

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



The magazine of food, farm, and resource issues 1st Quarter 2014 • 29(1)

A publication of the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association



Theme Overview: Economic and Policy Analysis of Advanced Biofuels

Gal Hochman

JEL Classifications: Q2, Q4, Q13, Q42, Q48 Keywords: Advanced Biofuels, Biomass, Cellulosic Ethanol, Renewable Fuel Standards

The existing federal biofuel policy—also known as the renewable fuels standard (RFS) as defined in the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007—is leading to a very intense political debate, whose outcomes and decisions today affect the future development of advanced biofuels. In an effort to better understand the sources of the intense debate and the implications of existing policy decisions, the current theme attempts to explain various benefits and costs associated with the expansion of advanced biofuels and their co-products.

Zilberman and his colleagues begin the discussion, arguing that biofuel policy is an outcome of political economic processes whereby macro-level aggregate considerations, as well as micro-level considerations, lead to a fuel policy whose major impacts are improved balance of trade, increased farm-level income, and higher commodity prices.

Miranowski then discusses the merits of technology forcing and the commercialization of advanced biofuels. He examines the associated costs and benefits of forcing technological change, while reviewing supply of cellulosic and CO2 reduction costs at different levels of cellulosic ethanol production under the RFS as announced by the Environmental Protection Agency in 2013.

Miao and Madhu examine the risks associated with the production of two promising advanced biofuel crops: miscanthus and switchgrass. The authors compare the two crops with those of conventional row crops such as corn and soybeans, and quantify the relative riskiness of biomass production.

Tyner and Petter identify aviation as a potentially lucrative market for advanced biofuels. The authors present

Articles in this Theme:

Political Economy of Biofuel

Technology Forcing and Associated Costs and Benefits of Cellulosic Ethanol

Are Bioenergy Crops Riskier than Corn? Implications for Biomass Price

The Potential for Aviation Biofuels—Technical, Economic, and Policy Analysis

Biofuels at a Crossroads

a comprehensive economic analysis of the conversion of corn stover to jet fuel using fast pyrolysis technology, and argue that risk is the primary factor currently inhibiting investment in aviation biofuels. The authors suggest policy options that might help attenuate the private sector risk and jump-start the industry.

Hochman ends this theme with an attempt to better understand the physical and economic hurdles that currently prevent commercialization of advanced biofuels. He concludes that energy production is but one of several profitable uses for biomass, and that the ultimate goal of any bioeconomy should be to make optimal use of its biomass feedstock.

Gal Hochman (gal.hochman@rutgers.edu) is an Associate Professor, Department of Agricultural, Food and Resource Economics, Rutgers University, New Jersey.

© 1999—2014 CHOICES. All rights reserved. Articles may be reproduced or electronically distributed as long as attribution to *Choices* and the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association is maintained. *Choices* subscriptions are free and can be obtained through http://www.choicesmagazine.org.

CHOICES 1st Quarter 2014 • 29(1)

AAEA-0314-463

This issue was organized by the Energy Issues Blue Ribbon Panel of the Council on Food, Agriculture, and Resource Economics (http://www.cfare.org/forms/blue-ribbon.php). Affiliated with the Agricultural and Applied Economics Association, C-FARE supports public and private decision making on agricultural, rural, environmental, food safety and related societal issues.