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DAIRY FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY
Columbia and Dutchess Counties

## INTRODUCTION

Dairyfarmers throughout New York State subait business records for summarization and analysis through Cooperative Extension's Farm Business Management Program. Each participating farmer receives an individual farm analysis report containing all the management information found in this publication. Averages from a compilation of the individual farm reports are published in several regional summaries and in a statewide summary.

The year ahead will bring increased economic pressures on the dairy farming industry. The Dairy Production Stabilization Act of 1983 is expected to reduce milk prices two to three percent while production costs may increase four to six percent. Dairy farmers unst continue to place emphasis on operating efficiency and cost control in order to maintain adequate farm incomes. This year, more than ever, improving weak links in the business and projecting cash flows will be critical management steps to enhance business survival probabilities.

## Program Objectives

Primary objectives of the dairy farm business management program are to (1) assist farmers in developing and maintaining more complete farm business data for use in management decisions and (2) help farmers improve their management skills through appropriate use of farm record data and application of modern decision-making techniques. This report is prepared in workbook form for use in the systematic study of individual farm business performance.

## Changes in Computation

The interest charge made for using equity capital in the farm business was changed in 1982 to five percent. This real rate of interest reflects the long time average rate of return that a farmer might expect to earn in investments with comparable risk to farm businesses in an economy with little or no inflation. Labor and management income does not include appreciation of farm assets, therefore, appreciation has been excluded in determining the use charge for equity capital.

Renting and leasing farm assets is becoming more common on New York dairy farms. Rental and lease payments are included as cash farm expenses. The discounted values of future financial lease payments have been added as a liability and an asset on the farm balance sheet to reflect the farmer's comitted liability as well as the value of an asset.

This summary was prepared by Stuart F. Smith and Linda D. Putnam, Department of Agricultural Economics, New York State College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Cornell University, in cooperation with Cooperative Extension Agents Steve Hadcock, Ken Piester, Dave Tetor, and the Hudson Valley Farm Credit Association. This region is comprised of Columbia and Dutchess Counties.

## SUMMARY OF THE FARM BUSINESS

## Business Characteristics

The combination of resources and management techniques used to put resources to work is an important part of planning. The tables below show important farm business characteristics, the number of farms reporting these characteristics, and the average level of resources used in production.

MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGY AND FARM SLZE
37 Columbia-Dutchess County Daify Farms, 1983

| Type of Business | Number | Business Records |  |  | Number | Dairy | Re | cords | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proprietorship | 25 | CAM |  |  | 6 | D.H. | . C. |  | 32 |
| Partnership | 9 | Acc | count Book |  | 3 | Owner | Sa | ampler | 1 |
| Corporation | 3 | Agr | ifax |  | 26 | Other |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  | m Bureau |  | 1 | None |  |  | 4 |
| Owner | 29 | Oth | er |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Renter | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barn Type | Number | Mil | king Sys | stem | Number |  |  |  | Number |
| Stanchion | 24 | Buc | ket \& Ca | ary | 0 | Herri | ngb | one | 10 |
| Freestall | 13 | Dum | ping Sta | ation | 2 | Other | Pa | arlor | 4 |
| Other | 0 | Pip | eline |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Force | My |  | Average | Land |  |  |  | Farm | Average |
| Operator 1. |  | mo. | 12 | Total | acres ow |  |  |  | 232 |
|  |  | mo. | 4 | Total | acres re | ted |  |  | 211 |
|  |  | mo. | 1 | Total | cillable | acres |  |  | 294 |
| Family paid |  | mo | 4 | Tilla | le acres | rented |  |  | 151 |
| Family unpaid |  | mo | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hired |  | mo | 21 | Numbe | of Cows |  |  | Farm | Average |
| Total |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age of operator(s) | 1. | yre | . 49 | Beginn | ing of $y$ |  |  |  | 91 |
|  | 2. | yrs | . 40 | End of | year |  |  |  | 98 |
|  | 3. | yrs | . 44 | Avera | for yea |  |  |  | 96 |

Capital Investment-Farm Inventory represents the market value of resources comitted to the farm business at the beginning and end of the year. Increases in inventory occur with herd expansion, new machinery, and building additions and appreciation of land, buildings and livestock.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT - FARM INVENTORY
37 Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, 1983

| Item | My Farm |  | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1/1/83 | 1/1/84 | 1/1/83 | 1/1/84 |
| Livestock | \$ | \$ | \$123,194 | \$118,436 |
| Feed \& supplies |  |  | 44,677 | 42,676 |
| Machinery \& equipment |  |  | 85,489 | 86,169 |
| Land \& buildings |  |  | 238,206 | 240,295 |
| TOTAL | \$ | \$ | \$491,566 | \$487,576 |

## Inventory Accounting

The value of the dairy herd is influenced by market prices, herd quality and quantity. Changes in market value caused by inflationary or deflationary price changes, are separated from changes in inventory caused by changes in herd quality and quantity.

CHANGE IN LIVESTOCK INVENTORY
37 Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, 1983


Machinery and real estate inventories, based on current market values, include a depreciation charge and are balanced by the residual called appreciation.

MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT INVENTORY
37 Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, 1983

| Item | My Farm | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of year market value | (1) \$ | \$86, 169 |
| Beginning market value | \$ | \$85,489 |
| Plus machinery purchased | $+$ | +11,357 |
| Less machinery sold | - | - 463 |
| Less depreciation | - | -13,618 |
| Net end investment | (2) \$ | \$82,765 |
| APPRECIATION (1 minus 2) | \$ | \$ 3,404 |

The change in real estate value is affected by market forces, building depreciation, and lost capital which is the portion of a new building investment that is not reflected in the value of the farm.

REAL ESTATE INVENTORY CALCULATIONS
37 Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, 1983

| Item | My Farm |  | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of year market value | (1) \$ |  | \$240,295 |
| Beginning market value | \$ |  | \$238,206 |
| Cost of new real estate | \$ | \$5,107 |  |
| Less lost capital | - | $\begin{array}{r}\text { - } 803 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| Value of new added | $+$ |  | $+4,304$ |
| Less building depreciation | - |  | - 5,947 |
| Less real estate sold | - |  | - 149 |
| Net end investment | (2) \$ |  | \$236,414 |
| APPRECIATION (1 minus 2) | \$ |  | \$ 3,881 |

Receipts from the business should be large enough to cover all expenses and leave a reasonable return for the operator's labor and management. Cash receipts occur when farm products and livestock are sold or services are performed and payment is received during the year. Noncash receipts do not result from sales, but are due to appreciation in value or increases in physical quantities of inventories that occurred during the year. Most of these items could be readily transformed into cash.

FARM RECEIPTS
37 Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, 1983

| Item | My Farm | Per Farm | Per Cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH RECEIPTS |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$ | \$208,691 | \$2,174 |
| Crop sales |  | 2,419 | 25 |
| Dairy cattle sold |  | 14,828 | 155 |
| Calves \& other livestock sales |  | 2,325 | 24 |
| Gas tax refunds |  | 124 | 1 |
| Government payments |  | 941 | 10 |
| Custom machine work |  | 287 | 3 |
| Other |  | 3,439 | 36 |
| Total Cash Receipts | \$ | \$233,054 | \$2,428 |
| NONCASH RECEIPTS 1 |  |  |  |
| Increase in livestock inventory ${ }^{1}$ |  | 5,875 | 61 |
| Increase in feed \& supplies |  | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPTS <br> EXCLUDING APPRECIATION | \$ | \$238,929 | \$2,489 |
| Livestock appreciation ${ }^{2}$ |  | - 10,633 | - 111 |
| Machinery appreciation ${ }^{3}$ |  | 3,404 | 36 |
| Real estate appreciation ${ }^{3}$ |  | 3,881 | 40 |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPTS | \$ | \$235,581 | \$2,454 |

${ }^{1}$ The increase in herd market value attributed to a change in numbers and/or a definite change in herd quality.
${ }^{2}$ The increase in herd market value, caused by inflationary price increase.
${ }^{3}$ Defined on page 3 .
Income Analysis provides a means of examining the annual receipt producing capability of the farm business.

INCOME ANALYSIS
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, $1983 \& 1982$

| Item |  | 37 Farms | 38 Farms |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average price/cwt milk sold | $\$$ | 1983 | 1982 |
| Milk and cattle sales per cow |  |  | $\$ 14.64$ |
| Total cash receipts/worker |  |  | $\$ 2,353$ |

## Expenses

All farm expenses, cash operating and overhead, are summarized below.
farm expenses
37 Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, 1983

| Item | My Farm | Per Farm | Per Cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hired Labor | \$ | \$ 24,192 | \$ 252 |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Dairy concentrate |  | 45,705 | 476 |
| Hay and other |  | 2,414 | 25 |
| Machinery |  |  |  |
| Machine hire, rent and lease |  | 2,408 | 25 |
| Machinery repairs |  | 10,437 | 109 |
| Auto expense (farm share) |  | 174 | 2 |
| Gas and oil |  | 8,444 | 88 |
| Livestock |  |  |  |
| Replacement livestock |  | 1,748 | 18 |
| Breeding fees |  | 3,526 | 37 |
| Veterinary and medicine |  | 4,397 | 46 |
| Milk marketing |  | 17,845 | 186 |
| Cattle lease |  | 243 | 2 |
| Other livestock expense |  | 9,236 | 96 |
| Crops |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer and lime |  | 11,020 | 115 |
| Seeds and plants |  | 3,360 | 35 |
| Spray, other crop expense |  | 2,663 | 28 |
| Real Estate |  |  |  |
| Land, building, fence repair |  | 3,324 | 34 |
| Taxes |  | 5,402 | 56 |
| Insurance |  | 3,544 | 37 |
| Rent and lease |  | 9,056 | 94 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Telephone (farm share) |  | 740 | 8 |
| Electricity (farm share) |  | 5,195 | 54 |
| Interest paid |  | 19,177 | 200 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 3,523 | 37 |
| Total Cash Expenses | \$ | \$197,773 | 2,060 |
| Decrease in feed and supplies |  | 2,001 | 21 |
| Expansion livestock |  | 2,756 | 28 |
| Machinery depreciation |  | 13,618 | 142 |
| Building depreciation |  | 5,947 | 62 |
| Unpaid family labor @ \$500/month |  | 1,243 | 13 |
| TOTAL FARM EXPENSES EXCLUDING INTEREST ON EOUITY CAPITAL | \$ | \$223,338 | \$2,326 |
| Interest on equity capital @ 5\% |  | 16,696 | 174 |
| TOTAL FARM EXPENSES | \$ | \$240,034 | \$2,500 |

## Farm Business Profitability

The results of management are reflected in the net return from the business. Four common ways to measure the returns from a farm business are calculated.

Net cash farm income reflects the cash available from the year's operation of the business. Family living has first claim on cash income followed by fixed payments on debts. A family may have additional cash available if they have nonfarm income. Cash flow is not a good measure of farm business profits, but it is useful when planning debt repayment programs. Guidelines for annual cash flow planning are presented on page 9. Monthly cash flow planning is also recommended and may be required in order to identify cash flow problems in the year ahead. This is particularly true when major changes in the business are planned or when the price of important factors such as milk or purchased grain are expected to change significantly.

NET CASH FARM INCOME
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, $1983 \& 1982$

|  |  | 37 Farms | 38 Farms |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | My Farm | 1983 | 1982 |
| Cash Farm Receipts | $\$$ | $\$ 233,054$ | $\$ 214,874$ |
| Cash Farm Expenses |  |  | 197,773 |
| NET CASH FARM INCOME | $\$$ | $\$ 35,281$ | $\$ 37,148$ |

Labor and management income is the return to the operator for his or her labor and management input into the business. A five percent charge for the use of the operator's equity capital in the business has been included as a farm expense. This interest charge reflects the long term average rate of return that a farmer might expect to earn in investments with comparable risk to farm businesses in an economy with little or no inflation. Labor and management income is the measure used most commonly when comparing farm businesses. Appreciation in livestock, machinery and real estate inventories is included as ownership income, not return to operator labor and management.

LABOR AND MANAGEMENT INCOME
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, $1983 \& 1982$

| Item | My Farm | $\begin{gathered} 37 \text { Farms } \\ 1983 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \text { Farms } \\ 1982 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total farm receipts excluding appreciation | \$ | \$238,929 | \$216,650 |
| Total farm expenses |  | 240,034 | 215,651 |
| LABOR \& MANAGEMENT INCOME | \$ | \$ -1, 105 | \$ 999 |
| Full-time operator-manager equivalents | 8 | 1.41 | 1.45 |
| LABOR \& MANAGEMENT INCOME PER OPERATOR-MANAGER | \$ | \$ -784 | \$ 689 |

Labor, management and ownership income per operator reflects the combined return to the farmer for his or her triple role of worker-manager, financier and owner. Again, this is not a measure of the cash flow situation of the farm business. A satisfactory labor, management and ownership income does not eliminate cash flow problems if liabilities are large and repayment is rapid.

LABOR, MANAGEMENT AND ONNERSHIP INCOME
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, 1983 \& 1982

| Item | My Farm | $\begin{gathered} 37 \text { Farms } \\ 1983 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \text { Farms } \\ 1982 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total farm receipts | \$ | \$235,581 | \$221,180 |
| Total farm expenses excluding interest on equity capital |  | 223,338 | 198,844 |
| LABOR, MANAGEMENT AND OWNERSHIP INCOME PER FARM |  | \$ 12,243 | \$ 22,336 |
| Full-time operator-manager equivalents |  | 1.41 | 1.45 |
| LABOR, MANAGEMENT AND OWNERSHIP InCOME PER OPERATOR-MANAGER | \$ | \$ 8,683 | \$ 15,404 |

Return on equity capital measures the net profit remaining for the farmer's owned or equity capital after earnings have been allocated to the owner-operator's labor and management. The earnings or amount of gross profit allocated to labor and management is the opportunity cost or value of operator's labor and management estimated by the cooperators. Return on equity capital is computed including and excluding appreciation.

RETURN ON EQUITY CAPITAL
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, 1983 \& 1982

| Item | My Farm | $\begin{gathered} 37 \text { Farms } \\ 1983 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \text { Farms } \\ 1982 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor, management \& ownership income per farm | \$ | \$12,243 | \$22,336 |
| Less value of operator's labor \& management |  | 20,797 | 20,529 |
| Return on equity capital | \$ | \$-8,554 | \$ 1,807 |
| RATE OF RETURN INCLUDING APPRECLIATION | $\%$ | -2.6\% | 0.5\% |
| rate of return excluding appreciation | \% | -1.6\% | -0.8\% |

The rate of return on equity capital is computed as the amount returned divided by farm net worth or equity capital.

## Farm Family Financial Situation

The financial situation is an important part of the farm business summary. It has a direct affect on current cash outflow and future capital investment decisions. Financial lease obligations are included in the balance sheet. The present value of all future payments is listed as a liability since the farmer is committed to make the payments. The present values are also listed as assets, representing the future value the item has to the business.

FARM FAMILY NET WORTH
37 Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, January 1, 1984

| Item | My Farm | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Livestock (includes discounted lease pymts) | \$ | $(3)$ |
| Feed and supplies |  | 42,676 |
| Machinery and equipment <br> (includes discounted lease pymts) |  | $(1,734)^{87,903}$ |
| Land and buildings <br> (includes discounted lease pymts) |  | $(2,729)^{243,024}$ |
| Co-op investments |  | 19,328 |
| Accounts receivable |  | 18,247 |
| Cash and checking accounts |  | 3,259 |
| Total Farm Assets | \$ | \$532,876 |
| Savings accounts | \$ | \$ 3,753 |
| Cash value life insurance |  | 1,847 |
| Stocks and bonds |  | 4,737 |
| Nonfarm real estate |  | 3,366 |
| Auto (personal share) |  | 278 |
| All Other |  | 3,069 |
| TOTAL FARM \& NONFARM ASSETS | \$ | \$549,926 |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Long term | \$ | \$119,095 |
| Intermediate |  | 64,174 |
| Financial lease |  | 4,466 |
| Short term |  | 3,560 |
| Other farm accounts |  | 7,658 |
| Total Farm Liabilities | \$ | \$198,953 |
| Nonfarm Liabilities |  | 854 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | \$199,807 |
| FARM NET WORTH (EQUITY CAPITAL) | \$ | \$333,923 |
| FAMILY NET WORTH | \$ | \$350,119 |

Payment ability is the most important consideration in determining if and how proposed investments should be financed. The farm business must produce sufficient cash income to meet operating expenses, to cover family or personal living expenses, to make payments on debts and to cover cash purchases of capital items that occur during the year. Interest paid and income from off-farm work are added to net cash farm income because planned or budgeted debt payments will include interest as well as principal. Estimate family living expenses for your farm to calculate cash available for debt payment and capital purchases made in cash.

Some farms in the group have scheduled debt payments exceeding 50 percent of the milk receipts. Comitting this much cash inflow to debt payments can create a serious cash flow problem.

FARM FAMILY DEBT REPAYMENT
37 Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, January 1, 1984

| Item | My Farm | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payment Ability |  |  |
| Net cash farm income | \$ | \$35,281 |
| Plus interest paid |  | 19,177 |
| Plus off-farm income |  | 2,564 |
| CASH AVAILABLE FOR DEBT |  |  |
| Less family living expenses* |  | $24,127$ |
| CASH AVAILABLE FOR DEBT PAYMENT AND CAPITAL PURCHASES | \$ | \$32,895 |
| Scheduled Annual Debt Payments |  |  |
| Long term | \$ | \$12,953 |
| Intermediate |  | 21,842 |
| Short term |  | 3,449 |
| Other farm accounts |  | 1,703 |
| TOTAL FARM DEBT PAYMENTS | \$ | \$39,947 |
| Nonfarm debt payments |  | 0 |
| TOTAL PAYMENTS PLANNED 1984 | \$ | \$39,947 |
| Commitment and Measures of Debt |  |  |
| Equity Position |  |  |
| Farm debt payments planned per cow | \$ | \$408 |
| Farm debt payments as \% milk sales | \% | 19\% |
| Farm debt/asset ratio-long term |  | 0.49 |
| Farm debt/asset ratio-intermediate and short term |  | 0.25 |
| Farm debt per cow | \$ | \$2,030 |
| Percent equity (total) | \% | $64 \%$ |

[^0]
## ANALYSIS OF THE FARM BUSINESS

When analyzing a farm business, a manager must consider measures or factors that reflect the performance of specified parts of the farm business. To do this one must look at factors of size, rates of production, labor efficiency, capital efficiency and cost control. These measures and factors are detailed on the following pages.

## Size of Business

Studies have shown that, in general, larger farms are more profitable than smaller farms, Larger businesses make possible more efficient use of overhead inputs such as labor and machinery and there are more units of production on which to earn a profit. Profitable farm businesses with good management have the ability and incentive to become larger. Large farms are not necessarily more profitable however, and size increases are only profitable with good management.

MEASURES OF SIZE OF BUSINESS
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, $1983 \& 1982$

| Item | My Farm | 37 Farms |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of cows |  | 1983 | 38 Farms |
| Number of heifers |  | 96 | 1982 |
| Pounds of milk sold | - | 77 | 88 |
| Worker equivalent |  |  | $1,425,800$ |
| Total work units |  | 3.67 | $1,316,400$ |
| Total tillable acres |  | 1,052 | 3.50 |

In the table below, the 572 New York farms for 1982 are sorted by number of cows and the labor and management income is shown for each size group. In general, the large farms paid better, but, variability of income was significant.

COWS PER FARM AND LABOR AND MANAGEMENT INCOME
572 New York Daify Farms, 1982

| Number <br> of Cows | Ave. Number <br> of Cows | Number <br> of Farms | Percent <br> of Farms | Labor \& Mgmt. Income <br> Per Operator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 40 | 34 | 76 | 13 | 812 |
| 40 to 54 | 47 | 128 | 22 | -19 |
| 55 to 69 | 61 | 107 | 19 | 3,225 |
| 70 to 84 | 76 | 82 | 14 | 3,064 |
| 85 to 99 | 90 | 52 | 9 | 2,152 |
| 100 to 149 | 120 | 69 | 12 | 4,073 |
| 150 to 199 | 169 | 33 | 6 | $-3,577$ |
| 200 to 249 | 230 | 15 | 3 | 27,218 |
| 250 \& over | 363 | 10 | 2 | 45,479 |

## Rates of Production

Crop yields and rates of animal production are factors that have a significant impact on farm incomes. Here is a description of crops grown and yields along with the pounds of milk sold per cow.

CROP YIELDS \& MILK SOLD PER COW
37 Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, 1983

| Crop | My Farm |  | Average of Parns Reporting |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Yield | Farmb | Acres | Yield/Acre |
| Dry hay |  |  | 36 | (com | ned below) |
| Hay crop silage |  |  | 20 | (col | ined below) |
| Total hay crops |  |  | 36 | 146 | 2.9 tons D.M. |
| Corn silage |  |  | 35 | 90 | 11.6 tons |
| Other forage |  |  | 1 | 23 | 1.3 tons D.M. |
| Total forage crops |  |  | 36 | 233 | 3.3 tons D.M. |
| Grain corn |  |  | 20 | 71 | 83.7 bushels |
| Oats |  |  | 3 | 23 | 39.4 bushels |
| Wheat |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Other crops |  |  | 4 | 11 |  |
| Tillable pasture |  |  | 8 | 27 |  |
| Idle tillable land |  |  | 17 | 44 |  |

Milk sold per cow 14,852 pounds
Tons of dry matter per acre from all hay and silage is a good measure of the overall rate of forage production.

The importance of strong milk output per cow is shown in the table below.

MILK SOLD PER COW AND LABOR AND MANAGEMENT INCOME 572 New York Dalry Farms, 1982

| Pounds of Milk <br> Sold Per Cow | Number <br> of Farms of | Number <br> Cows | Labor \& Mgmt. <br> Income/Oper. | Labor, Mgmt., \& Owner- <br> ship |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 11,000 | 52 | 53 | $\$-6,028$ | $\$-1,924$ |
| 11,000 to 11,999 | 27 | 55 | $-3,637$ | 5,492 |
| 12,000 to 12,999 | 50 | 74 | $-4,893$ | 7,908 |
| 13,000 to 13,999 | 88 | 88 | 348 | 15,624 |
| 14,000 to 14,999 | 109 | 86 | 2,475 | 15,311 |
| 15,000 to 15,999 | 117 | 87 | 6,453 | 22,074 |
| 16,000 to 16,999 | 64 | 88 | 10,715 | 26,851 |
| 17,000 to 17,999 | 43 | 97 | 7,024 | 26,668 |
| 18,000 \& over | 22 | 91 | 22,966 | 49,864 |

## Labor Efficiency

Labor input is an important factor in farm production. Several measures of accomplishment per worker (labor efficiency) are shown below.

MEASURES OF LABOR EFFICIENCY
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, 1983 \& 1982

| Item |  | 37 Farms | 38 Farms |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Worker equivalent |  | 1983 | 1982 |
| Cows per worker |  | 3.67 | 3.50 |
| Lbs. milk sold per worker |  | 26 | 25 |
| Work units per worker |  |  | 388,501 |

Number of cows per worker is calculated by dividing the average number of cows by the worker equivalent which represents the total farm labor force. Pounds of milk sold per worker is an important measure of labor efficiency on the dairy farm. It measures the ability of the labor force to handle a large number of cows without sacrificing milk output per cow.

It is important to look at other measures of labor efficiency, such as work units per worker because all dairy farms do not have the same relationship between cows, heifers, and crops grown.

Labor efficiency depends on a number of things. Among these are the amount of mechanization, the field and building layout, the work methods, and the abilities of the workers. All of these are management items under the control of the operator.

Another factor which may influence the productivity of labor is the wage paid to employees. A productive employee will require a reasonable and competitive wage.

MILK SOLD PER WORKER AND LABOR AND MANAGEMENT INCOME 572 New York Dairy Farms, 1982

| Pounds of Milk Sold Per Worker | Number of Farms | Number <br> of Cows | Lbs. Milk Per Cow | Labor \& Mgmt. <br> Income <br> Per Operator | Labor, Mgmt. \& Ownership Inc. Per Operator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 250,000 | -73 | 43 | 11,553 | \$-3,985 | \$ 2,967 |
| 250,000 to 299,999 | 55 | 54 | 13,296 | -4,001 | 3,414 |
| 300,000 to 349,999 | 60 | 59 | 13,854 | -957 | 10,220 |
| 350,000 to 399,999 | 92 | 73 | 14,625 | 2,010 | 13,878 |
| 400,000 to 449,000 | 101 | 77 | 15,090 | 3,319 | 18,200 |
| 450,000 to 499,999 | - 68 | 98 | 14,979 | 2,949 | 21,393 |
| 500,000 to 599,999 | - 86 | 111 | 15,317 | 7,271 | 23,823 |
| 600,000 \& over | 37 | 180 | 15,917 | 31, 180 | 65,277 |

## Capital Efficiency

Capital is a key resource in dairy farm businesses and a manager must continually analyze its use in the business. The measures of capital efficiency shown in the following table include owned as well as borrowed capital. It is possible for the business to be undercapitalized, but investing too much capital per productive unit is a more common problem.

MEASURES OF CAPITAL EPFICIENCY
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, $1983 \& 1982$

| Item | My Farm | $\begin{gathered} 37 \text { Farms } \\ 1983 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \text { Farms } \\ 1982 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farm capital per worker | \$ | \$132,854 | \$135,871 |
| Farm capital per cow | \$ | 4,975 | 5,284 |
| Machinery investment per cow | \$ | 879 | 921 |
| Machinery per tillable acre | \$ | 293 | 313 |
| Land \& buildings per cow | \$ | 2,452 | 2,594 |
| Land \& buildings per tillable acre owned | \$ | 1,313 | 1,381 |
| Capital turnover | _ years | 2.1 years | 2.2 years |

Land and building investment per crop acre owned shows the relationship between investments in land and buildings. The farmer who owns little cropland but builds many farm buildings will have a relatively large land and building investment per crop acre owned. This could be an indication that capital use is out of balance.

Capital turnover is calculated by dividing the total farm capital (total year-end farm inventory) by the total farm receipts for the year. The factor is called capital turnover because it measures the number of years of receipts needed to equal or "turnover" farm capital. A fast rate of turnover is more desirable than a slow rate because it means capital purchases can be paid off at a faster rate. This figure also depends upon the enterprise selection of the business.

CAPITAL TURNOVER AND LABOR AND MANAGEMENT INCOME
572 New York Dairy Farms, 1982

| Capital Turnover <br> Rate - Years | Number of Farms | Number of Cows | Capital Investment |  | Labor \& Mgmt. Income Per Operator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Per Cow | Per Worker |  |
| less than 1.5 | 11 | 112 | \$3,293 | \$ 97,431 | \$ 23,365 |
| 1.5 to 1.99 | 74 | 124 | 4,513 | 152,003 | 20,036 |
| 2.0 to 2.49 | 173 | 90 | 5,126 | 165,015 | 3,603 |
| 2.5 to 2.99 | 157 | 71 | 5,993 | 171,893 | -662 |
| 3.0 to 3.49 | 90 | 70 | 6,602 | 184,237 | -1,843 |
| 3.5 \& over | 67 | 54 | 7,551 | 181,486 | -4,766 |

## Cost Control

The control of costs is a big factor in the success of modern commercial dairy operations. Feed, machinery and labor costs are major items and should be examined in detail. It is important to check all cost items both large and small. Expenses should be incurred only when the returns from the expense are expected to be greater than the cost incurred.

## Feed Costs

Purchased feed is the largest single expenditure on most dairy farms. Two considerations are important in keeping the feed bill down: (1) Be careful that only nutrients required by the cow are being fed. A dairy farmer cannot afford to buy a feed mix that overfeeds energy or protein. (2) Be certain that the required nutrients are being obtained from their least expensive source. For example, is the lowest cost source of protein, urea, soybean meal or a comercial protein? Help in answering these questions can come from budgeting, from agribusiness people selling feeds, and from dairy and management extension agents. Extension is supporting computerized decision aids to assist in answering these questions including the NEWPLAN program, Least-Cost Balanced Dairy Rations, and the dairy ration analyzers.

The size and productivity of the cropping program has an important influence on the amount of the purchased feed bill. Increased production of either roughages or grains should reduce the purchased feed expense unless cow numbers are increased. Also, heifer raising practices affect feed costs. The overall feed situation must be examined and evaluated as a "system".

FEED COSTS AND RELATED MEASURES
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, $1983 \& 1982$

| Item | My Farm | $\begin{gathered} 37 \text { Farms } \\ 1983 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \text { Farms } \\ 1982 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dairy concentrate purchased per cow | \$ | \$476 | \$451 |
| Dairy concentrate purchased per cwt . of milk sold | \$ | \$3.21 | \$3.02 |
| Percent dairy concentrate is of milk receipts | \% | 22\% | 21\% |
| Crop expense per cow | \$ | \$178 | \$183 |
| Feed \& crop expense/cwt , milk | \$ | \$4.57 | \$4.40 |
| Forage dry matter harv./cow (tons) |  | 7.9 | 7.8 |
| Acres of forage per cow |  | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Total tillable acres per cow |  | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Fertilizer and lime/tillable acre | \$ | \$37 | \$39 |
| Heifers as \% of cow numbers | \% | 80\% | 76\% |

## Machinery, Labor and Miscellaneous Costs

Labor and machinery operate as a team on a dairy farm. The challenge is to obtain an efficient combination of these two inputs that will result in a low cost per unit of output.

MACHINERY AND LABOR COSTS
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, $1983 \& 1982$

| Item | My Farm | $\begin{gathered} 37 \text { Farms } \\ 1983 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \text { Farms } \\ 1982 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Machinery: Depreciation ${ }^{1}$ | \$ | \$13,618 | \$12,561 |
| Interest ${ }^{2}$ |  | 4,292 | 4,064 |
| Operating expense ${ }^{3}$ |  | 21,463 | 20,126 |
| Total machinery | \$ | \$39,373 | \$36,751 |
| Per cow |  | \$410 | \$418 |
| Labor: Value of operators ${ }^{4}$ | \$ | \$12,426 | \$12,474 |
| Unpaid family ${ }^{5}$ |  | 1,243 | 776 |
| Hired |  | 24,192 | 22,957 |
| Total labor | \$ | \$37,861 | \$36,207 |
| Per cow |  | \$394 | \$411 |
| Per cwt. milk |  | \$2.66 | \$2.75 |
| Labor \& machinery costs per cow |  | \$804 | \$829 |
| Labor \& machinery costs/cwt. milk | \$ | \$5.42 | \$5.54 |

${ }^{1}$ Regular depreciation from last year's tax plus 10 percent of new purchases.
${ }^{2}$ Five percent of average machinery investment.
${ }^{3}$ Machine hire, repairs, farm share auto expense, and gas and oil.
4\$750 per month.
${ }^{5}$ \$500 per month.
MLSCELLANEOUS COST CONTROL MEASURES
Columbia-Dutchess County Dairy Farms, $1983 \& 1982$

| Item | My Farm | $\begin{gathered} 37 \text { Farms } \\ 1983 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \text { Farms } \\ 1982 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Livestock expense per cow | \$ | \$367 | \$317 |
| Real estate expense per cow | \$ | \$222 | \$218 |
| Total farm expense per cow | \$ | \$2,500 | \$2,450 |

Livestock expense per cow includes breeding fees, veterinary and medicine, milk marketing, dairy supplies, bedding and DHIC fees. Real estate expenses include repairs, taxes, insurance and rent.

## YEARLY CASH FLOW PLANNING \& ANALYSIS

This worksheet is a valuable tool in financial planning, expansions and for setting goals for improving the farm business. The average is from 37 Columbia-Dutchess County farms.

| Item | Average | My Farm, |  | Cows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Cow | Per Cow | Total | Goal |
| CASH RECEIPTS |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$2,174 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Crop sales | 25 |  |  |  |
| Dairy cattle | 155 |  |  |  |
| Calves \& other livestock | 24 |  |  |  |
| Other | 50 |  |  |  |
| Total Cash Receipts | \$2,428 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| CASH EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| Hired labor | \$ 252 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Dairy concentrate | 476 |  |  |  |
| - Hay and other | 25 |  |  |  |
| Machine hire | 25 |  |  |  |
| Machine repair \& auto expense | 110 |  |  |  |
| Gas \& oil | 88 |  |  |  |
| Replacement livestock | 18 |  |  |  |
| Breeding fees | 37 |  |  |  |
| Vet \& medicine | 46 |  |  |  |
| Mtik marketing (ADA, Dues) | 186 |  |  |  |
| Other livestock exp. (incl. \$2 lease) | ) 98 |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer \& lime | 115 | - |  |  |
| Seeds \& plants | 35 |  |  |  |
| Spray \& other | 28 |  |  |  |
| Land, bldg. fence repair | 35 |  |  |  |
| Taxes | 56 |  |  |  |
| Insurance | 37 |  |  |  |
| Rent | 94 |  |  |  |
| Telephone \& elec. (farm share) | 62 |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous | 37 |  |  |  |
| Total Cash Expenses ${ }^{1}$ | \$1,860 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total Cash Receipts | \$2,428 |  |  |  |
| Total Cash Expenses ${ }^{1}$ | -1,860 | - | - | - |
| Net Cash Flow | \$ 568 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Cash Family Living Expense ${ }^{2}$ | $\underline{-251}$ | - | - | - |
| Amount Left for Debt Service, Capital Investment \& |  |  |  |  |
| Retained Earnings | \$ 317 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Scheduled Debt Service | - 408 | - | - | - |
| Available for Capital Investment | \$-91 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Planned Expansion Livestock Purch. |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Equipment Purchase |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowed or Equity Funds Needed |  | \$ | \$ | \$ |

[^1]
## PROGRESS OF THE FARM BUSINESS

Comparing your business with that of other farmers is one part of a business checkup. It is equally important to compare your current year's business with that of earlier years to show the progress you are making, and to plan ahead, by setting business targets or goals.

| Item | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 Goal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows |  |  |  |  |
| Number of heifers |  |  |  |  |
| Pounds of milk sold |  |  |  |  |
| Worker equivalent |  |  |  |  |
| Total tillable acres |  |  |  |  |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |
| Lbs. milk sold per cow |  |  |  |  |
| Tons hay D.M. per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Tons corn silage per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Cows per worker |  |  |  |  |
| Lbs. milk sold per worker |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Control |  |  |  |  |
| Purch. feed as \% milk sold | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Feed \& crop exp./cwt. milk | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Labor \& mach. cost per cow | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Capital Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Farm capital per cow | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Capital turnover | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Price |  |  |  |  |
| Price per cwt. milk | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Financial Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash farm income | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Labor \& mgmt. inc./oper. | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Farm net worth | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Rate of return on equity | \% | \% | $\%$ | \% |
| Percent equity | \% | \% | \% | $\%$ |
| Farm debt per cow | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

## MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE OF STATEWIDE COOPERATORS

The Farm Business Chart is a tool which can be used in analyzing a business by drawing a line through the figure in each column which represents the current level of management performance. The figure at the top of each column is the average of the top 10 percent of the 572 farms for that factor. The other figures in each column are the average for the second 10 percent, third 10 percent, etc. Each column of the chart is independent of the others. The farms which are in the top 10 percent for one factor would not necessarily be the same farms which make up the top 10 percent for any other factor.

FARM BUSINESS CHART FOR FARM MANAGEMENT COOPERATORS
572 New York Dairy Farms, 1982

| Size of Business |  |  | Rates of Production |  |  | Labor Efficiency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Worker Equivvalent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pounds } \\ \text { Milk } \\ \text { Sold } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pounds Milk Sold Per Cow | Tons D.M./ Acre | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tons Corn } \\ & \text { Silage } \\ & \text { Per Acre } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Cows <br> Per <br> Worker | Pounds Milk Sold Per Worker |
| 6.2 | 219 | 3,391,200 | 18,100 | 4.6 | 20 | 44 | 659,100 |
| 4.0 | 125 | 1,844,000 | 16,600 | 3.6 | 18 | 36 | 537,600 |
| 3.3 | 94 | 1,415,700 | 15,900 | 3.2 | 16 | 33 | 484,700 |
| 3.0 | 80 | 1,188,900 | 15,400 | 2.8 | 15 | 30 | 445,100 |
| 2.7 | 70 | 1,020,000 | 14,900 | 2.6 | 15 | 28 | 416,100 |
| 2.4 | 61 | 902,800 | 14,400 | 2.3 | 14 | 26 | 388,600 |
| 2.1 | 54 | 784,800 | 13,900 | 2.1 | 12 | 25 | 357,100 |
| 2.0 | 48 | 662,200 | 13,200 | 1.9 | 12 | 23 | 315,200 |
| 1.7 | 41 | 545,500 | 12,100 | 1.7 | 10 | 20 | 266,200 |
| 1.3 | 33 | 379,400 | 9,700 | 1.3 | 7 | 16 | 192,800 |


| Feed <br> Bought <br> Per Cow | ```% Feed is of M&1k Receipts``` | Machinery <br> Cost <br> Per Cow | Labor and Machinery Cost Per Cow | Feed and Crop Expense Per Cwt. Milk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$197 | 10\% | \$231 | \$ 517 | \$2.79 |
| 290 | 15 | 304 | 613 | 3.39 |
| 357 | 19 | 341 | 666 | 3.83 |
| 407 | 22 | 372 | 719 | 4.15 |
| 456 | 24 | 407 | 755 | 4.44 |
| 501 | 26 | 439 | 792 | 4.67 |
| 544 | 29 | 469 | 840 | 4.93 |
| 593 | 31 | 512 | 883 | 5.21 |
| 651 | 33 | 564 | 962 | 5.60 |
| 791 | 39 | 696 | 1,158 | 6.53 |

The cost control factors are ranked from low to high, but the lowest cost is not necessarily the most profitable. In some cases, the "best" management position is somewhere near the middle or average. Many things affect the level of costs, and must be taken into account when analyzing the factors.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS CHART
572 New York Dairy Farms, 1982

## Liquidity (Repayment)

| Debt Payments <br> Per Cow | Available for <br> Debt Service <br> Per Cow | Cash Flow <br> Coverage <br> Ratio | Debt Payments <br> as Percent of <br> Milk Sales | Debt <br> Per Cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 53$ | $\$ 828$ | 8.55 | 3 | $\$ 160$ |
| 207 | 647 | 2.02 | 11 | 774 |
| 296 | 557 | 1.40 | 16 | 1,237 |
| 367 | 486 | 1.10 | 19 | 1,683 |
| 436 | 425 | .91 | 23 | 2,035 |
| 493 | 371 | .75 | 26 | 2,364 |
| 557 | 307 | .61 | 30 | 2,772 |
| 635 | 244 | .46 | 35 | 3,177 |
| 768 | 145 | .29 | 42 | 3,751 |
| 1,010 | -82 | -.66 | 60 | 4,849 |


| Solvency |  |  |  | Efficiency \& Profitability |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Debt/Asset Ratio |  | Capital | Rate of | Return on |
| Leverage Ratio | Percent Equity | Current 8 Intermediate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Long }_{5} \\ & \text { Term } \end{aligned}$ | Turnover ${ }^{6}$ (years) | Equity ${ }^{7}$ | Investment ${ }^{8}$ |
| . 03 | 97 | . 00 | . 00 | 1.36 | 14\% | 12\% |
| . 15 | 87 | . 05 | . 06 | 1.95 | 6 | 8 |
| . 27 | 78 | . 11 | . 19 | 2.16 | 4 | 6 |
| . 41 | 71 | . 18 | . 34 | 2.36 | 1 | 5 |
| . 56 | 64 | . 23 | . 44 | 2.55 | - 1 | 3 |
| . 72 | 58 | . 30 | . 54 | 2.70 | - 3 | 2 |
| . 95 | 51 | . 37 | . 63 | 2.90 | - 5 | 1 |
| 1.25 | 44 | . 45 | . 73 | 3.23 | -9 | - 1 |
| 1.81 | 36 | . 56 | . 87 | 3.69 | -17 | - 3 |
| 8.50 | 20 | . 79 | 1.25 | 5.68 | -81 | -8 |

${ }^{1}$ Amount available for debt service per dollar of annual scheduled debt payment, computed by dividing the available dollars by the annual payments planned. A high positive ratio indicates a strong capacity to repay debt.
${ }^{2}$ Amount of milk income committed to debt repayment, calculated by dividing scheduled debt payments by total milk sales (\$).
${ }^{3}$ Dollars of debt per dollar of equity, computed by dividing total liabilities by total equity.
${ }^{4}$ All farm 11abilities on less than 10 year repayment divided by all farm assets excluding real estate and other long term assets.
$5^{5}$ Farm liabilities on 10 years or more repayment, including all real estate mortgages, divided by the value of farm real estate and other long term assets.
${ }^{6}$ Year-end farm inventory divided by total farm receipts.
${ }^{7}$ Return on equity capital, including appreciation, divided by farm net worth.
$8_{\text {Return on }}$ all farm capital (no deduction for interest paid) divided by total farm assets.

FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY BY HERD SIZE
572 New York Dairy Farms, 1982

| Item Farm Size: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & 40 \text { cows } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \text { to } \\ & 54 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 69 \text { cows } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \text { to } \\ 84 \text { cows } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Investment (end of year) |  |  |  |  |
| Livestock | \$ 49,013 | \$ 72,347 | \$ 94,025 | \$115,565 |
| Feed \& supplies | 9,858 | 16,105 | 24,793 | 32,663 |
| Machinery \& equipment | 41,258 | 57,949 | 78,186 | 92,761 |
| Land \& buildings | 111,530 | 149,346 | 187,417 | 217,564 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT | \$211,659 | \$295,747 | \$384,421 | \$458,553 |
| Recelpts |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$ 59,250 | \$ 88,659 | \$124,138 | \$152,408 |
| Dairy cattle sold | 3,693 | 5,845 | 7,377 | 9,537 |
| Other 11 vestock sales | 1,363 | 1,619 | 1,655 | 1,731 |
| Crop sales | 293 | 767 | 1,408 | 1,134 |
| Miscellaneous receipts | 792 | 1,623 | 1,934 | 1,898 |
| Total Cash Recelpts | \$ 65,391 | \$98,513 | \$136,512 | \$166,708 |
| Increase in livestock | 1,622 | 3,541 | 4,838 | 5,835 |
| Increase in feed \& supplies | 1,158 | 325 | 559 | 2,030 |
| Appreciation | 571 | 470 | 4,956 | 3,656 |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPTS | \$ 68,742 | \$102,849 | \$146,865 | \$178,229 |
| TOTAL FARM REC. EXCL. APPREC. | \$ 68,171 | \$102,379 | \$141,909 | \$174,573 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Hired labor | \$ 2,352 | \$ 4,584 | \$ 8,441 | \$ 12,087 |
| Dairy grain \& concentrate | 16,910 | 23,255 | 29,338 | 36,011 |
| Other feed | 761 | 1,164 | 1,285 | 1,075 |
| Machine hire | 479 | 795 | 1,417 | 1,235 |
| Machinery repair | 2,476 | 4,454 | 5,916 | 8,277 |
| Auto expense (farm share) | 393 | 432 | 479 | 407 |
| Gas \& ofl | 2,422 | 3,760 | 5,408 | 6,489 |
| Replacement animals | 1,136 | 1,318 | 1,542 | 1,638 |
| Breeding fees | 881 | 1,350 | 1,975 | 2,184 |
| Veterinary \& medicine | 1,087 | 1,837 | 2,545 | 2,873 |
| Milk marketing | 2,272 | 3,550 | 4,399 | 5,690 |
| Cattle lease | 25 | 154 | 93 | 106 |
| Other livestock expense | 2,158 | 4,103 | 4,825 | 5,690 |
| Fertilizer \& lime | 2,008 | 4,061 | 6,619 | 8,097 |
| Seeds \& plants | 699 | 1,318 | 2,107 | 2,745 |
| Spray \& other crop expense | 442 | 948 | 1,774 | 1,980 |
| Land, bldg., fence repair | 927 | 1,375 | 1,940 | 2,882 |
| Taxes of insurance | 3,218 | 4,268 | 5,457 | 6,685 |
| Electricity \& phone (farm share) | 1,956 | 2,694 | 3,472 | 4,124 |
| Interest paid | 7,234 | 11,166 | 13,687 | 17,070 |
| Miscellaneous expenses | 1,394 | 2,766 | 3,635 | 5,188 |
| Total Cash Expenses | \$ 51,230 | \$79,352 | \$106,354 | \$132,533 |
| Expansion 11vestock | 275 | 688 | 1,154 | 1,101 |
| Machinery depreciation | 5,530 | 8,072 | 11,158 | 14,286 |
| Building depreciation | 1,600 | 2,794 | 4,638 | 5,699 |
| Unpaid family labor | 1,647 | 2,199 | 1,537 | 2,021 |
| Interest on equity @ 5\% | 7,004 | 9,296 | 12,843 | 14,888 |
| total farm expenses | \$ 67,286 | \$102,401 | \$137,684 | \$170,528 |
| Financial Sumary |  |  |  |  |
| NET CASH FARM INCOME | \$ 14,161 | \$ 19,161 | \$ 30,158 | \$ 34,175 |
| Labor \& Management Income | 885 | -22 | \$ 4,225 | \$ 4,045 |
| Number of Operators | 1.09 | 1.15 | 1.31 | 1.32 |
| LABOR \& MGT. INCOME/OPER. | \$ 812 | \$ $\quad 19$ | \$ 3,225 | \$ 3,064 |
| LABOR, MGT. \& OWNSHP. INC. /OPER. | \$ 7,761 | \$ 8,473 | \$ 16,812 | \$ 17,113 |

FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY BY HERD SIZE 572 New York Daity Farms, 1982


SELECTED BUSINESS FACTORS BY HERD SIZE
572 New York Dairy Farms, 1982

| Item | Farms with: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 40 cows | 40 to 54 cows | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { to } \\ & 69 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \text { to } \\ & 84 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of farms | 76 | 128 | 107 | 82 |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows | 34 | 47 | 61 | 76 |
| Number of heifers | 26 | 38 | 51 | 64 |
| Pounds of milk sold | 440,100 | 660,600 | 928,900 | 1,124,500 |
| Worker equivalent | 1.67 | 2.00 | 2.42 | 2.75 |
| Total work units | 374 | 539 | 687 | 867 |
| Total tillable acres | 116 | 171 | 211 | 256 |
| (Tillable acres rented) | (27) | (42) | (63) | (82) |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sold per cow | 12,944 | 14,055 | 15,228 | 14,796 |
| Tons hay crop per acre | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Tons corn silage per acre | 11.8 | 12.7 | 13.3 | 13.1 |
| Bushels of oats per acre | 29.1 | 57.1 | 60.5 | 54.3 |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Cows per worker | 20 | 24 | 25 | 28 |
| Pounds milk sold per worker | 263,533 | 330,300 | 383,843 | 408,909 |
| Work units per worker | 224 | 270 | 284 | 315 |
| Feed Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Feed purchased per cow | \$497 | \$495 | \$481 | \$474 |
| Crop expense per cow | \$93 | \$135 | \$172 | \$169 |
| Feed cost per cwt. milk | \$3.84 | \$3.52 | \$3.16 | \$3.20 |
| Feed \& crop exp. per cwt. milk | \$4.73 | \$4.65 | \$4.43 | \$4.44 |
| \% feed is of milk receipts | 29\% | 26\% | 24\% | 24\% |
| Tons forage dry matter per cow | 6.8 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 8.2 |
| Tillable acres per cow | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Fertilizer \& lime per crop acre | \$17 | \$24 | \$31 | \$32 |
| Machinery \& Labor Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Total machinery costs | \$13,337 | \$20,376 | \$28,204 | \$35,234 |
| Machinery cost per cow | \$392 | \$434 | \$462 | \$464 |
| Machinery cost per cwt. milk | \$3.03 | \$3.08 | \$3.04 | \$3.13 |
| Labor cost per cow | \$406 | \$364 | \$353 | \$338 |
| Labor cost per cwt. milk | \$3.14 | \$2.59 | \$2.32 | \$2.29 |
| Capital Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Investment per worker | \$126,742 | \$147,874 | \$158,852 | \$166,747 |
| Investment per cow | \$6,047 | \$6,036 | \$6,007 | \$5,804 |
| Investment per cwt. milk | \$48 | \$45 | \$41 | \$41 |
| Land \& buildings per cow | \$3,187 | \$3,048 | \$2,928 | \$2,754 |
| Machinery investment per cow | \$1,179 | \$1,183 | \$1,222 | \$1,174 |
| Capital turnover | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Price per cwt. milk sold | \$13.46 | \$13.42 | \$13.36 | \$13.55 |
| Acres hay crops | 83 | 103 | 109 | 142 |
| Acres corn silage* | 14 | 31 | 44 | 60 |

[^2]SELECTED BUSINESS FACTORS BY HERD SIZE 572 New York Dairy Farms, 1982

| Item | Farmis with: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 85 \text { to } \\ & 99 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \text { to } \\ 149 \text { cows } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150 \text { to } \\ 199 \text { cows } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200 \text { to } \\ 249 \text { cows } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \text { or } \\ & \text { more cows } \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of farms | 52 | 69 | 33 | 15 | 10 |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows | 90 | 120 | 169 | 230 | 363 |
| Number of heifers | 70 | 98 | 127 | 212 | 284 |
| Pounds of milk sold 1, | 1,303,200 | 1,753,400 | 2,528,300 | 3,464,100 | 5,868,500 |
| Worker equivalent | 3.08 | 3.67 | 4.83 | 6.25 | 8.75 |
| Total work units | 999 | 1,338 | 1,854 | 2,536 | 3,915 |
| Total tillable acres | 290 | 368 | 527 | 577 | 913 |
| (Tillable acres rented)* | (106) | (132) | (181) | (184) | (348) |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sold per cow | 14,480 | 14,612 | 14,960 | 15,061 | 16,167 |
| Tons hay crop per acre | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Tons corn silage per acre | 13.5 | 13.8 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.4 |
| Bushels of oats per acre | 66.1 | 49.9 | 46.7 | 81.8 | 95.7 |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows per worker | 29 | 33 | 35 | 37 | 41 |
| Pounds milk sold per worker | 423,117 | 477,766 | 523,458 | 554,256 | 670,686 |
| Work units per worker | 324 | 365 | 384 | 406 | 447 |
| Feed Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feed purchased per cow | \$473 | \$445 | \$465 | \$511 | \$550 |
| Crop expense per cow | \$171 | \$173 | \$192 | \$180 | \$176 |
| Feed cost per cwt. milk | \$3.27 | \$3.05 | \$3.11 | \$3.40 | \$3.40 |
| Feed \& crop exp. per cwt. milk | 1k \$4.54 | \$4.44 | \$4.50 | \$4.68 | \$4.58 |
| \% feed is of milk receipts | 24\% | 22\% | 23\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Tons forage dry matter per cow | Ow 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 8.0 |
| Tillable acres per cow | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Fertilizer \& lime per crop acr | cre \$34 | \$35 | \$38 | \$46 | \$45 |
| Machinery \& Labor Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total machinery costs | \$39,237 | \$51,045 | \$74,134 | \$87,122 | \$139,530 |
| Machinery cost per cow | \$436 | \$425 | \$439 | \$379 | \$384 |
| Machinery cost per cwt. milk | \$3.01 | \$2.91 | \$2.93 | \$2.51 | \$2.38 |
| Labor cost per cow | \$337 | \$321 | \$361 | \$348 | \$384 |
| Labor cost per cwt. milk | \$2.33 | \$2.20 | \$2.41 | \$2.31 | \$2.38 |
| Capital Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment per worker | \$164,722 | \$178,413 | \$184,322 | \$196,385 | \$221,158 |
| Investment per cow | \$5,515 | \$5,156 | \$5,058 | \$5,072 | \$5,079 |
| Investment per cwt. milk | \$39 | \$37 | \$35 | \$35 | \$33 |
| Land \& buildings per cow | \$2,653 | \$2,381 | \$2,332 | \$2,449 | \$2,512 |
| Machinery investment per cow | \$1,076 | \$1,014 | \$971 | \$739 | \$694 |
| Capital turnover | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Price per cwt. milk sold | \$13.77 | \$13.64 | \$13.60 | \$13.67 | \$13.64 |
| Acres hay crops | 147 | 179 | 243 | 231 | 290 |
| Acres corn silage* | 69 | 102 | 131 | 209 | 406 |

*Average of all farms.

FARM FAMILY FINANCIAL SITUATION BY HERD SIZE
572 New York Dairy Farms, January 1, 1983

| Item Farms with: | Less than 40 cows | 40 to <br> 54 cows | 55 to <br> 69 cows | 70 to <br> 84 cows | $\begin{gathered} 85 \text { to } \\ 99 \text { cows } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 76 | 128 | 107 | 82 | 52 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Livestock (includes discounted\$ | \$ 49,013 | \$ 72,347 | \$ 94,219 | \$115,659 | \$128,688 |
| lease payments) | (0) | (0) | (194) | (94) | (211) |
| Feed \& supplies | 9,858 | 16,105 | 24,793 | 32,663 | 35,862 |
| Machinery \& equipment (includes discounted lease payments) | $\begin{array}{r} 41,577 \\ (319) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58,063 \\ (114) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78,479 \\ (293) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93,274 \\ (513) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99,079 \\ (113) \end{gathered}$ |
| Land \& buildings (includes | 112,775 | 152,316 | 188,190 | 220,546 | 245,889 |
| discounted lease payments) | $(1,245)$ | $(2,970)$ | (773) | $(2,982)$ | $(1,849)$ |
| Co-op investment | 1,410 | 2,432 | 4,676 | 5,573 | 10,389 |
| Accounts receivable | 4,511 | 7,481 | 10,283 | 13,244 | 17,670 |
| Cash \& checking accounts | 1,128 | 2,110 | 2,627 | 2,929 | 2,737 |
| Total Farm Assets | \$220,272 | \$310,854 | \$403,267 | \$483,888 | \$540,314 |
| Savings accounts | 2,422 | 1,907 | 3,258 | 3,124 | 3,253 |
| Cash value life insurance | 1,750 | 1,973 | 2,360 | 2,164 | 2,825 |
| Stocks \& bonds | 1,581 | 1,396 | 1,634 | 1,275 | 5,075 |
| Nonfarm real estate | 2,243 | 1,871 | 8,140 | 4,901 | 4,077 |
| Auto (personal share) | 1,130 | 1,273 | 1,745 | 1,596 | 1,503 |
| All other | 8,064 | 5,834 | 5,140 | 7,652 | 5,947 |
| Total Nonfarm Assets | \$17,190 | \$14,254 | \$ 22,277 | \$20,712 | \$22,680 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$237,462 | \$325,108 | \$425,544 | \$504,600 | \$562,994 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Long term | \$ 48,724 | \$ 76,905 | \$ 85,899 | \$111,280 | \$119,743 |
| Intermediate | 25,868 | 39,341 | 52,120 | 62,618 | 86,166 |
| Financial lease | 1,564 | 3,084 | 1,260 | 3,589 | 2,173 |
| Short-term | 1,548 | 1,941 | 3,204 | 4,211 | 3,035 |
| Other farm accounts | 2,486 | 3,665 | 3,927 | 4,426 | 7,246 |
| Total Farm Liabilities | \$80,190 | \$124,936 | \$146,410 | \$186,124 | \$218,363 |
| Total Nonfarm Liabilities | 542 | 384 | 743 | 30 | 129 |
| total liabilities | \$ 80,732 | \$125,320 | \$147,153 | \$186,154 | \$218,492 |
| Farm Net Worth (Eq. Cap.) | \$140,082 | \$185,918 | \$256,857 | \$297,764 | \$321,951 |
| FAMILY NET WORTH | \$156,730 | \$199,788 | \$278,391 | \$318,446 | \$344,502 |
| Financial Measures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent equity | 66\% | 61\% | 65\% | 63\% | 61\% |
| Farm debt per cow | \$2,291 | \$2,550 | \$2,288 | \$2,356 | \$2,374 |
| Available for debt service. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled annual debt payment | \$17,192 | \$24,924 | \$30,696 | \$40,160 | \$46,649 |
| Scheduled debt payments/cow | \$487 | \$504 | \$477 | \$496 | \$506 |
| Payment as \% of milk check | 29\% | 28\% | 25\% | 26\% | 26\% |
| Debt/Asset ratio - long term | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.49 |
| Debt/Asset ratio - intermediate <br> $\begin{array}{llllll}\& \text { short-term } & 0.27 & 0.28 & 0.26 & 0.27 & 0.31\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flow coverage ratio | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.84 |


| Item | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \text { to } \\ & 149 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \text { to } \\ & 199 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \text { to } \\ & 249 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \text { or } \\ & \text { more cows } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 69 | 33 | 15 | 10 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Livestock (includes discounted | \$174,890 | \$240,172 | \$ 353,216 | \$ 548,827 |
| lease payments) | (0) | (885) | (0) | (0) |
| Feed \& supplies | 48,670 | 69,777 | 102,643 | 165,130 |
| Machinery \& equipment (includes | 129,350 | 171,650 | 178,901 | 266, 207 |
| discounted lease payments) | (584) | (786) | (0) | $(1,941)$ |
| Land \& buildings (includes | 306,021 | 412,803 | 596,034 | 956,913 |
| discounted lease payments) | $(3,573)$ | $(2,456)$ | $(3,386)$ | (0) |
| Co-op investment | 9,503 | 19,241 | 23,975 | 40,200 |
| Accounts receivable | 20,977 | 28,611 | 44,462 | 75,160 |
| Cash \& checking accounts | 3,466 | 3,109 | 1,818 | 8,184 |
| Total Farm Assets | \$692,877 | \$945,363 | \$1,301,049 | \$2,060,621 |
| Savings accounts | 2,609 | 6,233 | 768 | 1,193 |
| Cash value life insurance | 3,699 | 4,917 | 2,344 | 2,566 |
| Stocks \& bonds | 3,750 | 7,606 | 4,970 | 4,574 |
| Nonfarm real estate | 10,648 | 13,030 | 3,592 | 0 |
| Auto (personal share) | 1,896 | 2,852 | 1,983 | 985 |
| All other | 7,029 | 7,788 | 1,534 | 5,476 |
| Total Nonfarm Assets | \$ 29,631 | \$42,426 | \$ 15,191 | \$ 14,794 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$722,508 | \$987,789 | \$1,316,240 | \$2,075,415 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Long term | \$150,060 | \$155,699 | \$295,671 | \$490,215 |
| Intermediate | 105,394 | 149,339 | 193,044 | 352,098 |
| Financial lease | 4,157 | 4,127 | 3,386 | 1,941 |
| Short-term | 6,621 | 4,664 | 10,120 | 94,030 |
| Other farm accounts | 7,554 | 10,672 | 11,545 | 15,505 |
| Total Farm Liabilities | \$273,786 | \$324,501 | \$513,766 | \$953,789 |
| Total Nonfarm Liabilities | 301 | 2,986 | 0 | 0 |
| total liabilities | \$274,087 | \$327,487 | \$513,766 | \$953,789 |
| Farm Net Worth (Equity Cap.) | \$419,091 | \$620,862 | \$787,283 | \$1,106,832 |
| FAMILY NET WORTH | \$448,421 | \$660,302 | \$802,474 | \$1,121,626 |
| Financial Measures |  |  |  |  |
| Percent equity | 62\% | 67\% | 61\% | 54\% |
| Farm debt per cow | \$2,156 | \$1,844 | \$2,123 | \$2,503 |
| Available for debt service |  |  |  |  |
| \& living | \$79,512 | \$106,142 | \$155,997 | \$258,528 |
| Scheduled annual debt payment | \$57,850 | \$71,442 | \$109,206 | \$185,677 |
| Scheduled debt payments/cow | \$454 | \$404 | \$451 | \$487 |
| Payment as \% of milk check | 24\% | 21\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| Debt/Asset ratio - long term | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.51 |
| Debt/Asset ratio - intermediate <br> \& short-term | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.41 |
| Cash flow coverage ratio | 0.95 | 1.04 | 1.09 | 1.11 |

## MEASURE YOUR PERFORMANCE

After you have entered your farm business data on the pages of this workbook, categorize your farm business performance into three groups. List the strong points, those which indicate average performance and those areas which need improvement. Your business factors that exceed the regional average should be listed as strong points, factors that are close to the regional average should be identified as average, and factors that are below average should be listed under need improvement.

The Farm Business Chart on the page 18 and the Financial Analysis Chart on page 19 can be used to identify strengths and weaknesses by comparing your business with a large number of New York dairy farms summarized for the previous year. It is recomended that you use more than one standard for comparison when analyzing the farm business.

STRONG POINTS:

NEED IMPROVEMENT:

After identifying opportunities for improvement, consider alternative ways of solving each problem. List each alternative and analyze the consequences in detail. Extension conducts many schools, meetings, and provides many printed materials that should be of assistance. Local agribusinesses often provide helpful information and assistance. Seek out information related to the problem under consideration.

Another way to measure your management performance is to compare your current business factors with those from previous years. Page 17 is provided for this purpose. Answering the following questions may also help evaluate your farm business progress.

1) Do livestock numbers, labor force, and crop acres make up a well balanced unit of resources?
2) Have rates of production shown a steady increase?
3) When will milk output per worker reach 600,000 pounds?
4) Have increases in costs been limited to the effects of inflation?
5) Is growth in net worth keeping up with increased capital investment?
6) Is net cash farm income increasing fast enough to meet your needs?
7) Have you reached the business goals set for 1982 and have you set new goals for 1983?

[^0]:    *Estimated as $\$ 10,500$ per family plus four percent of cash farm receipts.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Interest paid excluded for it is contained in Scheduled Debt Service.
    ${ }^{2}$ Estimated: $\$ 10,500$ per family and four percent of cash farm receipts.

[^2]:    *Average of all farms.

