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## INTRODUCTION

In 1970, a group of more than 500 New York dairymen participated in College sponsored farm business management projects. These projects serve a dual purpose. They provide the basis for extension management programs and also data for applied research studies.

Farm business records were kept by each dairyman. Some used farm account books for keeping records while others participated in electronic farm accounting programs. In all cases, the information was submitted to the College for summary and analysis.

Extension agents cooperated in the organization of local groups and in collection of the data. Regional summary reports were prepared for use by the agents in their winter educational meetings with farmers. The aim of these extension activities was to help the dairy cooperators with their business management problems.

The records from all regions of the state were combined and used as the basis for a continuing study of factors affecting dairy farm incomes. The major purposes of this research are to: (l) keep abreast of changes taking place in dairy farming, and (2) provide current farm business data for use by dairymen, extension agents, teachers, agribusinessmen, policy makers, and others concerned with the New York dairy industry.

A total of 509 farm business records were included in the dairy sumary for 1970. Farms with combinations of dairy and other major enterprises were excluded from the analysis reported in this publication. Two new features of the 1970 study are a summary of the financial situation on 159 farms, and an analysis of 117 farms with free stall housing facilities.

The results of this study do NOT represent the average of all dairy farms in the state. Participation in the project was on a voluntary basis. Although cooperators were located in various parts of the state not all areas were represented (see page 2). In general, the 509 farms represent a cross section of commercial operators who are above the average for all dairy farms in the state. For example, the median number of cows for the 509 farms was 55 while the state median was 38 , and the milk sold per cow was 12,600 compared with the statewide median of 10,000 pounds.
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Table 1. TEMPERATURE, GROWING SEASON AND PRECIPITATION
Selected Stations

| Station | Av. temperature May thru Sept. |  | Precipitation |  |  |  | Length ofgrowing season* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | May thru | Sept. | Total | nual |  |  |
|  | 1941-70 | 1970 | 1941-70 | 1970 | 1947-67 | 1970 | 1947-70 | 1970 |
|  | Degrees |  | Inches |  |  |  | Days |  |
| Alfred | 61.8 | 63.2 | 17.3 | 16.5 | 36.7 | 35.4 | 125 | 151 |
| Auburn | 65.0 | 63.9 | 14.1 | 17.2 | 31.1 | 32.3 | 174 | 162 |
| Batavia | 64.0 | 66.1 | 15.3 | 20.5 | 31.8 | 40.6 | 154 | 163 |
| Canton | 63.0 | 62.6 | 16.5 | 17.5 | 34.9 | 34.5 | 127 | 141 |
| Lowville | 62.5 | 62.8 | 16.5 | 19.3 | 38.0 | 39.5 | 123 | 163 |
| Norwich | 61.9 | 61.7 | 18.4 | 19.1 | 40.1 | 38.0 | 120 | 162 |
| Poughkeepsie FAA | A 66.3 | 67.7 | 16.7 | 15.2 | 38.2 | 34.4 | 164 | 163 |
| Salem | 62.8 | 63.9 | 18.4 | 20.8 | 39.0 | 36.5 | 119 | 130 |
| Utica FAA | 63.5 | 64.9 | 18.1 | 20.3 | 39.8 | 46.1 | 157 | 163 |

* Days between the last temperature of 32 degrees in the spring and the first in the fall

Weather is a factor to be considered when studying a farm business for a specific year. The growing conditions have a marked effect on the crops for the year. It is for this reason that data are presented on the growing conditions for 1970 and for the period 1941-70.

In general, the 1970 growing season can be characterized as having near normal temperatures, a slightly longer growing season and about normal annual rainfall. Conditions varied from area to area in the state. Data are presented for nine weather stations. The rainfall is reported by months for the growing season. May, June, and July were about normal in most areas while August and September were wet (table 2).

Table 2.
GROWING SEASON RAINFALL
Selected Stations, 1941-70 and 1970


Prices
PRICES RECEIVED BY N.Y. DAIRY FARMERS, 1959-1970


SOURCE: U.S.D.A. Agricultural Prices

Prices are an important business factor. The relationship of prices received to prices paid determines the general level of incomes. A look at the 1970 price situation for the major items dairymen sell gives some perspective on the price climate for the year of this study.

Milk prices for 1970 averaged $\$ 5.89$ compared with $\$ 5.66$ in 1969 and $\$ 4.14$ in 1962. Both dairy and slaughter cow prices in 1970 were at new highs for recent years. In general, prices received by dairymen in 1970 were good.

Table 3. PRICES RECEIVED FOR MILK AND COWS BY N.Y. FARMERS, 1959-1970

|  | Mílk <br> $3.5 \%$ B.F. <br> (cwt.) | Slaughter <br> cows <br> (cwt.) | Dairy <br> cows <br> (head) | Monthly farm price <br> per loo pounds <br> of milk, 1970 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\$ 4.58$ | $\$ 17.80$ | $\$ 284$ | January | $\$ 5.95$ |
| 1959 | 4.31 | 15.00 | 278 | February | 5.95 |
| 1960 | 4.20 | 14.60 | 260 | Narch | 5.70 |
| 1961 | 4.14 | 14.26 | 245 | April | 5.65 |
| 1962 | 4.15 | 14.01 | 234 | May | 5.45 |
| 1963 | 4.21 | 13.17 | 237 | June | 5.35 |
| 1964 | 4.27 | 13.91 | 238 | July | 5.90 |
| 1965 | 4.79 | 17.35 | 271 | August | 6.20 |
| 1966 | 5.07 | 17.32 | 303 | September | 6.45 |
| 1967 | 5.43 | 17.72 | 320 | October | 6.65 |
| 1968 | 5.66 | 19.42 | 336 | November | 6.55 |
| 1969 | 5.89 | 20.71 | 353 | December | 6.35 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |

PRICES PAID BY N.Y. DAIRY FARMERS, 1959-1970


SOURCE: U.S.D.A. Agricultural Prices

The index of prices paid by New York dairy farmers has risen steadily but items have changed by different amounts. Farm wages have increased the most. Fertilizer prices have declined some. Feed prices have fluctuated but in general have changed little. The overall index of prices paid by New York dairy farmers in 1970 was up 5 percent from 1969 and was 27 percent higher than 1960.

Table 4. PRICES PAID BY NEW YORK DAIRY FARMERS, 1959-1970

| Year | Index 1957-59 $=100$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prices paid } \\ & \text { by New York } \\ & \text { dairy farmers } \end{aligned}$ | Dairy ration (cwt.) | Wagesper monthwith house |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feed | Fertilizer | Wages | Machinery |  |  |  |
| 1959 | 100 | 99 | 103 | 104 | 102 | \$3.55 | \$204 |
| 1960 | 99 | 100 | 106 | 107 | 104 | 3.55 | 210 |
| 1961 | 100 | 101 | 107 | 110 | 105 | 3.61 | 214 |
| 1962 | 102 | 100 | 110 | 112 | 106 | 3.68 | 218 |
| 1963 | 104 | 100 | 112 | 114 | 108 | 3.79 | 222 |
| 1964 | 101 | 99 | 115 | 116 | 108 | 3.72 | 228 |
| 1965 | 102 | 100 | 118 | 120 | 110 | 3.79 | 236 |
| 1966 | 106 | 100 | 126 | 124 | 113 | 4.00 | 254 |
| 1967 | 106 | 100 | 138 | 130 | 118 | 4.00 | 280 |
| 1968 | 103 | 98 | 150 | 136 | 121 | 3.70 | 302 |
| 1969 | 103 | 94 | 160 | 144 | 126 | 3.70 | 321 |
| 1970 | 109 | 98 | 174 | 152 | 132 | 3.90 | 354 |

## Labor, Livestock, and Crops Grown

Any manager must operate within certain restrictions. One of these is the resources he has to use. For this reason the first thing we examine in the summary of the farm business is the labor, livestock, and land used for crops in the operation. Management has been described as "using what you've got to get what you want."

Table 5. LABOR FORCE, LIVESTOCK NUMBERS, AND ACRES OF CROPS GROWN 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Average of 509 farms | Range |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | High | Low |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |
| Months of: |  |  |  |  |
| Operators |  | 14.1 |  |  |
| Family unpaid |  | 2.6 |  |  |
| Family paid |  | 1.9 |  |  |
| Hired |  | 7.3 |  |  |
| Other |  | . 3 |  |  |
| Total months |  | 26.2 |  |  |
| Man equivalent (no. men) |  | 2.2 | 8.0 | 1.0 |
| Livestock (number) |  |  |  |  |
| Cows |  | 65 | 314 | 18 |
| Heifers |  | 43 | 215 | 0 |
| Crops (acres grown)* - Data from 501 farms** |  |  |  |  |
| Hay |  | (491) 92 | 410 | 7 |
| Hay crop silage |  | (62) 27 | 150 | 2 |
| Corn silage |  | (468) 49 | 300 | 3 |
| Com for grain |  | (189) 38 | 190 | 1 |
| Oats |  | (159) 23 | 100 | 3 |
| Total acres of crops |  | (501) 168 | 576 | 12 |
| * Average for farms reporting so acres do not add to total. Num of farms growing is in parenthesis <br> ** Eight farms omitted all crop information |  |  |  |  |

Partnerships are relatively common on New York dairy farms. Eighty-six of the 509 farms had two or more operators with a total of 599 operators. Thus, about one-sixth of the farms were partnerships.

The average man equivalent was 2.2 with 8.0 the largest. Family members provided 18.6 months of labor compared with 7.6 months hired or 71 percent was family labor. These were family type farms.

## Capital Investment

The end-of-year inventory is used as the measure of the capital investment. The inventory should reflect the "fair market value" or what things would bring at a well-attended sale. This is a general measure of the capital resource used in the business.

Table 6. FARM INVENTORY VALUES, JANUARY 1, 1971 509 New York Dairy Farms

| Item | My farm | Average of <br> 509 farms | $\%$ of <br> total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Machinery \& equipment | $\$$ |  | $\$ 29,067$ |
| Livestock |  |  | 21 |
| Feed and supplies |  | 32,187 | 23 |
| Land and buildings |  | 9,300 | 7 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT | $\$$ | $\frac{66,694}{2}$ | $\underline{49}$ |

Total investment at the end of the year for the 509 farms averaged $\$ 137,000$. The range was from $\$ 37,800$ to $\$ 821,000$. The investment in livestock was a little larger than that in machinery on these farms. The value of the personal property including feed and supplies on these dairy farms exceeded the value of the real property.

There was considerable variation in the total farm inventory value. There were 22 farms with investments of less than $\$ 50,000$ but there were 39 with investments of $\$ 250,000$ or more. Nineteen percent of the farms had investments of over $\$ 200,000$. The distribution of total investment per farm is shown below.

Distribution of Farms by Total Investment

| Total <br> investment | Number of farms | Percent of farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$50,000 | 22 | 4 |
| \$ 50,000 - 74,999 | 68 | 13 |
| 75,000-99,999 | 109 | 22 |
| 100,000-124,999 | 73 | 14 |
| 125,000-149,999 | 71 | 14 |
| 150,000-199,999 | 73 | 14 |
| 200,000-249,999 | 54 | 11 |
| 250,000 or more | 39 | 8 |
| TOTAL | 509 | 100 |

## Receipts

An examination of the receipts tells much about the nature of the business. The receipts are one indication of the accomplishments of the operation.

Table 7. FARM RECEIPIS
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average of } \\ 509 \text { farms } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk sales | \$ | \$50,154 | 88 |
| Livestock sold |  | 5,134 | 9 |
| Crop sales |  | 432 | 1 |
| Government payments |  | 244 | 1 |
| Gas tax refund |  | 101 | -- |
| Machine work |  | 92 | -- |
| Machinery sold |  | 114 | -- |
| Work off farm |  | 79 | -- |
| Miscellaneous |  | 711 | 1 |
| Total Cash Receipts | \$ | \$57,061 | 100 |
| Increase in inventory |  | 9,406 |  |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPIS | \$ | \$66,467 |  |
| Average price per cwt. of milk sold | \$ | \$6.10 |  |

Milk sales on these 509 farms accounted for 88 percent of the total cash receipts. Livestock sold, the second largest item, accounted for an additional 9 percent. The cash flow into the business on these farms averaged $\$ 57,000$. Increase in inventory, which is a non-cash receipt, averaged \$9,400 or 14 percent of the total farm receipts. Composition of the increase is shown below. Land and buildings accounted for 41 percent of the increase in inventory. This reflects some of the changes in housing facilities.

Composition of Increase in Inventory

| Inventory <br> item | Average <br> increase | Percent <br> of total |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 3,867$ | 41 |
| Land \& buildings | 2,268 | 24 |
| Machinery \& equipment | 2,228 | 24 |
| Livestock | $\underline{1,043}$ | $\underline{11}$ |
| Feed and supplies | $\$ 9,406$ | 100 |

The average price per hundredweight of milk sold by the 509 farms in 1970 was $\$ 6.10$. The average price is calculated by dividing the gross milk receipts for the year by the total pounds of milk sold. The variation in average price received is show below:

Variation in Average Milk Price

| Average price <br> received for milk | Number <br> of farms | Percent <br> of farms |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Below $\$ 5.50$ | 7 | 1 |
| $\$ 5.50-5.74$ | 212 | 12 |
| $5.75-5.99$ | $\underline{114}$ | 42 |
| $6.00-6.24$ | 44 | 22 |
| $6.25-6.49$ | 26 | 9 |
| $6.50-6.74$ | $\underline{17}$ | 5 |
| $6.75-6.99$ | 509 | $\underline{4}$ |
| Over $\$ 7.00$ |  | 100 |

Dairymen often say there is nothing they can do about the price received for milk. This may be true as it pertains to the price at a particular time, but the variation shown here does indicate that the average annual prices received for milk by farmers do vary. Management practices account for some of the differences. Seasonality of production and butterfat test are two management items that affect the average price for the year.

Gross receipts are sometimes used as a measure of size of business. The census of agriculture uses this measure in classifying farms. The distribution of total farm receipts of the 509 farms in 1970 is shown below:

| Distribution of Farms by Total Farm Receipts |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total farm | Farms |  |
| receipts | Number | Percent |
| Under $\$ 20,000$ | 8 | 2 |
| $\$ 20,000-29,999$ | 44 | 9 |
| $30,000-39,999$ | 72 | 14 |
| $40,000-49,999$ | 66 | 19 |
| $50,000-59,999$ | 79 | 13 |
| $60,000-79,999$ | 56 | 16 |
| $80,000-99,999$ | 37 | 11 |
| $100,000-119,999$ | $\underline{48}$ | 7 |
| 120,000 and 0ver | 509 | 100 |

More than one-half of the 509 farms had receipts of over $\$ 50,000$ and 16 percent had receipts of $\$ 100,000$ or more.

## Expenses

Dairymen today buy many inputs for their operations. In addition to knowing the total expenses, it is helpful to have a breakdown by specific items.

Table 8.
FARM EXPENSES
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average of } \\ & 509 \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.lin Hired labor | \$ | \$ 4,388 | 13 |
| Dairy concentrate |  | 12,463 | 37 |
| Other feed |  | 354 | 1 |
| Machine hire |  | 290 | 1 |
| Machinery repairs |  | 2,272 | 7 |
| Auto expense (farm share) |  | 243 | 1 |
| Gas and oil |  | 1,381 | 4 |
| Breeding fees |  | 583 | 2 |
| Veterinary and medicine |  | 832 | 2 |
| Milk hauling |  | 545 | 1 |
| Other livestock expense |  | 1,890 | 6 |
| Lime and fertilizer |  | 2,117 | 6 |
| Seeds and plants |  | 569 | 2 |
| Spray, other crop expense |  | 561 | 1 |
| Land, building, fence repair |  | 1,092 | 3 |
| Taxes |  | 1,438 | 4 |
| Insurance |  | 868 | 3 |
| Electricity (farm share) |  | 769 | 2 |
| Telephone (farm share) |  | 181 | -- |
| Miscellaneous |  | 1,206 | 4 |
| Total Cash Operating Expenses | \$ | \$34,042 | 100 |
| New machinery* |  | 6,480 |  |
| Real estate** |  | 4,244 |  |
| Livestock purchases** |  | 2,254 |  |
| Unpaid labor |  | 775 |  |
| Decrease in inventory |  | -- |  |
| total farm expenses | \$ | \$47,795 |  |

* Depreciation $\$ 4,098$--see page $2^{\prime 4}$ for calculations
** Number reporting purchase of real estate, 261; livestock, 321

The expense classification used on page 10 is taken from the "Cornell Farm Account Book." Lists of the items included in each category are presented on the inside back cover of that account book.

Unpaid family labor refers to work done by members of the family who are not paid cash wages. The operator estimates the number of months of unpaid labor. This is charged to the business at $\$ 300$ per month.

Decrease in inventory is the amount that the beginning inventory exceeds the end inventory. Since this indicates a "using up" of capital items, it is considered as a farm expense. Some individual farms had a decrease, but the net inventory change for the 509 farms was an increase.

Total farm expenses for the 509 farms averaged $\$ 47,795$ or $\$ 131$ per day. The cash operating expenses averaged $\$ 34,000$ or 71 percent of the total. Expenditures for capital items like machinery, buildings, and livestock are of ten paid for by loans rather than cash. It is for this reason that they are separated in this classification.

The cash operating expenses averaged $\$ 524$ per cow. When capital items and unpaid labor were included, the total farm expenses averaged $\$ 735$ per cow.

Farm expenses can be classified in various ways. Another way to study expenses is to divide them on the basis of fixed, variable, and capital items. This is shown below:

| Capital expenses (investments) |  | Operating expenses (variable) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Machinery | \$ 6,480 | Labor | \$ 5,163 |
| Real estate | 4,244 | Feed | 12,817 |
| Livestock | 2,254 | Machinery repairs | 2,272 |
| Total Capital | \$12,978 | Gas \& oil | 1,381 |
| Lotal Capital | \$12,970 | Machine hire | 290 |
| Overhead expenses (fixed) |  | Auto | 243 |
|  |  | Livestock expenses | 3,850 |
| Property taxes | \$ 1,438 | Fertilizer \& lime | 2,117 |
| Insurance | -1,868 | Other crop expenses | 1,130 |
| Land \& building repairs | 1,092 | Miscellaneous | 1,206 |
| Electricity | 769 | Total Variable | \$30,469 |
| Telephone | 181 |  |  |
| Total Fixed Overhead | \$ 4,348 |  |  |

The variable expenses on these farms accounted for 64 percent of the grand total. These are items over which the operator has direct control. The fixed items accounted for only 9 percent of the total, and capital items 27 percent. The variable expenses are the ones the dairymen must make decisions on daily.

Income
Researchers have developed a number of ways to measure the income from a farm business. The measure to be used depends on the point from which the results are being studied. Several common measures are reported here. The user can select the measure that best fits his situation.

Table 9.
FARM INCOME AND LABOR INCOME 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average of } \\ 509 \text { farms } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent of receipts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total farm receipts | \$ | \$66,467 | 100 |
| Total farm expenses |  | 47,795 | 72 |
| FARM INCOME | \$ | \$18,672 | 28 |
| Interest on av. capital © $7 \%$ |  | 9,278 | 14 |
| Labor income per farm | \$ | \$ 9,394 | 14 |
| Number of operators |  | 599 |  |
| LABOR INCOME PER OPERATOR | \$ | \$ 7,983 |  |

Farm income measures the return from the business to all capital and the operator's labor and management. Farm income is the difference between total receipts, including increase in inventory, and total expenses, including decrease in inventory but excluding interest payments.

Labor income is the return to the farm operator for his labor and management. This is the measure most commonly used when studying or comparing farm businesses. To get the labor income, a 7 percent interest charge on all capital is subtracted from the farm income. Prior to 1969, a 5 percent interest charge was made. In making income comparisons with 1968 and earlier, the difference in interest rate charged must be kept in mind.

Distribution of Labor Incomes Per Operator

| Labor income <br> per operator | Farms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Percent |  |
| Minus | 46 | 9 |
| $\$ 0-4,999$ | 126 | 24 |
| $5,000-9,999$ | 171 | 34 |
| $10,000-14,999$ | 97 | 19 |
| $15,000-19,999$ | 35 | 7 |
| $20,000-24,999$ | 18 | 4 |
| 25,000 or more | 16 | 3 |

Table 10. FARM CASH OPERATING INCOME AND DEBT PAYMENT ABILITY
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Average of <br> 509 farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total cash receipts | $\$$ | $\$ 57,061$ |
| Total cash operating expense |  | $\frac{34,042}{}$ |
| FARM CASH OPERATING INCOME | $\$$ | $\$ 23,019$ |
| Family cash living expenses* |  | $\frac{6,355}{\$ 16,664}$ |
| DEBT PAYMENT ABILITY | $\$$ |  |

* Estimated at $\$ 5,400$ per operator per year

Farm cash operating income reflects the cash available from the year's operation of the farm business for family living, interest and debt payments, and new capital purchases or investments. A family may have had additional cash available if some member of the family had a nonfarm income, or if money were inherited or borrowed.

Debt payment ability is a measure of the amount of cash available for debt payments. It is calculated by deducting family living expenses from the farm cash operating income. It is assumed here that new machinery, real estate, and livestock are purchased with borrowed capital. This measure is useful in planning debt payment schedules.

Rate of return on investment is calculated by deducting a charge for the operator's labor from the "farm income." This is then divided by the average investment for the year to determine the rate of return on investment. In the above calculation, $\$ 5,400$ has been used arbitrarily as the value of the operator's labor. This is comparable to what "good" hired men earn. Rate of return really reflects the return to capital and management.

Table 11.
RATE OF RETURN ON INVESTMENT
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Average of <br> 509 farms |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Farm income | $\$$ | $\$ 18,672$ |
| Value of operator's labor* |  | $\frac{6,355}{}$ |
| Return on investment | $\$$ | $\$ 12,317$ |
| Average capital investment | $\$$ | $\$ 132,545$ |
| RATE OF RETURN ON INVESTMENT |  | $9.3 \%$ |

* $\$ 5,400$ per operator - some farms had more than one operator

Farm income as calculated here is the return from the business for three major input items: (1) the operator's labor input, (2) the operator's management input, and (3) the total capital input.

In calculating operator's labor income, the first two inputs are combined and in calculating rate of return on investment, the last two are combined.

In nonfarm businesses, another measure is sometimes used, namely, "profit." This can be done where the management inputs are actually hired. In some farm management studies, the management input has been valued at 8 percent of the cash farm receipts, and the operator's lavor at the average wage for hired men with houses. Using this method, the farm income can be separated as follows:

Farm Income $\$ 18,672$ Operator's labor @ \$80/week $\quad$| Management @ $8 \%$ of cash receipts | $\$ 4,900$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Interest on capital @ $7 \%$ | $\$ 4,565$ |
| Profit | $\$ 9,278$ |
| $\$-71$ |  |

Income from a business can also be calculated in relation to various input units. For example, since these are family-type farms, the labor and management return can be figured on a per-man basis. This is shown below:

Return to All Labor

| Labor income per farm | $\$ 9,394$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Value hired labor | 4,388 |
| Value unpaid labor | 775 |
|  | $\$ 14,557$ |
| Average man equivalent | 2.2 |
| Returns per man equivalent | $\$ 6,617$ |
| Returns per hour (3,000 hrs./yr.) | $\$ 2.21$ |

In like manner, returns can be calculated on the basis of production units or on a per-cow basis. These are given below:

Returns per Cow
Cash operating income per cow \$354
Farm income per cow \$287
Labor income per cow \$145

## ANALYSIS OF THE FARM BUSINESS

This part of the report includes a systematic analysis of the farm business to determine strengths and weaknesses. Five business factors are examined. These are: size of business, rates of production, labor efficiency, use of capital, and cost control. The 1970 averages for selected measures for each of these factors are reported along with general relationships of each to labor income.

The measures examined here are interrelated. This means that all factors should be examined before arriving at major conclusions.

## Size of Business

Size of farm has an effect on other factors such as labor efficiency, cost control, and capital efficiency. The prices received and paid by a farmer are often affected by the volume which is a function of size. Farm management studies have shown that in general larger farm businesses make larger labor incomes. Two basic reasons for this are that larger businesses make possible more efficient use of overhead inputs such as labor and machinery, and there are more units of production (milk) on which to make a profit.

Table 12. MEASURES OF SIZE OF BUSINESS 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Measure | My farm | Average of <br> 509 farms |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number of cows |  | 65 |
| Total acres in crops | - | 168 |
| Man equivalent | - | 2.2 |
| Total work units | - | 691 |
| Pounds of milk sold |  |  |
| Total cash receipts | $\$ 22,200$ |  |
| Total investment | $\$$ | $\$ 137,061$ |

Number of cows is the average number in the herd for the year. Where available, the D.H.I.C. annual average is used.

Total acres in crops includes all acres on which crops were harvested during the 1970 year. It does not include cropland pasture or uncropped land.

Man equivalent is the amount of labor available on the farm during the year in terms of full-time man years. Work by part-time workers and family members is converted to full-time man equivalent.

Total work units represents the number of productive man days that would be required, under average conditions, to care for the acreage of crops grown and the number of livestock handled. A man work unit is the average amount of productive work accomplished in ten hours.

Table 13. COWS PER FARM AND LABOR INCOME
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Number <br> of cows | Number <br> of farms | Percent <br> of farms | Labor income <br> per operator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 1 |  |
| Less than 25 | 93 | 18 | $\$ 3,280$ |
| $25-39$ | 150 | 30 | 4,560 |
| $40-54$ | 91 | 18 | 6,780 |
| $55-69$ | 63 | 12 | 7,400 |
| $70-84$ | 32 | 6 | 8,410 |
| $85-99$ | 29 | 6 | 13,200 |
| $100-114$ | 19 | 4 | 12,750 |
| $115-129$ | 27 | 5 | 16,950 |
| 130 and over |  |  | 12,900 |

The relationship of size of business and labor income was observed for size as measured by number of cows and by man equivalent. The pattern was the same for both measures, the larger the business the higher the labor income per operator up to 100 cows and to a 3.5 man equivalent after which the incomes varied. The number of farms in the larger groups were relatively small so cannot be used as conclusive evidence.

The 1970 relationship is consistent with that of earlier studies. A well-managed large farm will provide the operator a higher income than a wellmanaged small one. However, a large farm poorly managed can lose more than a poorly managed small farm.

Man equivalent is often used as a measure of size. It is of interest that 81 percent of the farms had man equivalents of less than 3.0 (table 14). Forty-four percent of the farms had less than 2.0 men.

Table 14. MAN EQUIVALENT PER FARM AND LABOR INCOME 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Man | Number | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| equivalent | of farms | of farms | of cows |


| $1.0-1.4$ | 121 | 24 | 40 | $\$ 6,660$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1.5-1.9$ | 100 | 20 | 48 | 7,440 |
| $2.0-2.4$ | 138 | 27 | 60 | 7,330 |
| $2.5-2.9$ | 53 | 10 | 82 | 9,480 |
| $3.0-3.4$ | 51 | 10 | 97 | 11,170 |
| $3.5-3.9$ | 17 | 3 | 103 | 10,110 |
| 4.0 and over | 29 | 6 | 138 | 10,930 |

## Rates of Production

Production per animal and per acre are factors that affect farm incomes. High rates of production, however, must be obtained at reasonable costs. Production techniques must be considered from an economic point of view.

Table 15. MEASURES OF RATES OF PRODUCTION
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Measure | My farm | Average of <br> 509 farms |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Pounds of milk sold per cow |  | 12,600 |
| Tons hay per acre | - | 2.7 |
| Tons corn silage per acre | - | 15 |
| Bushels of oats per acre | - | 68 |
| Bushels grain corn per acre | - | 72 |
| Bushels of wheat per acre | - | 38 |

Pounds of milk sold per cow is calculated by dividing the total pounds of milk sold by the average number of cows. The average for the 509 farms was 12,600 pounds per cow with a range from 5,300 pounds to 18,100 pounds. Because some milk is used in the home and fed to calves, D.H.I.C. production levels will usually be somewhat higher than actual pounds of milk sold.

Studies have shown repeatedly that farms with higher rates of production tend to have higher labor incomes. In 1970, the farms with the higher rates of production were larger and bought more feed per cow, and in general it paid off as shown by the higher incomes. There were some variations like the 12,000-12,999 group which are probably reflections of the effects of other factors.

Table 16. MILK SOLD PER COW AND LABOR INCOME
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Pounds of milk <br> sold per cow | Number <br> of farms | Number <br> of cows | Feed bought <br> per cow | Labor <br> income |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Under 10,000 | 52 | 53 | $\$ 155$ | $\$ 1,940$ |
| $10,000-10,999$ | 51 | 60 | 156 | 4,720 |
| $11,000-11,999$ | 68 | 64 | 186 | 7,510 |
| $12,000-12,999$ | 98 | 68 | 196 | 6,560 |
| $13,000-13,999$ | 107 | 75 | 190 | 11,540 |
| $14,000-14,999$ | 69 | 63 | 207 | 11,620 |
| 15,000 and over | 64 | 60 | 235 | 100 |

## Labor Efficiency

Accomplishments per worker are used to measure labor efficiency, With wage rates rising more than any other cost item, it is important to keep output in line with wage rates. Labor efficiency is a major factor in any farm business analysis.

Table 17. MEASURES OF LABOR EFFICIENCY
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Measure | My farm | Average of <br> 509 farms |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Pounds of milk sold per man |  | 373,700 |
| Number of cows per man | - | 30 |
| Work units per man | - | 314 |
| Crop acres per man |  | 76 |

Pounds of milk sold per man is determined by dividing the total pounds of milk sold by the man equivalent. This is probably the best measure of labor efficiency for dairy farms. This averaged 373,700 pounds per man on the 509 farms but ranged from a low of 53,000 pounds to a high of 828,000 .
abor accomplishments (efficiency) depends on a number of things. Among these are the amount of mechanization, the field and building layout, the work methods used, and the abilities of the workers. All of these are management items under the control of the operator.

The relationship of labor efficiency to labor income was very definite on the 509 farms. The higher the pounds of milk sold per man, the higher the income. The higher output per man was accomplished in part at least by more and higher producing cows (table 18). It is interesting to observe that 67 or more than one farm in eight, sold half a million pounds or more of milk per man.

Table 18. MILK SOLD PER MAN AND LABOR INCOME
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Pounds of milk <br> sold per man | Number <br> of farms | Number <br> of cows | Lbs. milk <br> per cow | Labor income <br> per operator |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Under 200,000 | 22 |  |  |  |
| $200,000-299,999$ | 104 | 31 | 9,500 | $\$ 20$ |
| $300,000-399,999$ | 197 | 61 | 11,600 | 4,120 |
| $400,000-499,999$ | 119 | 74 | 12,500 | 6,840 |
| 500,000 and over | 67 | 92 | 13,400 | 10,640 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Use of Capital

The capital investment on the dairy farms included in these summaries has more than doubled in the last decade. The average end-of-year inventory on the 509 farms was over $\$ 130,000$. This includes both owned and borrowed capital. The use of credit is part of capital management. Since capital is a key input item, it is important to analyze the use of capital in the business.

Capital is a cost to the business and like other costs it can get out of line. Capital costs are affected by size of total investment and rates paid for borrowed money. With today's relatively high interest rates, it is more important than formerly to use capital efficiently.

The analysis in this section examines how the capital is used and the financial situation of the farm family.

Table 19. MEASURES OF CAPITAL EFFICIENCY 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Measure | My farm | Average of <br> 509 farms |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total capital per man | $\$$ | $\$ 62,400$ |
| Total capital per cow | - | 2,112 |
| Machinery and equipment per cow | 447 |  |
| Land and building investment per cow | - | 1,026 |
| Land and building investment per crop acre | - | 397 |
| Total capital per cwt. milk sold | - | 17 |
| Capital turnover (capital $\div$ receipts) | - | 2.1 |

Capital efficiency is often associated with size of herd. For this reason, the 509 farms were sorted on the basis of number of cows and the capital efficiency measures were calculated. There seemed to be a relationship between size and capital efficiency for machinery but not for real estate.

Table 20. SIZE OF HERD AND CAPITAL EFFICIENCY 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Number <br> of cows | Number <br> of farms | Capital Investment Per Cow |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Real estate |  | Machinery |
| Under 40 | 98 | $\$ 2,350$ | $\$ 1,211$ | $\$ 512$ |
| $40-54$ | 150 | 2,161 | 1,033 | 496 |
| $55-69$ | 91 | 2,098 | 997 | 471 |
| $70-84$ | 63 | 2,132 | 1,040 | 464 |
| $85-99$ | 32 | 2,069 | 964 | 425 |
| 100 and over | 75 | 2,029 | 999 | 391 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

The financial situation is an important part of the analysis of a farm business. This indicates the condition of the operation as it relates to present financing and future expansion possibilities. In the 509 records for 1970, a total of 159 included a financial situation statement. These were summarized and the results are reported below.

Table 21.
FARM FAMILY FINANCIAL SITUATION
159 New York Dairy Farms, January 1, 1971

| Item | My farm | Farms Reporting |  | Average 159 Farms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm land and buildings | \$ | 159 | 100 | \$ 60,587 | 43 |
| Livestock |  | 159 | 100 | 29,052 | 21 |
| Machinery |  | 159 | 100 | 27,279 | 19 |
| Feed and supplies |  | 159 | 100 | 8,663 | 6 |
| Co-op investment |  | 112 | 70 | 1,735 | 1 |
| Accounts receivable |  | 90 | 57 | 2,548 | 2 |
| Cash and checking accounts |  | 136 | 86 | 1,313 | 1 |
| Savings accounts |  | 81 | 51 | 1,863 | 1 |
| Cash value life insurance |  | 104 | 65 | 2,614 | 2 |
| Stocks and bonds |  | 70 | 44 | 1,951 | 1 |
| Nonfarm real estate |  | 23 | 14 | 1,901 | 1 |
| Auto (personal share) |  | 125 | 79 |  | $1$ |
| All other |  |  |  | $1,463$ | $1$ |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ |  |  | \$141,863 | 100 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 115 | 72 | \$ 18,826 | 46 |
| Liens on cattle \& equipt. |  | 86 | 54 | 13,033 | 31 |
| Installment contracts |  | 45 | 28 | 1,928 | 5 |
| Secured notes |  | 45 | 28 | 3,757 | 9 |
| Unsecured notes |  | 39 | 25 | 1,958 | 5 |
| Store accounts |  | 112 | 70 | 1,281 | 3 |
| Personal debt and other |  | 37 | 23 | 539 | 1 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 143 | 90 | \$41,322 | 100 |
| NET WORTH | \$ |  |  | \$100,541 |  |

The farm inventory accounted for 89 percent of the total family assets reported. The cash value of life insurance and accounts receivable each accounted for two percent. Real estate mortgages were the largest liability and accounted for 46 percent of a.11 debts.

Table 22.
DEBT COMMITMENTS AND FTNANCIAL MEASURES 159 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

|  | My farm | Average 159 farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Debt Commitments: |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | \$2,420 |
| Cattle \& equipment liens |  | 3,010 |
| Notes |  | 1,360 |
| Installment contracts |  | 330 |
| All other |  | 1,150 |
| Total debt payments | \$ | \$8,270 |
| Financial Measures: |  |  |
| Number of cows |  | 59 |
| Annual debt payment/cow | \$ | \$140 |
| Debt payment as \% milk check | \% | 18\% |
| Percent equity Percent debt on real estate | \% | 71\% |
| Debt per cow | \$ | \$700 |

The annual debt commitments for interest and principle averaged $\$ 8,270$. The largest amount committed was for cattle and equipment liens. These commitments averaged nearly $\$ 700$ per month and $\$ 140$ per cow per year.

Debts on the 159 farms reporting amounted to 29 percent of the total assets. This gives an average equity of 71 percent. The range in percent equity was from 8 to 100 . The debt per cow ranged from $\$ 50$ to $\$ 2,200$.

The percent equity was highest for the herds with under 40 cows and lowest for those with 85 or more cows. Debt per cow on the other hand was highest for the large herds and lowest for the herds with under 40 cows.

Table 23. FINANCIAL SITUATION BY SIZE OF HERD
159 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Herd size } \\ \text { (Cows) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Number of |  | Total assets | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { iabilities } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { worth } \end{gathered}$ | Percent equity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Debt } \\ & \text { per cow } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Farms | Cows |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 40 | 40 | 32 | \$ 92,298 | \$18,094 | \$74,204 | 80 | \$558 |
| 40-54 | 47 | 46 | 110,447 | 31,078 | 79,369 | 72 | 676 |
| 55-69 | 28 | 60 | 136,127 | 44,488 | 91,639 | 67 | 741 |
| 70-84 | 20 | 75 | 168,516 | 48,512 | 120,004 | 71 | 647 |
| 85 \& over | 24 | 116 | 270,472 | 90,409 | 180,063 | 67 | 779 |

## Cost Control

Keeping costs in line can make the difference between profit and loss. Small as well. as large costs must be checked. An analysis of the various costs is one step in maintaining good cost control. Several important costs are examined below.

## Feed Costs

Purchased feed is the largest single expense item on most New York dairy farms. For the 509 farms in 1970, dairy concentrate accounted for 37 percent of the cash operating expenses. For this reason, feed is the first item examined in the "cost control" section.

Dairy feed costs are affected by many things. It is difficult to find a satisfactory single measure of feed cost control. Consequently, the feed situation generally is looked at in the business analysis of feed costs. Below are some measures related to feed costs on a dairy farm.

Table 24.

> ITEMS RELATED TO FEED COSTS

509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average of } \\ & 509 \text { farms } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed expense |  |  |
| Daíry feed purchased | \$ | \$12,463 |
| Feed purchased as \% of milk receipts | \% | 25\% |
| Feed purchased per cwt. of milk sold | $\phi$ | \$1. 52 |
| Feed purchased per cow | \$ | \$192 |
| Crop expense per cow | \$ | \$50 |
| Total feed and crop expense per cow | \$ | \$242 |
| Total feed and crop expense per cwt. of milk sold | \$ | \$1.91 |
| Roughage hervested (hay equivalent) |  |  |
| Hay (tons) |  | 242 |
| Corn silage (tons $\div 3$ ) |  | 240 |
| Hay crop silage (tons $\div 2$ or 3 )* |  | 7 |
| Total tons hay equivalent |  | 489 |
| Tons hay equivalent per cow |  | 7.5 |
| Other considerations |  |  |
| Acres in crops per cow |  | 2.6 |
| Lime and fertilizer expense per cow | $\$$ | \$33 |
| Lime and fertilizer expense per crop acre | \$ | \$13 |
| Number of heifers per 10 cows |  | 6.6 |

* Depending on moisture content of silage

The above measures of roughage harvested consider quantity only. Quality is also important and should be considered when studying the feeding program.

Feed cost is influenced by a number of factors. On the production side, it is affected by the amount of home-grown grains, quality and quantity of the roughage, and the number of youngstock. On the purchasing side, it is influenced by the farmer's ability to purchase concentrates at low costs.

Feed purchased as percent of milk receipts is calculated by dividing feed purchased by milk receipts. This measure can be used to determine whether the feed costs are in line. The amount of home-grown grain must be considered as you evaluate this measure. Milk prices also influence this factor.

Feed purchased per cow is calculated by dividing the total expense for dairy concentrate by the average number of cows. Because this also includes the amount spent for calf and heifer feed, it actually represents the feed cost per cow and the replacements being raised.

Total crop expense per cow is calculated by dividing the total money spent for fertilizer and lime, seeds and plants, spray, and other crop expense by the average number of cows. This represents the direct cash costs of the dairyman for growing feed.

Total feed and crop expense is determined by adding the purchased feed expense to total crop expense. This indicates the total amount spent by the dairyman to provide the feed requirements of the herd. If the dairyman gets a high amount of nutrients per dollar spent and feeds these nutrients so as to get efficient milk production per unit of nutrient, he will keep his feed and crop expense per hundredweight of milk down.

Number of heifers per ten cows is figured by dividing the number of heifers by the number of cows and multiplying by ten.

Table 25. PERCENT PURCHASED FEED IS OF MILK RECEIPIS AND LABOR INCOME 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| \% Feed <br> is of milk | Number <br> of farms | Number <br> of cows | H.E. <br> per cow | Lbs. milk <br> per cow | Labor income <br> per operator |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over $40 \%$ | 12 | 52 | 6.8 | 12,500 | $\$ 5,295$ |
| $35-39$ | 40 | 64 | 6.4 | 11,500 | 4,094 |
| $30-34$ | 103 | 62 | 6.8 | 12,600 | 6,171 |
| $25-29$ | 124 | 65 | 7.6 | 12,700 | 7,910 |
| $20-24$ | 121 | 64 | 7.9 | 12,800 | 9,374 |
| Under 20\% | 109 | 70 | 7.5 | 12,600 | 10,510 |

In general, the lower the percent of the milk check going for purchased feed, the higher the income (table 25). Farms with a lower percent of the milk check going for purchased feed had more tons of hay equivalent per cow. This suggests that adequate supplies of roughage has an effect on concentrate purchases and labor incomes.

## Power and Machinery Costs

Mechanization on dairy farms has been proceeding at a relatively rapid pace. This increases the importance of analyzing the power and machinery costs. On the 509 farms, net power and machinery costs accounted for 24 percent of the total farm expenses in 1970. Below are the calculations of the power and machinery costs and related factors.

Table 26.
FCWER AND MACHINERY COST*
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Average of 509 farms | Percent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning inventory | \$ | \$26,799 |  |
| New machinery purchased |  | 6,480 |  |
| Total (no. 1) | \$ | \$33,279 |  |
| End inventory | \$ | \$29,067 |  |
| Machinery sold |  | 114 |  |
| Total (no. 2) | \$ | \$29,181 |  |
| Depreciation (Total no. I minus Total no. 2) | \$ | \$ 4,098 | 35 |
| Interest at 7\% on av. inventory |  | 1,955 | 17 |
| Gas and oil |  | 1,381 | 12 |
| Machinery repairs |  | 2,272 | 20 |
| Milk hauling |  | 545 | 5 |
| Machine hire |  | 290 | 3 |
| Auto expense (farm share) |  | 243 | 2 |
| Electricity (farm share) |  | 769 | 6 |
| Total power and machinery cost | \$ | \$11,553 | 100 |
| Less: |  |  |  |
| Gas tax refund | \$ | \$101 |  |
| Income from machine work |  | 92.193 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| NET POWER AND MACHINERY COST | \$ | \$11,360 |  |
| Net machinery cost: |  |  |  |
| per cow | \$ | \$175 |  |
| per crop acre | \$ | \$68 |  |
| per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$1.38 |  |
| per man | \$ | \$5,164 |  |

[^0]
## Labor and Machinery Costs

The primary justification given for more mechanization is to reduce labor costs. However, if a machine is added without expanding size or reducing the labor force, costs will be increased. "Labor and machinery cost" provides a measure of the efficiency of the operator's machinery and labor combination.

Table 27.
LABOR AND MACHINERY COST
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Average of 509 farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor cost: |  |  |
| Value of operators' labor* | \$ | \$6,355 |
| Hired labor |  | 4,388 |
| Unpaid family labor |  | 775 |
| Total Labor Cost | \$ | \$11,518 |
| Net power and machinery cost (p. 24) |  | 11,360 |
| TOTAL LABOR AND MACHINERY COST | \$ | \$22,878 |
| Labor cost: |  |  |
| per cow <br> per cut milk sold |  | $\$ 177$ |
| per |  |  |
| Labor and machinery cost: |  |  |
| per cow | \$ | \$352 |
| per cwt. milk sold | $\$$ | \$2.78 |

* Values at $\$ 5,400$ per operator - some farms had more than one operator

Labor and machinery cost per cow appears to have an effect on labor income (table 28). As the labor and machinery cost per cow decreased the labor income tended to increase.

Table 28. LABOR AND MACHINERY COST PER COW AND LABOR INCOME 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Labor \& machinery | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cost per cow | of farms | of farms |


| $\$ 500$ and over | 32 | 6 | $\$ 3,191$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $450-499$ | 39 | 8 | 4,648 |
| $400-449$ | 79 | 16 | 5,488 |
| $350-399$ | 106 | 21 | 9,285 |
| $300-349$ | 147 | 29 | 8,555 |
| $250-299$ | 84 | 16 | 11,078 |
| Less than $\$ 250$ | 22 | 4 | 10,653 |

## Miscellaneous Cost Control Measures

Cost control applies to all expenditures both large and small. Reducing various cost items to a per cow or per acre basis provides cost control measures which are easy to understand and they can be used for analyzing farms of various sizes. These factors are influenced by a number of things so must be used with that in mind.

Table 29.
COST CONTROL MEASURES
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Average of 509 farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overhead |  |  |
| Land and building repair per cow | $\$$ | \$ 17 |
| Taxes per cow |  | 22 |
| Insurance per cow |  | 13 |
| Electricity per cow |  | 12 |
| Machinery |  |  |
| Machinery depreciation per cow | \$ | \$ 63 |
| Machinery repair per cow |  | 35 |
| Gas and oil per cow |  | 21 |
| Net machinery cost per cow |  | 175 |
| Dairy |  |  |
| Veterinary and medicine per cow | \$ | \$ 13 |
| Breeding fees per cow |  | 9 |
| Other livestock expense per cow |  | 29 |
| Crop |  |  |
| Fertilizer and lime per crop acre | \$ | \$ 13 |
| Seeds and plants per crop acre |  | 3 |
| Other crop expense per crop acre |  | 3 |
| Gas and oil per crop acre |  | 8 |
| General |  |  |
| Total labor per cow* | \$ | \$177 |
| Total feed and crop expense per cow |  | 242 |
| Total expenses per cow |  | 735 |
| Total expenses per \$100 receipts |  | 72 |

[^1]Individual factors have been examined in the analysis up to this point. It has been suggested that these factors are interrelated. In this section, the combination of factors is studied. The factors used here are size, rates of production, labor efficiency, and cost control as measured by number of cows, pounds of milk sold per cow, pounds of milk sold per man, and percent purchased feed was of milk receipts.

For each factor, the farms were divided on the basis of whether they were above or below the average for the 509 farms. They were then grouped on the basis of the number of factors better than average. The combination of factors above or below average within the three middle groups varied.

Table 30. COMBINATION OF FACTORS ABOVE AVERAGE* AND LABOR INCOME 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Number of factors <br> above average | Number <br> of farms | Percent <br> of farms | Labor income <br> per operator |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 factors better than average | 50 | 10 | $\$ 19,181$ |
| 3 factors better than average | 114 | 22 | 10,936 |
| 2 factors better than average | 137 | 27 | 7,466 |
| 1 factor better than average | 142 | 28 | 5,607 |
| 0 factors better than average | 66 | 13 | 3,682 |

Factors were:
Size - number of cows - average 65
Rates of production - pounds of milk sold per cow - average 12,600 Labor efficiency - pounds of milk sold per man - average 373,700 Cost control - percent purchased feed was of milk receipts - average $25 \%$

The relationship between the number of factors better than average and labor income is shown in table 30. As the number of factors better than average decreased, labor incomes decreased at a rapid rate. In order to get a labor income higher than good hired men's wages, it appears that a business must be above average in at least two factors.

It is important in managing a farm business to give attention to all major factors affecting the business. Concentrating on only one or two factors and neglecting the others, will not give the kind of net income most farmers want.

## Comparison by Herd Size

In making an analysis of an individual farm business, it is helpful to compare it with businesses of approximately the same size. On the following four pages, the business summary and business factors for the 509 farms are shown for six herd size groups. These data also illustrate the effect of size on various business factors.

| Item | My farm | Farms with: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than 40 cows | 40 to 54 cows | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { to } \\ & 69 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ |
| Capital Investment (end of year) |  |  |  |  |
| Machinery and equipment | \$ | \$16,381 | \$22,816 | \$ 28,714 |
| Livestock |  | 16,116 | 23,298 | 30,099 |
| Feed and supplies |  | 3,952 | 5,756 | 8,340 |
| Land and buildings |  | 38,755 | 47,535 | 60,808 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT | \$ | \$75,204 | \$99,405 | \$127,961 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$ | \$23,747 | \$34,995 | \$ 46,419 |
| Livestock sold |  | 2,376 | 3,675 | 4,454 |
| Crop sales |  | 203 | 337 | 233 |
| Miscellaneous receipts |  | 862 | 993 | 1,493 |
| Total Cash Receipts | \$ | \$27,188 | \$40,000 | \$52,599 |
| Increase in inventory |  | 3,894 | 8,213 | 7,706 |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPTS | \$ | \$31,082 | \$48,213 | \$ 60,305 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Hired labor | \$ | \$ 778 | \$ 1,903 | \$ 3,206 |
| Dairy feed |  | 6,050 | 9,022 | 11,797 |
| Other feed |  | 337 | 239 | 441 |
| Machine hire |  | 129 | 213 | 329 |
| Machinery repair |  | 973 | 1,480 | 1,896 |
| Auto expense (farm share) |  | 220 | 254 | 235 |
| Gas and oil |  | 738 | 987 | 1,291 |
| Breeding fees |  | 278 | 431 | 590 |
| Veterinary and medicine |  | 374 | 595 | 770 |
| Other livestock expense |  | 1,097 | 1,506 | 2,383 |
| Lime and fertilizer |  | 774 | 1,234 | 1,941 |
| Seeds and plants |  | 260 | 374 | 571 |
| Spray and other crop expense |  | 202 | 413 | 534 |
| Land, bldg., fence repair |  | 615 | 828 | 1,033 |
| Taxes and insurance |  | 1,235 | 1,646 | 1,934 |
| Electricity \& phone (farm share) |  | 539 | 704 | 878 |
| Miscellaneous expenses |  | 494 | 790 | 1,049 |
| Total Cash Operating Expenses | \$ | \$15,093 | \$22,619 | \$ 30,878 |
| New machinery |  | 3,542 | 5,302 | 6,367 |
| New real estate |  | 1,213 | 3,724 | 3,212 |
| Purchased livestock |  | 832 | 1,680 | 1,562 |
| Unpaid family labor |  | 688 | 860 | 752 |
| TOTAL FARM EXPENSES | \$ | \$21,368 | \$34,185 | \$ 42,771 |
| Financial Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Total Farm Receipts | \$ | \$31,082 | \$48,213 | \$ 60,305 |
| Total Farm Expenses |  | 21,368 | 34,185 | 42,771 |
| Farm Income | \$ | \$9,714 | \$14,028 | \$ 17,534 |
| Interest on av. capital at $7 \%$ |  | 5,128 | 6,671 | 8,688 |
| Labor Income per Farm | \$ | \$4,586 | \$ 7,357 | \$ 8,846 |
| Number of operators |  | 101 | 165 | 109 |
| LABOR INCOME PER OPERATOR | \$ | \$ 4,449 | \$ 6,688 | \$ 7,386 |

Table 31 contd. FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY BY HERD SIZE
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

|  |  |  | Farms wit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | My farm | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 70 \text { to } \\ & 84 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \text { to } \\ & 99 \text { cows } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \text { or } \\ & \text { more cows } \end{aligned}$ |
| Capital Investment (end of year) |  |  |  |  |
| Machinery and equipment | \$ | \$ 33,633 | \$ 39,120 | \$ 50,445 |
| Livestock |  | 38,911 | 47,907 | 61,144 |
| Feed and supplies |  | 10,432 | 14,663 | 21,301 |
| Land and buildings |  | 79,060 | 88,669 | 128,902 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT | \$ | \$162,036 | \$190,359 | \$261,792 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$ | \$ 58,609 | \$ 74,784 | \$101,896 |
| Livestock sold |  | 6,545 | 8,379 | 9,859 |
| Crop sales |  | 612 | 595 | 944 |
| Miscellaneous receipts |  | 1,504 | 1,704 | 2,241 |
| Total Cash Receipts | \$ | \$67,270 | \$85,462 | \$114,940 |
| Increase in inventory |  | 10,524 | 13,208 | 18,497 |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPIS | \$ | \$ 77, 794 | \$ 98,670 | \$133,437 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Hired labor | \$ | \$ 5,321 | \$ 8,971 | \$ 12,772 |
| Dairy feed |  | 15,378 | 18,269 | 23,605 |
| Other feed |  | 370 | 408 | 461 |
| Machine hire |  | 276 | 304 | 611 |
| Machinery repair |  | 2,643 | 3,484 | 5,180 |
| Auto expense (farm share) |  | 222 | 287 | 263 |
| Gas and oil |  | 1,555 | 1,768 | 2,805 |
| Breeding fees |  | 694 | 949 | 1,025 |
| Veterinary and medicine |  | 963 | 1,253 | 1,686 |
| Other livestock expense |  | 2,748 | 3,863 | 5,232 |
| Lime and fertilizer |  | 2,428 | 3,288 | 5,095 |
| Seeds and plants |  | 674 | 826 | 1,163 |
| Spray and other crop expense |  | 729 | 751 | 1,135 |
| Land, bldg., fence repair |  | 1,090 | 1,330 | 2,215 |
| Taxes and insurance |  | 2,895 | 3,227 | 4,593 |
| Electricity \& phone (farm share) |  | 1,141 | 1,312 | 1,748 |
| Miscellaneous expenses |  | 1,305 | 1,639 | 2,898 |
| Total Cash Operating Expenses | \$ | \$40,432 | \$51,929 | \$ 72,487 |
| New machinery |  | 7,632 | 8,179 | 11,120 |
| New real estate |  | 4,574 | 6,027 | 9,456 |
| Purchased livestock |  | 2,667 | 3,546 | 5,200 |
| Unpaid family labor |  | 676 | 816 | 816 |
| total farm expenses | \$ | \$ 55,981 | \$ 70,497 | \$ 99,079 |
| Financial Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Total Farm Receipts | \$ | \$ 77,794 | \$ 98,670 | \$133,437 |
| Total Farm Expenses |  | 55,981 | 70,497 | 99,079 |
| Farm Income | \$ | \$21,813 | \$28,173 | \$34,358 |
| Interest on av. capital at $7 \%$ |  | 10,974 | 12,863 | 17,678 |
| Labor Income per Farm | \$ | \$10,839 | \$ 15,310 | \$16,680 |
| Number of operators |  | 81 | 39 | 104 |
| LABOR INCOME PER OPERATOR |  | \$ 8,430 | \$ 12,562 | \$ 12,029 |

Table 32.
SELECTED BUSINESS FACTORS BY HERD SIZE
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Farms with: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than 40 cows | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \text { to } \\ & 54 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 69 \text { cows } \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of farms |  | 98 | 150 | 91 |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows |  | 32 | 46 | 61 |
| Pounds of milk sold |  | 394,300 | 581,100 | 767,300 |
| Crop acres |  | 87 | 125 | 154 |
| Man equivalent |  | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| Total work units |  | 350 | 501 | 644 |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sold per cow |  | 12,300 | 12,600 | 12,600 |
| Tons hay per acre |  | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| Tons corm silage per acre |  | 14 | 15 | 15 |
| Bushels of oats per acre |  | 64 | 64 | 62 |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Cows per man |  | 23 | 27 | 29 |
| Pounds milk sold per man |  | 281,600 | 341,800 | 365,400 |
| Work units per man |  | 250 | 295 | 307 |
| Crop acres per man |  | 62 | 74 | 73 |
| Feed Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Feed purchased per cow | \$ | \$189 | \$196 | \$193 |
| Crop expense per cow | \$ | \$39 | \$ $\$ 44$ | \$240 |
| Feed and crop expense per cow | \$ | \$228 | \$240 | \$243 |
| Feed cost per cwt. milk | \$ | \$1.53 | \$1.55 | \$1.54 |
| Feed and crop exp./cwt. milk | \$ | \$1.84 | \$2.40 | \$1.93 |
| \% Feed is of milk receipts | \% | 25\% | 26\% | 25\% |
| Hay equivalent per cow |  | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.6 |
| Crop acres per cow |  | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Fertilizer and lime/crop acre | \$ | \$9 | \$10 | \$13 |
| Machinery Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Total machinery costs | \$ | \$6,020 | \$8,237 | \$10,927 |
| Machinery cost per cow | \$ | \$188 | \$179 | \$171 |
| Machinery cost per man |  | \$4,300 | \$4,845 | \$5,203 |
| Machinery cost per cwt. milk | \$ | \$1.53 | \$1.42 | \$1.42 |
| Machinery cost per crop acre | \$ | \$69 | \$66 | \$71 |
| Capital Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Investment per man | \$ | \$53,717 | \$58,474 | \$60,934 |
| Investment per cow | \$ | \$2,350 | \$2,161 | \$2,098 |
| Investment per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$19 | \$17 | \$17 |
| Land and buildings per cow | \$ | \$1,211 | \$1,033 | \$997 |
| Machinery investment per cow | \$ | \$512 | \$496 | \$471 |
| Return on investment | \% | 5.7\% | 8.5\% | 8.9\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Price per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$6.02 | \$6.02 | \$6.05 |
| Acres hay and hay crop silage |  | 60 | 78 | 88 |
| Acres corm silage |  | 16 | 28 | 41 |

Table 32 contd. SELECTED BUSINESS FACTORS BY HERD SIZE
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Farms with: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 70 to 84 cows | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \text { to } \\ & 99 \text { cows } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \text { or } \\ & \text { more cows } \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of farms |  | 63 | 32 | 75 |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows |  | 76 | 92 | 129 |
| Pounds of milk sold |  | 962,100 | 1,235,800 | 1,636,100 |
| Crop acres |  | 195 | 228 | 311 |
| Man equivalent |  | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
| Total work units |  | 821 | 970 | 1,348 |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sold per cow |  | 12,700 | 13,400 | 12,700 |
| Tons hay per acre |  | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Tons corn silage per acre |  | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| Bushels oats per acre |  | 59 | 65 | 69 |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Cows per man |  | 30 | 31 | 36 |
| Pounds milk sold per man |  | 384,800 | 411,900 | 454,500 |
| Work units per man |  | 328 | 323 | 374 |
| Crop acres per man |  | 78 | 76 | 86 |
| Feed Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Feed purchased per cow | \$ | \$202 | \$199 | \$183 |
| Crop expense per cow | \$ | \$50 | \$55 | \$57 |
| Feed \& crop expense per cow | \$ | \$252 | \$254 | \$240 |
| Feed cost per cwt. milk | \$ | \$1.60 | \$1.48 | \$1.44 |
| Feed \& crop cost exp./cwt. milk | + | \$2.00 | \$1.89 | \$1.89 |
| $\%$ Feed is of milk receipts | \% | 26\% | 24\% | 23\% |
| Hay equivalent per cow |  | 8.1 | 7.4 | 6.9 |
| Crop acres per cow |  | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Fertilizer \& lime/crop acre | \$ | \$12 | \$14 | \$16 |
| Machinery Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Total machinery costs | \$ | \$12,929 | \$15,673 | \$21,958 |
| Machinery costs per cow | \$ | \$170 | \$170 | \$170 |
| Machinery cost per man | \$ | \$5,172 | \$5,224 | \$5,999 |
| Machinery cost per cwt. milk | \$ | \$1.34 | \$1.27 | \$1.32 |
| Machinery cost per crop acre | \$ | \$66 | \$69 | \$71 |
| Capital Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Investment per man | \$ | \$64,814 | \$63,453 | \$72,720 |
| Investment per cow | \$ | \$2,132 | \$2,069 | \$2,029 |
| Investment per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$17 | \$ 15 | \$16 |
| Land and building per cow | \$ | \$1,040 | \$964 | \$999 |
| Machinery investment per cow | \$ | \$442 | \$425 | \$391 |
| Return on investment | $\%$ | 9.4\% | 11.8\% | 10.6\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Price per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$6.09 | \$6.05 | \$6.23 |
| Acres hay and hay crop silage |  | 106 | 124 | 145 |
| Acres comn silage |  | 58 | 62 | 101 |

The chart on the next two pages is a tool for use in analyzing a dairy farm business. It is essentially a series of measuring sticks combined into one tool.

FARM BUSINESS CHART FOR FARM MANAGEMENT COOPERATORS
509 New York Dairy Farms*, 1970

| Size of Business |  |  | Rates of Production |  |  | Labor Efficiency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Man } \\ & \text { equiv- } \\ & \text { alent } \end{aligned}$ | No. of cows | Pounds milk sold | Pounds milk sold per cow | Tons hay per acre | Tons corn silage per acre | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cows } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { man } \end{gathered}$ | Pounds milk sold per man |
| 4.8 | 142 | 1,773,400 | 15,800 | 4.7 | 22 | 48 | 612,400 |
| 3.8 | 98 | 1,298,800 | 14,700 | 3.8 | 19 | 38 | 488,400 |
| 2.6 | 79 | 1,014,600 | 14,000 | 3.4 | 18 | 35 | 439,800 |
| 2.3 | 67 | 857,600 | 13,600 | 3.0 | 16 | 32 | 404,300 |
| 2.1 | 59 | 739,300 | 13,100 | 2.7 | 15 | 30 | 378,400 |
| 2.0 | 52 | 656,800 | 12,700 | 2.5 | 15 | 28 | 351,400 |
| 1.7 | 47 | 590,200 | 12,100 | 2.4 | 14 | 26 | 323,300 |
| 1.5 | 42 | 515,700 | 11,300 | 2.1 | 12 | 24 | 298,000 |
| 1.3 | 36 | 424,700 | 10,400 | 1.8 | 10 | 22 | 266,200 |
| 1.1 | 29 | 240,800 | 8,400 | 1.3 | 6 | 18 | 196,800 |

* These farms are considerably above the average for all farms in New York State. For example, the median number of cows for the 509 farms was 55 compared with 38 for all farms in the state.

The Farm Business Chart is a tool which can be used in analyzing a business to determine the strong and weak points. The chart shows how far the individual farm is above or below the midpoint of the 509 farms for each factor.

The figure at the top of each column is the average of the top 10 percent of the farms for that factor. For example, the figure 4.8 at the top of the column headed "Man equivalent" is the average man equivalent on the 10 percent of the farms with the most men. The other figures in each column are the average for the second 10 percent, third 10 percent, etc. The figure at the bottom of each column (1.1 for man equivalent) is the average for the 10 percent of the farms which ranked lowest in that factor.

Each column of the chart is independent of the others. The farms which are in the top 10 percent for one factor would not necessarily be the same farms which make up the top 10 percent for any other factor.

This chart is used in analyzing a particular dairy business by drawing a line through the figure in each column which shows where the farm being analyzed stands for that factor. This helps identify the strengths and weaknesses. Summarize these and list them at the bottom of the next page.

Farm Business Chart contd.
The cost control factors are ranked from low to high. For cost control factors, the lowest cost is not necessarily the most profitable. In some cases, the "best" might be somewhere near the average. Many things affect the level of these costs, and these items must be taken into account when analyzing the factors.

FARM BUSINESS CHART FOR FARM MANAGEMENT COOPERATORS
509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970
Cost Control

| Feed bought per cow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Feed is } \\ & \text { of milk } \\ & \text { receipts } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Machinery } \\ \text { cost } \\ \text { per cow } \end{gathered}$ | Labor and machinery cost per cow | Feed and crop expense per cwt. milk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 83 | 12\% | \$107 | \$248 | \$1. 13 |
| 125 | 17 | 129 | 285 | 1.47 |
| 148 | 20 | 142 | 307 | 1.62 |
| 169 | 22 | 152 | 326 | 1.74 |
| 185 | 24 | 164 | 342 | 1.84 |
| 202 | 26 | 179 | 362 | 1.95 |
| 218 | 28 | 192 | 385 | 2.07 |
| 233 | 31 | 208 | 411 | 2.20 |
| 254 | 33 | 230 | 445 | 2.34 |
| 306 | 38 | 294 | 527 | 2.74 |

Based on the analyzed results shown on the business chart, list below the strong and weak points of the business. Then identify the major problems.

STRONG POINTS:
WEAK POINTS:
$\qquad$
MAJOR PROBLEMS:

After identifying problems, consider alternative ways of solving each problem. Each alternative should be studied in detail. A budgeting form can be used for projecting the likely results of each alternative.

## SUPPIEMENTAL INFORMATION

## Cost of Producing Milk

The cost of producing milk can be calculated by using the total farm business summary if the operations have dairy as the only principal enterprise. The average cost per hundredweight of producing milk on the 509 farms and comparisons with earlier years is shown on page 35.

## Farms With Free Stall Barns

There has been much interest in free stall barns in recent years. Farms with free stall barns were identified for the 1970 cooperators. A total of 117 reported free stall facilities and were included in a special analysis. The business factors for the free stall farms have been compared with the other types. For the most part, "other" refers to conventional stanchion or tie-stall barns but some have various combinations such as a milking parlor with a stanchion barm.

The information reported on pages 36 and 37 may provide a basis for determining differences that can be expected if one goes to a free stall type of dairy housing.

## Trends

The manager of any business must keep abreast of current trends. This is essential if he is to keep his business in tune with the times. It is also important as one develops plans for the future.

Trends can be measured in different ways. One way is to compare similar business studies to observe changes that have occurred. On page 38 , selected farm business summary factors are given for 1960, 1965, 1969, and 1970.

## Operating Statements

Operating statements are common in business accounting. In farm accounting, business sumaries are prepared and business factors calculated. This is essentially an operating statement for the farm business. Operating statements based on the study of the 509 dairy farms for 1970 are presented on pages 39 and 40. Here the highlights of the year's operations are presented on one page.

The statement on page 40 is based on the average for all 509 farms. However, in making comparisons for establishing goals, one is often interested in what the "better" businesses accomplish. For this purpose, the 10 percent of the farms with the highest labor incomes were grouped together and an operating statement prepared (page 39).

Cost of Producing Milk
By adding an estimate of the value of the operator's labor and interest on the capital investment to the total farm expenses, the farm cost of producing milk can be calculated. The value of the operator's time for 1970 was estimated at $\$ 450$ per month. Receipts for items other than milk are credited against the total cost. This assumes that these items were produced at cost.

Table 33. AVERAGE FARM COST OF PRODUCING MILK 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average of } \\ 509 \text { Farms } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total farm expenses | \$ | \$47,795 |
| Interest at $7 \%$ on average capital |  | 9,278 |
| Value of operators ' labor* |  | 6,355 |
| Total Costs | \$ | \$63,428 |
| Total farm receipts | \$ | \$66,467 |
| Less milk sales |  | 50,154 |
| Other Income |  | 16,313 |
| Cost of Producing Milk (total costs less other income) | \$ | \$47,115 |
| Hundredweights of milk sold |  | 8,222 |
| Cost per cwt. of milk sold | \$ | \$5.73 |
| Average price received | \$ | \$6.10 |

* Figured at $\$ 5,400$ per operator (there were 599 operators on 509 farms)

The average cost of producing milk using the whole farm figures has been calculated for selected years and is shown below. The average price received is also reported.

COST OF PRODUCING MILK AND PRICES RECEIVED

| Year | Operator ${ }^{\prime}$ s <br> labor | Cwt. milk <br> sold | Cost <br> per cwt. | Av. price <br> received |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | $\$ 3,600$ | 3,274 | $\$ 4.76$ | $\$ 4.73$ |
| 1964 | 3,600 | 4,504 | 4.55 | 4.40 |
| 1968 | 5,400 | 7,152 | 4.98 | 5.52 |
| 1969 | 5,400 | 7,617 | $5.41 *$ | 5.80 |
| 1970 | 5,400 | 8,222 | $5.73^{*}$ | 6.10 |

[^2]
## Farms With Free Stall Barns

Free stall barns with milking parlors are a relatively new feature on New York dairy farms. Advantages in the use of labor have been pointed out for the new type facilities. Many dairymen have been interested in learming more about the results from operations with this type of housing.

A total of 117 of the 509 farms in the 1970 summary were reported to have free stall barns. These were separated out for analysis. The averages for the free stall operations have been compared with the nonfree stall or other types of housing (table 34).

Table 34. COMPARISON OF FARMS WITH FREE STALL BARNS AND ALL OTHERS 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Item | My farm | Farms with free stall barns | Farms with other types of barns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms |  | 117 | 392 |
| Size |  |  |  |
| Man equivalent |  | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| Number of cows |  | 94 | 56 |
| Lbs. milk sold |  | 1,200,000 | 710,000 |
| Milk Produced |  |  |  |
| Lbs. milk sold per cow |  | 12,760 | 12,670 |
| Lbs. milk sold per man |  | 428,000 | 355,000 |
| Capital Use |  |  |  |
| Land \& building value | \$ | \$95,300 | \$58,000 |
| Total inventory value | \$ | \$196,800 | \$119,200 |
| Land \& building per cow | \$ | \$1,010 | \$1,040 |
| Total inventory per cow | \$ | \$2,090 | \$2,130 |
| Total inventory per man | \$ | \$70,300 | \$59,600 |
| Total inventory per cwt. milk | \$ | \$16 | \$17 |
| Cost Factors |  |  |  |
| Total labor cost | \$ | \$15,300 | \$10,400 |
| Total machinery cost | \$ | \$16,200 | \$9,900 |
| Labor cost per cow | \$ | \$163 | \$186 |
| Machinery cost per cow | \$ | \$172 | \$177 |
| Labor \& machinery cost/cwt. milk | \$ | \$2.62 | \$2.86 |
| Financial Summary |  |  |  |
| Total farm receipts | \$ | \$100,645 | \$56,564 |
| Total farm expenses | \$ | \$74,649 | \$40,079 |
| Labor income per operator | \$ | \$11,078 | \$7,213 |
| Receipts per cow | \$ | \$1,070 | \$1,010 |
| Expense per cow | \$ | \$790 | \$720 |
| Labor income per cow | \$ | \$118 | \$129 |

The free stall operations had an average of 94 cows while the others had 56. The 117 farms sold an average of 1.2 million pounds of milk. In general, the free stall operations were larger and had higher labor incomes - \$11,000 versus $\$ 7,000$ (table 34).

Table 35. COMPARISON OF FARMS WITH FREE STALL AND OTHER TYPES OF BARNS BY HERD SIZE, 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| Herd | Number of Farms | Number of Men |  | Number of Cows |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| size | Free stall Other | Free stall | Other | Free stall | Other |
| Under 60 | $23 \quad 259$ | 1.9 | 1.6 | 50 | 42 |
| 60-79 | $29 \quad 77$ | 2.2 | 2.3 | 69 | 68 |
| 80-99 | 15 31 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 88 | 89 |
| 100-119 | $25 \quad 14$ | 3.2 | 3.6 | 108 | 108 |
| 120 \& over | 2511 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 154 | 147 |
| Herd | Lrand \& Bldg. /Cow | Lbs. Milk Sold/Cow |  | Lbs. Milk Sold/Man |  |
| size | Free stall Other | Free stall | Other | Free stall | Other |
| Under 60 | \$1,197 \$1,056 | 12,600 | 12,500 | 332,000 | 327,000 |
| 60-79 | 994990 | 12,500 | 12,600 | 391,000 | 374,000 |
| 80-99 | 950 1,054 | 13,300 | 13,400 | 451,000 | 398,000 |
| 100-119 | 971 1,039 | 12,700 | 13,700 | 428,000 | 410,000 |
| 120 \& over | 1,014 970 | 12,700 | 11,600 | 516,000 | 405,000 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Herd } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Labor Cost/Cow |  | Machinery Cost/Cow |  | Labor Income/Operator |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Free stall | Other | Free stall | Other | Free stall | Other |
| Under 60 | \$186 | \$195 | \$182 | \$180 | \$ 6,620 | \$6,020 |
| 60-79 | 166 | 172 | 189 | 172 | 8,420 | 7,780 |
| 80-99 | 163 | 167 | 175 | 167 | 11,580 | 11,660 |
| 100-119 | 167 | 187 | 174 | 196 | 13,260 | 9,990 |
| 120 \& over | 149 | 168 | 159 | 166 | 15,980 | 15,390 |

Since size is a major factor affecting incomes on dairy farms, the free stall operations were studied by size of herd (table 35). For comparable herd sizes, the free stall operations had fewer men and sold more pounds of milk per man. There appeared to be no significant difference in milk sold per cow between the free stall and others.

Total capital was larger on the free stall farms but the per cow investment for both land and buildings and total was slightly less for the free stall operations than the others. For all size groups, the labor cost per cow was less for the free stall operations. Machinery costs per cow were higher for the free stall farms with less than 100 cows but lower for those with over 100 cows. By size groups, the free stall operations had higher labor incomes but the difference was much smaller than that indicated by the overall averages.

Table 36.
SELECTED FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY FACTORS
New York Dairy Farms, Selected Years 1960-1970

| Item | Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1965 | 1969 | 1970 |
| Number of farms | 467 | 673 | 511 | 509 |
| Financial Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Average capital invested | \$47,426 | \$66,908 | \$116,525 | \$132,545 |
| Total farm receipts | \$20,075 | \$30,488 | \$59,662 | \$66,467 |
| Total farm expenses | \$14,768 | \$21,995 | \$42,293 | \$47,795 |
| Labor income per operator | \$3,317 | \$4,680 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9,879 \\ & (\$ 7,885) * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,200 \\ & (\$ 7,983) * \end{aligned}$ |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows | 35 | 44 | 60 | 65 |
| Pounds of milk sold | 333,900 | 523,900 | 761,700 | 822,200 |
| Crop acres | 96 | 123 | 156 | 168 |
| Man equivalent | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Total work units | 480 | 568 | 692 | 691 |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sold per cow | 9,540 | 11,900 | 12,700 | 12,600 |
| Tons hay per acre | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Tons corn silage per acre | 10 | 13 | 16 | 15 |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Cows per man | 21 | 24 | 29 | 30 |
| Pounds milk sold per man | 196,400 | 291,100 | 362,700 | 373,700 |
| Work units per man | 282 | 316 | 330 | 314 |
| Cost Control Factors |  |  |  |  |
| Machinery cost per cow | \$107 | \$116 | \$167 | \$175 |
| Machinery cost/cwt. milk | \$1.12 | \$.97 | \$1. 32 | \$1.38 |
| Feed bought per cow | \$124 | \$154 | \$180 | \$192 |
| Feed bought/cwt. milk | \$1.30 | \$1.29 | \$1.42 | \$1. 52 |
| Feed \& crop expense/cwt. milk | \$1.63 | \$1.60 | \$1. 68 | \$1.91 |
| \% Feed is of milk receipts | 28\% | 29\% | 24\% | 25\% |
| Capital Efficiency |  |  |  |  |
| Total investment per man | \$28,674 | \$38,250 | \$57,724 | \$62,385 |
| Total investment per cow | \$1,392 | \$1,560 | \$2,020 | \$2,112 |
| Machinery investment/cow | \$287 | \$335 | \$452 | \$447 |
| Total investment/cwt. milk | \$15 | \$13 | \$16 | \$17 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Price per cwt. milk sold | \$4.64 | \$4.41 | \$5.80 | \$6.10 |
| Acres hay \& hay crop silage | 78 | 81 | 85 | 119 |
| Acres corm silage | 15 | 20 | 42 | 49 |
| Total acres in crops/cow | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Lime \& fertilizer expense per crop acre | \$7 | \$9 | \$13 | \$13 |
| Farm income per cow | \$170 | \$193 | \$290 | \$287 |
| Labor income per cow | \$102 | \$106 | \$154 | \$145 |

Table 37.
FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY
Top 10 Percent of the Farms by Labor Income 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| CAPITAL INVESTMENT |  | RECEIPTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1/70 | 1/1/71 |  |  |
| Machinery \& equipment \$ 38,333 | \$ 41,972 | Milk sales \$ | \$ 86,998 |
| Livestock 47,360 | 51,226 | Livestock | 8,328 |
| Feed \& supplies 15,234 | 18,522 | Crop sales | 800 |
| Land \& buildings $\quad 79,863$ | 86,332 | Government payments | 298 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT \$180,790 | \$198,052 | Gas tax refund | 127 |
| Hokl Moshen \$1 | \$198,052 | Machine work | 59 |
|  |  | Machinery sold | 229 |
| EXPENSES |  | Work off farm | 101 |
|  |  | Miscellaneous | 1,091 |
| Labor |  | Total Cash Receipts $\$$ | \$ 98,031 |
| Hired | \$10,132 | Increase in inventory | 17,262 |
| Unpaid | 900 |  |  |
| Feed |  | TOTAL FARM RECEIPIS \$ | \$115,293 |
| Dairy concentrate | 18,297 |  |  |
| Hay and other | 321 | FINANCIAL SUMMARY |  |
| Power and Machinery |  |  |  |
| Machine hire 384 |  | Total Farm Receipts Total Farm Expenses |  |
| Machinery repair | 4,027 |  | \$115,293 |
| Auto expense | 251 | Total Farm Expenses | $\frac{77,519}{37771}$ |
| Gas and oil | 2,007 | Interest on av. capital @ $7 \%$ 13,259 |  |
| Electricity | 1,037 |  |  |
| Milk hauling | 806 | Number of operators LABOR INCOME/OPERATOR | - 52 |
| Livestock |  |  | $\$ 24,043$ |
| Veterinary, medicine | 1,390 |  |  |
| Other livestock expense | 3,381 | BUSINESS FACTORS |  |
| Crop |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer and lime | 3,901 |  |  |
| Seeds and plants | 955 | Man equivalent Number of cows | 2.9 104 |
| Spray and other Real Estate | 904 | Number of heifers Acres of hay | 104 70 |
| Real Estate |  |  | 117 |
| Land, building, fence repairTaxes | 1,465 | Acres of hay <br> Acres of corn silage | 117 |
|  | 2,059 | Acres of corn silage Acres of other crops | 77 68 |
| Insurance | 1,378 | Lbs. of milk sold | 436,900 |
| Rent | 1,300 |  | $1,436,900$ 13,800 |
| Capital Items |  |  | 13,800 |
| New machinery 9,527 |  | Tons hay/acre | 2.9 |
| Purchased livestock | 7,354 | Tons corn silage/acre Lbs. of milk sold/man | 495,500 |
| New real estate Other | 3,520 |  | 495,500 |
| Other |  | Cows per man |  |
| Telephone | 238 | \% Feed is of milk receipts <br> Feed \& crop expense/cwt, milk | k $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$1 } \\ \hline 67\end{array}$ |
| Miscellaneous | 1,079 | Lime \& fertilizer/crop acre | k $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$1. } \\ \\ \$ 15\end{array}$ |
| TOTAL FARM EXPENSES | \$77,519 | Machinery cost/cow | \$163 |
|  |  | Av. price/cwt, milk | \$6.05 |

Table 38.
FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY
Average of 509 New York Dairy Farms, 1970

| CAPITAL INVESTMENT |  | RECEIPTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1/70 | 1/1/71 |  |  |
| Machinery \& equipment \$ 26,799 | \$ 29,067 | Milk sales | \$50,154 |
| Livestock 29,959 | 32,187 | Livestock sold | 5,134 |
| Feed \& supplies 8,257 | 9,300 | Crop sales | 432 |
| Land \& buildings 62,827 | 66,694 | Government payments | 244 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT $\$ 127,842$FXPENSES | \$137,248 | Gas tax refund | 101 |
|  |  | Machine work | 92 |
|  |  | Machinery sold | 114 |
|  | EXPENSES |  | Work off farm | 79 |
|  |  |  | Miscellaneous | 711 |
| Labor |  | Total Cash Receipts | \$57,061 |
| Hired | \$ 4,388 | Increase in inventory | 9,406 |
| Unpaid | 775 | TOTAL FARM RECEIPTS | \$66,467 |
| Feed |  |  | \$66,467 |
| Dairy concentrate | 12,463 |  |  |
| Hay and other | 354 | FINANCIAL SUMMARY |  |
| Power and Machinery |  |  |  |
| Machine hire | 290 |  | \$66,467 |
| Machinery repair | 2,272 | Total Farm Expenses | $\$ 66,467$ 47,795 |
| Auto expense | $\begin{array}{r}243 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Farm Income | \$18,672 |
| Gas and oil | 1,381 769 | Interest on av. capital @ $7 \%$ | 9,278 |
| Milk hauling | 529 | Farm Labor Income | 9,394 |
| Livestock |  | Number of operators LABOR INCOME/OFERATOR | 599 |
| Breeding fees | 583 |  | \$ 7,983 |
| Veterinary, medicine | 832 |  |  |
| Other livestock expense | 1,906 | BUSINESS FACTORS |  |
| Crop |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer and lime | 2,117 |  | 2.2 |
| Seeds and plants | 569 | Man equivalent Number of cows | 2.2 |
| Spray and other | 561 | Number of heifers | 43 |
| Real Estate |  |  | 92 |
| Land, building, fence repair | 1,092 1,438 | Acres of hay | 92 49 |
| Insurance | 1,438 | Total acres of crops | 168 |
| Rent | 606 | Lbs. of milk sold | 822,200 |
| Capital Items |  | Lbs. milk sold/cow | 12,600 |
| New machinery | 6,480 | Tons hay/acre | 2.7 |
| Purchased livestock | 2,254 | Tons corn silage/acre | 15 |
| New real estate | 4,244 | Lbs. of milk sold/man | 373,700 |
| Other |  | Cows per man | 30 |
| Telephone |  | Feed \& crop expense/cwt. milk | 25 $\$ 1.91$ |
| Miscellaneous | 600 |  | \$1.91 |
| TOTAL FARM EXPENSES | \$47,795 | Machinery cost/cow | \$175 |
|  |  | Av. price/cwt. milk | \$6.10 |


[^0]:    Does not include insurance, housing, or value of labor used in operation or repair

[^1]:    * Using $\$ 5,400$ per year for operator's labor

[^2]:    * Used $7 \%$ interest charge (in previous years $5 \%$ was used)

