fuel inputs if and only each industry input set can be written as the sum of two input sets: One $B^k(1)$, dual to $b^k(p)$, is industry specific but independent of the level of the nonfuel net outputs produced in the

industry. The other $h^k(y^k)X(1)$, dual to $c(p)h^k(y^k)$, is consistent with homothetic separability in fuel inputs and is proportional to the reference input set dual to c(p), the sector fuel price index. One might, thus, refer to these input sets as *quasi-homothetically separable*. The adding-up restriction on the $b^k(p)$ functions implies that aggregation generally is only possible if the industry-cost functions exhibit externalities. (An exception occurs when the industry cost functions are homothetically separable in fuel inputs.) To see why this must be true, notice that the restriction that $\sum_k b^k(p) = 0$ implies that at least one $B^k(1)$ must be expressible as minus the sum of the remaining $B^j(1)$ $j \neq k$. This can be most easily seen by noting that by duality:

$$\begin{aligned} B^k(1) &= \{x: p'x \geq b^k(p) \text{ for all } p \in P\} \\ &= \{x: p'x \geq -\textstyle\sum_{j\neq k} b^j(p) \text{ for all } p \in P\} \\ &= \{x : p' \textstyle\sum_{j\neq k} x^j \geq -\sum_{j\neq k} b^j(p) \text{ for all } p \in P,\ x = \sum_{j\neq k} x^j\} \\ &= -\textstyle\sum_{j\neq k} B^j(1). \end{aligned}$$

Intuitively, the Theorem states that aggregate homothetic separability is only possible if each industry cost function consists of a price index, $b^k(p)$, that is specific to the industry, but which must obey the across industry constraint, and the aggregate price index, c(p), multiplied by the industry's contribution to aggregate net output, $h^k(y^k)$.

Corollary: If the industry cost functions are homothetically separable in fuel inputs and satisfy Assumption 1, and the sector cost function satisfies Assumptions 2 and 3, each industry cost function must be expressible as:

$$C^k(p, y^k) = c(p)h^k(y^k).$$

The Corollary covers the special case considered by Magnus and Woodland under our weaker assumptions. The normalization, without loss of generality, is chosen to incorporate their factor of proportionality into $h^k(y^k)$.

III. Conclusion

This paper has deduced necessary and sufficient conditions for a sector cost function to be consistent with homothetic separability in fuel inputs.

References

Fuss, M. (1977) "The Demand for Energy in Canadian Manufacturing: An Example of the Estimation of Production Structures with Many Inputs" ***Journal of Econometrics 5,*** 89-116.

Griffin, J. M. (1977) "Inter-fuel Substitution Possibilities: A Translog application to Intercountry Data." ***International Economic Review 18,*** 755-70.

Magnus, J.R. and A. D. Woodland. (1990) "Separability and Aggregation." ***Economica*** 57, 239-248.

McFadden, D. (1978) "Cost, Revenue, and Profit Functions" in M. Fuss and D. McFadden (eds.)***Production Economics: A Dual Approach to Theory and Applications, vol1.*** Amsterdam: North Holland/Elseveir.

Pindyck, R.S. (1979) "Interfuel Substitution and the Industrial Demand for Energy: An International Comparison". ***Review of Economics and Statistics 61,*** 169-79.