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#### Abstract

This report is a summary of 1990 farm business data collected from 22 fruit farm businesses located, except for one, in Western New York State. Apples are the predominant fruit crop. The data are presented as averages for all 22 farms. Analysis factors are compared for the same 11 farms for 1988-1990 and the same 17 farms for 1989-1990. The business analysis includes a balance sheet, income statement, cash flow statement, and several financial and production analyses for the farms. Also included are blank columns for the user to enter his or her own farm data for comparison purposes.
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# 1990 LAKE ONTARIO FRUIT FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY 

## INTRODUCTION

Western New York fruit farmers, whose major crop is apples, are invited to participate in Cornell Cooperative Extension's fruit farm business summary program each year. Each participating farmer receives a comprehensive business summary and analysis of his or her farm business. This report presents averages for the data submitted by participating farmers for 1990.

The primary objective of the fruit farm business summary, FFBS, program is to help farm managers improve the financial management of their business through appropriate use of historical farm data and the application of modern farm business analysis techniques. The FFBS identifies the business and financial information farmers need and provides a framework for use in identifying and evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the farm business.

A computer program is used to process the data collected from fruit farmers. This program enables an analysis to be produced on the farm as soon as the farmer's data are entered. This provides rapid processing of the information provided for timely use in the management of the farm business.

The farms in this study are primarily apple farms. An average of 86 percent of the receipts in 1990 was from the sale of apples. The data were not obtained from a random sample of all fruit farms in Western New York. Therefore, the analysis should not be used to represent the Western New York fruit industry.

Format Features

This report provides a set of tables which comprise a comprehensive analysis of the participating fruit farms. Worksheets are included to give fruit farmers an opportunity to summarize their business. The analysis tables have a blank column or section labeled "My Farm". It may be used to compare an individual farm business with the average performance of the 22 farms.

This report features:
(1) a complete BALANCE SHEET and analysis including financial ratios,
(2) an INCOME STATEMENT including accrual accounting adjustments for farm business expenses and receipts, as well as measures of profitability with and without appreciation,
(3) forms for a CASH FLOW STATEMENT and REPAYMENT ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS,
(4) analyses of CAPITAL EFFICIENCY, EQUIPMENT, and LABOR,
(5) a CROPPING PROGRAM ANALYSIS with COST CONTROL FACTORS,
(6) a THREE YEAR COMPARISON of selected business factors, and
(7) a TWO YEAR SAME FARM COMPARISON for 17 farms.
(8) a THREE YEAR SAME FARM COMPARISON for 11 farms.

Apple Production and Prices in Recent Years

Apple production for the State was 23.6 million bushels in 1990. Western New York growers produced 15.2 million bushels or about 64 percent of the total State crop. Statewide, production was up three percent and in Western New York it was down about six percent compared to 1989.

Thirty six percent of the 1990 apple crop produced in Western New York was sold fresh. This was up from 32 percent of the crop for 1989. The 1990 fresh crop was five and a half million bushels - highest in the past six years. Processing apple production in Western New York

Table 1.
APPLE PRODUCTION AND PRICES
New York State, 1987 - 1990

| Item | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production: | -------- million bushels |  |  |  |
| Fresh apples |  |  |  |  |
| Western New York | 4.5 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 5.5 |
| New York State | 9.0 | 9.6 | 10.5 | 12.4 |
| Processing apples |  |  |  |  |
| Western New York | 10.0 | 10.1 | 11.0 | 9.8 |
| New York State | 11.9 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 11.2 |
| All varieties |  |  |  |  |
| Western New York | 14.5 | 13.6 | 16.2 | 15.2 |
| New York State | 21.0 | 21.7 | 22.9 | 23.6 |

Average Price Received per Bushel:

| Fresh Apples $\qquad$ dollars <br> Western New York |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| F.O.B. less pkg, stg, etc | 5.92 | 6.09 | 6.03 | 8.65 |
| Bulk price | 4.37 | 4.62 | 4.83 | 4.83 |
| Fruit Farm Business Summary | n/a | 5.07 | 4.96 | 5.50 |
| New York State |  |  |  |  |
| F.O.B. less pkg, stg, etc | 6.19 | 6.43 | 6.22 | 7.48 |
| Bulk price | 4.37 | 4.62 | 4.83 | 4.83 |
| Processing apples |  |  |  |  |
| Western New York | 2.42 | 3.15 | 2.87 | 3.25 |
| Fruit Farm Business Summary | n/a | 2.86 | 2.93 | 3.34 |
| New York State | 2.39 | 3.02 | 2.81 | 3.15 |

Source: New York Agricultural Statistics Service, FRUIT series, Seasonal releases for July 1988. 1989, 1990, and 1991 and the annual Fruit Farm Business Summaries.
decreased 11 percent from 1989 to 9.8 million bushels for 1990. Sixty four percent of the Western New York crop was processing applea.

Net F.O.B. prices received per bushel for fresh apples in Western New York averaged $\$ 8.65$ per bushel, considerably higher than 1989 and higheat in the past six years. The bulk price for fresh apples remained at $\$ 4.83$ per bushel. Weatern New York processing apple prices averaged $\$ 3.25$ per bushel or 7.7 cents per pound in 1990-13 percent above the $\$ 2.87$ per bushel received in 1989.

Statewide, fresh apple prices received by growers averaged $\$ 7.48$ per bushel net F.O.B. - $\$ 1.26$ per bushel higher than the average 1989 price. Processing apples, produced mostly in Western counties, averaged $\$ 3.15$ per bushel or 7.5 cents per pound for 1990 .

## SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS OF THE FARM BUSINESS

Business Characteristics

Finding the right management strategies is an important part of operating a successful farm business. Various combinations of farm resources, enterprises, business arrangements, and management techniques are used by the fruit farmers in Western New York. The following table shows important farm business characteristics and the number of farmers reporting these characteristics.

Table 2.
BUSINESS CHARACTERISTICS
22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990

| Type of Business: | No. | Business Record System: | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $-\quad$ |  | $-\mathbf{3}$ |
| Proprietors | 6 | Account Book | 3 |
| Partnerships | 8 | Agrifax (mail-in) | 2 |
| Corporations | 8 | On-Farm Computer | 15 |
|  |  | Other | 2 |


| Business Composition: | No. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fruit production only | 6 |
| Fruit with storage | 3 |
| Fruit \& other enterprises | 7 |
| Fruit w/storage \& other enterprises | 6 |

## Farm Financial Status

The first step in evaluating the financial status of the farm business is to construct a balance sheet which identifies all the assets and liabilities of the business. The second step is to evaluate the relationships between assets, liabilities, and net worth at the end of the year and the changes that occurred during the year.

Financial lease obligations are included in the balance sheet. The present value of all future payments is listed as a liability since the farmer is committed to make the payments by signing the lease. The present value is also listed as an asset, representing the future value

Table 3. FARM BUSINESS BALANCE SHEET 22 Western New York Fruit Farms, December 31

| Farm Assets | 1989 | 1990 | Farm Liabilities \& Net Worth | 1989 | 1990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current |  |  | Current: $=<1 \mathrm{yr}$ |  |  |
|  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |
| Cash, checking, sav | 17,265 | 18,485 | Accounts payable | 19,214 | 18,906 |
| Accounts receivable | 60,599 | 90,820 | Operating debt | 34,542 | 49,492 |
| Prepaid expenses | 3,318 | 2,955 | Short term | 0 | 0 |
| Fruit, other crops | 66,034 | 97,956 | Advanced govt recpts | 0 | 0 |
| Production supplies | 10,587 | 7,882 | Accrued interest | 0 | 0 |
| Packing supplies | 1,305 | 1,337 |  |  |  |
| Total current | 159,108 | 219,435 | Total current | 53,756 | 68,398 |
| Intermediate |  |  | Intermediate: > 1 to < 10 yr |  |  |
| Livestock | 241 | 0 | Structured debt | 40,932 | 44,355 |
| Livestock leased | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Equipment owned | 144,908 | 149,615 | Fin lease- Lvstk, Eq | 1,716 | 1,217 |
| Equipment leased | 1,716 | 1,217 |  |  |  |
| FLB/PCA stock | 4,704 | 5,086 | FLB/PCA stock | 4,704 | 5,086 |
| Other stock, certs | 42,889 | 47,570 |  |  |  |
| Total intermediate | 194,458 | 203,488 | Total intermediate | 47,352 | 50,658 |
| Long Term |  |  | Long Term: => 10 yr |  |  |
| Land/buildings: |  |  | Structured debt | 124,688 | 123,779 |
| Owned | 351,835 | 358,011 |  |  |  |
| Stmuctures leased | 0 | 0 | Fin lease-structures | 0 | 0 |
| Total long term | 351,835 | 358,011 | Total long term | 124,688 | 123,779 |
|  |  |  | Total Farm: |  |  |
| Total Farm: |  |  | Net Worth | 479,605 | 538,099 |
| Assets | 705,401 | 780,934 | Liab \& Net Worth | 705,401 | 780,934 |

the item has to the business.
Table 3 presents the balance sheet data for the 22 fruit farm cooperators. It lists the average value of assets and liabilities for December 31, 1989 and December 31, 1990 and, therefore, shows the changes that occurred for each category during the year. Asset values that are estimated each year should reflect changes in quantity or quality of the asset and conservative adjustments for price changes. Carefull attention to asset values is important for a meaningful calculation of change in net worth, a measure of financial progress.

The table below provides a format for the reader to use to develop a balance sheet for an individual's farm business.

Table 4. FARM BUSINESS BALANCE SHEET
My Farm, December 31


The balance sheet analysis involves an examination of financial and debt ratios. Percent equity is calculated by dividing end of year net worth by end of year assets. The debt to asset ratio is compiled by dividing liabilities by assets. Low debt to asset ratios reflect strength in solvency and the potential capacity to borrow. Debt levels per unit of production include some old standards that are atill useful if used with measures of cash flow and repayment ability. The change in farm net worth without appreciation is an excellent indicator of financial progress from operating the business.

Table 5.
FARM BUSINESS BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS
Western New York Fruit Farms, December 31

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Same | 17 farms | All 22 farms | My |
| farm |  |  |  |  |


| Financial Ratios - end of year | For the farm business only |  |  | ----------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent equity | 67\% | 69\% | 69\% | \% |
| Debt to asset ratios |  |  |  |  |
| Total debt | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.31 |  |
| Long term | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.35 |  |
| Current \& intermediate | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.29 |  |
| Change in Net Worth |  |  |  |  |
| Without appreciation | $(\$ 34,319)$ | \$54,712 | \$41,829 | \$ |
| With appreciation | $(\$ 12,302)$ | \$74,011 | \$58,494 | \$ |

Debt Analysis - end of year

| Percent of total farm debt that is: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long term | 52\% | 48\% | 51\% |  |
| Current \& intermediate | 48\% | 52\% | 49\% |  |
| Accounts payable only | 9\% | 9\% | 8\% |  |
| Debt Levels - end of year |  |  |  |  |
| Per bearing fruit acre: |  |  |  |  |
| Total farm debt | \$1,160 | \$1,207 | \$1,220 | \$ |
| Long term | 606 | 578 | 622 |  |
| Current \& intermediate | 554 | 629 | 598 |  |

The farm inventory balance is an accounting of the value of assets used on the balance sheet and the changes that occur from the beginning to end of year. Net investment indicates whether the capital stock is being expanded (positive) or depleted (negative).

Table 6.
FARM INVENTORY BALANCE
22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990

| Item |  |  | ------ Average |  |  | -------- My Farm -------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inventory Balance |  |  | Real Estate | Equipment |  | Real Estate |  | Equipment |  |
| Value- beginning of year (1) |  | \$ | 351,835 | \$ | 144,908 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Purchases |  | \$ | 9,450 a | \$ | 18,543 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| + Nonfarm noncash transfers |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| - Lost capital |  |  | 983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Sales |  |  | 1,873 |  | 1,465 |  |  |  |  |
| - Depreciation |  |  | 10,484 |  | 14,536 |  |  | \$ |  |
| $=$ Net investment | (2) | \$ | $(3,889)$ | \$ | 2,542 | \$ |  |  |  |
| Appreciation | (3-1-2) |  | 10,065 b |  | 2,165 |  |  |  |  |
| Value- end of year | (3) | \$ | 358,011 | \$ | 149,615 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| a Purchase includes <br> b RE apprec excludes | \$3,227 |  | for land and |  | \$6,223 | for buildings. |  |  |  |
|  | \$1,391 |  | appreciat |  | on assets |  | uring th |  |  |

## Income Statement

On the following pages the accrual adjusted income statement begins with an accounting of all farm business expenses.

CASH PAID is the actual amount of money paid out during the year and does not necessarily represent the cost of goods and services actually used.

CHANGE IN INVENTORY: An increase in inventory is subtracted in computing accrual expenses; it represents inputs that were purchased but not actually used during the year. A decrease in inventory is added to expenses because it represents the cost of inputs purchased in a prior year and used this year.

CHANGES IN PREPAID EXPENSES apply to non-inventory categories. Included are expenses that have been paid in advance of their use, for example, next year's rent paid this year. An increase in a prepaid expense is an amount paid this year that is an expense for a future year and thus is subtracted from expenses; a decrease in a prepaid expense indicates an amount paid in a prior year that is an expense for this year and thus added to cash expenses.

CHANGE IN ACCOUNTS PAYABLE: An increase in payables is an expense chargeable to this year but not paid by the end of the year. A decrease in payables is an expense for a previous year that was paid this year.

ACCRUAL EXPENSES are the costs of inputs actually used for this year's production.

The worksheet on page 9 is provided to enable any fruit farmer to compare his or her expenses and receipts with the group averages in the corresponding tables.

Table 7.
INCOME STATEMENT -- FARM EXPENSES 22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990

| EXPENSES | Cash amount paid + | $\mathrm{C}$ | ange in entory prepaid cpense | + | Change in accounts payable | $=$ | Accrual expenses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hired Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages - regular \$ | 30,913 | \$ | 0 | \$ | (57) | \$ | 30,856 |
| picking | 47,311 |  | 0 |  | (50) |  | 47,261 |
| other parttime, seasonal | 20,123 |  | 0 |  | (33) |  | 20,090 |
| Other labor costs | 18,919 |  | 0 |  | (33) |  | 18,886 |
| Picker travel | 1,191 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1,191 |
| Labor camp expenses | 2,724 |  | 0 |  | (90) |  | 2,634 |
| Bquipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machine hire, rent, lease | 6,655 |  | 0 |  | 363 |  | 7,018 |
| Repairs \& parts | 17,326 |  | 23 |  | (782) |  | 16,567 |
| Auto expense - farm share | 343 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 344 |
| Fuel, oil \& grease | 12,019 |  | (535) |  | 18 |  | 11,502 |
| Livestock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All livestock expenses | 0 |  | 126 |  | 0 |  | 126 |
| Crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer \& lime | 8,456 |  | 264 |  | (727) |  | 7,993 |
| Replacement trees \& plants | 635 |  | 36 |  | 0 |  | 671 |
| Spray | 40,959 |  | 3,175 |  | 1,539 |  | 45,673 |
| Supplies, other production exp | 9,416 |  | (384) |  | (135) |  | 8,897 |
| Packing supplies | 1,138 |  | (32) |  | 17 |  | 1,123 |
| Storage | 6,414 |  | 0 |  | 5 |  | 6,419 |
| Marketing, selling expenses | 2,127 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2,127 |
| Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repair - land, bldg, fences | 2,611 |  | 14 |  | 0 |  | 2,625 |
| Taxes | 6,938 |  | 0 |  | 192 |  | 7,130 |
| Rent \& lease | 7,590 |  | 0 |  | 32 |  | 7,622 |
| Other Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance - fire, liab | 4,565 |  | 116 |  | (136) |  | 4,545 |
| - crop | 984 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 984 |
| Telephone - farm share | 997 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 998 |
| Electricity - farm share | 4,577 |  | 0 |  | (472) |  | 4,105 |
| Fruit purchased for resale | 12,083 |  | 0 |  | 70 |  | 12,153 |
| Interest paid | 18,716 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 18,716 |
| Miscellaneous | 9,947 |  | 23 |  | (30) |  | 9,940 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES \$ | 295,677 | \$ | 2,827 | \$ | (308) | \$ | 298,196 |
| Expansion orchard \$ | 4,543 |  | 930 |  | 0 |  | 5,473 |
| Depreciation - Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,536 |
| Buildings |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,098 |
| Bearing trees \& vines |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,386 |
| TOTAL ACCRUAL EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 328,689 |

Table 8. INCOMR STATEMENT - FARM EXPENSES My Farm, 1990

| Cash |
| :---: |
| EXPENSES |
| amount |
| paid |$+$| Change in inventory |
| :---: |
| or prepaid |
| expense | | Change |
| :---: |
| in |
| accounts |
| payable |$=$| Accrual |
| :---: |
| expenses |

Hired Labor


Equipment
Machine hire, rent, lease
Repairs \& parts
Auto expense - farm share Fuel, oil \& grease

Livestock
All livestock expenses
Crops
Fertilizer \& lime
Replacement trees \& plants
Spray
Other crop production expenses
Packing supplies
Storage
Marketing, selling expenses
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Real Estate
Repair - land, bldg, fences Taxes
Rent \& lease
Other Expenses
Insurance
Telephone - farm share Electricity - farm share
Fruit purchased for resale
Interest paid
Miscellaneous
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES
Expansion orchard
Depreciation - Equipment
Buildings
Bearing trees \& vines

Table 9.
INCOME STATEMENT - FARM RECEIPTS 22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990

| RECEIPTS | Cash receipts | + | Change in inventory | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Change in } \\ + \text { accts } / \mathrm{rec} \end{array}$ |  | $=$ | Accrual receipts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apples - Fresh \$ | 163,106 | \$ | 29,181 | \$ | 6,752 | \$ | 199,039 |
| - Processing | 127,937 |  | 1,939 |  | 22,656 |  | 152,532 |
| Cherries - sweet | 4,659 |  |  |  | (196) |  | 4,463 |
| - tart | 12,365 |  |  |  | (448) |  | 11,917 |
| Grapes | 947 |  |  |  | 50 |  | 997 |
| Peaches | 2,143 |  |  |  | 482 |  | 2,625 |
| Pears | 5,958 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 5,958 |
| Plums \& prunes | 1,123 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 1,128 |
| All other fruit | 968 |  |  |  | 258 |  | 1,226 |
| Other crops, livestock \& prod | 2,398 |  | 802 |  | (23) |  | 3,177 |
| Custom work, storage, rent | 19,330 |  |  |  | (632) |  | 18,698 |
| Other- incl govt recpts, refunds | 9,235 |  | a |  | (930) |  | 8,305 |
| - Nonfarm noncash capital |  | (-) | (227) b |  |  | (-) | (227) |
| TOTAL OPERATING RECEIPTS \$ | 350,169 | \$ | 31,695 | \$ | 27,974 | \$ | 409,838 |

a Change in advanced govemment receipts. b Gifts \& inheritances of livestock \& crops.
CASH RECEIPTS include the amount received during the year from the sale of farm products and services, and government programs.

CHANGES IN INVENTORY are calculated by subtracting beginning of year values from end of year values excluding appreciation. Changes in crop and livestock inventories are calculated. Changes in advanced government receipts are calculated by subtracting the end year balance from the beginning year balance.

CHANGES IN ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE are calculated by subtracting beginning year balances from end year balances.

ACCRUAL RECEIPTS represent the value of all farm commodities and services generated by the farm business during the year.

Table 10.
INCOME STATEMENT - FARM RECEIPTS - My Farm


## Profitability Analysis

Farm owner-operators contribute labor, management, and capital to their businesses and the best combination of these resources maximizes profits. Farm profitability can be measured as the return to all family resources or as the return to one or more individual resources such as labor and management.

NET FARM INCOME is the total combined return to the farm operators and other unpaid family members for their labor, management, and equity capital. It is the farm family's annual net return from working, managing, financing, and owning the farm business. This is not a measure of cash available from the year's business operation. Cash flow is measured later in this report.

Net farm income is computed both with and without appreciation. Appreciation represents the change in values caused by annual changes in prices of livestock, equipment, real estate inventory, and stocks and certificates (other than FLB and PCA). Appreciation is a major factor contributing to changes in farm net worth and must be included for a complete profitability analysis.

Table 11.
NET FARM INCOME
Western New York Fruit Farms

| Item |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Same } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { farms } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { farms } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |  | My Farm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total accrual receipts | \$ | 368,730 | \$ | 465,542 | \$ | 409,840 | \$ |  |
| + Appreciation: <br> Livestock |  | 135 |  | (1,038) |  | (802) |  |  |
| Equipment |  | 4,207 |  | 1,157 |  | 2,165 |  |  |
| Real estate |  | 15,479 |  | 13,781 |  | 11,456 |  |  |
| Other-Stock \& cert | + | 2,196 | $+$ | 5,399 | $+$ | 3,846 |  |  |
| $=$ Total accrual receipts with appreciation | \$ | 390,747 | \$ | 484,841 | \$ | 426,505 | \$ |  |
| - Total accrual expenses |  | 335,759 | - | 368,928 | - | 328,688 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & =\text { Net Farm Income } \\ & \quad \text { with appreciation } \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 54,988 | \$ | 115,913 | \$ | 97,817 | \$ |  |
| Net Farm Income without appreciation | \$ | 32,971 | \$ | 96,614 | \$ | 81,152 | \$ |  |

RETURN TO OPERATORS' LABOR, MANAGEMENT, AND EQUITY CAPITAL measures the total business profits for the farm operator(s). It is calculated by deducting a charge for unpaid family labor from net farm income. Operators labor is not included in unpaid family labor. Return to operators labor, management, and equity capital has been calculated both with and without appreciation. Appreciation is considered an important part of the return to ownership of farm assets.


LABOR AND MANAGEMENT INCOME is the return which farm operators receive for their labor and management used in operating the farm business. Appreciation is not included as part of the return to labor and management because it results from ownership of assets rather than management of the farm business. Labor and management income is calculated by deducting the opportunity cost of using equity capital, at a real interest rate of five percent, from the return to operators labor, management, and equity capital excluding appreciation. The interest charge of five percent reflects the long-term average rate of return above inflation that a farmer might expect to earn in an investment of comparable risk.

Table 13.
LABOR AND MANAGEMENT INCOME
Western New York Fruit Farms

| Item |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Same } \\ 1989 \end{gathered}$ | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { farms } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { farms } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { My } \\ & \text { Farm } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Without appreciation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return to operators' labor, management, \& equity | \$ | 32,045 | \$ | 95,070 | \$ | 79,703 | \$ |  |
| - Real interest @ $5 \%$ on average equity capital | - | 25,565 | - | 27,803 | - | 25,443 |  |  |
| ```= Labor & Management Income per farm``` | \$ | 6,480 | \$ | 67,267 | \$ | 54,260 | \$ |  |
| Labor \& Management Income per operator | \$ | 3,429 | \$ | 35,141 | \$ | 30,349 | \$ |  |

RETURN ON EQUITY CAPITAL measures the net return remaining for the farmer's equity or owned capital after a charge has been made for the owner-operator's labor and management. The earnings or amount of net farm income allocated to labor and management is the opportunity cost of operators labor and management estimated by the cooperators. Return on
equity capital is calculated with and without appreciation. The rate of return on equity capital is determined by dividing the amount returned by the average farm net worth or equity capital.

RETURN ON TOTAL CAPITAL is calculated by adding interest paid to the return on equity capital and then dividing by average farm assets. It indicates the rate of return earned by this business on all of the funds used in this business.

Table 14.
RETURN ON EQUITY CAPITAL AND RETURN ON TOTAL CAPITAL Western New York Fruit Farms


Returns WITH appreciation:
Return to operators' labor,
management \& equity capital

- Value of opers labor \& mgmt
$=$ Return on avg. EQUITY capital
+ Interest paid
= Return on avg. TOTAL capital
Rates of return on:
Average EQUITY capital
Average TOTAL capital
Returns WITHOUT appreciation:
Return on avg. equity capital WITH appreciation
- Total appreciation
$=$ Return on avg. EQUITY capital
+ Interest paid
$=$ Return on avg. TOTAL capital
Rates of return on:

| Average EQUITY capital | $-2.9 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $-\quad \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average TOTAL capital | $0.7 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | - |

Cash Flow Statement
Completing an annual cash flow statement is an important step in understanding the sources and uses of funds for the business. Understanding last year's cash flow is the first step toward planning and managing cash flow for the current and future years.

The ANNUAL CASH FLOW STATEMENT is structured to compare all the cash inflows with all the cash outflows for the year. A complete list
of cash inflows and cash outflows is included in the following table. By definition, total cash inflows must equal total cash outflows when beginning and end balances are included. Any imbalance is, therefore, the error from incorrect accounting of cash inflows and cash outflows.

| ANNUAL CASH FLOW STATEMENT22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item |  | Average | My Farm |
| Cash Inflows |  |  |  |
| Beginning farm cash, checking \& savings | \$ | 17,265 | \$ |
| Cash farm receipts |  | 350,170 |  |
| Sale of assets: |  |  |  |
| Equipment |  | 1,465 |  |
| Real estate |  | 2,438 |  |
| Other stock \& certificates |  | 638 |  |
| Money borrowed: |  |  |  |
| Increase in operating debt |  | 18,420 |  |
| Short term |  | 5,689 |  |
| Intermediate |  | 4,576 |  |
| Long term |  | 3,773 |  |
| Refinanced debt |  | 4,545 |  |
| Nonfarm: |  |  |  |
| Income |  | 1,314 |  |
| Capital used in business |  | 2,160 |  |
| Money borrowed |  | 45 412498 |  |
| Total Cash Inflows | (1) \$ | 412,498 | \$ |

## Cash Outflows

Cash farm expenses (excluding interest paid) \$ 276,961
Capital purchases:
Expansion orchard
4,543
Equipment
18,543
Real estate 9,450
Other stock \& certificates
1,472
Debt payments:
Principal payments for:
Decrease in operating debt
Short term
0
4,614
Intermediate
Long term
Refinanced debt 5,643 5,090

Interest paid
4,545
Personal withdrawals and family expenditures including nonfarm debt payments and corporation operator labor costs

44,039
Ending farm cash, checking \& savings
Total Cash Outflows
18,716
\$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$

(2) $\$ 412,101$
\$
Imbalance (error)

Repayment Analysis
The second step in cash flow analysis is to compare the debt payments planned for this year with the amount actually paid. The measures listed below provide a number of different perspectives on the repayment performance of the business.

Table 16.
FARM DEBT PAYMENTS PLANNED
22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990

| Debt Payments | $\begin{array}{r} 1.990 \\ \text { Planned } \end{array}$ | Average Payments Made a | $\begin{gathered} \text { Planned } \\ 1991 \end{gathered}$ | $1990$ <br> Planned | My farm Payments Made a | $\begin{gathered} \text { Planned } \\ 1991 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accts payable (net reduction) | \$455 | \$308 | \$5,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Operating (net reduction) | 9,520 | 0 | 21,929 |  |  |  |
| Short term (prin \& interest) | 4,129 | 5,122 | 1,139 |  |  |  |
| Intermediate (prin \& interest) | 7,740 | 8,620 | 7,190 |  |  |  |
| Long term (prin \& interest) | 11,064 | 15,675 | 18,212 |  |  |  |
| Total debt payments | \$32,908 | \$29,725 | \$53,470 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Payments as a \% of: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total accrual receipts | 8\% | 7\% |  | \% | \% |  |
| Total accrual fruit receipts | 9\% | 8\% |  | \% | \% |  |
| Payments per acre of bearing fruit | \$165 | \$149 |  | \$ | \$ |  |
| Payments per bushel of apples sold | \$0.41 | \$0.37 |  | \$ | \$ |  |

a. Actual payments excluding refinanced debt.

The CASH FLOW COVERAGE RATIO measures the ability of the farm business to meet its planned debt payment schedule. The ratio shows the percentage of planned payments that could have been made with this year's available cash flow. However, the critical question to many farmers and lenders is whether planned payments can be made in 1991. The worksheet provided in Table 18 can be used to estimate repayment ability, which can then be compared to planned 1991 debt payments shown in Table 16 above.

Table 17.
CASH FLOW COVERAGE RATIO
22 Western New York Fmuit Farms, 1990

| Item |  | Average | My farm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash farm receipts |  | \$350,170 | \$ |
| - Cash farm expenses |  | 295,678 |  |
| + Interest paid |  | 18,716 |  |
| - Net personal withdrawals from farm | a | 42,679 |  |
| $=$ Amount available for debt service | (1) | \$30,529 | \$ |
| Debt payments planned for this year | (2) | \$32,908 | \$ |
| Cash Flow Coverage Ratio | (1/2) | 0.93 |  |

a Personal withdrawals and family expenditures less nonfarm income and nonfarm money borrowed. If family withdrawals are excluded, the cash flow coverage ratio will be incorrect.

Table 18.
ANNUAL CASH FLOW WORKSHEET - 1990 AND 1991 PROJECTION


Average bearing acres of fruit
Accrual Operating Receipts
Apples - Fresh
Processing

All other fruit
Other crops, livestock \& products
Custom work, storage \& rent
Other - incl govt recpts, refunds Total operating receipts

Accrual Operating Expenses
Labor- Wages - regular
picking
other ptime, seasnl
Other labor costs
Picker trave, Labor camp exp
Equip- Machine hire, rent, lease
Repairs, parts \& auto expense
Fuel, oil \& grease
Lvstk- All livestock expense
Crops- Fertilizer \& lime
Replacement trees \& plants
Spray
Other crop production expense
Packing supplies, storage
Marketing, selling expense
R Est- Repair- land, bldg, fences
Taxes
Rent \& lease
Other- Insurance
Utilities- telephone, elect
Fruit purchased for resale
Miscellaneous
Total excluding interest paid
Repayment Analysis:
Net accrual oper income excl int

- Change in livestock \& crop inv
- Change in accounts receivable
+ Change in crop \& supply inv
+ Change in accounts payable a
NET CASH FLO
- Net personal withdrawals
Available for debt pymnts, investmnt
- Farm debt payments: prin \& int
Available for farm investment
Capital purchases
Additional capital needed

199
(/brng ac)
$\qquad$ -

$\$ 1,405$
\$ $\qquad$ \$ \$
(total)
\$130,361
31,695
27,975 2,826 (308)
\$ 73,209
42,679
\$ 30,530 29,725 b \$ 805 \$ \$ 34,008 \$ 33,203
\$ $\qquad$ \$

a Less change in accounts payable for interest. b See previous page.

Capital Efficiency Analysis
Capital efficiency factors measure how intensively capital is being used in the farm business. As capital needs grow, capital management becomes more important.

Capital turnover is a measure of capital efficiency as it shows the number of years of farm receipts required to equal or "turnover" the capital investment. It is computed by dividing the average farm asset value by the year's total farm accrual receipts and appreciation.

Table 19.
CAPITAL EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS
22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990

| Item |  | Average Capital Investment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Per worker equiv | Per be Owned | aring ac: Operated | Per all fruit acres |
| Average: Total farm capital |  | \$87,458 | \$5,238 | \$3,735 | \$3,350 |
| -- Real estate |  | 41,768 | 2,502 | n/a | 1,600 |
| All equipment |  | 8,598 | n/a | 367 | 329 |
| Capital turnover, years | 1.74 |  |  |  |  |

My Farm: Total farm capital
Real estate
All equipment
\$
Capital turnover, years

Equipment Analysis
Equipment costs comprise nearly 15 percent of the cost of fruit production. Total equipment expenses include the major fixed costs (interest and depreciation) as well as the accrual operating costs.

Table 20. ACCRUAL EQUIPMENT EXPENSES
22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990


Labor Analysis
The efficient use of labor is closely related to farm profitability. Measures of labor efficiency or productivity are key indicators of management's success.

Table 21.
LABOR FORCE INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS
22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990


Labor Cost or Value

| Type | Total | Average Per worker equiv | $\qquad$ <br> Per brng ac | Total | My Farm Per wkr equiv | Per brng ac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value of operator(s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| labor @ \$1250/mo | \$26,818 | \$12,600 | \$135 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Family unpaid @ \$1250/mo | 1,449 | 9,000 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Family paid (excl oper) | 3,596 | 20,347 | 18 |  |  |  |
| Hired - regular (excl oper) | 35,128 | 16,246 | 177 |  |  |  |
| - picking | 59,164 | 19,325 | 297 |  |  |  |
| - other parttime, seasonal | 24,315 | 13,078 | 122 |  |  |  |
| All labor (incl non-cash) | \$150,470 | \$17,668 | \$756 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| All equipment cost | 57,330 | 6,747 | 288 |  |  |  |
| Total labor and equipment cost | \$207,800 | \$24,415 | \$1,044 | \$ | \$ |  |

Cropping Program Analysis
The cropping program is the central part of a fruit farm business. A complete evaluation of available land resources, how they are being used, how well crops are producing and what it costs to produce them, is required to evaluate alternative cropping choices. In the table below, average crop acres and yields are presented for the number of farms reporting each crop.

Table 22.
LAND RESOURCES AND CROP PRODUCTION
22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990

| Item | Average |  |  | My Farm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land class (End of year) | For <br> Owned | all farm Rented | Total | Owned | Rented | Total |
| Bearing fruit, acres | 142 | 57 | 199 |  |  |  |
| Non-bearing fruit, acres | 19 | 4 | 23 |  |  |  |
| Other crops, open, acres | 25 | 10 | 35 |  |  |  |
| Nontillable pasture, acres | 9 | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Other nontillable, acres | 43 | 8 | 51 |  |  |  |
| Total land operated | 238 | 80 | 318 |  |  |  |

Crop Production
Bearing Fruit:

Apples - fresh

- processing
- all apples

Cherries - sweet

- tart

Grapes
Peaches
Pears
Plums, prunes
Other fruit
Total bearing fruit ac
Non-bearing Fruit:
Apples - fresh

- processing

18
1
18.4
50.0

Cherries - sweet

- tart

0
4
0.0
21.1

Other non-bearing
Total non-brng fruit acres
Other crops, open:
Other
51.1

Cost Control Factors
The control of costs is an important factor in the success of modern commercial fruit farm businesses. But before they can be controlled, they must be known. A major reason for farm business analysis is to identify the most significant cost items so cost control decisions can be encouraged as warranted. However, the optimum level of input items used to obtain the greatest net return is difficult to determine.

Farm managers have substituted power and equipment for labor to a large degree. With labor and equipment costs in excess of 50 percent of total production costs on fruit farms, it is important to know and control these and other costs on a production unit basis.

Table 23.
COST CONTROL FACIORS
22 Western New York Fruit Farms, 1990


## PROGRESS OF THE FARM BUSINESS

Comparing your business with average data from other fruit farms can be a helpful part of a business checkup. While a wide variation in business size and composition exists in this group of fruit farms, many of the factors will provide a meaningful indication of how you compare with other fruit farms. It is, perhaps, even more important for you to determine the progress your business has made over the past two or three years and to set goals for the future.

The tables on the following pages provide the opportunity for you to compare your business factors with averages for the participating farms for the past three years. It also encourages you to set some goals toward which to strive as you measure the progress of your farm business over the years.

Table 24.
PROGRESS OF THE FRUIT FARM BUSINESS Western New York State, 1988-1990

| Selected Factors |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { arms in: } \\ 1988 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { rage per } \\ \text { arms in: } \\ 1989 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in: } \\ 1990 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All cropland incl fruit, ac |  | 293 |  | 268 |  | 257 |
| All fruit incl non-brng, ac |  | 270 |  | 239 |  | 222 |
| Bearing fruit, acres |  | 246 |  | 215 |  | 199 |
| Bearing apples, acres |  | 208 |  | 178 |  | 163 |
| Fresh- \% of all apple acres |  | 47\% |  | 47\% |  | 47\% |
| Apples produced, bushels |  | 83,246 |  | 74,602 |  | 80,510 |
| Accrual apples sold, bushels |  | 85,730 |  | 78,341 |  | 77,045 |
| Worker equivalents |  | 9.54 |  | 8.81 |  | 8.50 |
| Total accrl operating recpts | \$ | 421,765 | \$ | 359,861 | \$ | 409,840 |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All apples, bu per bearing ac |  | 400 |  | 418 |  | 495 |
| Fresh- \% of apples harvested |  | 45\% |  | 39\% |  | 43\% |
| Cherries- tart, lb/ bring ac |  | 4,803 |  | 4,544 |  | 3,987 |
| Pears, bu per bearing acre |  | 249 |  | 300 |  | 259 |
| Nonbearing to brng acre ratio |  | 10\% |  | 11\% |  | 11\% |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brng fruit, acres per worker |  | 26 |  | 24 |  | 23 |
| All fruit, acres per worker |  | 28 |  | 27 |  | 26 |
| Accrual receipts per worker | \$ | 44,199 | \$ | 40,828 | \$ | 48,231 |
| Cost Control - accrual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost / brng acre: All labor | \$ | 582 | \$ | 648 | \$ | 756 |
| All equip | \$ | 267 | \$ | 268 | \$ | 288 |
| Spray | \$ | 141 | \$ | 177 | \$ | 230 |
| Hired labor - \% of oper exp |  | 43\% |  | 40\% |  | 41\% |
| Capital Efficiency- avg for yr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total farm capital /orng ac | \$ | 3,123 | \$ | 3,412 | \$ | 3,735 |
| Total farm capital /fruit ac | \$ | 2,845 | \$ | 3,071 | \$ | 3,350 |
| Capital turnover, years |  | 1.8 |  | 1.9 |  | 1.7 |
| Profitability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net farm income: w/o apprec | \$ | 97,028 | \$ | 34,124 | \$ | 81,153 |
| w/ apprec | \$ | 109,783 | \$ | 54,906 | \$ | 97,817 |
| Labor \& mgmt income / oper | \$ | 36,592 | \$ | 4,341 | \$ | 30,349 |
| Rate of return to avg capital w/apprec: Equity capital |  | 12.4\% |  | 1.6\% |  | 10.0\% |
| Total capital |  | 10.8\% |  | 3.8\% |  | 9.4\% |
| Financial Summary - end of yr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt to asset ratio |  | 0.35 |  | $0.33$ | $\Phi$ | - 0.31 |
| Debt per bearing ac | \$ | 1,130 | \$ | 1,117 | \$ | 1,220 |

Table 25.
PROGRESS OF THE FRUIT FARM BUSINESS
All Summary Farms, New York State, 1989-1990

| Selected Factors |  | $\qquad$ Average per Farm Same 17 farms in: |  |  |  | All 22 farms in 1990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1989 |  | 1990 |  |  |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All cropland incl fruit, ac |  | 270 |  | 284 |  | 257 |
| All fruit incl non-brng, ac |  | 240 |  | 250 |  | 222 |
| Bearing fruit, acres |  | 217 |  | 223 |  | 199 |
| Bearing apples, acres |  | 179 |  | 188 |  | 163 |
| Fresh- \% of all apple acres |  | 48\% |  | 46\% |  | 47\% |
| Apples produced, bushels |  | 74,898 |  | 91,047 |  | 80,510 |
| Accrual apples sold, bushels |  | 79,078 |  | 86,584 |  | 77,045 |
| Worker equivalents |  | 9.23 |  | 9.41 |  | 8.50 |
| Total accrl operating recpts | \$ | 368,730 | \$ | 465,542 | \$ | 409,840 |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All apples, bu per bearing ac |  | 418 |  | 486 |  | 495 |
| Fresh- \% of apples harvested |  | 40\% |  | 44\% |  | 43\% |
| Cherries- tart, lb/ bring ac |  | 4,575 |  | 4,419 |  | 3,987 |
| Pears, bu per bearing acre |  | 306 |  | 263 |  | 259 |
| Nonbearing to brng acre ratio |  | 10\% |  | 12\% |  | 11\% |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brng fruit, acres per worker |  | 24 |  | 24 |  | 23 |
| All fruit, acres per worker |  | 26 |  | 27 |  | 26 |
| Accrual receipts per worker | \$ | 39,965 | \$ | 49,450 | \$ | 48,231 |
| Cost Control - accrual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost / brng acre: All labor | \$ | 655 | \$ | 761 | \$ | 756 |
| All equip | \$ | 274 | \$ | 284 | \$ | 288 |
| Spray | \$ | 177 | \$ | 231 | \$ | 230 |
| Hired labor - \% of oper exp |  | 39\% |  | 41\% |  | 41\% |
| Capital Efficiency- avg for yr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total farm capital /orng ac | \$ | 3,470 | \$ | 3,661 | \$ | 3,735 |
| Total farm capital /fruit ac | \$ | 3,144 | \$ | 3,262 | \$ | 3,350 |
| Capital turnover, years |  | 1.9 |  | 1.7 |  | 1.7 |
| Profitability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net farm income: w/o apprec | \$ | 32,971 | \$ | 96,614 | \$ | 81,153 |
| w/ apprec | \$ | 54,988 | \$ | 115,913 | \$ | 97,817 |
| Labor \& mgmt income / oper | \$ | 3,429 | \$ | 35,141 | \$ | 30,349 |
| Rate of return to avg capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| w/apprec: Equity capital |  | 1.4\% |  | 11.8\% |  | 10.0\% |
| Total capital |  | 3.6\% |  | 10.4\% |  | 9.4\% |
| Financial Summary - end of yr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm. Debt to asset ratio |  | ros, 0.33 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | \$ | $0.31$ |
| Debt per bearing ac | \$ | 1,160 | \$ | 1,207 | \$ | 1,220 |

Table 26.
PROGRESS OF THE FRUIT FARM BUSINESS
All Summary Farms, New York State, 1988-1990

| Selected Factors | 1988 |  | Average per Farm Same 11 farms in: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1989 |  | 1990 |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All cropland incl fruit, ac |  | 289 |  | 281 |  | 287 |
| All fruit incl non-brng, ac |  | 265 |  | 261 |  | 266 |
| Bearing fruit, acres |  | 245 |  | 240 |  | 240 |
| Bearing apples, acres |  | 207 |  | 207 |  | 211 |
| Fresh- $\%$ of all apple acres |  | 47\% |  | 48\% |  | 45\% |
| Apples produced, bushels |  | 87,996 |  | 84,330 |  | 103,711 |
| Accrual apples sold, bushels |  | 90,705 |  | 92,032 |  | 100,740 |
| Worker equivalents |  | 9.99 |  | 10.24 |  | 10.42 |
| Total accrl operating recpts | \$ | 436,091 | \$ | 401,843 | \$ |  |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All apples, bu per bearing ac |  | 425 |  | 408 |  | 491 |
| Fresh- \% of apples harvested |  | 45\% |  | 40\% |  | 42\% |
| Cherries- tart, lb/ brng ac |  | 4,966 |  | 3,915 |  | 4,138 |
| Pears, bu per bearing acre |  | 252 |  | 348 |  | 284 |
| Nonbearing to brng acre ratio |  | 8\% |  | 9\% |  | 11\% |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brig fruit, acres per worker |  | 25 |  | 23 |  | 23 |
| All fruit, acres per worker |  | 27 |  | 25 |  | 26 |
| Accrual receipts per worker | \$ | 43,632 | \$ | 39,246 | \$ | 50,444 |
| Cost Control - accrual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost / brng acre: All labor | \$ | 608 | \$ | 686 | \$ | 792 |
| All equip | \$ | 274 | \$ | 279 | \$ | 285 |
| Spray | \$ | 143 | \$ | 175 | \$ | 217 |
| Hired labor - \% of oper exp |  | 43\% |  | 40\% |  | 43\% |
| Capital Efficiency- avg for yr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total farm capital /brng ac | \$ | 3,175 | \$ | 3,577 | \$ | 3,873 |
| Total farm capital /fruit ac | \$ | 2,933 | \$ | 3,286 | \$ | 3,486 |
| Capital turnover, years |  | 1.8 |  | 2.0 |  | 1.7 |
| Profitability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net farm income: w/o apprec | \$ | 101,546 | \$ | 22,118 | \$ | 118,998 |
| w/ apprec | \$ | 109,788 | \$ | 40,944 | \$ | 145,430 |
| Labor \& mgmt income / oper | \$ | 38,492 | \$ | $(3,544)$ | \$ | 45,438 |
| Rate of return to avg capital w/apprec: Equity capital |  | $12.7 \%$ |  | -2.2\% |  | $14.8 \%$ |
| Financial Summary - end of yr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm: Net worth <br> Debt to asset ratio | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 504,386 \\ 0.38 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 528,454 \\ 0.38 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 654,142 \\ 0.33 \end{array}$ |
| Debt per bearing ac | \$ | 1,239 | \$ | 1,354 | \$ | 1,370 |

Table 27.
PROGRESS OF THE FRUIT FARM BUSINESS Western New York State, 1988-1990


| No. 91-14 | Dairy Farm Business Summary Western Plateau Region 1990 | George L. Casler Carl W. Albers Andrew N. Dufresne Joan S. Petzen Linda D. Putnam Stuart F. Smith |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 91-15 | Dairy Farm Business Summary Mohawk Region 1990 | Eddy L. LaDue <br> Mark E. Anibal <br> Jacqueline M. Mierek |
| No. 91-16 | Dairy Farm Business Summary Northern Hudson Region 1990 | Stuart F. Smith Linda D. Putnam Cathy S. Wickswat John M. Thurgood Thomas J. Gallagher |
| No. 91-17 | Dairy Farm Business Summary Southeastern New York 1990 | Stuart F. Smith Linda D. Putnam Alan S. White Gerald J. Skoda Stephen E. Hadcock |
| No. 91-18 | Supermarket Dairy Department: An Overview of Operations and Performance | Edward McLaughlin David Russo |
| No. 91-19 | Dairy Farm Business Summary Eastern New York Renter Summary 1990 | Linda D. Putnam Stuart F. Smith |
| No. 91-20 | National and State Trends in Milk Production, 1991 | Andrew Novakovic Kevin Jack Maura Keniston |
| No. 91-21 | New York Milk Production from 1979 to 1989: A County and Regional Analysis | Kevin E. Jack Andrew M. Novakovic |

