



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search  
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>  
[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

# ACTA CAROLUS ROBERTUS

Károly Róbert Főiskola tudományos közleményei  
Alapítva: 2011



---

3 (1)

ROOTS OF UNITY AND ADDITIVE REPRESENTATION FUNCTIONS

HORVÁTH GÁBOR

**Abstract**

Let  $A$  be an infinite, strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers, and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$R^*(n) = \left| \left\{ (k;l) \mid a_k + a_l = n, a_k \in A, a_l \in A, a_k \leq a_l \right\} \right|.$$

It is known (Horváth [2007]) that  $1 \leq R^*(n) \leq 2$  cannot hold from a point on. We will prove this result by using roots of unity, without integral.

**Keywords:** root of unity, sequence, additive representation function.

**Egységgyökök és additív reprezentáció függvények**

**Összefoglalás**

Legyen  $A$  nemnegatív egészeknek egy végtelen, szigorúan növekedő sorozata, és  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  esetén legyen

$$R^*(n) = \left| \left\{ (k;l) \mid a_k + a_l = n, a_k \in A, a_l \in A, a_k \leq a_l \right\} \right|.$$

Ismert (Horváth [2007]), hogy nem lehet valahonnan kezdve  $1 \leq R^*(n) \leq 2$ . Ezt az eredményt egységgyökök használatával, integrál nélkül fogjuk bizonyítani.

**Kulcsszavak:** egységgyök, sorozat, additív reprezentáció-függvény.

**1. Introduction**

If  $n$  is a positive integer, then a complex number  $z$  is called an  $n$  th root of unity if  $z^n = 1$ . A complex number is called a root of unity if it is an  $n$  th root of unity for some positive integer  $n$ . Let  $M$  be an arbitrary positive integer, and for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$e\left(\frac{k}{M}\right) = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{M}}, \quad (1)$$

which is an  $M$  th root of unity.

Furthermore, let  $A$  be an infinite, strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers, and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$R^*(n) = \left| \left\{ (k;l) \mid a_k + a_l = n, a_k \in A, a_l \in A, a_k \leq a_l \right\} \right|, \quad (2)$$

$$R(n) = \left| \left\{ (k;l) \mid a_k + a_l = n, a_k \in A, a_l \in A \right\} \right|, \quad (3)$$

which are called representation functions of the sequence  $A$ . It is shown (Horváth [2007]), that if  $d > 0$  is an integer, then

$$d \leq R^*(n) \leq d + \left[ \sqrt{2d} + \frac{1}{2} \right]$$

cannot hold for  $n > n_0$ . For  $d = 1$ , we get the following statement:

**THEOREM.** *It is impossible that*

$$1 \leq R^*(n) \leq 2 \text{ for } n \geq n_0. (4)$$

The proof of this result in [1] contains integrals, but now we will prove it by sums involving roots of unity instead of integrals.

## 2. A lemma

**LEMMA.** *If  $l \in \square$ , then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e\left(\frac{kl}{M}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } M \text{ is not a divisor of } l, \\ M & \text{if } M \mid l. \end{cases}$$

**Proof of the lemma.** If  $M$  is a divisor of  $l$ , then every term in the sum is equal to 1. If  $M$  is not a divisor of  $l$ , then by (1),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e\left(\frac{kl}{M}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e^{\frac{2\pi ikl}{M}} = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left( e^{\frac{2\pi il}{M}} \right)^k = \frac{1 - \left( e^{\frac{2\pi il}{M}} \right)^M}{1 - e^{\frac{2\pi il}{M}}} = \frac{1 - e^{2\pi il}}{1 - e^{\frac{2\pi il}{M}}} = 0.$$

## 3. Proof of the theorem

By indirect argument, let us suppose that (4) holds for some positive integer  $n_0$ . For  $|z| < 1$ , let

$$F(z) = \sum_{a \in A} z^a \tag{5}$$

be the generating function of the sequence  $A$ , then by (3),

$$F^2(z) = \left( \sum_{a_k \in A} z^{a_k} \right) \left( \sum_{a_l \in A} z^{a_l} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n) z^n. \tag{6}$$

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be “large” positive integers, and let

$$r = 1 - \frac{1}{N}. \tag{7}$$

For  $\lambda \in \square$ , let us consider the inequality

$$\left( \left| F^2(z) - \lambda \frac{1}{1-z} \right| - F(r^2) \right)^2 \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

Setting  $z = re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)$  in (8) for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$ , and adding these expressions, (in view of (5) and (8)) we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left( \left| \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^a e\left(\frac{ka}{M}\right) \right)^2 - \lambda \frac{1}{1-re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)} \right| - \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a} \right)^2 \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

By appropriating choice of  $M$ ,  $N$  and  $\lambda$ , we will deduce a contradiction from (9). Taking the square, (9) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left| \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^a e\left(\frac{ka}{M}\right) \right)^2 - \lambda \frac{1}{1-re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)} \right|^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left| \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^a e\left(\frac{ka}{M}\right) \right)^2 - \lambda \frac{1}{1-re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)} \right| \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a} \right)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{1-re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)} = \frac{1}{1-z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n e\left(\frac{kn}{M}\right),$$

therefore by (6) and (10),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda) r^n e\left(\frac{kn}{M}\right) \right|^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left| \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^a e\left(\frac{ka}{M}\right) \right)^2 - \lambda \frac{1}{1-re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)} \right| \\ & + M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n) r^{2n} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, by the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda) r^n e\left(\frac{kn}{M}\right) \right) \left( \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} (R(n') - \lambda) r^{n'} e\left(-\frac{kn'}{M}\right) \right) + M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n) r^{2n} \\ & \geq 2 \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left| \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^a e\left(\frac{ka}{M}\right) \right)^2 - \lambda \frac{1}{1-re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)} \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2 \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^a e \left( \frac{ka}{M} \right) \right) \left( \sum_{a' \in A} r^{a'} e \left( -\frac{ka'}{M} \right) \right) - 2 \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a} \right) |\lambda| \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{\left| 1 - re \left( \frac{k}{M} \right) \right|} \quad (11)$$

By changing the order of summations,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^a e \left( \frac{ka}{M} \right) \right) \left( \sum_{a' \in A} r^{a'} e \left( -\frac{ka'}{M} \right) \right) = \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{a' \in A} r^{a+a'} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e \left( \frac{k(a-a')}{M} \right), \quad (12)$$

where the most inner sum, by the lemma, is 0 or  $M$ , thus

$$\sum_{a \in A} \sum_{a' \in A} r^{a+a'} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e \left( \frac{k(a-a')}{M} \right) \geq M \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a}. \quad (13)$$

Furthermore (applying the lemma),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda) r^n e \left( \frac{kn}{M} \right) \right) \left( \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} (R(n') - \lambda) r^{n'} e \left( -\frac{kn'}{M} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda) (R(n') - \lambda) r^{n+n'} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e \left( \frac{k(n-n')}{M} \right) \\ &= M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda)^2 r^{2n} + M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n'=0 \\ n \neq n'}}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda) (R(n') - \lambda) r^{n+n'}. \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

By the indirect assumption, there exists a positive number  $c$  that  $R^*(n) \leq c$ , so  $R(n) \leq 2R^*(n) \leq 2c$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n'=0 \\ n \neq n'}}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda) (R(n') - \lambda) r^{n+n'} \leq M (2c + |\lambda|)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n'=0 \\ n \neq n'}}^{\infty} r^{n+n'} \\ &= 2M (2c + |\lambda|)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} r^{2n+tM} = 2M (2c + |\lambda|)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{2n} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (r^M)^t \\ &= 2M (2c + |\lambda|)^2 \frac{1}{1-r^2} r^M \frac{1}{1-r^M}. \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (11)-(15),

$$\begin{aligned} & M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda)^2 r^{2n} + 2M (2c + |\lambda|)^2 \frac{1}{1-r^2} \frac{r^M}{1-r^M} + M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n) r^{2n} \\ & \geq 2M \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a} \right)^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a} \right) |\lambda| \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{\left| 1 - re \left( \frac{k}{M} \right) \right|}. \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left|1 - re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)\right|^2 &= \left(1 - r \cos \frac{2\pi k}{M}\right)^2 + r^2 \left(\sin \frac{2\pi k}{M}\right)^2 \\
 &= (1-r)^2 + 2r \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi k}{M}\right) = (1-r)^2 + 4r \left(\sin \frac{\pi k}{M}\right)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sin \frac{\pi k}{M} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\pi k}{M} = \frac{2k}{M}$  for  $0 \leq \frac{\pi k}{M} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{\left|1 - re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)\right|} &\leq \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{\left|1 - re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)\right|} \leq 2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor} \frac{1}{\left|1 - re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)\right|} + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{\left|1 - re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)\right|} \right) \\
 &\leq 2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor} \frac{1}{1-r} + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r} \left|\sin \frac{\pi k}{M}\right|} \right) \leq 2 \left( \frac{1}{1-r} \left(\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1\right) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \frac{M}{2k} \right) \\
 &= 2 \left( \frac{1}{1-r} \left(\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1\right) + \frac{M}{4\sqrt{r}} \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{k} \right). \tag{17}
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since  $e < \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\lfloor x \rfloor > \frac{x}{2}$  for  $x \geq 1$ , so if  $M \geq N \geq 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{k} &= \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\ln e}{k} \leq \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\ln \left( \left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right)^k \right)}{k} = \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} (\ln k - \ln(k-1)) = \ln \left\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \right\rfloor - \ln \left\lfloor \frac{M}{N} \right\rfloor \leq \ln \frac{M}{2} - \ln \frac{M}{2N} = \ln N;
 \end{aligned}$$

and by (7),  $r \geq \frac{1}{2}$  for  $N \geq 2$ , thus in view of (7) and (17) for  $M \geq N \geq 2$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{\left|1 - re\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)\right|} \leq 2 \left( N \frac{2M}{N} + \frac{M\sqrt{2}}{4} \ln N \right) \leq C_2 M \ln N, \tag{18}$$

where  $C_2$  is a positive constant.

By (6), (7), (16) and (18),

$$M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda)^2 r^{2n} + 2M(2c + |\lambda|)^2 N \frac{r^M}{1-r^M} + M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n) r^{2n}$$

$$\geq 2M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n} - 2\sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n}} |\lambda| C_2 M \ln N,$$

that is,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda)^2 r^{2n} + 2(2c + |\lambda|)^2 N \frac{r^M}{1-r^M} \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n} - 2\sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n}} |\lambda| C_2 \ln N \quad (19)$$

If, for example,  $M = N^2$  then by (7),  $r^M = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^M \leq \left(e^{-\frac{1}{N}}\right)^M = e^{-N} \rightarrow 0$  as

$N \rightarrow \infty$ , and so  $\frac{r^M}{1-r^M} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, by (4) and (7),

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n} \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R^*(n)r^{2n} \geq \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} r^{2n} = r^{2n_0} \frac{1}{1-r^2} \geq \frac{1}{2} r^{2n_0} N \geq \frac{1}{3} N \quad (20)$$

for all sufficiently large  $N$ . Thus by (19) and (20),

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda)^2 r^{2n} \geq (1 - o(1)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n}, \quad (21)$$

where  $o(1)$  denotes a term which tends to 0 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be such a real number, for which  $(2 - \lambda)^2 < 2$  and  $(4 - \lambda)^2 < 4$  hold (that is,  $2 < \lambda < 2 + \sqrt{2}$ ). By (2) and (3),

$$R(n) = \begin{cases} 2R^*(n) - 1 & \text{if } \frac{n}{2} \in A, \\ 2R^*(n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Therefore, by (4), there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(\varepsilon < 1)$  and  $(R(n) - \lambda)^2 \leq R(n) - \varepsilon$

if  $n \geq n_0$  ( $n \in \square$ ) and  $\frac{n}{2} \notin A$ , and so by (4), (6), (7), (20) and (22),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \lambda)^2 r^{2n} &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{n_0-1} (R(n) - \lambda)^2 + \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} (R(n) - \varepsilon) r^{2n} + \sum_{a \in A} (R(2a) - \lambda)^2 r^{4a} \\ &\leq C_3 + \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n} - \varepsilon \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} r^{2n} + (2c + \lambda)^2 \sum_{a \in A} r^{2a} \\ &\leq C_3 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{n_0-1} R(n)r^{2n} + (2c + \lambda)^2 \sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n}} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + o(1)\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $C_3$  is a positive constant.

By (21) and (23), we get



$$(1 - o(1)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n} \leq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + o(1)\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)r^{2n},$$

i.e.,  $1 - o(1) \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + o(1)$ , and this contradiction proves the theorem.

### Reference

Horváth, G. (2007): On additive representation function of general sequences, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* 115 (1-2), 169-175.

### Author:

**Gábor Horváth, PhD**

Department of Mathematics, College of Dunaujváros  
college lecturer

Táncsics M. u. 1/A, H-2401, Dunaujváros, Hungary

[horvathg@mail.duf.hu](mailto:horvathg@mail.duf.hu)