



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search  
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>  
[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

# *Firms, Quality Upgrading and Trade*

Ian Sheldon  
The Ohio State University

Presentation delivered at the 2013 Annual Meeting  
of the International Agricultural Trade Research Consortium (IATRC)  
Clearwater Beach, FL, December 15-17, 2013



**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY**

---

**“Firms, Quality Upgrading and Trade”**

**Ian Sheldon**

**IATRC Meetings, Clearwater Beach, Florida, December  
15, 2013**



- Sutton (2001, 2007) refers to *capabilities* of firms, consisting of two elements:
  - Maximum level of quality firms can achieve
  - Cost of production (productivity)
- To survive in export markets, Sutton argues firms' capabilities must lie within a “window”
- Competition among firms to enhance capability relies on escalation of fixed outlays such R&D
- Raising/maintaining food quality recognized as important in both domestic (Sexton, 2012), and international markets – especially developing countries with comparative advantage (Swinnen, 2007)



- **Flamm and Helpman (1987), *inter alia*, formalized Linder's (1961) observation that quality affects direction of trade**
- **Schott (2004) finds export unit values at product level increase with exporter per capita income and relative endowments of human capital**
- **Hummels and Klenow (2005) argue that product quality differences are necessary to explain observed differences in unit values across trading partners**
- **Successful exporters use higher-quality inputs to produce higher-quality products (Manova and Zhang, 2012)**
- **Empirical results suggest firm level trade models need to explicitly incorporate vertical product differentiation**



- **Kugler and Verhoogen (2012) find output price-plant size and input price-plant size elasticities increase in scope for quality differentiation**
- **Extend Melitz (2003) beyond standard interpretation of monopolistic competition with horizontal product differentiation**
- **Entrepreneurs pay fixed costs *ex ante* to receive “capability” draw, firms are heterogeneous *ex post*, to which is added:**
  - **Competitive quality-differentiated input sector**
  - **Two versions of final good production function:**
    - **input quality/plant capability complementary, upgrading quality requires no fixed costs**
    - **high quality requires both fixed costs and high-quality inputs**



## ■ Demand Side

**Representative consumer has CES utility:**

$$U = \left[ \int_{\omega \in \Omega} (q(\omega)x(\omega))^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} d\omega \right]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \quad (1)$$

$\omega$  indexes product varieties;  $\Omega$  is set of all available varieties;  $\sigma$  is elasticity of substitution;  $x(\omega)$  is quantity consumed;  $q(\omega)$  is observable quality

**Demand for each variety is:**

$$x(\omega) = Xq(\omega)^{\sigma-1} \left( \frac{p_o(\omega)}{P} \right)^{-\sigma} \quad (2)$$

$p_o(\omega)$  is output price of variety  $\omega$ ,  $P$  is an aggregate quality-adjusted price index, and  $X$  is quality-adjusted aggregate of available varieties



## ■ Intermediate Inputs

Intermediate input sector transforms homogeneous labor hours  $\ell$  into intermediate inputs that vary in quality  $c$

Intermediate prices equal to marginal cost,  $p_I(c) = c$ , with linear relationship between quality of intermediate and its price

## ■ Final Goods Sector

To enter final goods sector, firms pay investment cost,  $f_e$  in order to get capability draw  $\lambda$ , and there is exogenous probability of exit of  $\delta$  – focus on steady-state where new entrants replace exiting firms

There are fixed costs of production  $f$ , and additional fixed costs of exporting where  $f_x > f$ ; each plant in final goods sector produces distinct good,  $\lambda$  indexing plants/varieties





**Final goods production assumed to be:**

$$F(n) = n\lambda^a \quad (3)$$

**$n$  = number of units of input used;  $a$  = parameter reflecting extent to which capability lowers unit costs**

**Depending on how quality is produced,  $q$  will depend on different combinations of productivity draw  $\lambda$ , input quality  $c$  and fixed investment in quality  $f_q$**

**Plants in final goods sector optimize over  $c$ ,  $f_q$ ,  $p_o$ , and which markets to enter ( $Z = 1$  if plant is in export market), profit function being:**

$$\pi(p_o, c, f_q, Z, \lambda) = \left( \frac{p_I(c)}{\lambda^a} \right) x - f_q - f + Z \left[ \left( \frac{p_I(c)}{\lambda^a} \right) x - f_x \right] \quad (4)$$



## ■ Complementarity of Input Quality-Plant Capability

$\lambda$  and  $c$  are complements in generating quality:

$$q = \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^b)^\theta + \frac{1}{2}(c^2)^\theta \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \quad (5)$$

$\theta$  reflects degree of complementarity between capability and input quality,  $\theta < 0$ ;  $b$  reflects scope of quality differentiation,  $b \geq 0$ ; also fixed investment in quality ineffective  $f_q = 0$

Essentially marginal increase in output quality for given increase in input quality is greater for more capable entrepreneurs – rules out capability and input quality being substitutes

Equilibrium one where, given  $f_x > f$ ,  $\lambda^* < \lambda_x^*$ , i.e., to enter export market, firm must have higher level of capability



## ■ Fixed Costs of Upgrading

Key here is that fixed costs of quality upgrading matter:

$$q = \min(f_q^\alpha, c^2) \quad (6)$$

$\alpha \geq 0$  reflects extent to which quality increases with fixed quality investment – Sutton’s (1998) “escalation parameter”;  $\alpha$  is bounded from above,  $\alpha < \frac{2}{\sigma - 1}$

Parameter characterizes effectiveness of R&D spending in improving quality or effectiveness of advertising expenditures in raising perceived quality (Sutton, 1991; 1998)

Again, equilibrium cut-off values for capabilities are  $\lambda^* < \lambda_x^*$



- **Key to both approaches is that as long as there is scope for quality differentiation, firms with higher capability use higher-quality inputs and produce higher-quality outputs**
  - **Either more capable entrepreneurs have a comparative advantage in using higher-quality inputs**
  - **Or more capable plants produce at a larger scale and spread fixed quality costs over more units – hence pay higher fixed costs and use higher quality inputs**
- **Important implication of model is that quality upgrading may require upgrading of entire system of suppliers – lack of locally available high-quality inputs could hinder ability of firms to upgrade quality**



- **Alternative approach is to consider how competition (trade liberalization) could affect firm's incentive to upgrade quality**
- **Drawing on Aghion and Howitt (2005), Amit and Khandelwal (2013) argue this depends on how far firms are from global technology frontier**
- **Increase in competition has one of two effects:**
  - **Firms close to frontier innovate more – pre-innovation profits reduced more than post-innovation profits (*escape competition*)**
  - **Firms far from frontier innovate less – ex-post innovation profits eroded by competition (*discouragement*)**
- **Allows for possibility of non-monotonic relationship between competition and quality upgrading**



- **Curzi, Raimondi and Olper (2013) apply approach to food industry using EU import data at 8-digit level for 1995-2007**

- **They estimate following:**

$$\Delta \ln \phi_{cht}^F = \alpha_{iht} + \alpha_{ct} + \beta_1 D_{ch,t-5} + \beta_2 \text{tariff}_{ch6,2-5} + \beta_3 (D_{ch,t-5} \cdot \text{tariff}_{ch6,2-5}) + \varepsilon_{cht}$$

where  $\beta_2 > 0$ , and  $\beta_3 < 0$  (Aghion *et al.*, 2005; 2009)

- **A major challenge is to measure quality**
- **Khandelwal (2010) suggests taking account of market shares, such that for two products with identical unit values, that with the greater market share is higher quality**
- **Empirical method follows Berry (1994) based on a nested logit demand system embedding consumer preferences for horizontal and vertical product attributes**



- Distance to frontier defined as ratio of measured quality of variety to highest quality of variety at 8-digit level:

$$D_{cht} = \frac{\phi_{cht}^F}{\max_{c \in ht} (\phi_{cht}^F)}$$

where  $D_{cht} \in [0,1]$ , with varieties closer to frontier, value of  $D_{cht}$  approaches 1

- Curzi *et al.* (2013) find strong support for existence of non-monotonic relationship between competition and quality upgrading
- Also find that EU voluntary standards, on average, have a positive effect on rate at which quality is upgraded – support for view that standards are a “catalyst to trade”



- **Vertical product differentiation matters in food industry, both in domestic and international markets**
- **Currently two approaches to modeling effects of trade liberalization/increased competition on quality: an adaptation of Melitz (2003) and application of Aghion and Howitt (2005)**
- **Former has clear theoretical implications, but requires extensive data at plant-level in order to test, while latter relies on methodology for measuring quality**
- **Link to Sutton's (1991, 1998) work on endogenous fixed costs argument is useful, but does generate inconsistency between market structure of monopolistic competition of Kugler and Verhoogen (2012) and Sutton's lower bound to market structure**





## References

- Aghion, P. and P. Howitt. “Appropriate Growth Policy: A Unifying Framework”, *Journal of the European Economic Association* 4 (2006): 269-314.
- Amiti, M. and K. Khandelwal. “Import Competition and Quality Upgrading”, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 95 (2013): 476-490.
- Berry, S. “Estimating Discrete-Choice Models of Product Differentiation”, *Rand Journal of Economics* 25 (1994): 242-262.
- Curzi, D., V. Raimondi, and A. Olper. “Quality Upgrading, Competition and Trade Policy: Evidence from the Agri-Food Sector”, Contributed Paper, IATRC Symposium, “Productivity and its Impacts on Global Trade”, Seville, Spain (2013).
- Flamm, H. and E. Helpman. “Vertical Product Differentiation and North-South Trade,” *American Economic Review* 77 (1987): 810-822.



- Hummels, D. and P.J. Klenow. “The Variety and Quality of a Nation’s Exports”, *American Economic Review* 95 (2005): 704-723.
- Khandelwal, A. “The Long and Short (of) Quality Ladders”, *Review of Economic Studies* 77 (2010): 1450-1476.
- Kugler, M. and E. Verhoogen. “Prices, Plant Size, and Product Quality”, *Review of Economic Studies* 79 (2012): 307-339.
- Linder, S. *An Essay on Trade and Transformation*, Stockholm: Almqvist and Wiksell (1961).
- Manova, K. and Z. Zhang. “Export Prices across Firms and Destinations”, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 127 (2012): 379-436.
- Melitz, M.J. “The Impact of Trade on Intra-Industry Reallocations and Aggregate Industry Productivity”, *Econometrica* 71 (2003): 1695-1725.
- Schott, P.K. “Across-Product versus Within-Product Specialization in International Trade”, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119 (2004): 647-678.



- Sexton, R.J. “Market Power, Misconceptions, and Modern Agricultural Markets”, *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 95 (2012): 209-219.
- Sutton, J. *Sunk Costs and Market Structure*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press (1991).
- Sutton, J. *Technology and Market Structure*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press (1998).
- Sutton, J. “Rich Trades, Scarce Capabilities: Industrial Development Re-visited”, Keynes Lecture, British Academy, 2000, Proceedings of the British Academy (2001).
- Sutton, J. “Quality, Trade and the Moving Window: The Globalization Process”, *Economic Journal* 117 (2007): 469-498.
- Swinnen, J.F. (ed.) *Global Supply Chains, Standards and the Poor*, Oxford: CABI Publishing (2007)