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WESTERN PLAINS REGION<br>DAIRY FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY<br>1971

This publication presents a summary of the 1971 farm business records for 30 Wyoming and Livingston County dairy farms. These records were submitted by dairymen participating in the New York State Cooperative Extension Farm Business Management Program.

Each dairyman participating in the program kept physical and financial records on his business throughout the year. At the end of the year Cooperative Extension Agents assisted the farmer in completing and "closing-out" the business records for the year. An initial summary of each business thus developed was sent to Cornell University.

The Department of Agricultural Economics at Cornell University completed the summary of each business with the help of computer facilities. The initial summary data as sent to Cornell was checked and placed on computer cards. The computer made the remaining sumary calculations necessary and printed out a completed summary for each farm. These computer "print-outs" of individual farm data replace the hand-written record which has been used in previous years.

This report has been prepared in workbook form to assist users in making a systematic examination of their farm businesses. Western Plains dairymen who did not have their records sumarized for inclusion in the publication may also find it useful in analyzing their 1971 farm business records.

A new accounting procedure for handling building and machinery depreciation has been adopted this year. Rather than showing increases in inventory as receipts and including capital purchases as expenses, the difference is calculated and reported as depreciation. Considering depreciation of machinery and buildings as an annual expense should be helpful in planning and budgeting.

This summary publication was prepared by Eddy L. LaDue, Department of Agricultural Economics, New York State College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, in cooperation with William D. Goewey and David L. Thorp, Cooperative Extension Agents.


Steps in making a management decision:

1. Locate the trouble spot (problem)
2. What is your objective? (goal)
3. Size up what you have to work with (resources)
4. Look for various ways to solve the the problem (alternatives)
5. Consider probable results of each way (consequences)
6. Compare the expected results (evaluate)
7. Select way best suited to your situation (decision)
8. Put the decision into operation (action)

## PRICES RECEIVED AND PAID BY NEW YORK DAIRY FARMERS



The relationship of prices received and prices paid determines the general level of farm incomes. For 1971, the 1960 indices for milk and cull cow prices were 139 and 143 which was slightly above the index of 135 for prices paid. This indicates a relatively favorable price relationship.

The blended New York farm price for 3.5 percent milk in 1971 was $\$ 6.00$, up 11 cents from 1970. Changes in the cost of input items has varied. From 1960 to 1971, wages rose 70 percent, machinery prices went up 50 percent, dairy cow prices 33 percent, feed 17 percent, and fertilizer two percent. Variation in relative costs raises management questions.

AVERAGE YEARLY PRICES RECEIVED AND PAID BY N.Y. FARMERS, 1960-71

| Year | Milk <br> (cwt.) | Slaughter <br> cows <br> (cwt.) | Dairy <br> cows <br> (head) | Dairy <br> ration <br> (ton) | Wages <br> per month <br> with house | Prices paid <br> by New York <br> dairymen |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1960 | $\$ 4.31$ | $\$ 15.00$ | $\$ 278$ | $\$ 71$ | $\$ 210$ | 104 |
| 1961 | 4.21 | 14.60 | 260 | 72 | 214 | 105 |
| 1962 | 4.14 | 14.26 | 245 | 74 | 218 | 106 |
| 1963 | 4.15 | 14.01 | 234 | 76 | 222 | 108 |
| 1964 | 4.21 | 13.17 | 237 | 74 | 228 | 108 |
| 1965 | 4.27 | 13.91 | 238 | 76 | 236 | 110 |
| 1966 | 4.79 | 17.35 | 269 | 80 | 248 | 113 |
| 1967 | 5.07 | 17.33 | 303 | 80 | 279 | 118 |
| 1968 | 5.43 | 17.58 | 319 | 74 | 302 | 121 |
| 1969 | 5.66 | 19.42 | 336 | 74 | 325 | 126 |
| 1970 | 5.89 | 20.71 | 353 | 78 | 356 | 132 |
| $1971^{*}$ | 6.00 | 21.47 | 370 | 83 | 369 | 140 |

The first step in a farm business summary and analysis is to examine the resources being used. Knowledge of what these resources are and how they are used is fundamental in judging management performance.

LABOR, IIVESTOCK AND LAND USED
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | My farm | Average 30 farms | Range |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Man equivalent |  | 2.6 | $1.0-5.7$ |
| Age of operator | - | 39 | $26-56$ |
| Number of cows | - | 85 | $13-231$ |
| Mumber of heifers | - | 60 | $13-125$ |
| Acres of crops |  | 281 | $45-612$ |

Labor, livestock and land are physical resources used in the business. The averages for these farms were 2.6 men, 85 cows and 281 acres of crops. This may be typical of many cormercial operations in the region.

The average age of the operators reporting ( 6 operators did not report their age) was 39 years. This is considerably below the average reported by the Census. Business management projects tend to attract younger farmers who are in the process of developing their business.

FARM INVENTORY VALUES, JANUARY 1, 1972
30 Western Plains Farms

| Item | My farm |  | Average 30 farms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Per cow | Amount | Per cow |
| Livestock | \$ | \$ | \$ 47,063 | \$ 554 |
| Feed and supplies |  |  | 16,781 | 197 |
| Machinery \& equipment |  |  | 40,046 | 471 |
| Land and buildings |  |  | 104,171 | 1,226 |
| TOTAL INVENTORY | \$ | \$ | \$208,061 | \$2,448 |

The average end inventory for these farms was $\$ 208,061$ or $\$ 2,448$ per cow and $\$ 80,023$ per man equivalent. Land and buildings were valued at $\$ 1,226$ per cow and $\$ 371$ per crop acre. During the year, all inventory categories increased; livestock by $\$ 3,389$, feed and supplies by $\$ 2,057$, machinery and equipment by $\$ 3,219$ and land and buildings by $\$ 3,802$.

## Depreciation Calculation

Capital outlays for machinery and buildings usually involve making a large investment in one year for an item that will be used for many years. Different accounting methods may be used to distribute the cost of each such item over its life and include the costs thus calculated in the expenses. Traditionally, this has been done by including the capital outlay as a farm expense in the year the investment was made and then showing an increase in the end inventory of the amount of the capital outlay minus first year depreciation. Depreciation after the first year was handled as differences between the beginning and end of year inventory values. Net changes in inventory value during the year were then carried as either receipts or expenses. This method tends to inflate both total farm receipts and total farm expenses.

This year a new method has been introduced. Depreciation for machinery and for real estate has been calculated and then entered as an expense item. This eliminates the increases or decreases in inventory for these items and the tendency to overstate receipts by the relatively large amounts of increases in inventory and the expenses by the large purchases of machinery and real estate.

MACHINERY AND LAND AND BUILDING DEPRECIATION 30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | Machinery |  | Land and buildings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | My farm | Ave. 30 farms | My farm | Ave. 30 farms |
| Beginning inventory | \$ | \$ 36,827 | \$ | \$100,369 |
| Purchases |  | 8,489 |  | 4,751 |
| Total (1) | \$ | \$ 45,316 | \$ | \$105,120 |
| End inventory |  | \$40,046 | \$ | \$104,171 |
| Sales |  | 245 |  | 250 |
| Total (2) | \$ | \$ 40,291 | \$ | \$104,421 |
| DEPRECIATION (1 minus 2) | \$ | \$ 5,025 | \$ | \$ 699 |

The average machinery depreciation of $\$ 5,025$ is 11 percent of the beginning inventory plus purchases. In view of the fact that the beginning inventory items are partially depreciated, this would indicate an average expected life of more than 9 years. One might raise the question of whether the machinery on many of these farms is being depreciated fast enough.

The small building depreciation (\$699) indicates that the summary does not. reflect much write-off for buildings. This may be a reflection of the fact that rising real estate values (inflation) about offset building depreciation.

As the investments in machinery and buildings on dairy farms increase, it is important that the depreciation costs be reflected in the annual operating statement and the farm business summary.

## Receipts

Identification of the sources of income is important in the analysis of any business. This is the first step in separate evaluation of each of the various enterprises or segments of the business. Here we look at sources and amounts of receipts for this group of farms.

FARM RECEIPTS
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | My farm | Average 30 farms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk sales | \$ | \$ 67,140 | 84 |
| Crop sales |  | 1,596 | 2 |
| Livestock sales |  | 7,025 | 9 |
| Gas tax refunds |  | 215 | -- |
| Government payments |  | 1,056 | 1 |
| Work off farm |  | 16 | -- |
| Custom machine work |  | 158 | -- |
| Other |  | 2,843 | 4 |
| Total Cash Receipts | \$ | \$ 80,049 | 100 |
| Increase in Livestock \& Supplies |  | 5,446 |  |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPTS | \$ | \$ 85,495 |  |

Most going farm businesses are expanding and therefore have an increase in inventory due to more livestock and crops raised. These increases are included. in the farm receipts since the costs of producing or acquiring these assets are in the expenses. The increase for these two items averaged $\$ 5,446$.

The average price received for milk sold from the 30 farms in 1971 was $\$ 6.13$ per hundredweight. The New York State average blend price for 1971 was reported as $\$ 6.00$.

INCOME ANALYSIS
Western Plains Farms, $1971 \& 1970$

| Item | Your farm | $\frac{\text { Average } 30 \text { farms }}{1971}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Ave. 29 farms }}{1970}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ave. price/cwt. milk sold | $\$$ | $\$ 6.13$ | $\$ 5.96$ |  |
| Milk sales per cow | $\$$ | $\$ 790$ | $\$ 783$ |  |
| Total cash receipts/man | $\$$ |  | $\$ 30,788$ | $\$ 29,321$ |

## Expenses

We often wonder where all the money goes! A study of the expenses tell us. A good picture of expenditures is important for a manager.

FARM EXPENSES
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | My farm | Average 30 farms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | Percent |
| Labor |  |  |  |
| Hired labor | \$ | \$ 6,008 | 12 |
| Feed |  |  |  |
| Dairy concentrate |  | 13,378 | 26 |
| Other feed |  | 2,311 | 5 |
| Machinery |  |  |  |
| Machine hire |  | 1,938 | 4 |
| Machinery repairs |  | 3,739 | 7 |
| Auto expense (f.s.) |  | 198 | -- |
| Gas and oil |  | 2,226 | 4 |
| Livestock |  |  |  |
| Livestock purchased. |  | 2,056 | 4 |
| Breeding fees |  | 750 | 2 |
| Veterinary and medicine |  | 1,581 | 3 |
| Other livestock expense |  | 1,958 | 4 |
| Crops |  |  |  |
| Lime and fertilizer |  | 3,879 | 8 |
| Seeds and plants |  | 1,197 | 2 |
| Spray, other crop expense |  | 1,391 | 3 |
| Real Estate |  |  |  |
| Land, building, fence repair |  | 1,031 | 2 |
| Taxes |  | 1,957 | 4 |
| Insurance |  | 1,278 | 3 |
| Rent |  | 1,792 | 4 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Telephone (f.s.) |  | 241 | -- |
| Electricity (f.s.) |  | 1,089 | 2 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 719 | 1 |
| Total Cash Expenses | \$ | \$ 50,717 | 100 |
| Machinery Depreciation |  | 5,025 |  |
| Real Estate Depreciation |  | 699 |  |
| Unpaid Labor |  | 990 |  |
| Decrease in Inventory |  | --- |  |
| TOTAL FARM EXPENSES | \$ | \$ 57,431 |  |

## Financial Summary of Year's Business

The net returns for any business can be measured in several different ways. Each measure calculates the net return to a selected resource or group of resources such as labor or capital. Some of the common farm business measures are given below.

FARM AND LABOR INCOME
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | My farm | Average 30 farms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | Percent |
| Total farm receipts | \$ | \$ 85,495 | 100 |
| Total farm expenses |  | 57,431 | 67 |
| FARM INCOME | \$ | \$ 28,064 |  |
| Interest on ave. capital @ $7 \%$ |  | 14,127 | 17 |
| Labor income per farm | \$ | \$13,937 | 16 |
| Number of operators |  | 1.40 |  |
| LABOR INCOME per operator | \$ | \$ 9,955 |  |

Farm income measures the return from the business to all capital and the operator's labor and management.

Labor income is the return to the farm operator for his labor and management. It is the measure most commonly used when comparing farm businesses. A seven percent interest charge on all capital is subtracted from the farm income to get labor income. The average labor income per operator for the 30 farms was $\$ 9,955$ but the range was from $\$-8,000$ to greater than $\$ 30,900$.

Profit is a measure used in businesses where management is hired. In some farm management studies, the "management input" has been valued at eight percent of the total cash receipts. This is based on the charge made by commercial "services" which manage farms for landowners. When this is done for operator managed businesses, the operator's labor is valued at the average wage for hired men with houses. Although this technique tends to double-count operator's labor by assuming that the operator would accomplish no more physical labor if he did not have any management duties, it provides a good approximation to profit (particularly when a conservative value is placed on operator's labor). Using this procedure, the average farm income would be allocated as follows:

|  | Your farm | Ave. 30 farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farm Income | \$ | \$28,064 |
| Operator's labor © $\$ 80$ per week | \$ | \$ 5,824 |
| Management © $8 \%$ cash receipts |  | 6,404 |
| Interest on capital © $7 \%$ |  | 14,127 |
|  | \$ | \$26,355 |
| PROFIT | \$ | \$ 1,709 |

Farm cash flow reflects the cash available from the year's operation of the farm business for family living, interest and debt payments, and new purchases or investments. A family may have had additional cash available if they had a nonfarm income.

FARM CASH FLOW
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | My farm | Average 30 farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total cash receipts | $\$$ | $\$ 80,049$ |
| Total cash operating expense |  |  |
| NET FARM CASH FLOW | $\$$ | $\frac{50,717}{\$ 29,332}$ |

Return on investment is a common measure for nonfarm businesses. It is calculated by deducting a charge for the operator's labor and management from the farm income. This is then divided by the average investment for the year to determine the rate of return on investment.

RETURN ON INVESTMENT
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | My farm | Average 30 farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farm income | $\$$ | $\$ 28,064$ |
| Value of operator's labor \& management* |  | $\frac{12,228}{}$ |
| RETURN ON INVESTMENT | $\$$ | $\$ 15,836$ |
| Average capital investment | $\$$ | $\$ 201,828$ |
| RATE OF RETURN ON INVESTMENT |  | $7.8 \%$ |

* Value of labor plus value of management, from page 8.

Returns per cow can be calculated by dividing the farm business measures by the number of cows. Comparisons also can be made with the 1970 figures.

|  | Your farm | Average 30 farms 1971 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ave. } 29 \text { farms } \\ & 1970 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net farm cash flow per cow | \$ | \$345 | \$393 |
| Farm income per cow | \$ | \$330 | \$323 |
| Labor income per cow | \$ | \$264 | \$162 |

Research has show that certain basic factors affect farm incomes. In analyzing a farm business, we examine it in terms of these basic factors. This will be done on the pages that follow.

## Size of Business

Studies have shown that in general larger farms pay better. Iwo basic reasons for this are that larger businesses make possible more efficient use of overhead inputs such as labor and machinery and there are more units of production (milk) on which to make a profit. However, if a large farm is poorly operated, the losses also will be larger.

MEASURES OF SIZE OF BUSINESS
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Measure | My farm | Average 30 farms <br> 1971 | Average 509 <br> New York farms <br> 1970 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cows |  | 85 | 65 |
| Pounds of milk sold | - | $1,095,500$ | 822,200 |
| Man equivalent | - | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Total work units | - | 990 | 691 |
| Total acres of crops |  | 281 | 168 |

The 29 Western Plains farms summarized last year (1970) averaged 81 cows per farm and 2.6 man equivalent. Number of cows per farm is a very important measure of size for specialized dairy farms. In the table below, the 509 New York farms for 1970 are sorted by number of cows and the labor income is shown for each size group. In general, the large farms paid better.

COWS PER FARM AND LABOR INCOME
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Number of cows | Number of farms | Labor income/operator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 40 | 98 | $\$ 4,450$ |
| $40-54$ | 150 | 6,690 |
| $55-69$ | 91 | 7,390 |
| $70-84$ | 63 | 8,430 |
| $85-99$ | 32 | 12,560 |
| 100 and more | 75 | 12,030 |

Rates of Production
Crop yields and rates of animal production have an important influence on farm incomes. In the table below, we examine the crops grown and yields along with the pounds of milk sold per cow.

CROP YIELDS AND MILK SOLD PER CON
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Crop | My farm |  | Average of 30 farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Yield | Farms reporting | Acres | Yield |
| Dry hay |  |  | 29 | 121* | 3.1 t. |
| Green chop |  |  | 2 | $30 \%$ | 3.3 t. |
| Hay crop silage |  |  | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 |
| Corn silage |  |  | 29 | 82* | 15.8 t. |
| Grain corn |  |  | 25 | 52* | 71 bu. |
| Oats |  |  | 16 | 32* | 77 bu. |
| Hay equivalent: |  |  |  |  |  |
| All hay crops |  |  | 30 | 119 | 3.0 t. |
| A11 hay \& silage |  |  | 30 | 199 | 3.9 t. |
| Milk sold per cow |  |  |  |  | 888 Ibs. |

* Average of farms reporting.

The number of farms reporting hay crop silage and green chop is not an accurate assessment of the cropping system on these farms. Some farmers harvesting hay crop silage or green chop convert it to hay equivalent and combine it with dry hay. Tons of hay equivalent of all hay and silage is a measure of the overall rate of roughage production for all the acres used for roughage crops. Corn silage produces more feed per acre than does hay ( 5.3 to 3.1).

The importance of rates of production is shown in the table below for 509 farms in 1970.

MILK SOLD PER COW AND LABOR INCOME
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Pounds of milk <br> sold per cow | Number <br> of farms | Number <br> of cows | Feed bought <br> per cow | Iabor <br> income |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 52 | 53 | $\$ 155$ | $\$ 1,940$ |
| Under 10,000 | 51 | 60 | 156 | 4,720 |
| $10,000-10,999$ | 68 | 64 | 186 | 7,510 |
| $11,000-11,999$ | 98 | 68 | 196 | 6,560 |
| $12,000-12,999$ | 107 | 75 | 190 | 11,540 |
| $13,000-13,999$ | 69 | 63 | 207 | 9,620 |
| $14,000-14,999$ | 64 |  |  | 11,460 |
| 15,000 and over |  |  |  |  |

## Labor Efficiency

Increasing wage rates and reduced net return per unit of milk produced makes labor efficiency an important factor in farm production. The labor force and several measures of accomplishment per man or labor efficiency are show below.

IABOR FORCE AND LABOR EFFICIENCY 30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | My farm | Average 30 farms | Average 509 New York farms, 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force - months |  |  |  |
| Operator |  | 16.8 | 14.1 |
| Family paid |  | 1.1 | 1.9 |
| Family unpaid. |  | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| Hired. |  | 10.5 | 7.3 |
| Total |  | 31.7 | 26.2 |
| Cows per man |  | 33 | 30 |
| Lbs. milk sold/man |  | 421,346 | 373,700 |
| Crop acres per man |  | 108 | 76 |
| Work units per man |  | 381 | 314 |

Cows per man and pounds of milk sold per man are likely the most important labor efficiency measures for specialized dairy farms. These 30 farms fall above last year's State summary average for all four of the labor efficiency measures indicated above.

The relationship of pounds of milk sold per man and labor income for the 509 farms in 1970 is shown in the table below.

MILK SOID PER MAN AND LABOR INCOME
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Pounds of milk <br> sold per man | Number <br> of farms | Number <br> of cows | Lbs. milk <br> per cow | Labor income <br> per operator |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 200,000 | 22 |  |  |  |
| $200,000-299,999$ | 104 | 51 | 9,500 | $\$ 1$ |
| $300,000-399,999$ | 197 | 61 | 11,600 | 4,120 |
| $400,000-499,999$ | 119 | 74 | 12,500 | 6,840 |
| 500,000 and over | 67 | 92 | 13,400 | 10,640 |

## Cost Control

The control of costs is a big factor in the success of modern commercial dairy operations. Feed, machinery and labor costs are major items and are examined in detail. However, it is important to check all cost items both large and small.

## Feed Costs

Feed is the largest single cash operating expense item on dairy farms. For the 30 Western Plains farms, purchased feed accounted for 31 percent of the cash expenses. In general, all feed costs account for about half the cost of producing milk. This includes the expenses of growing crops.

Since the feeding program includes both purchased and homegrown feed, both roughage and concentrates, it is not easy to locate weak spots in efforts to control feed costs. The items on this page all have a bearing on feed costs, and may be helpful in planning a more efficient feeding program.

ITEMS RELATED TO FEED COSTS
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | Ny farm | $\frac{\text { Ave. } 30 \text { farms }}{1971}$ | Ave. 509 New York farms, 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed bought per cow | \$ | \$ 157 | \$ 192 |
| Crop expense per cow | \$ | \$ 76 | \$ 50 |
| Feed bought/cwt. milk | \$ | \$1.22 | \$1. 52 |
| Feed \& crop expense per hundredweight milk | \$ | \$1.81 | \$1.91 |
| Percent feed is of milk sales | \% | 20\% | 25\% |
| Hay equivalent per cow |  | 9.1 t. | 7.5 t. |
| Crop acres per cow |  | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| Lime \& fertilizer per crop acre |  | \$ 14 | \$ 13 |
| Heifers per ten cows |  | 7.0 | 6.6 |

The crop program has an important influence on purchased feed costs. Increasing the amount of roughage and/or grain grown on the farm will reduce the quantity of feed to be purchased. However, this will reduce the total cost of feeding the animals only if the cost of growing feed on the farm is less than the cost of purchased feed. Also, the number of heifers being raised on the farm will affect the total feed cost per cow or hundredweight of milk sold. The overall feed situation mast be examined and evaluated as a "system."

## Machinery Costs

Successful farm managers have substituted power and machinery for labor to a large degree. As this process continues, it is vitally important to retain control of the costs associated with owning and operating farm equipment.

In 1971, the average machinery inventory for the 30 Western Plains farms was $\$ 38,400$. On many farms the investment in machinery and equipment has nearly tripled in the last ten years. The opportunity cost of tieing-up this much capital in machinery is one of the costs (interest), included in the table below.

MACHINERY COSTS
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | My farm | Average 30 farms | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depreciation (from page 5) | \$ | \$ 5,025 | 32 |
| Interest @ $7 \%$ on average inventory |  | 2,691 | 17 |
| Machine hire |  | 1,938 | 12 |
| Machinery repairs |  | 3,739 | 24 |
| Auto expense (farm share) |  | 198 | 1 |
| Gas and oil |  | 2,226 | 14 |
| Total Machinery Costs | \$ | \$15,817 | 100 |
| Machinery costs: |  |  |  |
| Per cow | \$ | \$ 186 |  |
| Per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$ 1.44 |  |

Total machinery costs averaged $\$ 15,817$ or $\$ 186$ per cow. With the average price of milk $\$ 6.13$ it would take 3,034 pounds of milk per cow to cover the machinery costs. With the machinery costs per hundredweight of milk sold at $\$ 1.44$, it would take 23 percent of the milk to pay machinery costs.

Average machinery cost on the 509 New York farms summarized last year were $\$ 157$ per cow and $\$ 1.25$ per hundredweight of milk sold when the cost items shown above were summarized.

Machinery is essential for efficient operation but it is costly. Machinery cost control involves selecting the appropriate kinds and sizes of machine for the business being operated, and then making efficient use of the machine selected.

Are your machinery costs under control?

## Labor Costs

Labor and machinery operate as a "team" on a modern farm. The challenge is to get an efficient combination that will give a reasonable cost per unit of output.

IABOR COSTS
30 Western Plains Farms, 1971

| Item | My farm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } 30 \text { farms } \\ 1971 \end{gathered}$ | Ave. 509 N. Y. farms, 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor costs: |  |  |  |
| Value of operator's labor* | \$ | \$ 7,560 | \$ 6,355 |
| Hired labor |  | 6,008 | 4,388 |
| Unpaid family labor |  | 990 | 775 |
| Total Labor Cost | \$ | \$14,558 | \$11,518 |
| Labor costs: |  |  |  |
| Per cow | \$ | \$ 171 | \$ 177 |
| Per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$ 1.33 | \$ 1.40 |
| Labor and machinery costs: |  |  |  |
| Per cow | \$ | \$ 357 | \$ 334 |
| Per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$ 2.77 | \$ 2.52 |

* Valued at $\$ 5,400$ per operator.

The labor cost was slightly lower than the machinery cost. The combined labor and machinery costs averaged $\$ 2.77$ per hundredweight milk sold.

MISCELLANEOUS COST CONTROL MEASURES

| Item | My farm | Ave. 30 Western Plains farms, 1971 | Ave. 509 <br> New York <br> farms, 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breeding fees per cow | \$ | \$ 9 | \$ 9 |
| Veterinary \& medicine/cow |  | 19 | 13 |
| Land \& building repair/cow |  | 12 | 17 |
| Taxes per cow |  | 23 | 22 |
| Insurance per cow |  | 15 | 13 |
| Electricity per cow |  | 13 | 12 |

The financial situation is an important part of the analysis of a farm business. This indicates the condition of the operation as it relates to present financing and future expansion possibilities. In the 509 records for 1970, a total of 159 included a financial situation statement. These were summarized and the results are reported below.

FARM FAMILY FINANCIAL SITUATION
159 New York Dairy Farms, January 1, 1971

|  |  | Farms R | porting | Average | 9 Farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | My farm | Number | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm land and buildings | \$ | 159 | 100 | \$ 60,587 | 43 |
| Livestock |  | 159 | 100 | 29,052 | 21 |
| Machinery |  | 159 | 100 | 27,279 | 19 |
| Feed and supplies |  | 159 | 100 | 8,663 | 6 |
| Co-op investment |  | 112 | 70 | 1,735 | 1 |
| Accounts receivable |  | 90 | 57 | 2,548 | 2 |
| Cash and checking accounts |  | 136 | 86 | 1,313 | 1 |
| Savings accounts |  | 81 | 51 | 1,863 | 1 |
| Cash value life insurance |  | 104 | 65 | 2,614 | 2 |
| Stocks and bonds |  | 70 | 44 | 1,951 | 1 |
| Nonfarm real estate |  | 23 | 14 | 1,901 | 1 |
| Auto (personal share) |  | 125 | 79 |  | 1 |
| All other |  |  |  | 1,463 | 1 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ |  |  | \$141,863 | 100 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 115 | 72 | \$ 18,826 | 46 |
| Liens on cattle \& equipt. |  | 86 | 54 | 13,033 | 31 |
| Installment contracts |  | 45 | 28 | 1,928 | 5 |
| Secured notes |  | 45 | 28 | 3,757 | 9 |
| Unsecured notes |  | 39 | 25 | 1,958 | 5 |
| Store accounts |  | 112 | 70 | 1,281 | 3 |
| Personal debt and other |  | 37 | 23 | 539 | 1 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 143 | 90 | \$ 41,322 | 100 |
| NET WORTH | \$ |  |  | \$100,541 |  |

The farm inventory accounted for 89 percent of the total family assets reported. The cash value of life insurance and accounts receivable each accounted for two percent. Real estate mortgages were the largest liability and accounted for 46 percent of all debts.

DEBT COMMITMENTS AND FINANCIAL MEASURES
159 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

|  | My farm | Average 159 farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Debt Commitments: |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage |  | \$2,420 |
| Cattle \& equipment liens |  | 3,010 |
| Notes |  | 1,360 |
| Installment contracts |  | 330 |
| All other |  | 1,150 |
| Total debt payments | \$ | \$8,270 |
| Financial Measures: |  |  |
| Number of cows |  | 59 |
| Annual debt payment/cow |  | \$140 |
| Debt payment as \% milk check | $\%$ | 18\% |
| Percent equity <br> Percent debt on real estate |  | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \% \\ 46 \% \end{array}$ |

The annual debt commitments for interest and principle averaged $\$ 8,270$. The largest amount committed was for cattle and equipment liens. These commitments averaged nearly $\$ 700$ per month and $\$ 140$ per cow per year.

Debts on the 159 farms reporting amounted to 29 percent of the total assets. This gives an average equity of 71 percent. The range in percent equity was from 8 to 100. The debt per cow ranged from $\$ 50$ to $\$ 2,200$.

The percent equity was highest for the herds with under 40 cows and lowest for those with 85 or more cows. Debt per cow on the other hand was highest for the large herds and lowest for the herds with under 40 cows.

Table 23. FINANCIAL SITUATION BY SIZE OF HERD 159 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Herd size } \\ & \text { (Cows) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Number of |  | Total assets | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { iabilities } \end{gathered}$ | Net worth | Percent equity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Debt } \\ & \text { per cow } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Farms | Cows |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 40 | 40 | 32 | \$ 92,298 | \$18,094 | \$74,204 | 80 | \$558 |
| 40-54 | 47 | 46 | 110,447 | 31,078 | 79,369 | 72 | 676 |
| 55-69 | 28 | 60 | 136,127 | 44,488 | 91,639 | 67 | 741 |
| 70-84 | 20 | 75 | 168,516 | 48,512 | 120,004 | 71 | 647 |
| 85 \& over | 24 | 116 | 270,472 | 90,409 | 180,063 | 67 | 779 |

## Farm Business Chart

The chart on the next two pages is a tool for use in analyzing a dairy farm business. It is essentially a series of measuring sticks combined into one tool.

FARM BUSIINESS CHART FOR FARM MANAGEMENT COOPERATORS
509 New York Dairy Farms*, 1970

| Size of Business |  |  | Rates of Production |  |  | Labor Efficiency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Man equiv- alent``` | No. of cows | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pounds } \\ & \text { milk } \\ & \text { sold } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Pounds milk sold per cow | Tons hay per acre | Tons corn silage per acre | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cows } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { man } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pounds milk sold per man |
| 4.8 | 142 | 1,773,400 | 15,800 | 4.7 | 22 | 48 | 612,400 |
| 3.8 | 98 | 1,298,800 | 14,700 | 3.8 | 19 | 38 | 488,400 |
| 2.6 | 79 | 1,014,600 | 14,000 | 3.4 | 18 | 35 | 439,800 |
| 2.3 | 67 | 857,600 | 13,600 | 3.0 | 16 | 32 | 404,300 |
| 2.1 | 59 | 739,300 | 13,100 | 2.7 | 15 | 30 | 378,400 |
| 2.0 | 52 | 656,800 | 12,700 | 2.5 | 15 | 28 | 351,400 |
| 1.7 | 47 | 590,200 | 12,100 | 2.4 | 14 | 26 | 323,300 |
| 1.5 | 42 | 515,700 | 11,300 | 2.1 | 12 | 24 | 298,000 |
| 1.3 | 36 | 424,700 | 10,400 | 1.8 | 10 | 22 | 266,200 |
| 1.1 | 29 | 240,800 | 8,400 | 1.3 | 6 | 18 | 196,800 |

The Farm Business Chart is a tool which can be used in analyzing a business to determine the strong and weak points. The chart shows how far the individual farm is above or below the midpoint of the 509 farms for each factor.

The figure at the top of each column is the average of the top 10 percent of the farms for that factor. For example, the figure 4.8 at the top of the column headed "Man equivalent" is the average man equivalent on the 10 percent of the farms with the most men. The other figures in each colum are the average for the second 10 percent, third 10 percent, etc. The figure at the bottom of each column (1.1 for man equivalent) is the average for the 10 percent of the farms which ranked lowest in that factor.

Each column of the chart is independent of the others. The farms which are in the top 10 percent for one factor would not necessarily be the same farms which make up the top 10 percent for any other factor.

This chart is used in analyzing a particular dairy business by drawing a line through the figure in each column which shows where the farm being analyzed stands for that factor. This helps identify the strengths and weaknesses. Summarize these and list them at the bottom of the next page.

Farm Business Chart contd.
The cost control factors are ranked from low to high. For cost control factors, the lowest cost is not necessarily the most profitable. In some cases, the "best" might be somewhere near the average. Many things affect the level of these costs, and these items must be taken into account when analyzing the factors.

FARM BUSINESS CHART FOR FARM MANAGEMENT COOPERATORS
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970
Cost Control

| Feed bought per cow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Feed is } \\ & \text { of milk } \\ & \text { receipts } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Machinery } \\ \text { cost } \\ \text { per cow } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Labor and machinery cost per cow | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 83 | 12\% | \$107 | \$248 | \$1. 13 |
| 125 | 17 | 129 | 285 | 1.47 |
| 148 | 20 | 142 | 307 | 1.62 |
| 169 | 22 | 152 | 326 | 1.74 |
| 185 | 24 | 164 | 342 | 1.84 |
| 202 | 26 | 179 | 362 | 1.95 |
| 218 | 28 | 192 | 385 | 2.07 |
| 233 | 31 | 208 | 411 | 2.20 |
| 254 | 33 | 230 | 445 | 2.34 |
| 306 | 38 | 294 | 527 | 2.74 |

Based on the analyzed results shown on the business chart, list below the strong and weak points of the business. Then identify the major problems.

STRONG POINTS:
WEAK POINIS:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
MAJOR PROBLEMS:

After identifying problems, consider alternative ways of solving each problem. Each alternative should be studied in detail. A budgeting form can be used for projecting the likely results of each alternative.

FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY BY HERD SIZE
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Farms with: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than 40 cows | $\begin{gathered} 40 \text { to } \\ 54 \text { cows } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { to } \\ & 69 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ |
| Capital Investment (end of year) |  |  |  |  |
| Machinery and equipment | \$ | \$16,381 | \$22,816 | \$ 28,714 |
| Livestock |  | 16,116 | 23,298 | 30,099 |
| Feed and supplies |  | 3,952 | 5,756 | 8,340 |
| Land and buildings |  | 38,755 | 47,535 | 60,808 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT | \$ | \$75,204 | \$99,405 | \$127,961 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$ | \$23,747 | \$34,995 | \$ 46,419 |
| Livestock sold |  | 2,376 | 3,675 | 4,454 |
| Crop sales |  | 203 | 337 | 233 |
| Miscellaneous receipts |  | 862 | 993 | 1,493 |
| Total Cash Receipts | \$ | \$27,188 | \$40,000 | \$52,599 |
| Increase in inventory |  | 3,894 | 8,213 | 7,706 |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPTS | \$ | \$31,082 | \$48,213 | \$ 60,305 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Hired labor | \$ | \$ 778 | \$ 1,903 | \$ 3,206 |
| Dairy feed |  | 6,050 | 9,022 | 11,797 |
| Other feed |  | 337 | 239 | 441 |
| Machine hire |  | 129 | 213 | 329 |
| Machinery repair |  | 973 | 1,480 | 1,896 |
| Auto expense (farm share) |  | 220 | 254 | 235 |
| Gas and oil |  | 738 | 987 | 1,291 |
| Breeding fees |  | 278 | 431 | 590 |
| Veterinary and medicine |  | 374 | 595 | 770 |
| Other livestock expense |  | 1,097 | 1,506 | 2,383 |
| Lime and fertilizer |  | 774 | 1,234 | 1,941 |
| Seeds and plants |  | 260 | 374 | 571 |
| Spray and other crop expense |  | 202 | 413 | 534 |
| Land, bldg., fence repair |  | 615 | 828 | 1,033 |
| Taxes and insurance |  | 1,235 | 1,646 | 1,934 |
| Electricity \& phone (farm share) |  | 539 | 704 | 878 |
| Miscellaneous expenses |  | 494 | 790 | 1,049 |
| Total Cash Operating Expenses | \$ | \$15,093 | \$22,619 | \$ 30,878 |
| New machinery |  | 3,542 | 5,302 | 6,367 |
| New real estate |  | 1,213 | 3,724 | 3,212 |
| Purchased livestock |  | 832 | 1,680 | 1,562 |
| Unpaid family labor |  | 688 | 860 | 752 |
| TOTAL FARM EXPENSES | \$ | \$21,368 | \$34,185 | \$ 42,771 |
| Financial Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Total Farm Receipts | \$ | \$31,082 | \$48,213 | \$ 60,305 |
| Total Farm Expenses |  | 21,368 | 34,185 | 42,771 |
| Farm Income | \$ | \$9,714 | \$14,028 | \$17,534 |
| Interest on av. capital at $7 \%$ |  | 5,128 | 6,671 | 8,688 |
| Labor Income per Farm | \$ | \$4,586 | \$ 7,357 | \$ 8,846 |
| Number of operators |  | 101 | 165 | 109 |
| LABOR INCOME FER OPERATOR | \$ | \$ 4,449 | \$ 6,688 | \$ 7,386 |


| Item | My farm | Farms with: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 70 to 84 cows | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \text { to } \\ & 99 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \text { or } \\ & \text { more cows } \end{aligned}$ |
| Capital Investment (end of year) |  |  |  |  |
| Machinery and equipment | \$ | \$ 33,633 | \$ 39,120 | \$ 50,445 |
| Livestock |  | 38,911 | 47,907 | 61,144 |
| Feed and supplies |  | 10,432 | 14,663 | 21,301 |
| Land and buildings |  | 79,060 | 88,669 | 128,902 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT | \$ | \$162,036 | \$190,359 | \$261,792 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$ | \$ 58,609 | \$ 74,784 | \$101,896 |
| Livestock sold |  | 6,545 | 8,379 | 9,859 |
| Crop sales |  | 612 | 595 | 944 |
| Miscellaneous receipts |  | 1,504 | 1,704 | 2,241 |
| Total Cash Receipts | \$ | \$67,270 | \$85,462 | \$114,940 |
| Increase in inventory |  | 10,524 | 13,208 | 18,497 |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPIS | \$ | \$ 77,794 | \$ 98,670 | \$133,437 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Hired labor | \$ | \$ 5,321 | \$ 8,971 | \$ 12,772 |
| Dairy feed |  | 15,378 | 18,269 | 23,605 |
| Other feed |  | 370 | 408 | 461 |
| Machine hire |  | 276 | 304 | 611 |
| Machinery repair |  | 2,643 | 3,484 | 5,180 |
| Auto expense (farm share) |  | 222 | 287 | 263 |
| Gas and oil |  | 1,555 | 1,768 | 2,805 |
| Breeding fees |  | 694 | 949 | 1,025 |
| Veterinary and medicine |  | 963 | 1,253 | 1,686 |
| Other livestock expense |  | 2,748 | 3,863 | 5,232 |
| Lime and fertilizer |  | 2,428 | 3,288 | 5,095 |
| Seeds and plants |  | 674 | 826 | 1,163 |
| Spray and other crop expense |  | 729 | 751 | 1,135 |
| Land, bldg., fence repair |  | 1,090 | 1,330 | 2,215 |
| Taxes and insurance |  | 2,895 | 3,227 | 4,593 |
| Electricity \& phone (farm share) |  | 1,141 | 1,312 | 1,748 |
| Miscellaneous expenses |  | 1,305 | 1,639 | 2,898 |
| Total Cash Operating Expenses | \$ | \$40,432 | \$51,929 | \$72,487 |
| New machinery |  | 7,632 | 8,179 | 11,120 |
| New real estate |  | 4,574 | 6,027 | 9,456 |
| Purchased livestock |  | 2,667 | 3,546 | 5,200 |
| Unpaid family labor |  | 676 | 816 | 816 |
| TOTAL FARM EXPENSES | \$ | \$ 55,981 | \$ 70,497 | \$ 99,079 |
| Financial Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Total Farm Receipts | \$ | \$ 77,794 | \$ 98,670 | \$133,437 |
| Total Farm Expenses |  | 55,981 | 70,497 | 99,079 |
| Farm Income | \$ | \$21,813 | \$28,173 | \$34,358 |
| Interest on av. capital at 7\% |  | 10,974 | 12,863 | 17,678 |
| Labor Income per Farm | \$ | \$ 10,839 | \$ 15,310 | \$ 16,680 |
| Number of operators |  | 81 | 39 | 104 |
| LABOR INCOME PER OPERATOR | \$ | \$ 8,430 | \$ 12,562 | \$ 12,029 |

SELECTED BUSINESS FACTORS BY HERD SIZE
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | Ny farm | Farms with: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than 40 cows | 40 to <br> 54 cows | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { to } \\ & 69 \text { cows } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of farms |  | 98 | 150 | 91 |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows |  | 32 | 46 | 61 |
| Pounds of milk sold |  | 394,300 | 581,100 | 767,300 |
| Crop acres |  | 87 | 125 | 154 |
| Man equivalent |  | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| Total work units |  | 350 | 501 | 644 |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sold per cow |  | 12,300 | 12,600 | 12,600 |
| Tons hay per acre |  | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| Tons corn silage per acre |  | 14 | 15 | 15 |
| Bushels of oats per acre |  | 64 | 64 | 62 |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Cows per man |  | 23 | 27 | 29 |
| Pounds milk sold per man |  | 281,600 | 341,800 | 365,400 |
| Work units per man |  | 250 | 295 | 307 |
| Crop acres per man |  | 62 | 74 | 73 |
| Feed Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Feed purchased per cow | \$ | \$189 | \$196 | \$193 |
| Crop expense per cow | \$ | \$39 | \$44 | \$50 |
| Feed and crop expense per cow | \$ | \$228 | \$240 | \$243 |
| Feed cost per cwt. milk | \$ | \$1.53 | \$1.55 | \$1.54 |
| Feed and crop exp./cwt. milk |  | \$1.84 | \$2.40 | \$1.93 |
| \% Feed is of milk receipts | \% | 25\% | 26\% | 25\% |
| Hay equivalent per cow |  | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.6 |
| Crop acres per cow |  | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Fertilizer and lime/crop acre | \$ | \$9 | \$10 | \$13 |
| Machinery Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Total machinery costs | \$ | \$6,020 | \$8,237 | \$10,927 |
| Machinery cost per cow | \$ | \$188 | \$179 | \$171 |
| Machinery cost per man | \$ | \$4,300 | \$4,845 | \$5,203 |
| Machinery cost per cwt. milk | \$ | \$1.53 | \$1.42 | \$1.42 |
| Machinery cost per crop acre |  | \$69 | \$66 | \$71 |
| Capital Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Investment per man | \$ | \$53,717 | \$58,474 | \$60,934 |
| Investment per cow | \$ | \$2,350 | \$2,161 | \$2,098 |
| Investment per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$19 | \$17 | \$17 |
| Land and buildings per cow | \$ | \$1,211 | \$1,033 | \$997 |
| Machinery investment per cow | \$ | \$512 | \$496 | \$471 |
| Return on investment | \% | 5.7\% | 8.5\% | 8.9\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Price per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$6.02 | \$6.02 | \$6.05 |
| Acres hay and hay crop silage |  | 60 | 78 | 88 |
| Acres corn silage |  | 16 | 28 | 41 |

SELECTED BUSINESS FACTORS BY HERD SIZE 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Farms with: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $70 \text { to }$ <br> 84 cows | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \text { to } \\ & 99 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \text { or } \\ & \text { more cows } \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of farms |  | 63 | 32 | 75 |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows |  | 76 | 92 | 129 |
| Pounds of milk sold |  | 962,100 | 1,235,800 | 1,636,100 |
| Crop acres |  | 195 | 228 | 311 |
| Man equivalent |  | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
| Total work units |  | 821 | 970 | 1,348 |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sold per cow |  | 12,700 | 13,400 | 12,700 |
| Tons hay per acre |  | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Tons corn silage per acre |  | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| Bushels oats per acre |  | 59 | 65 | 69 |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Cows per man |  | 30 | 31 | 36 |
| Pounds milk sold per man |  | 384,800 | 411,900 | 454,500 |
| Work units per man |  | 328 | 323 | 374 |
| Crop acres per man |  | 78 | 76 | 86 |
| Feed Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Feed purchased per cow | \$ | \$202 | \$199 | \$183 |
| Crop expense per cow | \$ | \$50 | \$55 | \$57 |
| Feed \& crop expense per cow | \$ | \$252 | \$254 | \$240 |
| Feed cost per cwt. milk | \$ | \$1.60 | \$1.48 | \$1.44 |
| Feed \& crop cost exp./cwt. milk | \$ | \$2.00 | \$1.89 | \$1.89 |
| \% Feed is of milk receipts | $\%$ | 26\% | 24\% | 23\% |
| Hay equivalent per cow |  | 8.1 | 7.4 | 6.9 |
| Crop acres per cow |  | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Fertilizer \& lime/crop acre | \$ | \$12 | \$14 | \$16 |
| Machinery Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Total machinery costs | \$ | \$12,929 | \$15,673 | \$21,958 |
| Machinery costs per cow | \$ | \$170 | \$170 | \$170 |
| Machinery cost per man | \$ | \$5,172 | \$5,224 | \$5,999 |
| Machinery cost per cwt. milk | \$ | \$1.34 | \$1.27 | \$1. 32 |
| Machinery cost per crop acre | \$ | \$66 | \$69 | \$71 |
| Capital Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Investment per man | \$ | \$64,814 | \$63,453 | \$72,720 |
| Investment per cow | \$ | \$2,132 | \$2,069 | \$2,029 |
| Investment per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$17 | \$15 | \$16 |
| Land and building per cow |  | \$1,040 | \$964 | \$999 |
| Machinery investment per cow | \$ | \$442 | \$425 | \$391 |
| Return on investment |  | 9.4\% | 11.8\% | 10.6\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Price per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$6.09 | \$6.05 | \$6.23 |
| Acres hay and hay crop silage |  | 106 | 124 | 145 |
| Acres corn silage |  | 58 | 62 | 101 |

Describe change:
List possible alternative changes : (use additional worksheets to analyze these alternatives)
I. Basic nature of proposed change

Present Change Future with change
Number of cows
Number of youngstock

II. Estimated forage requirements and production:


Allocate total hay equivalent requirement to hay and silage production:
Total hay equiv. required $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$ hay tons + $\qquad$ tons hay equiv. as silage
Tons hay equiv. as silage _ $\times 3=\ldots$ tons silage
Estimate needed crop acres and changes from present:

Future crop \begin{tabular}{c}

| Proposed |
| :---: |
| Production | <br>

Estimated <br>
Yield

$\quad$

Acres <br>
Needed

$\quad$

Change in acres <br>
(list as plus or minus)
\end{tabular}

III. Additional forward planning steps and pointers

1. List new capital items associated with the change including land, buildings, machinery and cattle. Estimate their cost.
2. Estimate changes in receipts and expenses (Part IV) considering all input and production items that are affected by the change under consideration. Adjust present figures if anticipated price changes are used in the budget.
3. When analyzing the effects of the proposed change, fulfillment of nonmonetary goals may be considered.
4. More than one alternative change should be considered.
IV. Estimating changes in receipts and expenses

| , | Present | Net change <br> (plus or minus) | Future with change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Receipts |  |  |  |
| Milk sales, gross | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Livestock sales |  |  |  |
| Crop seles |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous receipts |  |  |  |
| Total Cash Receipts | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Increase in inventory |  |  |  |
| Total Farm Receipts | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| B. Expenses |  |  |  |
| Feed bought |  |  |  |
| Machine hire |  |  |  |
| Machinery repairs |  |  |  |
| Auto expense (farm share) |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and oil |  |  |  |
| Breeding fees |  |  |  |
| Veterinary and medicine |  |  |  |
| Other livestock expense |  |  |  |
| Lime and fertilizer |  |  |  |
| Seeds and plants |  |  |  |
| Spray, other crop expense |  |  |  |
| Land, building, fence expense |  |  |  |
| Taxes, insurance |  |  |  |
| Electricity, telephone (farm share) |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| Total Cash Operating Expense | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| New machinery and real estate |  |  |  |
| Livestock purchases |  |  |  |
| Unpaid family labor |  |  |  |
| Decrease in inventory |  |  |  |
| Total Farm Expenses | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| C. Financial Summary |  |  |  |
| Capital Investment | \$ |  | \$ |
| Total Farm Receipts | \$ |  | \$ |
| Total Farm Expenses |  |  |  |
| Farm Income | \$ |  | \$ |
| Interest on Capital |  |  |  |
| LABOR INCOME | \$ |  | \$ |

## Selected Competitive Dairy Areas

A dairy farmer's competition comes from both nearby and from other dairy areas. Therefore, it is good to know how your business compares with other areas. Data from four states are presented below. These data were taken from reports on farm business management projects similar to the ones in New York.

## 1970 DAIRY FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY DATA

| Item | New York | Vermont | Pennsylvania | Wisconsin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms | 509 | 159 | 642 | 751 |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows | 65 | 59 | 54 | 43 |
| Number of heifers | 43 | 41 | 36 | NA |
| Total crop acres | 168 | 173 | 164 | 164 |
| Pounds of milk sold | 822,200 | 742,300 | 636,500 | 522,000 |
| Man equivalent | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sold per cow | 12,600 | 12,400 | 11,800 | 12,200 |
| Tons hay per acre | 2.7 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| Tons corn silage per acre | 15 | 17 | 18 | 12 |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Cows per man | 30 | 27 | 26 | 25 |
| Pounds milk sold per man | 373,700 | 332,500 | 303,100 | 304,300 |
| Cost Control Factors |  |  |  |  |
| Feed bought per cow | \$192 | \$218 | \$182 | \$120 |
| \% Feed is of milk receipts | 25\% | 28\% | 25\% | 19\% |
| Fertilizer \& lime per cow | \$33 | \$32 | \$50 | \$26 |
| Taxes per cow | \$22 | \$26 | \$16 | \$30 |
| Veterinary per cow | \$13 | \$11 | \$13 | NA |
| Capital Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Average capital investment | \$132,545 | \$122,103 | \$123,759 | \$81,410 |
| Total investment per cow | \$2,112 | \$2,049 | \$2,292 | \$1,893 |
| Machinery investment/cow | \$447 | \$333 | \$386 | \$391 |
| Prices |  |  |  |  |
| Price/cwt. 3.5\% milik sold | \$6.10 | \$6.23 | \$6.21 | \$5.29 |
| Financial Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Total farm receipts | \$66,467 | \$59,866 | \$52,850 | \$39,721 |
| Total farm expenses* | \$47,795 | \$46,133 | \$40,173 | \$27,828 |
| Labor income per operator | \$7,983 | \$7,907 | \$8,035 | \$8,131 |
| SOURCE: Vermont NEC67-1970 Elfac Dairy Farm Business Analysis |  |  |  |  |
| F.M. 46 - 1970 Pennsylvania Dairy Farm Business Analysis |  |  |  |  |
| University Wisconsin Report of 1970 Farm Record Summaries |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Family Living Expenditures

For business financial planning, the family living expenses must be considered along with the farm expenses. Some families keep a record of the living expenditures. Below is a summary of the living expenditures for families in Minnesota who recorded their living expenses as part of their farm business management project.

FAMILY IIVING EXPENDITURES
110 Minnesota Farm Families, 1970

| Item | My family | Average of 110 Families |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | Percent |
| Number in family |  | 4.8 |  |
| Living Expenses |  |  |  |
| Food and meals bought* | \$ | \$1,573 | 24 |
| Medical and hospital insurance |  | 496 | 13 |
| Clothing and clothing materials |  | 558 | 10 |
| Furnishings and equipment |  | 665 | 8 |
| Operating and supplies |  | 265 | 8 |
| Upkeep on dwelling |  | 376 | 2 |
| Personal share of auto expense |  | 283 | 6 |
| Church and welfare |  | 286 | 8 |
| Gifts and special events |  | 818 | 4 |
| Education |  | 502 | 6 |
| Recreation |  | 380 | 4 |
| Personal care and spending |  | 139 | 4 |
| Electricity \& telephone (home share) |  | 175 | 3 |
| TOTAL IIVING EXPENSES | \$ | \$6,516 | 100 |
| Taxes |  | 1,300 |  |
| Life insurance |  | 898 |  |
| Dwelling improvements |  | 845 |  |
| Home share of new autos |  | 253 |  |
| Other savings and investments |  | 1,516 |  |
| TOTAL FAMILY EXPENDITURES | \$ | \$11,328 |  |

Sources of Family Income
Farm return to family
Income from outside investments
Other persoral income


SOURCE: Minnesota Econ. Info. Reports R71-2 and R71-3

* In addition, the family used farm produce valued at $\$ 376$

Family living expenses have been rising. The average living expenses for 113 Minnesota families in 1969 was $\$ 6,029$ or about $\$ 500$ less than the 1970 average. Likewise, total family expenditures in 1969 were $\$ 9,127$ compared with $\$ 11,328$ in 1970. Taxes, dwelling improvements, and other savings accounted for the increase in 1970.

Many factors affect the expenditures of an individual family. The number in the family, ages of children, health problems, and special interests are examples. When comparing a family with the averages, these factors should be taken into consideration.

