

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search. 

## Help ensure our sustainability. Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from AgEcon Search may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

# Supermarket Selection by Singles in the Midwest 

By<br>Dr. Ron Larson<br>Associate Professor, Haworth College of Business<br>Western Michigan University<br>Kalamazoo, MI 49008-5430<br>ron.larson@wmich.edu<br>and<br>Kelley Steinhofer<br>Recent Haworth College of Business and Lee Honors College Graduate<br>Western Michigan University

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the American Agricultural Economics Association Annual Meeting, Providence, Rhode Island, July 24-27, 2005

This research was partially supported by the Lee Honors College and by the Albertson's Company

Copyright 2005 by Dr. Ronald B. Larson. All rights reserved.
Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on such copies

# Supermarket Selection by Singles in the Midwest 

By Dr. Ron Larson and Kelley Steinhofer


#### Abstract

This study focused on the attributes singles aged 25 to 39 want in stores. Young single without children were mailed a survey about their supermarket attribute preferences and shopping and eating habits. Most of their preferences were similar to national averages. However, some common preconceptions were not supported.


# Supermarket Selection by Singles in the Midwest 

By Dr. Ron Larson and Kelley Steinhofer

Many supermarkets are stocking larger, "family-size" packages and using more multiplepurchase promotions as competition becomes more intense. Some consumers prefer the larger, "club packs" that were originally only available at warehouse stores (Nelson 2002; Turcsik 2004). Both tactics are believed to increase consumption and sales (Wansink 1996; Wansink Kent, and Hoch 1998). However, larger packages and multiple-purchase promotions may not appeal to an important and growing segment of the population: singles (e.g., Pressler 2003; Oldenburg 2004). According to the U.S. Census Bureau (2004; 1995), the married population increased by 31.5 percent between 1970 and 2003. However, the unmarried population, aged 18 years and over, increased 133.4 percent during that same period. Older singles, including members of the "mature" or "Baby Boomer" generations, are fairly well understood by grocers because they have been selling them products for many years. However, younger singles, typically considered part of "Generation X," are often assumed to be different and to want new combinations of goods and services. Because the single population is expected to increase steadily over the next thirty years (Morrow, 2003), it is important for retailers to learn how to market to different subgroups within this important population segment.

Very little research currently exists on the market of young, single adult consumers. Of the articles that do exist, most either view singles as one distinct segment of the total population, compare them to other segments such as households with children, or differentiate them by the factors that have caused them to remain single. Because of the limited research in this area, many retailers are forced to guess about the shopping habits of young singles and about what products
and services they want. Some of these preconceptions might include that young singles seldom cook and rely heavily on takeout or delivery, that they just buy a few items at the supermarket (i.e., small transaction sizes), that they shop many stores and have little store loyalty, that they prefer natural, organic, specialty gourmet and ethnic items, and that they do not use coupons or other promotional offers. This study focuses on young single adults within a defined age range, 25-39 years or "Generation X," who reside in one region and are currently without any children. By surveying young singles within a limited geographic area, this research will help identify any unique supermarket shopping preferences for this growing population segment and examine some common preconceptions.

## Background

Traditional supermarkets have lost significant market share to new retail formats (e.g., supercenters, club stores, etc.). Between 1988 and 2003, their share of grocery and other consumable sales dropped from about 90 percent to about 56 percent (Moses 2005). The number of trips to grocery stores by the average household fell from 92 per year in 1995 to 69 per year in 2004 (Promo 2005). In response to this competition, many retailers have tried to differentiate themselves by adding new services and offering unique products. Larson (2003) suggested that the "drive for differentiation" is a major trend affecting the industry. Ratchford (2003) developed an index of the services offered by supermarkets. His index increased by 67 percent between 1981 and 1995. However, supermarkets must be careful with their use of differentiation. Manufacturers have learned that the addition of new features to products can reduce purchases by people who are not interested in those features (Simonson, Carmon and O'Curry 1994;

Mukherjee and Hoyer 2001). If retailers add features that some customers do not appreciate
(e.g., child care centers, cooking schools, etc.), these customers may shift more of their shopping to other stores. Because the profit margins are slim in the supermarket industry [net profit of under 1 percent during the last two years according to the Food Marketing Institute (2005)], most retailers strive to maintain high volume levels. They would prefer to use differentiation to attract a larger portion of some shoppers' spending without losing sales or shopping trips from other shoppers. Ultimately, the features that appeal to one group will hopefully not encourage others to shop elsewhere.

Many studies and surveys have looked at what the average consumer wants in a supermarket. Table 1 shows the results from Progressive Grocer surveys from the years 2000 to 2004 (Progressive Grocer 2002; Doyle 2003; Heller and McTaggart 2004; Heller and McTaggart 2005). There was considerable consistency in the attribute ratings. Other research has found that store attributes can affect a retailer's image (Hansen and Deutscher 1977-1978), that the type of promotions used can affect store preferences (Smith and Sinha 2000), and store attributes can affect patronage decisions (Arnold, Oum and Tigert 1983).

Unfortunately, average data could conceal important differences in what some segments of the population are interested in. There is considerable evidence that singles have different preferences and shopping behaviors. Unlike the average consumer population, singles are typically astute in what they are looking for, more food savvy, less price-sensitive, and more conscious of their personal tastes. They can afford to indulge more on themselves and tend to do so with specialty, high-priced goods. Singles may be less likely to stock-up on items when they shop and are often less likely to purchase large-sized items or high-impulse items (Lewandowsk, 2004). Young singles may not purchase as many high-impulse items on a specific shopping trip, but they are more likely to purchase a number of impulse items over the course of many shopping
trips. One study on in-store consumer decision making found that 61.8 percent of purchases made by consumers less than 45 years old were unplanned compared to 56.2 percent for consumers 45 years and older (Inman and Winder 1998). The younger shoppers specifically planned only 27.2 percent of their total purchases compared to 32.9 percent of total purchases by older shoppers. A University of Alabama study on the shopping attitudes and behaviors of single versus multiple-person household shoppers ( 76 percent of the consumers surveyed were singles under 35 years old) found that these shoppers did not engage in pre-shopping planning activities such as scanning the newspapers ads or collecting coupons (Ezell and Russell 1985). Many singles are more pressed for time and more concerned with ease and quickness of shopping rather than saving money on their purchases.

According to Donthu and Gilliland (2002), singles tend to identify more closely with brand names. The lifestyle depicted by the brand may be a reflection of their own. By choosing a certain set of brands they may be assuring themselves of their lifestyle. When it comes to choosing a store brand or a national brand, singles do not consider the store brand as important and are more likely to purchase their favorite national brand regardless of the price (Lewandowski 2004). Singles are able to shop around different stores, if they so desire, for the exact flavors and sizes of products they want without having to cart kids in and out of stores or consult with a spouse before shopping. Despite the ability to shop more than one store, singles prefer to stick to stores that provide them with quick and convenient shopping. Many singles shop for groceries at offpeak hours and look for stores that accommodate their busy schedules, that are suitable for easy in-and-out shopping trips, and that provide easy, ready-to-eat meal solutions (Lewandowski 2004). Retailers can act on this opportunity by positioning and promoting their stores as places suitable for short trips with frequently purchased items at the front of the store and speedy check-
outs (Veiders 2004). Since most singles live fast-paced and busy lives, they prefer these timesaving conveniences.

Besides looking at whether a person is single or married, another variable that may be important is a person's age. Joyce and Lambert (1996) found that age has an important influence on the perceptions of a store's image. Smith and Clurman (1997) popularized the generational system of classifying consumers based on their ages in the 1997 book, Rocking the Ages. They argued that each cohort group had different preferences than other generations and that these differences were likely to continue as they grew older. A person's experiences when they were young molds their interests and values. Since "Generation X" members had similar experiences in their youth, their preferences will continue to differ from the older "Baby Boomer" and "mature" generations. This segmentation model suggests that it is important to look at specific age groups to better understand their current and future purchase behaviors.

The generational model by Smith and Clurman (1997) has been criticized in two ways. First, some people argue that there are more than four cohorts or generational groups. Pontell preferred five groups and American Demographics used seven (Wellner 2000). Noble and Schewe (2003) used seven groupings but did not find strong support for their segmentation system. The other criticism with the generational model deals with the assumption that members of the generation will not change their purchase behaviors. The alternative life stage approach suggests that specific events (e.g., marriage, births of children, children leaving home, etc.) will generate large shifts in purchases (Kim 1990; Arndt 1979). This approach argues that if an individual is single, this lifestyle causes purchases and preferences to differ from those of individuals who are married and age has less influence on behaviors. It is likely the approach that works the best will vary by the type of different product or service under study. This research will
shed some light on whether the generational model can help identify important differences in preferences for supermarket attributes.

## Methodology

To learn about the preferences of young singles, a customized mailing list of 2000 names and addresses was purchased. The geography selected was the Northern half of Illinois and the Southern half of Michigan. By limiting the area covered, biases from variations in regional preferences were reduced. In Larson's $(1998,2004)$ analyses of regional food consumption patterns, this area tended to be in the same grouping. The geographic restriction also limited the variety of stores that consumers patronized. The list was also restricted to "Generation X" singles with no children. The survey was distributed in the Fall of 2004. Roughly 5 percent of the addresses were undeliverable and more than 270 usable responses were received (response rate of about 15 percent). About 46 responses were dropped from the analysis because they reported being married, 12 responses were dropped because they fell outside the 25-39 year-old age bracket, and 41 responses were dropped because children were present in the household (i.e., children might affect which store attributes appealed to shoppers). The remaining 178 responses were used in the analysis.

The survey instrument was patterned after national surveys on what attributes shoppers want in supermarkets (Table 1). These surveys asked shoppers to rate the importance of 45 store attributes. Most attributes in the national surveys were repeated in this survey and more than 20 new store attributes were added that might be of interest to young singles (Table 2). In addition, respondents were asked about 18 shopping and eating habits (Table 3). Respondents rated store attributes on a 7-point scale ("Very important" to "Not important at all") and rated the frequency
of their shopping and eating habits on a 7-point scale ("Every shopping trip" or "Every day" to 'Never"). They also were asked 17 demographic and shopping questions (e.g., education, time to reach primary store, average spending per shopping trip, etc.). By comparing the national survey with this young singles survey, it should be possible to identify differences in what singles want.

## Results

At first glance, young singles seemed to desire many of the same attributes as the typical supermarket shopper (Table 4). Two of the top three attributes, "Cleanliness of store" ( 6.40 out of 7) and "Low prices" (6.37), were rated one and two in national surveys. The attribute rated lowest, "Video store/rental services" (1.98), was at the bottom of the national surveys. Several important differences were noted in bold in Table 4. "Convenient hours of operation" was ranked number one by singles. About 13 percent of respondents said their primary shopping trips were usually late at night or early in the morning. The national surveys had a similar attribute that rated thirty-second. Another difference was in the importance of "Debit/credit card acceptance." In the national surveys, this attribute ranked 21 out of 45 items. Young singles ranked it 4 out of 62 items. The highest ranked new (added) attributes in the singles survey were "Adequate lighting," ranked number 13, and "Visible and easy to read signs," ranked number 14. Although good lighting and clear signage are often emphasized as key attributes for appealing to "matures," they may also be important for supermarkets who are attempting to appeal to young singles.

Many of the added attributes were near the bottom of the rankings. "Photo developing services," "Coffee shops/drink stations," "Greeter at front entrance," "Food delivery services," "In-store restaurant/eating areas," and "Cooking schools" all received average scores below 3.0 from the 7-point scale. One might have expected that "Prepared food/meal solutions center"
would get high marks from young singles. However, this attribute was ranked number 49 out of 62 (3.86 average on the 7-point scale). In the national surveys, "Sells fully prepared takeout meals" was ranked number 44 out of 45 . Take-out food from supermarkets apparently is not considered an important offering by most respondents. Another preconception about singles is that they expect stores to carry demand natural, organic, gourmet, and ethnic foods. The gourmet/specialty food attribute was number 44, the ethnic food attribute was number 46, and the natural or organic food attribute was number 54 among the 62 store attributes.

Survey respondents were also asked about shopping and eating habits (Table 5). Two habits received an average score of at least 5 out of 7: "Stock up on items when you find a bargain" (5.05) and "Create a shopping list" (5.02). Both these habits appeared to be quite conventional and were ranked higher than "Buy in smaller, single-serving sizes" (4.31). This suggests that single-serving sizes may not be particularly important for most singles. "Use coupons retrieved from the internet" (2.30) had the lowest score among the 18 shopping or eating habit questions.

Singles may be more promotion-responsive than some might assume. Only 36 percent of the respondents said they did not redeem any discount coupons on a typical shopping trip. About 43.5 percent said they usually redeemed one to three coupons and 13.0 percent said they usually redeemed four to six coupons. Although "Frequent shopper program or savings club" was ranked number forty out of 62 attributes, this was not that different than the national survey findings (number thirty out of 45). Many retailers might expect singles to be particularly disinterested in these programs and not target promotional communications at them. Perhaps they would respond to the right promotional message. Nearly half of the respondents said their primary supermarket had a shopper loyalty or frequency reward program.

The demographic and shopping questions identified a few unique characteristics. More than half of the sample said they shopped at a supermarket three or fewer times per month. For supermarkets, 2.02 times per week is the national average (Heller and McTaggart 2005). When young singles shopped, the average amount they spent was well above the national average transaction size of $\$ 34$. Nearly half the respondents said they spent at least $\$ 60$ per shopping trip. Although they do not shop often, this high spending per trip may make young singles quite profitable for supermarkets. This also suggests that supermarkets may not be able to identify their single customers using transaction sizes.

Another question dealt with how long it took young singles to reach their primary supermarket (about 95 percent drove to reach the store). About 71 percent said it took them 10 minutes or less. "Convenient store location" was ranked number 6 out of 62 in the important store attributes section (and number 8 out of 45 in the national surveys). This suggests that young singles probably are not more willing than the typical consumer to travel far to shop at a different supermarket.

Because of the large number of store attribute and shopping and eating habit variables, factor analysis was used to reduce the data. Principle component analysis in SPSS 12.0 was used to form uncorrelated linear combinations of the 62 store attributes. It was also used to form factors out of the 18 shopping and habit variables. A varimax rotation helped simplify the interpretation of both sets of factors (SPSS 2004). Table 6 shows the 17 store attribute factors and 5 shopping and eating habit factors. These interpretations came from the rotated component matrices, Tables 7 and 8 . The factors are listed across the top of these two tables.

These factors were the independent variables and overall store satisfaction was the dependent variable in linear regression. Gomez, McLaughlin, and Wittink (2004) found customer
satisfaction to be closely associated with supermarket performance. This regression attempts to identify which attributes may be linked with higher store satisfaction. Only one factor was significant at the 5 percent confidence level in the linear regression model (Table 9). This factor appeared to represent some economizing behaviors because it was linked with buying store brands and larger package sizes. Young singles who said they regularly bought store brands and larger package sizes tended to be more satisfied with their primary store. Perhaps if supermarkets increased their store brand sampling events, they could introduce more young singles to store brands and boost their satisfaction. Not of the other factors were significantly linked with higher store satisfaction levels.

## Conclusion

Overall, young singles may not want a significantly different mix of products and services in supermarkets. Most of the attributes they rated high were quite similar to what the average shopper wanted. Two highly-rated store features, convenient hours and debit/credit card acceptance, could be highlighted in targeted communications to "Generation X." Several other attributes desired by young singles, adequate lighting and easy-to-read signage, are probably also desired by mature customers, thus making them promising areas to explore and improve. Another opportunity, store brand promotions, is likely to appeal to many customer groups and not just young singles. In general, most stores probably would be better served by focusing on what their average customer is seeking and not trying to guess what attributes would attract more young singles to their stores. Many of the common assumptions about what young singles want are not supported in the data. Their supermarket preferences do not seem to be sufficiently unique, at least in the Midwest, to justify new capital expenditures or major marketing efforts.

Table 1. Rankings of Store Attributes from Progressive Grocer National Surveys, 2000-2004

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Store Attribute | $\underline{\text { Rank }}$ |  | $\underline{\text { Rank }}$ |  | Rank |

## Table 2. Store Attributes Included in Survey of Young Singles

| Store Attributes | Abbreviation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ability to find products easily on the shelf | S1FinPro |
| Product availability (limited number of OOS) | S2Avail |
| Store brands or private label selection | S3SBrand |
| Gourmet/specialty foods selection | S4Gourm |
| Natural/organic foods selection | S5NatOrg |
| Ethnic foods selection | S6Ethnic |
| Wide variety of new products in each category | S7Variet |
| Wide variety of new items | S8NewItm |
| Freshness date marked on products | S9Fresh |
| Nutritional Information about the product | S10Nutri |
| Cooking ideas/tips/recipes | S11CokID |
| Accurate shelf tags and price information | S12Tags |
| Ease of moving around the store | S13Move |
| Wide aisles | S14WideA |
| Visible and easy to read signs | S15Signs |
| Commodities easily accessible | S16Comm |
| Ease of comparing products on the shelf | S17Compr |
| One-stop shopping capabilities | S18OneSt |
| Store not usually overcrowded | S19Crowd |
| Grocery cart/basket availability | S20Cart |
| Close and ample parking | S21Park |
| Prepared food/meal solutions center | S22Meals |
| Fast cashier check-out | S23Check |
| Self-scanning check-out | S24Self |
| Baggers on duty | S25Bagg |
| Greeter at front entrance | S26Greet |
| Full-service deli | S27Deli |
| Alcohol section | S28Alcoh |
| Price scanning accuracy | S29PScan |
| Bulk foods section | S30Bulk |
| In-store restaurants/eating areas | S31Restr |


| Store Attributes | Abbreviation |
| :--- | :--- |
| In-store pharmacy | S32Pharm |
| Floral department | S33Flora |
| Coffee shops/drink stations | S34Coffe |
| Cooking schools | S35Cooki |
| Video store/rental services | S36Video |
| Photo developing services | S37Photo |
| Frequent shopper program or savings club | S38Frequ |
| Debit/credit card acceptance | S39Credi |
| ATMs/banks in the store | S40ATM |
| Food delivery services | S41Deliv |
| Frequent sales or specials | S42Sales |
| Food demonstrations/samples | S43Demos |
| Security features in and outside of store | S44Secur |
| Single-serving foods | S45SinSr |
| Low prices | S46LPric |
| Coupons available in the store | S47Coups |
| Convenient store location | S48Conve |
| Pleasant aromas inside store | S49Aroma |
| Convenient hours of operation | S50Hours |
| Store manager on duty | S51Manag |
| Friendly, knowledgeable employees | S52Emplo |
| Pleasant store atmosphere/decor | S53Atmos |
| Cleanliness of store | S54Clean |
| Adequate lighting throughout store | S55Light |
| Pleasant background music | S56Music |
| Good dairy department | S57Dairy |
| Full-service butcher/meat department | S58SMeat |
| Good frozen food department | S59Frozn |
| Fresh seafood department/sushi bar | S60SeaFd |
| Good produce department | S61Produ |
| Fresh meat | S62FMeat |
|  |  |

Table 3. Shopping and Eating Habit Attributes Used in Young Singles Survey

Shopping and Eating Habit Attributes
Stock up on items when you find a bargain
Buy store brands instead of national brands
Use in-store coupons or weekly ad coupons
Use coupons received in the mail
Use coupons retrieved from internet
Buy products because they are on sale
Use mail-in rebates for cash refunds
Buy in larger package sizes
Buy in smaller, single-serving sizes
Create a shopping list before going grocery stopping
Buy only what's on your shopping list
Go to stores other than primary grocery store for specials/sale items
Participate in store loyalty, frequent shopper, or savings club programs
Dine out at full-service restaurants
Eat out at fast food establishments
Eat take-out or home delivery meals at home
Eat ready-made meals bought from the grocery store
Eat home-cooked meals at home

Abbreviation
H1Barga
H2SBran
H3SCoup
H4MCoup
H5ICoup
H6Sale
H7Rebat
H8LSizes
H9SSizes
H10List
H11BList
H12OStor
H13Loyal
H14Rest
H15FFood
H16TakO
H17RMeal
H18HMeal

Table 4. Ranking of Store Attributes from Young Singles Survey

| Store Attribute | Rank | 7 to 1 Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convenient hours of operation | 1 | 6.40 |
| Cleanliness of store | 2 | 6.40 |
| Low prices | 3 | 6.37 |
| Debit/credit card acceptance | 4 | 6.32 |
| Accurate shelf tags and price information | 5 | 6.29 |
| Convenient store location | 6 | 6.28 |
| Price scanning accuracy | 7 | 6.24 |
| Good produce department | 8 | 6.21 |
| Fast cashier check-out | 9 | 6.18 |
| Freshness date marked on products | 10 | 6.10 |
| Ease of moving around the store | 11 | 6.08 |
| Product availability (limited number of out-of-stocks) | 12 | 6.07 |
| Adequate lighting throughout store | 13 | 6.03 |
| Visible and easy to read signs | 14 | 5.98 |
| Friendly, knowledgeable employees | 15 | 5.98 |
| Fresh meat | 16 | 5.97 |
| Ability to find products easily on the shelf | 17 | 5.91 |
| Good dairy department | 18 | 5.91 |
| One-stop shopping capabilities | 19 | 5.89 |
| Frequent sales or specials | 20 | 5.89 |
| Wide aisles | 21 | 5.83 |
| Store not usually overcrowded | 22 | 5.69 |
| Pleasant store atmosphere/decor | 23 | 5.62 |
| Grocery cart/basket availability | 24 | 5.60 |
| Good frozen food department | 25 | 5.59 |
| Commodities easily accessible (e.g., bread and milk) | 26 | 5.50 |
| Ease of comparing products on the shelf | 27 | 5.42 |
| Close and ample parking | 28 | 5.38 |
| Nutritional information about the product | 29 | 5.38 |
| Wide variety of new products in each category | 30 | 5.37 |
| Coupons available in the store | 31 | 5.27 |
| Full-service butcher/meat department | 32 | 5.26 |
| Pleasant aromas inside store | 33 | 5.11 |
| Full-service deli | 34 | 4.88 |
| Security features in and outside of store | 35 | 4.86 |
| Wide variety of new items | 36 | 4.76 |
| Baggers on duty | 37 | 4.55 |
| Single-serving foods | 38 | 4.55 |
| Store brands or private label selection | 39 | 4.51 |
| Frequent shopper program or savings club | 40 | 4.47 |

Table 4. Ranking of Store Attributes from Young Singles Survey, Continued

| Store Attribute | Rank | Survey Ranking <br> 7 to 1 Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Store manager on duty | 41 | 4.46 |
| Self-scanning check-out | 42 | 4.44 |
| ATMs/banks in the store | 43 | 4.42 |
| Gourmet/specialty foods selection | 44 | 4.17 |
| Alcohol section | 45 | 4.11 |
| Ethnic foods selection | 46 | 4.08 |
| Fresh seafood department/sushi bar | 47 | 4.07 |
| Pleasant background music | 48 | 4.01 |
| Prepared food/meal solutions center | 49 | 3.86 |
| Bulk foods section | 50 | 3.79 |
| Cooking ideas/tips/recipes | 51 | 3.75 |
| Food demonstrations/samples | 52 | 3.68 |
| Natural or organic foods selection | 53 | 3.40 |
| In-store pharmacy | 54 | 3.35 |
| Floral department | 55 | 3.25 |
| Photo developing services | 56 | 2.79 |
| Coffee shops/drink stations | 57 | 2.73 |
| Greeter at front entrance | 58 | 2.55 |
| Food delivery services | 59 | 2.28 |
| In-store restaurants/eating areas | 60 | 2.27 |
| Cooking schools | 61 | 2.13 |
| Video store/rental services | 62 | 1.98 |

Table 5. Ranking of Shopping and Eating Habits from Young Singles Survey

| Shopping and Eating Habits | Rank | Survey Ranking <br> 7 to 1 Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock up on items when you find a bargain | 1 | 5.05 |
| Create a shopping list before going grocery shopping | 2 | 5.02 |
| Eat home-cooked meals at home | 3 | 4.99 |
| Participate in store loyalty, frequent shopper, or savings club programs | 4 | 4.75 |
| Buy store brands instead of national brands | 5 | 4.53 |
| Use in-store coupons or weekly ad coupons | 6 | 4.42 |
| Buy in smaller, single-serving sizes | 7 | 4.31 |
| Go to stores other than primary grocery store for specials/sale items | 8 | 4.24 |
| Buy products just because they are on sale | 9 | 4.13 |
| Buy in larger package sizes | 10 | 3.96 |
| Use coupons received in the mail | 11 | 3.93 |
| Dine out at full-service restaurants | 12 | 3.93 |
| Eat out at fast food establishments | 13 | 3.79 |
| Eat take-out or home delivery meals at home | 14 | 3.70 |
| Buy only what's on your shopping list | 15 | 3.66 |
| Eat ready-made meals bought from the grocery store | 16 | 3.36 |
| Use mail-in rebates for cash refunds | 17 | 3.19 |
| Use coupons retrieved from internet | 18 | 2.30 |

Table 6. Factor Analysis Results

## Store Attributes

Factor 1 Extra Services (Video store, Cooking schools, In-store restaurant, Coffee shop)
Factor 2 Store Atmosphere (Friendly, knowledgeable employees, Adequate Lighting, Pleasant atmosphere, Cleanliness of store)
Factor 3 Price Accuracy (Accurate shelf tags, Price scanning accuracy)
Factor 4 Fast Shopping (Ease of moving around the store, Wide Aisles)
Factor 5 Meat Department (Full service butcher, Fresh meat)
Factor 6 Niche Products (Natural/organic foods, Gourmet/specialty foods, Ethnic foods)
Factor 7 Promotions (Frequent sales or specials, Frequent shopper program)
Factor 8 Label Information (Freshness date marked on products, Nutritional information)
Factor 9 Convenience (Convenient store location, ATMs/banks in the store)
Factor 10 Meal Components (Alcohol section, Prepared food, Single-serving foods)
Factor 11 Variety (Wide variety of products, Wide variety of new items)
Factor 12 Crowding (Store not usually crowded, Grocery cart/basket availability)
Factor 13 Drug Store (Photo developing services, In-store pharmacy)
Factor 14 One Stop (One-stop shopping capabilities, Ability to find products easily)
Factor 15 Payment (Debit/credit card acceptance)
Factor 16 Checkout (Self-scanning check-out, Fast cashier checkout)
Factor 17 Private Label (Store brand selection)

## Shopping and Eating Habits

Factor 1 Promotionally Responsive (Use coupons receive in the mail, Use instore coupons, Use coupons retrieved from the internet, Use mail-in rebates)
Factor 2 Shopping List (Buy only what's on shopping list, Create a shopping list)
Factor 3 Foodservice (Eat out at fast food establishments, Eat take-out or home delivery, Dine out at full service restaurants)
Factor 4 Economizing (Buy store brands, Buy in larger package sizes)
Factor 5 Quick Meals (Buy in smaller, single-serving sizes, Eat ready-made meals bought from the grocery store)

Table 7. Rotated Component Matrix for Store Attributes

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1FinPro | -. 007 | . 180 | . 003 | . 502 | . 102 | . 116 | . 158 | . 164 | -. 011 | -. 029 | . 209 | -. 050 | . 084 | . 439 | . 153 | -. 017 | -. 167 |
| S2Avail | -. 080 | . 068 | . 110 | . 497 | . 041 | . 176 | . 034 | . 376 | . 040 | -. 006 | . 325 | -. 210 | -. 002 | . 086 | . 109 | -. 143 | -. 052 |
| S3SBrand | . 081 | -. 024 | . 140 | . 071 | . 078 | . 081 | . 163 | . 040 | -. 069 | . 237 | . 068 | . 211 | . 005 | . 112 | -. 136 | -. 031 | . 688 |
| S4Gourm | . 079 | . 120 | -. 027 | . 010 | . 121 | . 795 | -. 090 | -. 010 | -. 075 | . 231 | . 046 | -. 103 | . 057 | -. 084 | . 075 | . 027 | . 034 |
| S5NatOrg | . 165 | . 038 | . 020 | -. 140 | -. 103 | . 806 | . 024 | . 101 | . 036 | . 019 | . 060 | . 105 | -. 013 | . 012 | -. 020 | -. 003 | -. 027 |
| S6Ethnic | . 117 | . 088 | -. 021 | . 046 | . 010 | . 784 | -. 005 | -. 023 | . 208 | -. 167 | . 192 | . 012 | . 053 | . 005 | -. 032 | . 006 | . 062 |
| S7Variet | . 079 | . 088 | . 143 | . 123 | . 166 | . 163 | . 163 | . 201 | . 001 | -. 154 | . 761 | -. 011 | . 095 | . 074 | -. 050 | . 003 | . 097 |
| S8NewItm | . 265 | . 072 | . 091 | . 090 | . 018 | . 160 | . 075 | -. 067 | . 190 | . 088 | . 728 | . 097 | -. 067 | -. 095 | . 190 | -. 083 | -. 061 |
| S9Fresh | . 105 | . 249 | . 312 | . 158 | . 097 | . 013 | . 098 | . 714 | -. 070 | . 013 | . 118 | -. 038 | . 037 | . 147 | . 038 | . 151 | . 054 |
| S10Nutri | . 199 | . 161 | . 188 | . 137 | -. 164 | . 124 | . 116 | . 583 | . 270 | . 217 | . 143 | -. 009 | -. 017 | . 064 | -. 213 | . 143 | -. 080 |
| S11CokID | . 403 | . 044 | . 119 | . 262 | . 150 | . 360 | . 197 | . 042 | . 104 | . 149 | . 302 | . 176 | -. 120 | . 008 | . 074 | . 139 | . 104 |
| S12Tags | . 003 | . 181 | . 761 | . 147 | . 037 | . 009 | . 176 | . 091 | . 046 | . 021 | . 132 | -. 031 | . 026 | . 132 | . 016 | . 077 | . 109 |
| S13Move | . 129 | . 144 | . 053 | . 868 | -. 119 | -. 064 | . 060 | . 040 | . 028 | . 091 | -. 044 | . 094 | . 010 | . 080 | . 011 | -. 012 | . 007 |
| S14WideA | . 145 | . 165 | . 142 | . 815 | . 065 | -. 107 | . 087 | -. 016 | . 033 | . 011 | . 090 | . 154 | . 092 | . 052 | -. 112 | . 085 | . 032 |
| S15Signs | . 014 | . 211 | . 321 | . 668 | . 214 | . 006 | . 034 | . 144 | . 174 | -. 058 | . 068 | . 084 | . 008 | -. 011 | -. 029 | . 042 | . 227 |
| S16Comm | . 069 | . 335 | . 308 | . 276 | . 244 | -. 100 | . 120 | . 405 | . 162 | -. 001 | -. 033 | . 071 | -. 139 | . 095 | . 082 | -. 055 | . 299 |
| S17Compr | . 156 | . 246 | . 592 | . 115 | -. 002 | . 129 | . 095 | . 022 | . 227 | -. 013 | -. 069 | . 091 | -. 145 | . 295 | . 045 | -. 143 | . 194 |
| S18OneSt | . 144 | -. 089 | . 293 | . 179 | -. 037 | -. 171 | -. 027 | . 068 | -. 014 | -. 022 | -. 041 | . 166 | . 096 | . 658 | -. 002 | . 062 | . 138 |
| S19Crowd | -. 058 | . 041 | . 001 | . 104 | . 047 | . 020 | -. 048 | -. 017 | . 051 | . 031 | . 013 | . 822 | . 070 | . 030 | . 132 | . 149 | . 152 |
| S20Cart | . 039 | . 156 | . 287 | . 225 | . 116 | . 133 | . 202 | . 068 | . 001 | . 003 | . 143 | . 546 | -. 105 | . 342 | -. 134 | . 021 | -. 117 |
| S21Park | -. 048 | . 444 | -. 037 | . 124 | . 097 | -. 106 | . 038 | . 159 | -. 046 | . 345 | -. 118 | . 136 | . 178 | . 269 | . 047 | . 237 | . 286 |
| S22Meals | . 315 | . 313 | . 040 | . 118 | . 246 | . 037 | -. 041 | . 120 | -. 137 | . 584 | . 051 | . 009 | -. 144 | -. 010 | . 164 | . 086 | . 006 |
| S23Check | . 052 | . 235 | . 078 | . 095 | . 035 | -. 057 | . 048 | . 178 | . 313 | . 191 | -. 121 | . 233 | . 130 | . 228 | -. 010 | . 565 | . 002 |
| S24Self | . 160 | -. 032 | . 063 | -. 015 | . 067 | . 070 | . 217 | . 038 | -. 054 | -. 090 | -. 006 | . 067 | . 010 | -. 040 | . 133 | . 747 | . 000 |
| S25Bagg | . 274 | . 043 | . 014 | -. 010 | . 190 | . 021 | . 168 | . 187 | -. 109 | . 308 | . 049 | . 308 | . 120 | . 189 | . 173 | -. 117 | -. 467 |
| S26Greet | . 554 | . 090 | . 069 | . 115 | . 208 | -. 030 | . 149 | . 097 | . 027 | -. 001 | -. 164 | . 108 | . 054 | . 127 | . 163 | -. 147 | . 013 |
| S27Deli | . 155 | . 130 | . 086 | . 026 | . 639 | . 076 | . 028 | . 012 | . 011 | . 397 | . 153 | . 035 | . 030 | -. 094 | . 071 | . 121 | -. 015 |
| S28Alcoh | . 132 | . 049 | . 054 | -. 089 | . 221 | . 139 | . 044 | -. 105 | . 017 | . 642 | -. 129 | -. 039 | . 248 | -. 044 | -. 031 | -. 055 | . 079 |
| S29PScan | -. 035 | . 187 | . 708 | . 064 | . 039 | . 008 | . 214 | . 168 | -. 022 | . 122 | . 000 | . 035 | . 111 | -. 066 | . 020 | . 176 | -. 127 |
| S30Bulk | . 402 | . 173 | . 304 | . 084 | -. 017 | . 074 | . 288 | . 039 | . 040 | . 138 | -. 023 | . 261 | . 343 | -. 213 | -. 146 | -. 038 | . 064 |
| S31Restr | . 724 | . 096 | . 027 | . 054 | . 046 | -. 091 | . 036 | . 011 | . 006 | . 169 | . 222 | . 035 | . 046 | . 155 | -. 044 | . 043 | . 011 |
| S32Pharm | . 430 | . 049 | . 050 | . 035 | . 017 | . 032 | . 009 | -. 054 | . 231 | . 023 | . 213 | -. 025 | . 551 | . 185 | . 137 | . 080 | . 109 |

Table 7. Rotated Component Matrix for Store Attributes, Continued

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S33Flora | . 483 | . 171 | -. 028 | . 112 | . 170 | . 047 | . 004 | . 105 | -. 071 | . 095 | . 051 | -. 133 | . 392 | . 112 | . 261 | . 089 | -. 043 |
| S34Coffe | . 711 | . 087 | -. 109 | . 046 | -. 040 | . 106 | -. 055 | . 119 | -. 022 | . 163 | . 013 | -. 095 | . 160 | . 107 | -. 094 | . 217 | . 106 |
| S35Cooki | . 776 | . 109 | -. 007 | . 013 | -. 001 | . 236 | -. 001 | -. 035 | -. 030 | . 016 | . 137 | -. 016 | -. 165 | -. 096 | -. 017 | . 035 | -. 136 |
| S36Video | . 784 | -. 045 | . 046 | . 089 | . 196 | . 129 | -. 022 | . 068 | -. 047 | -. 027 | . 019 | . 053 | . 182 | -. 023 | . 000 | . 106 | . 024 |
| S37Photo | . 406 | . 084 | . 036 | . 124 | . 208 | . 041 | . 101 | -. 067 | . 094 | . 081 | -. 110 | . 118 | . 611 | -. 006 | . 054 | . 050 | -. 135 |
| S38Frequ | . 166 | . 171 | . 152 | -. 101 | . 089 | -. 018 | . 611 | . 289 | -. 123 | -. 040 | . 086 | -. 064 | . 092 | -. 088 | . 305 | . 066 | . 021 |
| S39Credi | -. 036 | . 021 | . 083 | -. 048 | -. 036 | . 002 | . 078 | -. 009 | . 197 | . 047 | . 086 | . 079 | . 110 | . 023 | . 782 | . 118 | -. 117 |
| S40ATM | . 346 | -. 018 | . 053 | . 004 | . 052 | -. 017 | . 184 | . 037 | . 571 | . 204 | . 202 | -. 180 | . 094 | -. 067 | . 152 | . 120 | . 165 |
| S41Deliv | . 643 | . 029 | . 110 | -. 143 | . 183 | . 161 | . 186 | . 013 | . 220 | . 123 | -. 011 | -. 131 | . 100 | -. 154 | -. 075 | -. 138 | . 024 |
| S42Sales | -. 114 | . 180 | . 109 | . 147 | . 094 | -. 029 | . 736 | . 149 | . 081 | . 063 | . 227 | . 076 | . 040 | . 227 | -. 052 | . 068 | -. 021 |
| S43Demos | . 340 | . 146 | . 190 | . 081 | . 096 | . 179 | . 547 | -. 217 | . 182 | . 142 | -. 005 | -. 022 | . 085 | -. 042 | . 138 | . 066 | . 036 |
| S44Secur | . 321 | . 374 | . 207 | . 096 | . 078 | -. 026 | . 304 | . 016 | . 250 | . 224 | -. 155 | . 017 | -. 156 | . 265 | -. 111 | -. 043 | -. 007 |
| S45SinSr | . 306 | . 155 | . 299 | . 123 | . 082 | -. 077 | . 036 | . 253 | . 043 | . 539 | . 055 | . 139 | -. 103 | . 041 | . 013 | -. 083 | . 126 |
| S46LPric | -. 037 | -. 014 | . 396 | . 214 | . 135 | -. 122 | . 578 | -. 009 | . 254 | -. 073 | -. 023 | . 039 | . 099 | . 001 | -. 088 | . 241 | . 100 |
| S47Coups | . 199 | . 159 | . 241 | . 096 | . 142 | -. 025 | . 594 | . 102 | . 385 | -. 040 | . 083 | . 007 | -. 145 | -. 089 | . 065 | . 138 | . 141 |
| S48Conve | -. 050 | . 100 | . 085 | . 163 | . 047 | . 183 | . 124 | . 017 | . 665 | -. 210 | -. 015 | . 128 | . 047 | -. 059 | . 200 | -. 014 | -. 056 |
| S49Aroma | . 049 | . 437 | -. 071 | . 152 | -. 016 | . 225 | . 281 | -. 020 | . 275 | . 164 | . 127 | . 088 | -. 119 | . 043 | . 378 | . 037 | -. 148 |
| S50Hours | -. 150 | . 311 | . 127 | -. 012 | . 091 | . 171 | . 133 | . 147 | . 527 | . 032 | . 238 | . 035 | . 194 | . 218 | -. 006 | -. 056 | -. 168 |
| S51Manag | . 111 | . 686 | -. 012 | . 183 | . 196 | . 023 | . 252 | . 064 | -. 011 | . 043 | -. 069 | -. 002 | . 021 | . 105 | . 055 | -. 063 | . 199 |
| S52Emplo | . 056 | . 786 | . 115 | . 066 | . 035 | . 143 | . 099 | . 077 | . 112 | -. 017 | . 093 | . 117 | . 222 | -. 034 | . 129 | -. 115 | . 063 |
| S53Atmos | . 147 | . 725 | . 283 | . 158 | . 007 | . 054 | . 007 | -. 090 | -. 010 | . 144 | . 053 | -. 029 | . 088 | . 046 | . 067 | -. 037 | -. 084 |
| S54Clean | . 006 | . 702 | . 177 | . 033 | . 036 | . 120 | . 061 | . 318 | . 061 | . 091 | . 121 | . 029 | . 081 | -. 104 | -. 110 | . 079 | -. 086 |
| S55Light | . 022 | . 734 | . 105 | . 076 | . 087 | . 009 | . 026 | . 253 | . 146 | . 043 | . 097 | . 040 | -. 118 | -. 134 | -. 085 | . 132 | -. 078 |
| S56Music | . 298 | . 561 | . 120 | . 227 | . 140 | . 088 | . 071 | -. 159 | -. 056 | . 000 | -. 086 | -. 083 | -. 178 | . 266 | . 025 | . 205 | -. 051 |
| S57Dairy | . 203 | . 368 | . 255 | . 016 | . 314 | . 114 | . 025 | . 413 | -. 006 | -. 054 | -. 090 | . 177 | -. 186 | -. 071 | . 320 | -. 085 | . 137 |
| S58SMeat | . 197 | . 089 | . 045 | . 010 | . 851 | . 017 | . 174 | -. 034 | . 021 | . 108 | -. 007 | . 049 | . 031 | . 055 | -. 048 | -. 071 | -. 054 |
| S59Frozn | . 052 | . 089 | . 629 | . 113 | . 295 | -. 070 | . 074 | . 167 | . 070 | . 107 | . 191 | . 051 | . 010 | . 076 | . 097 | -. 073 | . 018 |
| S60SeaFd | . 256 | -. 011 | . 178 | -. 076 | . 560 | . 318 | -. 026 | . 110 | . 125 | . 139 | . 045 | -. 233 | . 137 | . 091 | -. 106 | . 169 | -. 177 |
| S61Produ | . 029 | . 191 | . 010 | . 033 | . 245 | . 458 | . 231 | . 453 | . 154 | . 001 | -. 166 | . 218 | -. 087 | -. 112 | . 096 | -. 005 | -. 120 |
| S62FMeat | . 065 | . 141 | . 098 | . 098 | . 820 | -. 081 | . 096 | . 090 | . 047 | . 010 | . 075 | . 102 | . 066 | . 004 | . 021 | . 054 | . 166 |

Table 8. Rotated Component Matrix for Shopping and Eating Habits

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H1Barga | .471 | -.215 | -.063 | .552 | -.064 |
| H2SBran | .052 | .151 | -.023 | .661 | .299 |
| H3SCoup | .865 | .001 | .008 | .038 | .152 |
| H4MCoup | .868 | .068 | .082 | -.064 | .032 |
| H5ICoup | .653 | .164 | .263 | -.011 | -.029 |
| H6Sale | .442 | -.294 | .053 | .318 | .060 |
| H7Rebat | .648 | .317 | .143 | .167 | -.079 |
| H8LSizes | .208 | .185 | .223 | .648 | -.281 |
| H9SSizes | .218 | -.076 | .047 | -.130 | .729 |
| H10List | .200 | .779 | -.162 | .011 | .014 |
| H11BList | -.050 | .868 | -.047 | .049 | .071 |
| H12OStor | .587 | -.047 | -.059 | .299 | .112 |
| H13Loyal | .547 | .085 | .051 | .191 | .154 |
| H14Rest | .186 | -.128 | .637 | -.274 | .087 |
| H15FFood | .066 | -.112 | .767 | .180 | -.027 |
| H16TakO | .064 | -.082 | .683 | .110 | .309 |
| H17RMeal | .041 | .096 | .268 | .218 | .674 |
| H18HMeal | .262 | .486 | -.274 | .253 | -.251 |

Table 9. Coefficients from Satisfaction Regression with Factors as Independent Variables

|  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients Beta |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Std. Error |  | t | Sig. |
| Constant | 5.753 | . 069 |  | 83.410 | . 000 |
| Store Attributes factor 1 | -. 100 | . 079 | -. 120 | -1.279 | . 203 |
| Store Attributes factor 2 | -. 028 | . 069 | -. 033 | -. 399 | . 690 |
| Store Attributes factor 3 | . 034 | . 077 | . 040 | . 437 | . 663 |
| Store Attributes factor 4 | . 065 | . 072 | . 077 | . 897 | . 372 |
| Store Attributes factor 5 | . 074 | . 071 | . 089 | 1.040 | . 300 |
| Store Attributes factor 6 | -. 028 | . 076 | -. 033 | -. 371 | . 711 |
| Store Attributes factor 7 | -. 034 | . 087 | -. 039 | -. 386 | . 700 |
| Store Attributes factor 8 | . 042 | . 074 | . 050 | . 572 | . 569 |
| Store Attributes factor 9 | -. 086 | . 071 | -. 103 | -1.222 | . 224 |
| Store Attributes factor 10 | -. 017 | . 077 | -. 020 | -. 221 | . 825 |
| Store Attributes factor 11 | -. 037 | . 072 | -. 044 | -. 512 | . 609 |
| Store Attributes factor 12 | . 051 | . 069 | . 061 | . 731 | . 466 |
| Store Attributes factor 13 | -. 029 | . 071 | -. 034 | -. 405 | . 686 |
| Store Attributes factor 14 | . 053 | . 070 | . 063 | . 754 | . 452 |
| Store Attributes factor 15 | . 103 | . 070 | . 123 | 1.476 | . 143 |
| Store Attributes factor 16 | -. 047 | . 072 | -. 056 | -. 649 | . 518 |
| Store Attributes factor 17 | -. 006 | . 075 | -. 007 | -. 075 | . 940 |
| Shopping/Eating Habits factor 1 | -. 071 | . 098 | -. 081 | -. 725 | . 470 |
| Shopping/Eating Habits factor 2 | . 068 | . 079 | . 080 | . 862 | . 390 |
| Shopping/Eating Habits factor 3 | -. 001 | . 082 | -. 002 | -. 016 | . 987 |
| Shopping/Eating Habits factor 4 | . 206 | . 080 | . 247 | 2.577 | . 011 |
| Shopping/Eating Habits factor 5 | -. 022 | . 093 | -. 024 | -. 235 | 814 |
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