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## INTRODUCTION

Prospective postwar adjustments emphasize a long-existing need for additional information relating to marketing and manufacturing margins for tobacco and its products. Such information is needed as a basis tor determining appropriate relative prices at different stages of the marketing and manufacturing procedure, and for making adjustments in margins, which may be necessary in dealing with problems of price control. The significance of such information is further emphasized by the appointment by both the Senate and House of Representatives in the second session of the 78th Congress of committees to investigate marketing margins and costs for agricultaral products.

Information relating to marketing and manufacturing margins or costs is of even greater long-time importance, as it is basic to the most effective treatment of the problems of increasing the efficiency

[^0]and of reducing the costs of the services involved. Thers margins or costs for tobacco and its products influence returns to growers, on the one hand, and cost to consumers, on the other. The relative importance of these costs is indicated by the fact that on the average during the 10 years 1934-43 returns to growers for the leaf tobacco used accounted for less than one-eighth; marketing and manufacturing margins, exclusive of excise taxes, accounted for more than one-half; and Federal and State excise taxes accounted for about 36 percent of the prise paid by the consumer for the finished tobacco products.

The margins or spread between prices to growers for leaf tobacco and prices paid by consumers for the finished tobacco products usually cover costs of rendering such services as assembling, selling, storing, financing, manufacturing, transporting, wholesaling, and retailing, as well as Federal, State and local excise taxes. Detailed data are presented in this report to show the margins or costs for the various groups of services and the items of costs included. These data along with other information are used as a basis for indicating the means by whici, and the extent to which, it would be feasible to increase the efficiency and to reduce the costs of rendering these services, and the relative importance of such reductions.

## MARKETING CHANNELS AND DIVISION OF CONSUMER'S DOLLAR

Most of the leaf tobacco that enters marketing channels in the United States is produced in this country, but some foreign-grown tobacco, used mainly in the manufacture of cigarettes and cigars, is imported. Most of the tobacco produced is used by domestic manufacturers, but considerable proportions are exported as leaf tobacco or as semiprocessed tobacco. Exports of manufactured tobacco products are relatively small in peacetime, inasmuch ast all of the chief tobacco-consuming countries have their own manufacturing establisliments, many of which are operated as state monopolies for revenue purposes.

## Marketing Channels

Taking leaf tobacco from farms and delivering the finished tobacco products to altimate consumers involves the services of many types of middlemen. These services begin with the hauling of tobacco from the farm to warehouses, factories, or packing houses or with the sale of tobacco on farms. More than 90 percent of the crop grown in the United States is delivered by the growers to warehouses where it is sold at auction. Most of the cigar tobacco and a small proportion of the fire-cured tobacco are sold on farms and delivered to factories, or to packing houses of the purchasers (2). ${ }^{3}$
Most of the leaf tobacco sold at auction is purchased by tobacco manufacturing companies or their subsidiaries, but considerable proportions are bought by denlers, brokers, commission merchants, and others. Immediately after the tobacco is sold at auction, it is removed from the auction floor and prepared for storage (Z). Most

[^1]of it is then packed in hogsheads and put in storage. Cigar tobacco, as a rule, is fermented by the process of bulk sweating and is taken directly from the curing barn to a building especially constructed for this purpose. After the fermentat on is completed the tobacco is resorted, sized, retied, and packed in bales. Then it is stored for a month or more before it is ready for sale or for other disposition (2). Most of the tobacco exported is purchased by foreign buyers or their representatives directly from growers on auction floors and handled in much the same way as that used by domestic manufacturers. Then it is packed for shipment abroad where it is stored.

The aging of tobacco before it is manufactured and the practice by manufacturers of keeping enough leaf tobacco on hand to protect themselves against crop failures or excessive prices for any individual type, result in the accumulation of considerable stocks. On January 1 , 1930, for example, stocks of leaf tobacco owned by dealers and mamufncturers in the United States totaled about 2,604 million pounds (17). Taking into account the losses in weight from drying, cleaning, handling, fermenting, and stemming, these stocks were substantially greater than the unusually large domestic crop in 1939, and were more than twice as much as was consumed by domestic monufacturers that year.
During the 5 years 1935-39, production of all types of tobacco in this comntry averaged about 1,460 million pounds (farm-sales weight) amually, and imports of foreign-grown tobacco averaged 76 million pounds on a declared-weight basis. These data on production and on imports are not strictly comparable but it would appear that of the total additions to the supply of leaf tobacco handled in the United States during this $\overline{5}$-year period, about 95 percent came from farms in this country and about $\overline{5}$ percent was imported (fig. 1).
Exports of tobacco from the Cnited States, during the same 5 years averaged about 39 percent of the leaf tobacco produced in this country during this period. Following the outbreak of the war in 1030, exports were greatly reduced. Ot the total additions to the supply of leaf tobaceo entering marketing chameis in the raited states chring this 5 -year period. including imports and that produced in this country, about 30 perent was exported and the remaining in percent was consumed by comestic manufacturers or retained here as stocks.

Data showing the uses made of leaf tobacco by domestic manufacturers, based on annual reports of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, indicate that during the 5 years $19350-39$ about 55 percen of the total went into the maufacture of cigarettes, 14 percent into cigars, and 31 percent ints chewing tobacco. smoking tobacco and snuff. When applied to the for percent of the total additions to the supply of lenf tobncco that was retained for domestic use these percentages show that, disregarding changes in size of stocks over a period of yars, the proportion of the total disappenance accounted for by these products averaged about 38 percent for cigarettes, 10 percent for cigurs and 22 pereent for manufactured tobaco (fig. 1).
In the preparation of leaf tobacco for manufacture there is considerable loss of weight. particularly through drying and in the removal of stems. This process. together with manufacturing, re-
sults in some waste in the form of scrap tobacco, cuttings, and siftings. These stems and other waste products, along with low-grade leat tobacco, are used in the manufacture of tobacco byproducts, principally nicotine, tobacco extracts, and fertilizers (16). In 1939, according to census reports, the value of these byproducts at the tobacco manufacturers' plants averaged less than 0.2 percent of the value of the tobaces products.

big. 1.-Approximate distribution of tobace in the United States, 1935-39. .
Most of the additions to the supply of tohacco in the United States is poudacen in this country but about is percent is fmported. fhring che 5 years $193 \mathrm{in}-39$, about 30 percent of this tohaceo whs exporten and the remaining 70 percent was retained for domestic use. Abont in percent of the leaf tolnace used ly domestic manufacturess went into cisirettes, 74 perceut finto cigars, and 31 percent into chewing tobaceo, smoking tobace, ind snuff.

Consumption of matevials other than leaf tobatco by tobacco manufacturers includes angar, licorice, sirup, mohasses, and cigarette paper. The costs of these additional materials in 1939 totaled about 14 million dollars, or about is percent of the costs of the leaf tobacco used, according to census reports. In addition. costs to manufacturers of other supplies and contaners anounted to more than onefifth of the costs of the leat tobacco.

Most of the tobacco products go direetly or indirectiy from manufacturers to wholesalers and jobbers, then to retailers. In 1930, according to census reports, products valued at almost 7 percent of the total were distributed from manafacturers directly to retailers, including chains. Small proportions were sold by manufacturers to consumers at retail and to exporters.

## Division of Consumer's Dollar

Prices paid by consumers for tobacco products are largely accounted for by costs of marketing, manufacturing, and other services and by Tederal and State excise taxes. Returns to growers for the leaf tobacco used account for only a relatively small proportion. Data relating to the arerare retail value per unit of tobacco products and to the average value of the leaf tobacco used per unit of finished products show that, daring the is years 1926-43, returns to growers for the United States tobacco used averaged about 9 percent and costs to manufacturers of the foreign-grown tobacco used averaged about 4 percent of the retail value of the finished products. The combined amounts of the returns to growers for the United States tobacco used and the costs to manufacturers of the foreign tobacco averaged about 18 percent of the retail prices of the finished products during this 18-year period and 15 percent in 1930. The combined proportions varied directly with prices of leaf tobacco and ranged from less than 9 percent in 193 to almost in percent in 1920 (fig. 2) (15).4

[^2]

Fis. 2.-Average retail value of tobacco products obtatiabie from a pound of toblece, wornge value of the tobleco used, and matrins, E'nited Siates, 1926-43.
Retail values of tolnceo products are magely acomuted for by marketing and manufacturing margits and by Federal mad sitate excise taxes. buring the 18 years $7926-4$ costs of the leaf tobaces used averaged ahout 13 percent of the retall walue of the products and ranged from amost $1(6$ percent in 1022 ) to less than 10 percent in 193.5 . Duting this period Federal and state exeree taxes have increased. Mlarketing and manufacturins mations ant costs af dmported tobacco decreased.

Federal and State excise taxes are noteworthy items in the costs of tobacco produets. The proportions of the consumer's dollar paid for linished tobacen produts that were accomoted for by these faves averaged about $3 t$ percent during the 18 years $1926-43$, and the proportions by years ranged from 27.5 percent in 1926 to 38 percent in 10.4. In recent years a few cities have levied excise taxes on tobacco products, mostly on cigarettes, but the proportion of the consumer's dollar, for the country as a whole, accounted for by these local taxes is relatively small.

Marketing and manfacturing margins. including local excise taxes, but not including Federal and State excise taxes. accounted for about 53 percent of the consumer's dollar during the is years 1026-43, and the proportions by jents decreased fiom almost 55 percent in 1926 to less than 50 percent in 1039, 100, and 1943. The size of these margins emplasizes the clesirability of a break-down to show the items ineluded and their relative importance. listimates. based on efficial data and on other information. were made to show the average distribution of the consumer's dollar paid for tobacco prodncts in 1939, the last "normal" prewar year. Datia arailable for this purpose are not complete. In some instances they are not strictly comparable. Consecuently, some liberties were taleen in approximating the distribution of the consumer's dollat on this basis. Furthermore, the estimated margins were aldusted to approsimate the fam-ro-retail price spreads for tobacco products. as calculated by the Bureau of Agricultural Economics.
Estimates were made to show the averate distribution of the consumer's dollar for all tobacco products combined. on the basis of the agency making the conversions or rendering the services. The results show that, on the average. in 1939. about 11.6 pereme of the consumers dollar went to tobace growers in the T'nited states for firm production. 3.6 percent for tobacco imported. 1.2 percent to realers in leaf tobacco, 25.2 percent to manufacturers. 36 percent for Federal and State excise tases. $\pm$ percent to wholesalers of tobace products, and 18.4 percent to vetalers (fig. 3).
Information relating to specific items of costs is not complete and in some instances the data for the varions agencies are not comparable, but rough approximations based on siech data as are available indicate that Feleral and State excise taxes atcounted for about 3s pereent of the yetail price for all tobace products combined ( lig. 3). Salaries and wages, except farm, accounted on the average for abont 17.8 percent of the vetail price; cosis of atdertising, 4.2 percent: operation profits for all afrencies. except farmers, 10.3 percent; and other expenses of manufacturing and dist ributing the produets averaged aboui 16.5 percent of the retail price. The relative importance of these irems is indieated by the fict that Federal and Stato excise taxes were more than 3 times as great. and salaries and wages for manufacturing and distributing the prodncts amonnted to about one amd one-half times as much. as the returns to arowers in the United States for the tmerican-grown inbaceo used. The combined operating profits for all acrencies, except farmers, nomounted to ammost 90 perest. and costs of advertising amomed to about a third of the returns to growers in the TVited States for farm production of the Ameriean-grown tobacen lased.
The approximate properions of the comsimers dollar that went to growers in the thited states for the dinerioun-grown tobaceo need, as shown for 1939, was bused on the arerage retail price of the products in 1939. on the simple average of farm prices of Americangrown tobseco in 1086 and 1987, and on costs of foreign-grown tobaceo in 1939. Farm prices of tolaceo in 1036 and in $193 \%$ wepe much ligher than in 1939. If farm prices in 1930 had been used in these calculations instead of the average of 1036 and 1037 , the proportion


Fig. 3.- Estimates of the distribution of the consumer's dollar paid for tolacco products inclicate that in 1939 about 20.4 cents went to wholesalers and retallers, 36 cents for Feleral and State exclse taxes, 20.4 cents went to manufacturers and dealers in leaf tobacco, and 15.2 cents went for leat tobaco. Salarles and wages, other than farm, becounted for about 18 cents; profits, other than farm, 10.3 cents; advertising, 4.2 cents; imported tobucco, 3.6 cents; and returns to farmers in the United States, 11.0 cents.
of the retail prices of the products in 1939 accounted for by returns to growers in the United States for the American-grown tobacco used would have averaged about 8.8 percent and mamfacturers' margins would have averaged about 28 percent. Costs of tobacco as reported by manufacturers usually represent the average inventory value of the tobacco accumulated over a period of years.

These data supply a basis for indicating the relative importance of bringing about both increased efficiency and reductions in the costs for the various agencies and functions involved. According to these data the margins for manufacturing tobacco products, exclusive of excise taxes, or for distributing them through wholesale and retail channels each averaged more than twice the returns to growers in the United States for the American-grown tobscco used. But such differences may not reflect accurately the relative opportunities for making savings in costs that could be passed back to growers or on to consumers of the tobacco products. Some indications of the extent to which it would be feasible to reduce these margins may be obtained from an examination of detailed information for the various agencies involved. Such information is presented in this report in about the order in
which the services are rendered, beginning with the marketing of leaf tobacco.

## Marketing marglivs for leaf tobacco

These margins inclade the charges made for taking leaf tobacco from farms or from local markets and delivering it to mamufacturers. But before it is offered in the marisets, the tobacco is cured on the farm in bauns especinlly constructed for use with or without facilities for heating, depending upon the type of tobacco-whether flue-cured, fire-cured, or air-cured. The purposes of this coring on the farm are (1) to reduce the moisture content of the leaf, and (2) to obtain the desired color, texture, and aroma through chemical action which takes place during the procesis.

## Usual Practices

Growers sell leat tobacco through the loose-leaf auction masket, in the hogshead market, at the firm, or through cooperative marketing associations. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ The loose-leaf atuction is the prevailing system of marketing tobacco in all cobacco-growing territory, except in the cigar-lent districts. At least 90 percent of all the tobacco produced in the United States is sold by this method. The sales are matde at especially constructed warehouses where growers may deliver their tobaceo and have it auctioned off to the highest bidder. The bidders include those employed by manufacturers, those employed by independent leaf dealers, and small spectlators who buy and resell within relatively short periods.

After the tobacco is cured on the farm it is sorted according to quality and tied into hands containing from 5 to 20 leaves, in preparation for the auction market. These hands are delivered in wagons or trucks by the grower to the warehouse where the tobacco is weighed, labeled, and placed in baskets on the warehouse floor. The baskets are arranged in long rows with each grower's baskets together and with space between the rows for buyers to walk. A ticket is placed on each basket showing the owner's name. the number of pounds contained, and the consecutive number given to the basket. In markets where the tobacco is federally graded. the official grade of the lot is also shown. The tobace is then ready for sale.

Sales are made by baskets as the auctioneer goes down the side of the row. A representative of the warchouse usually precedes the auctioneer and indicates a starting lid for each basket. The buyers frequently draw out hands of tobacco from the basket and inspect them as a basis for their bidding. Sales are made at very rapid rates, ranging in nomal times from 900 lots an hour in the fire-cured districts to 500 lots an hour in the flue-cured and burley districts. After a basket is auctioned off, the grower has a limited time within which to accept or reject the bicl. A rejection is indicated by placing the ticket out of sight or by tearing of the price recorded. The tobacco for which the bid is rejected is offered for sale at a later date. If the bid is accepted, the sale is booked and the grower receives : check from the warehouse for the amount of the sale minus warehouse charges.

[^3]Immediately after the sale, the buyer removes the tobacco from the warehouse to packing houses or redrying plants where it is redriett, sorted, and packed in hogsheads for shipment or storage. A large percentage of the tobacco is bought by manufacturers and, after being processed and packed in their plants. usually is shipped to their priyate warehouses tor storare. Dobaceo purchased for export usually js conditioned in this country and shipped abrond for stomge. 'That bought by independent buyers generaly is conditioned and stored in hogsheads in public storage warehouses for resule att a later date, on sample. Other buyers include denters who sell to manufactures or exporters. Some of these dealers exury on somu redrying operations and some resell the tobacco in (he same condition in which it was bought.

Ihefore the development of loose-teaf salos, it was customaty for traders and growers in sone areas to pack their tobaceo in hogsheads tor convenience in shipping or hanling. But the only hogsheart market in operation in recent years is the closed-bid ancion at Baldimore. Ma. Tobaceo for this manket is packed in a cask or hogshead. the dimensions of which are tpproximately thed incs in diameter and $5 t$ inches in lengih. ["sually the tobneco is sorted or graded before or as it is hand-pateded in he hogshead. The hands are armaged so that the butss extend toward the outside of the eask and the fobsereo is compressed into the hogshead by means of a prize.

Atter the tobaceo is packed it is shipped to market under consignment fo a beoker or to some cooperative shippiner association. la either case the hogsheads are sampled by state inspectors and the samples are sealed and tumed over to the consignee lor display at his plate of business. "The buyens make the rounds of these brokerue oflices and submit sealed biels on the tobneeo that suits them. 'Tloe biels are opened at the close of the day and the sales are amomued.

In most of the cipatreaf tobace distriets, the sale of the leat: tobaceo is made at the fatm. The sales contracts my be made daring the growing sensom or the sale may ake phere after the tobateo is comed or while it is in the process of coring. 'The buyers inelute independent packers and pigar manufactures who ride over the fobnecomowing distrets periodically dumg the growing season to obtain information on shares in armare the lomation of clesimble erops, and the progress of these crouss. Parehases oll bobacco in the burn may be at a price for all grades ablike or on the lasis of grades, Sone of
 phated in the hands of packers for sorting, sweating, and pmeking before it is zold he grower.

Some tolmero is wold throtgh rooperative mateling associations, mose of which amploy the facilities of the ancton-market systen. Csually these associations me finmoed from (bovermment soures, This fobater is insepected by oflicial araters of the United States Depatment of dariculture, and the appopriate arade symbol is entered on the basket or lot tieket bedore it is oflered for public sate on the anction floor. Lots that fail to sell at prescribed minimum prices necoming to grade are retained by the association for disposition later at private sales.

## Curmges on Costs

Jata a wailable on margins or costs for marketing leaf tobacon are conlined chiefly to thes charged by wathonsmen for their services in selling the tobacos in loose-leat auction markets. Most of the hatuling of tobaceo from fams to these matkets is done by growers or by local truckers. Little information as to costs of this transportation is arailable. Nost of the ciqur-leaf tobaceo is rold at the farm, and the costs of buying, transporing. processing, and handling are included in the manaticturers mamens. (only a relatively smatl (puntity of tobace is sold in the hogilieal market. 'Jhe costs of marketing this tobacco in Maryland in $19: 3$ were reported as 30 cents per fou pounds for latuling. ig cents for casks, ti cents for velling, 1 cent for all othee costs; and sin.0.t for all costs combined (f). In the $19+4$ season and for several cartice years, the sales commission at the Saltimore market was $\$ 3.00$ per hogrheat. (Ifogsheads range in weight trom 400 to sum pounds net and arerage about (iom pounds.) In watdition to the selling commission, which is paid by the grower, in fee of $\$ 3.00$ per hogshead. which constitutes a payment for inspection and storage, is paid by the purchaser when the tobaceo is withdrawn.
Datal relating to warchouse charges on anction sales in effect during the $10+3$ - $4 t$ setson show that maximum chary for setling fluecured tobaceo in North Carolina, South Carolima, Georgia, and Florida, as fixed by statute were: Auction fee, 15 cenies on all piles of 100 pounds or less and $\frac{2}{2}$ ) cents per pile in excess of that weight: weighing and handling fees, 10 cents per pile up to 100 pounds. and 10 conts for cach additional 100 pounds; and for commissions, 2.5 percent of gross sales. In Virginia, flue-cured auction fees were 25 cents per pile; weighing charges, 10 cents per 100 pounds; and commissions, 3.0 perent of gross sales. ${ }^{n}$

Similar data for other types show that for burley tobaceo auction clarges monged from 25 to 35 cents per 100 pounds and commissions from 2.5 to $t$ pereent. For fire-cured tobacco, auction chatrges usually were 40 to 45 cents per 100 pounds and commission charges usually were 3 percent. In some States a charge of 2.5 cents per 100 pounds was made for insurance. it comparison of these chatress and commissions with those repoted hy the Federal Trate Commission in 1037 indicates monery great changes in these charges in reconi years ( $/ 8 p$. 408). A "repark" fee of 10 cents per 100 pounds on reofferings after a second rejeet ion is opasiomally made. On sules rejected by coopera-
 20 cents per 100 pounds, 45 cents per 100 poumids, and 20 cents per 106 pounds. and 2 pereent of the association ardance. were reported in $19,34$.
Data on warphouse charges for selling tobace in 16 markets in Virginia in 1029-30 and 1930-31 show some wariations from one market to mother. But in mose instanes these charges were about the Samo ns those already indiented for more recent yaus (table 1) ( 1 ). Weighing charges in most markets were 10 cents, especially for piles ap to 100 pounds, aud 8.5 percent was the usital sommission. but charges for auctioning varied considerably from one market to another.

[^4]
## Items Included in Margins

Information as to the items of cost involved in the operation of tobacco warenouses is incomplete. Adequate data relating to these costs in recent years are greatly needed, but some indication of the relative importance of the varions items involved may be obtained from data showing the amounts and distribution of tho costs of operating tobncco warehouses in Tirginia in 1929-90 and 1930-31 when charges were about the same as in more recent years (table 2) (1). Because of the advances in wage rates without oflsetting advances in technological developments, labor costs may now represent a sonewhat larger proportion of total costs than in earlier years. Data on costs of operating 18 flue-cured tobacco warehouses in 1030-31 show that salaries and labor costs averaged neany four-fifths of a cent per 100 pouncls of tobacco or about 61 percent of the total. Building costs-ineluding depreciation, repairs, insurance, taxes and rent-amounted to amost one-tenth of a cent per 100 pumds, or to about 15 percent of total cosis. Other costs include interest on investment, losses on feal account, equipment, supplies, and miscellaneous expenses which, combined, averaged about 0.15 cent per 100 pounds of tobacco or about $2 \pm$ percent of total costs. Data for 11 flue-cured tobacco warehouses in 1029-30 show that items of costs and the proportion of the total accounted for by salaries and wages were somewhat greater than were indicated for $1930-31$, but on tho whole the distribution of costs for the 2 years was about the same (table 2).
Trable 1.-Weighing, auction, and commission charges levicd for selling tobacco on different markets, 1029-80 and 1980-81

| Market | Welphing (per 100 pornds) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anetioning } \\ & \text { (perpile) } \end{aligned}$ | Cummsslon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cents | Cents | Pervent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Sounthlil | : $=10$ | 25 | 2.5 |
| South Mill | 10 | 415 | $\frac{85}{9}$ |
| Cinate city | 210 | 8 | 2, |
| Brookneal. | 10 | 325 | 25 |
| Rockit Mount | 210 | 415 | \%is |
| Evielararg.. | ${ }^{10}$ | 15 | 2.5 |
| Farmeghe | 15 | 25 | $\overline{2.5}$ |
| Bedford ..... | ( 3 | $\square$ | 3.8 |
| Drakes Brancil | 20, |  | $\frac{2}{2.5}$ |
| Richmoni'. | 35 | $\frac{25}{50}$ | 3.0 |
| Abluglon | 3020 | so | - |

[^5]Similar data relating to costs of operating 8 fire-cured tobacco warehouses in Virginia in 1930-31 show that salaries and labor costs averaged about a third of a cent por 100 pounds of tobacco or about 65 percent of the total costs (table 2). Building costs-including depreciation, repaixs, insurance, taxes and rent-averaged about onetenth of a cent per 100 pounds of tobacco or about 10 parcent of the total. All other costs including interest on inyestment, losses on leaf account, equipment, supplies, and miscellaneous averaged about 0.08 cent per 100 pounds of tobacco or about 16 percent of the total costs. Total costs of oporating flue-cured tobacco warehouses in 1920-30 averaged somewhat greater than in 1930-31, but the distribution on the whole was about the same.

## Means of Reducing Costs

Results of analyses of records for individual warchouses in Virginia for the seasons $1920-30$ and 1930-31, when charges were about the same as in recent years, indicated that costs of operating tobacco

Tabre 2.--Distridution of costs of tobacco-warchouse operation in Viroinia, 1920-80 and 1980-81

FLCE-CURDD TOBACGO WARETOUSE OPERATION COSTS

| Items of Cost | Qperation costa |  | Percentageof totai |  | Cost per 100 pounds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20¢0-30 | 1030-31 | 1929-30 | 1030-31 | 1920-30 | 1030-31 |
| Labor costs total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollartion } \\ & 2 \mathrm{e} 1,266 t .00 \end{aligned}$ | Dollats $233,262.74$ | $\begin{aligned} \\ P e n e n t \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yereent } \\ 01,34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \mathrm{crta} \\ & 0.472 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cents } \\ 0.392 \end{gathered}$ |
| Buhalng costs total | 52,236.00 | 60,352.00 | 13.83 | 14.82 | . 008 | .095 |
|  | 11.600000 | 2.3,292.00 | 3.07 | ${ }^{2} .48$ | . 020 | . 0208 |
| Incmitits | 8.60600 | 14.43F.00 | $\underline{2} 28$ | 3.81 | .018 | . 024 |
| \%2xis | 8.150 .00 | 10.60400 | 2.17 | ${ }^{2} .76$ | .014 | . 018 |
| Rent ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10,300.60 | $14,670.00$ | 5.11 | 3.86 | . $03 \beta$ | . 025 |
| Equipment costs | 5,009,00 | $5,180.00$ | 1.3.4 | 1.36 | . 010 | . 008 |
| Braketa | 200.60 | 25.00 | . 08 | . 01 | . 001 |  |
| Trucks. | $3150 \%$ | 630.00 | . 08 | . 14 | . 001 | . 001 |
|  | 4, 23.80000 | 5665.00 3.821 .00 | +.06 | $\begin{array}{r}1.35 \\ \hline .00\end{array}$ | . 0008 | . 001 |
| oflee efalpment Other equipment. | 4,235.00 | 3, 832.00 | 1.12 | 1.00 | . 008 | .001 |
| Supplies costs totnt | 3.744 .00 | 6,929.46 | . 90 | 1.82 | . 007 | . 012 |
| Fuel light, water, and power Other supplies . | $1,430.00$ $3,377.00$ | $3,636.29$ $3,293.21$ | . 38 | . 87 | . 003 | .006 |
| Miscellaneous costs total. | 22,300.00 | -0,300,13 | 0.03 | 7.71 | . 042 | . $0 \times 10$ |
| Dues to bonrsi of trade | , 310.00 | ¢ 115.00 | . 08 | . 10 | . 0101 | . 001 |
| Adrertising | 5 | 6,991.01 | 3.46 | 1.8 .8 | . 010 | . 012 |
| Banls exehnnge .... | 20,20n. 06 | 4,249,00 | 2.10 | 1.00 | . 010 | . 007 |
| thons |  | ${ }^{478} 800$ |  | \% |  | .0nt |
| Loss Onfer costs nccourit tothi | 6,450.09 | 17,300.12 |  | . 4.55 | 032 | . 029 |
| Loss onl lenf account total | 8,570.00 | 11,218.05 | 2.27 | - 2.05 | . 016 | . 019 |
| Interents on favestment, total | 34,4,42,00 | 33,012.00 | ©.12 | 10.04 | . 046 | . 04.8 |
| Totni | 375,728,00 | 380,202,24 | $\underline{100.00}$ | 100,00 | . 700 | . 640 |

Tabre 2.--Distribution of costs of tobacco-vorvehouse operation in Virginia, 1029-90 and 1980-31-(continued)

FIRE-GURED TOBACCO WARBHOUSB OPERATION COSTS

| Items of Cost | Operation costs |  | I'ercentage of total |  | Cost per 100 pounds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920-30 | 1930-31 | 1020-30 | 1030-31 | 1929-30 | 1030-31 |
| Labor costs total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollitrs } \\ & 36,280.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollar.s } \\ & 30,552.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ 56,80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pcrcent } \\ 04,90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cents } \\ & 0.393 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cents } \\ 0.333 \end{gathered}$ |
| Buhding costs total | 11,031.00 | 11,473.70 | 18.22 | 18.85 | . 120 | . 000 |
| Depreciation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,320.00 | 1,285.00 | 3.63 | 2.17 | . 025 | . 011 |
| Repalrs .... | 900.00 | 675.60 | 1.41 | 1.3 | . 019 | . 009 |
| Insurance | 988.00 | 769.20 | 1.45 | 1.06 | . 012 | . 000 G |
| Rent | 6,500.00 | 8,100.00 | 10.18 | 13.31 | . 970 | . 608 |
| Equipment costs to | 2,108.00 | 1,509.00 | 3.30 | 2.45 | . 023 | . 013 |
| Bagkets | 550 | 90 | 8 | . 15 | 006 | 001 |
| Trucks | 213.00 | 69.50 | 133 | . 11 | . 002 | . 003 |
| Scales Omice equipment | 45.00 | 189.50 | . 07 | . 31 |  | . 002 |
| Omice equipnent | 1,300.00 | 1,150.00 | 2.04 | 1.89 | .014 | . 610 |
| Other equipment |  | 10.00 |  | . 02 |  |  |
| Supplies costs tota | 1,430.00 | 1,49\%.00 | 2.24 | 2.46 | . 016 | . 018 |
| Fuel, hght, water, and power ....... | 605.00 | 1,184.60 | 1.04 | 1.95 | . 007 | . 010 |
| Other suppltes .... | 765.00 | 313.00 | 1.20 | . 51 | . 008 | . 003 |
| Miscellaneous costs total. | 4,500,00 | 2,564.70 | 7.05 | 4.26 | . 044 | . 022 |
| Dues to bourd of trade | 130,00 | 140.00 | . 20 | . 23 | . 001 | . 001 |
| Advertising. | 1,700.00 | 1.071.00 | 2.66 | 1.23 | .0]8 | . 009 |
| Bnak erchange ... | 2,170.00 | 590.00 | 3.40 | . 97 | . 024 | .005 |
| Errors and correctlons | 500.00 |  | . 78 |  | . 005 |  |
| Other costs ......... |  | 793.70 |  | 8.30 |  | .00\% |
| Loss on leaf accomit totai | 2,400.00 | 556.00 | 3.76 | . 31 | . 026 | . 005 |
| Interests on Investmont, totel | 5,520.00 | 3,680.00 | 8.64 | 0.05 | . 060 | . 031 |
| Total | 63,809,00 | $60,862,80$ | 100.00 | $\underline{100.00}$ | .613 | . 512 |

${ }^{1}$ included 12 warehouses in 1920-30 and 18 warehonses in 1930-31.
3 Included 7 wareliouses in 1020-30 and 8 warehouses in 1930-31.
Depreciation calculated at 2 percent on investment.
4 Rent calculnted as actual amounts paid.
satercst calculated at 5 敉 percent on havestment.
 s8-31)
warehouses could be reduced considerably by using labor more efiiciently, by reducing overhead costs per unit of product through more complete utilization of capacity for a longer period during the year, and by reducing losses of leaf account through care in handing (1). These are problems of individual management to be solved gradually through demonstration and devolopment rather than by legislation or other controls.

Undue hazards in marketing tobacco result from rapid sales without adequate information on quality. Sales made mader such conditions may result in unduly low prices, to the detriment of individual growers, or may mean rejections and resale (\%). Such hazards might be reduced and the costs of marketing lowered by selling the tobacco on the basis of grades in accordance with United States standards. All burley and dark-tobacco markets and about three-fifths of all
flue-cured markets now make use of these standards and of the classification services of the United States Department of Agriculture. This service, with a dependable price-reporting servjee, should improve the bargaining ability of growers and tend to reduce marketing margins or costs.

## Importance of Reductions in Costs

Charges for selling flue-cured tobacco at auction leaf warehouses in 1039 usually averaged less than 5 percent of the farm value of the leaf, but similar charges for some other types of tobacco averaged 6 or 8 percent of the farm value. Increases in efficiency and reductions in these costs of marketing leaf tobacco are important considerations but a substantial reduction in these costs would amount to only a small proportion of the farm value of the tobacco and to a much smaller proportion of the retail value of tobacco products.

## MANUFACTURERS' MARGINS

These margins include the charges made for the services incident to taking leaf tobacco and converting it into finished tobacco products. In addition, costs of Federal excise taxes on tobacco products nsually are included in the margins, or the sprend between the cost of the leaf tobacco and other materials used and the value of the finished products. Leaf tobacco used by domestic manufacturers in 1939 totaled about 885 million pounds (unstemmed processing-weight equivalent) of which about 9 percent was imported. Other materials used included 48 million pounds of sugar and 24 million pounds of licorice along with cigarette paper and other supplics and containers, according to census reports. Exports of American tobacco in that year on a declared-weight basis totaled about 342 million pounds.

## Usual Practices

A large proportion of the domestic leaf tobacco used was bought by tobacco products manufacturing companies from tobacco growers, either through auction warehouses or at the farm. Data on purchases of leaf tobacco by 13 companies manufacturing tobacco products (which in 1934 purchased abont two-thirds of the domestic crop) show that 73.6 percent of the leaf tobacco used was bought from growers, 19.4 percent from wholesale merchants and dealers, 2.8 percent from brokers and commission merchants, 1.7 percent from cooperative marketing associations, and 2.5 percent from other sources (table 3) (18).

Theso proportions varied considerably with the kinds of tobacco products manufactured. The proportions :litained from growers through auction warehouses averaged 67.1 percent for all companies combined and ranged from 1.1 percent for cigar-manufacturing companies to 71.6 percent for companies that manufactured cigarettes and smoking and chewing tobacco. Purchases from growers at the farm direct through the company's own buyers averaged about 6.6 percent for nil companies combined and runged from 4.5 percent for companies that manufactured cimarettes and smoking and chewing tobacco to 27.7 percent for companies manfacturing snuff. Purchases from tobacco merchants and denlers ranged from about 5.1

Timle 3.-Leaf-tobacco purchases, including tobacco grown on the companies' own farms, of 15 tobacco products manufacturing companies, by sources of supply, calendar year 1934'

| Seurees of supply | Leaf-tobaceo purchases, including tobaceo grown on the companies' own farms ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 cigarette, smoling, and chewing tolaceo companies ${ }^{3}$ |  | 4 cigar-manufacturing |  | 3 snuff-manufacturing companiessa |  | Total 13 companies |  |
|  | Pounds | Percent of total | Pounds | Percent of total | Pounds | Percent of total | Pounds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Grown on companies' own farms ${ }^{\circ}$. . . . . Purchased iromTobacco growers: | 2,030,037 | 0.38 | 050,572 | 2.91 |  |  | 3,082,909 | 0.46 |
| Direct tlirough companies' own buyers Through auction warehouses | $\begin{aligned} & 731,41,044 \\ & 500,063,120 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}4.47 \\ 71.50 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8, 390,015 | 25.39 1.10 | 10,985,499 | 27.68 | $750,535,058$ $517,892,194$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.55 \\ 67.08 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 502,213,004 | - 76.06 | 8,650,090 | 20.40 | $\underline{27,504,108}$ | 60.43 | 568,427,252 | 73.63 |
| Cooperative tobaceo marketing associations: <br> Direct through compantes' own buyers <br> Through auction warehouses, | 2,008,606 | $\square$ 85 | 502,253 | 1.54 | $\begin{array}{r}2,043,070 \\ 3,603,091 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7.42 <br> 9.20 | $\begin{aligned} & 0,413,9 \mathrm{Se} \\ & 3,605,041 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.22 \\ .47 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 5,908,660 | $\underline{.55}$ | 502,253 | 1.54 | 6,596,161 | $\bigcirc 16.62$ | 13,007,080 | 1.69 |
| Lenf merchants and dealers ... lirekers and cotamission mer | 127,903,660 | 18.20 | ${ }^{810,621,55 \%}$ | 60.02 | 2,011,580 | 5.07 | 8149,626,799 | 19.38 |
| All other sources $, \ldots, \ldots, \ldots, \ldots, \ldots .$. | $15,477,540$ $1015,410,430$ | $\frac{2.21}{2.21}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 09,760,639 \\ & 11190,044 \end{aligned}$ | 8. 468 | 3,523,915 | 8.88 | -91,768,094 | 2.82 2.05 |
| Grand total | 600,702,403 | 100.00 | 32,003,351 | 1.00 .00 | 7230,685,854 | 100.00 | -72,081,608 | 100.00 |

1 Purchases of 1 of the 13 companies were reported for the fiscal year ended Junc $30,1035$. Includes $65,219,324$ pounds of imported and $716,862,28 \pm$ pounds of domestic leaf tobacco. Does not include stems, cigar scrap, or other tobacco scrap, except as indicated in footnote ${ }^{2 ?}$.
${ }^{3}$ Includes the following 6 companies and their subsialailes: The American Tobacco Co. ; Liggett \& Myers Tobacco Co. ; R, J. Reynolds Tobaceo Co.;
1r. Lorillard Co., The, ; Brown \& Wmiamson Tobnceo Co. and Axton-Fisher Tobncco Co

- Includes the following 4 companies and their subsidiaries: General Cigar Co., Inc.; Consolidated Cigar Corporation; Pucrto-Rican American Tobaceo

- Includes the following 3 companies: United States Tobn
Grown on companies own farms in the United States.
- Includes $8,701,315$ ponnds purchased direct from tobacco growers in foreign countries.

Includes $8,711,742$ pounds of imported lene tobacco.
e Includes $1,176,798$ pounds of imported leaf tobacco.
${ }^{10}$ Includea $15,358,620$ pounds of imported leaf tobacco, and 60,810 pounds of domestic leaf tobacco purehased through miscellaneous sources.
${ }^{1}$ Purchased from tobaceo manufacturing companies.
sa Includes a small but undetermined quantity of tobacco stems.
Reproduced from Federal Trade Commission, Agricultural Income Inquirs, Part I-Principal Farm Products (18, $p$, 1041).
percent for snuff manufacturers to 60 percent for cigar manufacturers. The proportion obtained through cooperative marketing associations ranged from less than 1 percent for manufacturers of cigarettes and smoking and chewing tobaceo to 16.0 percent for manufacturers of snuff.

Leaf tobacco purchased from growers ordinarily is redried and aged before it is manufactured. The types of tobacco sold at auction usually are redried, sorted, and packed in hogsheads for storage and curing. Many of the large manufacturing companies maintain their own leaf departments which are charged with the responsibility of buying, redrying, sorting, and storing the tobacco. Other manufacturers place a part or all of their buying orders in the hands of. dealers who buy the tobacco, redry and sort it, and prize it in hogsheads for storage.
Cigar types of tobacco, as a rule, are fermented by bulk sweating and the tobacco is taken directly from the curing barn to a building especially constructed for this purpose. After the fermentation is completed, the tobnceo is resorted. sized, retied, and packed for storage. Some of this processing is done by the larger cigar-manufacturing companies while some of it is processed by inclependent packers who in turn sell to manufacturers or to exporters.
Tobacco loses considerable weight from drying, through the removal of particles of dirt and tobacco in cleaning and packing, from chemical and physical changes incident to aging. and from the removal of stems or midribs in preparation for manufacture (2). These losses vary considerably from one type to another. For southern types (flue-cured. burley, and daak tobacco) the losses range from about 0.5 to 2 percent for cleaning, 1 to 14 percent for handing and packing, about. 1 to 7 percent for sweating and drying in storage for 3 years, and 19 to 26.4 percent for stemming (table 4) (7). Similar data for cigar-leaf types show that losses ranged from 2.7 to 17.5 percent for handling and bulk sweating, about 1 to 13 percent for sweating and spoilage in package. 4.6 to 6.7 percent for sweating and drying in storage for 3 years. and 13.6 to 23.5 percent for stenming (table 5). A small proportion of the stems is used in the manufacture of snuft and other tobncco products, but most of them are used in byproducts industries.

Tobacco products manufactured in 1939 totaled about 180.671 million cigarettes. 5.354 million cigars, 103 million pounds of chewing tobacco, 202 million pounds of smoking tobacco, and 38 million pounds of smuf (table 6). The number of cigarettes manufactured has contimued to increase and in $10 \div 3$ totaled 206,179 millions. The number of cigars increased somerrhat in the late 1930's and early 1040's, but contimeed considerably below the levels reached before the depression in the early 1930 's. The combined total quantity of chewing and smoking tobreco and smat manufnctured in 1939 was fairiy typical of other recent years. The value at the factory of the tobacco products manufactured in the Trited States in 1939, according to census reports. totaled abont 1,322 million doliars, of which about 78 percent was accounted for by cigayet tes, about 12 percent by cigars, abont 9 percent by tobacco (cherring and smoking) and snuff, and about 1 percent by other prodnets including scraps and salable refuse.

Tabte 4.-Southern types of tobacco: Average percentage of loss in weight from different causes and percentages of loeight remainingt

| Type | V. S. type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Farm } \\ & \text { weight } \end{aligned}$ | Losses from- |  |  |  | Total loss | Net gield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cleuning | Handling and packing | Sweating and drying in storage ${ }^{4}$ | Stemming ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |
| Flue-cured | Number <br> $11-14$ <br> 10 | Pcroent 100.0 | Percent ${ }_{2}$ | Percent ${ }_{8}$ | Percent 1.3 | Percent ${ }^{19.7}$ | Percent 31.0 | Percent 09.0 |
| Virginla fire-cured ............... |  | 100.0 | : 1.0 | -14.0 | - 2.0 | $\begin{array}{r}19.8 \\ \hline 19.0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}31.0 \\ \hline \quad 380\end{array}$ | 69.0 <br>  <br> 6.0 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee fire-cured | 22-23 | 100.0 | . 5 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 99.3 | 30.5 | 60.5 |
| Burler | $3{ }_{3}{ }^{2}$ | 100.0 100.0 | 1.0 | 9.0 | 4.9 | $\stackrel{20.6}{2.4}$ | 35.5 36.0 | 64.5 64.0 |
| Southern Marsiand | 32 | 100.0 | (c) 1.0 | (6) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.8 | 24.2 | 31.0 | 64.0 |
| One sucker | 35 | 100.0 |  | 7.0 | 4.1 | 26.4 | 38.5 | 61.5 |
| Green River ..... |  | 100.0 | 1.0 | 9.0 | 4.9 | 20.6 | 35.5 | 64.5 |
| Virginia sun-cured. | 37 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 2.2 | 20.8 | 34.0 | 66.0 |

$\rightarrow$ Losses and remainders under cleaning, haiding and packing, nond sweating and drying in stornge are percentages of farm-oales weight. Net yleld is the stemmed processing weight.

Removal of sand, dirt. etc
${ }^{2}$ Includes sand and dirt loss and loss of moisture from redrying or air-drying

- Storage losses calculated progressively based on packed weight, domnstic order.

Periland
Aaryland tobicco is pached in hogsheads at the farm ; therefore losses prior to packing are borne by the grower
Adapted from Monnow, J, V. and Sxitry, D. (\%).

Tance fi-Cigar-leaf thpes of tobacco: Average percentages of loss in weight from different canses and percentoges of toeight remaining'

|  | 1. S.tyme | Weimit | Losses from- |  |  |  | Total loss | Net yfeld |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type |  |  | Handing and bull swenting: | Sweating und spoilage in package" | Streating and drying In storage | Stemming ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |
| Fmer types ${ }^{\text {rennsylvana seedlear }}$ | Number | Percont | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
|  |  | 100.0 | 9.7 | 11.3 | 5.4 | 22.6 | 42.0 | 58.0 |
|  | 43 | 100.0 100.0 | 2.9 | 11.5 | 6.3 | 27.3 | 42.0 | 58.0 |
| Dutch . ${ }^{\text {gimmer }}$ | 48 44 | 100.0 100.0 | 4.3 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 29.4 | 43.5 48.5 | 50.5 |
| Georgla and Florida sungrown | 45 | 100.0 | 17.5 | 1.7 | 5 | 16.7 | 41.0 | 59.0 |
| Dinder types: | 46 | 100.0 | 12.0 | . 0 | 5.1 | 17.5 | 35.5 | 64.5 |
| Coniecticut Hrondeat Connecticut Havana Seed | 51 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 9.6 | 6.3 | 18,6 | 37.5 | 62.5 |
|  | 5 | 100.0 100.0 | 3.0 | 9.6 | 6.9 | ${ }_{918}^{18.6}$ | 375 | 69.5 |
| Southern Wiscousin ........................ | 54 | 100.0 | 3,7 | 13.2 | 5 | 91.2 | 41.5 | 58.5 59.5 |
|  | 55 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 9.9 | 4.6 | 20.2 | 41.0 | 59.5 59.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $6_{6}^{69}$ | 100.0 100.0 | 5.9 | 9.4 | 5.7 | 15.5 | 32.5 | 07.5 |
|  | 02 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 13.6 | 33.0 | 07.0 |

1 Losses and rematiders under handing and bulk sweating, sweating mal spoilage in package, and sweating and drying in storage are percentages of farm-sales weight. Net yield is the stemmed processing velght.

Prior to packing.
4 Thls refors to
This refers to end of first year after packing and not to end of first yenr after sumpliuc.
Adapted from Monnow, J. V, and Smim, D. (7).
Percentages in remoral of stem or midrib are based upon weight of lenf put futo stemming procese irrespectire of time of operation.

Tanee 6.-Gigurchtes, cigars, and other tobacco produrts munufartured in the Uniled Staler, 1980-43

| Year |  | Cignrettes ${ }^{1}$ | Cigars ${ }^{2}$ | Other tounceo products |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | Chewinga | Smoking | Siluit |
|  |  |  | Mrillions | Millions | Mrillion poHnds | Jillion pownds | dillion pounds | Million nodnds |
| 1920 |  | 47,458 | 8,730 7,307 | 412 387 | - 5159 | - 219 | Nown 34 |
| 1922 |  | 55,780 | 7,357 | 387 410 | 178 | -238 | 35 35 |
| 1023 |  | 60,734 | 7,450 | 413 | 139 | 通 | 39 |
| 1024 |  | 72,725 | 7,128 | 414 | 128 | $3 \cdot 17$ | 49 |
| 1920 |  | $8 \mathrm{8}, 26.4$ | 6.910 | 414 | 129 | $\because$ | US |
| 1097 |  | 99,520 | 0.958 | 410 |  | 3.10 | 3 S |
| 1925 |  | 108,716 | 6,789 | 380 | 115 | 23s | 4 |
| 1930 |  | 129.402 | 6,008 | 381 | 110 | 230 | 41 |
| 1931 |  | 12, ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 6, 6,075 | $3 \% 9$ | 19 | 23 | 41 |
| 1032 |  | 100,630 | 4,661 | 3.17 | 120 | 153 | 40 |
| 1033 |  | 114,377 | 4,510 | $3 \cdot 12$ | 1.11 | 192 | 30 |
| 1935 |  | 130,06: | +,7.18 | $3 \cdot 112$ | 116 | 193 | 37 |
| 1930 |  | 139,969 |  | $3 \cdot 312$ | 11.9 | 192 | 36 |
| 1937 |  | 169,97\% | 5 | 341 | 116 |  | 35 37 |
| 1038 |  | 171,089 | 5, 16 S | $3 \cdot 5$ | 108 | 200 | 37 |
| 10.10 |  | 150,671 | 5,351 | 443 | 1.03 | 202 | 35 |
| 19.1 |  | ${ }^{150,3 \pi}$ | 5,370 | 344 | 101. | $\cdots$ | 35 |
| 10.12 |  | 25j, 5 | 5.074 | $3+3$ | 4 | 198 | -10 |
| 1943 |  | 206,179 | 5,488 | 327 | 1111 | 16:9 | 4 |

'Incluyles large and smanl.
${ }^{2}$ Includes plug, twist, fine-eut, aud scrap. Before 1931 scrap was inelnded in smoking, Complied from report of the burean of internal Revemue. See Foothote $\mathfrak{i}, \mathrm{p}$. 11.

## Changes on Costs

In fomation relating to costs of leaf tobneco used and to the value of tho tobacco products manufactured indicates that gross margins, of tho spread between the costs of the materials used and the ralue of the finished products, vary considerably with the kind of product and with the amount of revenue stamps required. These natreins usually include costs of Federal revenue stamps as well as operating costs and profits of manufacturers. Census reports on tobacro matufactures in 1039 show that these margins, inchuding Federal revenue stamps, averaged 78.3 percent of the factory value of cigarettes, 66.2 percent of the value of cigars, 74.4 percent of the value of tobacco (chewing and smoking) and snuff, and 76.4 percent of the value of all tobacco products combined. Excluding the costs of Federal revenue stamps, the margins averaged 26 percent of the value of cigarettes, 58.6 percent of the value of cigars, 46.4 percent of the value of tobacco (smoking and chewing) and snuff, and 31.9 percent of the valuo of all tobacco products combined. Costs of leaf tobacco accounted for about 95 percent of the total costs of materials consumed by tobacco manufacturers, as reported by the Census of Manufactures for 1939.

Costs of tobacco as reported by manufacturers may differ considerably from the average market price for any given years. In addition to storing the tobacco for aging, manufacturers usually keep large enough stocks on hand to protect thenselves against crop failares or excessive prices of individual types in any one year. Manufacturers usually have in stock varying quantities of the different
types of tobacco representing the crops of 2 or more years. Leaf going into manufacture usually is charged by manulacturers at the average cost of the entire inventory of each type ( $\delta$ ).

Data for 6 corporations manufacturing cigarettes and other tobacco products in 1039 and for 28 corporations manufacturing cigarcttes, cigars, and other tobacco products in 1010 were xeported by the Federal Trade Commission ${ }^{7}$. The total value of sales reported for these corporations approximated 50 and 98 percent, respectively, of the total reported by the Census for the tobacco industry in 1939. Gross margins, including costs of Federal revenue stamps, reported for these corporations averaged 75.9 percent of total sales in 1939 and Tfi.1 perent in 1910. Excluding costs of Federal revenue stamps, the margins averaged 26.2 percent of total snles in 1239 and 28.1 percent in 1940. In 1910 gross margins, jncluting costs of Federal revenue stamps, averaged 77.1 percent of total sales for cigareltes. 60.7 percent tor cigars. and 75.1 percent for other tobacco products. Exeluding costs of Federal revenue stamps, the margins averaged 20.5, 5ht and 49.5 per cent. respectively, of total sales.

Data for five principal tobace manufacturng companies for 1 nobt show that net sales of cigarettes totaled 461 million dollars, costs of the leaf tobacco used totaled $6 S$ million dollars, and costs of other materints used totaled 10 million dollars ( 18 ). Gross margins, or tho spread between total material cosis and nel sales, weraged 82 perrent of net sales. The spread between costs of the Jeaf tobacco used ant not sales aremged 85 percent of net sales.

A break-down of the conts of cigarettes, cigars, and other tobacco products as estimated by Shandard and Poor's Corporation from Federal Trade Commission and census data suphlies a basia for budimiting gross marcins for tobace prothets. These estimates, published in 1913. indiente that these marems, incluting eosts of Federal revenue stampe averaged 77.5 pereent of net sales for cigarettes, 71.0 perent for cigats. and 83 percent for manufactured tobacco ( 8 ). Excluding costs of revemue stamps. These margins averaged ahout 10, 62 , and 67 perent, respectively, of net sales.
Gress margins. when expressed as proportions of the walue of the products. have declined somewhent in recent years. This may be attributed, in part, to the falure of the margins to increase as much as the advances in selling pries of the prelucts and, in part, to actual refluctions in some margins. Data made amainble by the Ollice of Price Administration show that these margise ineluding costs of Federal mevene tiamps, for shather and comomy brands of cigareftes were zeduced from an a verate of 81.5 percent of the ralue of the cigareftes in 1941 to 80.1 premen in 1012. Smilar data for six price chasses of cigars show that he margins were redued from an avernge of 66.4 percent of the value of the prohucts in 1911 to 65.1 percent in 1942. Combinet margins for chewing and moking tobaceo were
 and to 67.2 persent in 1943.

[^6]
## ltems lincluphb in Marohs

Information relabing to the items included in the margin, or sprend between the costs of raw materials used and the selling price of the tobacco products, shows that the cost of Fedenal revenue stamps was by far the largest item. According to census reports, costs of these stamps in 1930 aceounted, on the avenge, for 4.5 percent of the total valuo of all products of tobace manufacturess combined and to 58.2 percent of gross margins (table 7). The proportion of the value of the products accomed for by cosis of Federal revenue stamps ranged from 7.6 percent for cigars to 52.3 percent for cigarettes. The proportions of gross matgins accounted for by costs of these stamps ranged from 11.5 percent for cigars to 66.8 percent for cigarettes.
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| 1tem | cimameltas | (iziol | Tolbacter (clacw) ; 13 k stmoking azt Nnini | 'lostal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vabto of prubites | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { t+17urs } \\ 1.03 \pi, 7-48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { aplines } \\ \text { men,75. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { d.0B0 } \\ \text { doslars } \\ 123,057 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t.000 } \\ & \text { dollars } \\ & 1,32 m .186 \end{aligned}$ |
| Material tosts total | -9898 | 5.408 | 31.659 | 312,071 |
| Jear tobareo | $21+30.1$ | 53, 304 | 209013 | 297,073 |
| Other materiat | 71.923 | 210 |  | 14,158 |
| Gross marglos | \$13, $12 \times 3$ |  | 94,028 | 1,010.115 |
| Satarles nat warce | 38.6198 | 41,111 | 11.5483 | 86,772 |
| Salarim ondieers sitaries | 3. 3 星: | 1.461 | 1, mit | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| Mramfacturimg warex. | - 3 ORS | 4, 12.20 | 8,103 | f8,501 |
| thetrbuthat ....... | 3, $11: 3$ |  | git | C,031 |
| Other | 458 | $16 t$ | 058 | 8.85 |
| Pu4 | 190 | 217 | 333 | 1,239 |
| Prmbinsed rientrin pherey | 475 | j09 | 151 | 1,029 |
| (ontrict nork . .'... | $1 \pm 9$ | 894 | 513 | 9186 |
| Supplles and contatnersa | +3,061 | 11, 17817 | $1 \cdot 1.38$ | 67, 0121 |
| fhater . . . . . . |  |  | 31,689 | : 0893.115 |
|  | 103.012 | -0.3\% |  |  |
|  | Irgortion of vame of mrobuet |  |  |  |
|  | Preent | trerchi | Perctat | Percent |
| Frive of protucts | 1fite ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10060 | 110.0 | 100.0 |
| Mnterfal costs -total | $\because 1.7$ | 73: | 9 | 33.6 |
| T, mf tobnrco in | 30.6 | 139.4 | 33.6 | $\pm 2.5$ |
| Grons menerins matals | 78.3 |  |  | 1.7 76.1 |
|  | \% 3 | 号, 6 | $\stackrel{8}{0.7}$ | \% 6 |
| Salarlad ofterss... | 1 | .! | 18 |  |
| Mramifictarimg enlarles | 3 | 4, | 13 | , |
| Minnumeturing wigus | 2.8 | 41.3 | 0.6 | 4 |
| jistribution Other |  | 1.1 | . | 1 |
| Fuel |  | , | $\overline{3}$ | 1 |
| furchatsed celetrie Phorgy | (b) | . 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Contrtet work |  | 2 | . |  |
| Suppllas mal containers | 4.1 | 7.3 | 31.8 | 5 |
| jincornas stamps | \% 18.8 | \% ${ }^{7}$ | -98.9 | 19.6 |

[^7]Salaries and wages in 1939, as reported in the Census of Manufactures, accounted for 6.6 percent of the value of all tobacco products combined and ranged from 3.2 percent for cigarettes to 25.6 percent for cigars (table 7). The proportion of the gross margins, including costs of Federal revenue stamps, accounted for by salaries and wages averaged about 8.6 percent for all preducts combined, and ranged from 4.1 percent for cigarettes to 38.7 percent for cigars. The proportions, excluding costs of Federal rovenue stamps, accounted for by salaries and wages averaged 20.7 percent for all products combined and ranged from 12.3 percent for cigarettes to about 43.7 percent for cigars. Manufacturing wages made up, on the average, about 79 percent of total salaries and wages for all products combined and the proportions ranged from 68 percent for tobacco (chewing and smoking) and snuff to 83.2 percent for cigars.
Fuel, purchased electric energy, and contract work each accounted for only a small proportion of the gross margins in 1939 (table 7). Other items, not shomin separately in census reports-including depreciation, interest, insurance, rent, taxes, profits, and other ex-penses-accounted for 26 percent of these margins, including costs of Federal revenue stamps, and 62.4 percent of the margins exclusive of costs of Federal revenue stamps.

Reports of the Federal Trade Commission on corporations manufncturing cigarettes, cigars, and other tobacco products for 1939 and 1940 show a more nearly complete break-down of the items included in gross margins than that shown in census reports. Data reported by the Federal Trade Commission show that the proportions of these margins accounted for by costs of Federal revenue stamps averaged somewhat more, and the proportions arcounted for by salaries and wages averaged somewhat less, than those indicated by census reports. These differences may be explained in part by a somerhat larger proportion of cigarettes included in the reports by the Federal Trade Commission than in census reports.

Data reported by the Federal Trade Commission indicate that, except fur Federal revenue stamps, net operating profit was the largest item included in tobaceo margins in 1939 und in 1940 (table 8). These profits a vera ged about 11 percent of total snles and ranged from 9.9 percent for cigars to 16.1 percent for tobacco products other than cigarettes and cigars. The proportions of the gross margins, including costs of Federal revenue stamps, accounted for by net profits in 1040, averaged 14.8 percent for all products combined and ranged from 14.4 percent for cigarettes to 22.2 percent for tobncco products other than cigarettes and cigars. Excluding costs of Federal revenue stamps, net profits accounted for 40.2 percent of gross margins for all products combined, and ranged from 18.2 percent for cigars to 43.2 percent for cigarettes. These profits averaged more than three times the costs of production wages and salaries in 1939 and almost three times these costs in 1940.
Tobacco manufacturers' profits in 1939 were fairly typical of those reported for earlier and for more recent years. Data yor 13 principal tobacco manufacturing companies show that for the 6 -year period 1929-34 net profits on sales averaged 11.8 percent of net sales and

Tame 8. Salcs, rosts, and marpins for corporations manufacturing cigaretios, cigars, and other tobacco products, Onited States, 1939 and 10\%

| Item | 1039 | 10¢0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clgarettrs arid other tobneco produets | Glymretes | Cigats | Other tolmeco products | Total |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { f.000 } \\ & \text { dollar:x } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fonno } \\ & \text { dollark } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to000 } \\ & \text { dellurs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dohtry } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollara } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total sales | 1,117,301 | 1,183,0.1. | 71,533 | -17,0:17 | 1,301,811 |
| Mastersal cost-direct . . . . . | 95, 888 | 971807 | 28,230 | 111.416 | 311,309 |
|  | 70,1,501 | 1312,31.1 | 13,597 | 34,501 | 910, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| sulattes ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | 33,614 | 4\%,1600 | 15,102 | 3,730 | 62,50. |
| Depreciation and ob nolescence | 4,76f | 4,90. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6¢1 | 388 | 5,893 |
| Taxes and socinl se curlty | 12,410 | 9,837 | 1,270 | 919 | 12,020 |
| Other operethig ex- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Snles tax ${ }_{\text {dex }}$ | 22,026 | 11,887 | 3,5147 | 1,29] | 16,678 |
|  | 500,833 | 208,37: | 4,563 | 11,802 | 624.737 |
| resale ........... | 1,538 | 1.smo | 600 | 200 | 2,700 |
| Selling expenses ..... | \%s, | 96,1807 | 4.09 .4 | 3,6\%0 | 35.189 |
|  | 51,805 | 15,101 | 3,65\% | $\underline{-280 .}$ | 71,500 |
| generm offec expenge | 5,670 | 8.273 | 1,926 | 2,095 | 12,20\% |
| Irovisions for uncol- |  |  |  |  |  |
| lectalle necounts . Net jrotits....... | 110,3\%1 | 1:31,385 | 1.18 4,113 | 7,703 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 140,200 |
|  | Proportion of tolat sales |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | Percent | J'ercent | Percent | ['ercent |
| Total eales . . . . . . . . . . . . | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100,0 |
| Material cost-Mrect . . . . | $2 \cdot 1.1$ | 22.0 | 39.3 | $\bigcirc 1.0$ | 23.9 |
| Grosk margin ............ | 75.0 | 77.1 | 60.7 | 75.1 | 76.1 |
| ges and snlarjes ${ }^{2} . . .$. | 3.2 | 3.7 | 21.0 | 8.J | 4.8 |
| Depreclation and nbsolescence | . 6 | .4 | . 8 | . 0 | . 5 |
| Taxes and social se- |  |  |  |  |  |
| citrity . ...tio..... | 1.2 | . 0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | . 9 |
| Otber operating ex nenses | 2.1 | 1.0 | 4.15 | 2.7 | 1.3 |
| Sales tax raveia | .1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.3 |
|  | 40.7 | 63.4 | 6.3 | 25.0 | 48.0 |
| cossale ............ | 2 | . | 1.0 | .f | . 2 |
| Selling expenses | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 7.9 | 2.7 |
|  | 6.0 | 6,5 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 5.4 |
| Admhinstrative a 11 t? general ollice ex- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 5 | . 7 | 9.7 | 4.5 | . 2 |
| 1rovisions for maenlectable accounts. . | (1) | (2) | (1) | (d) | (3) |
| Net profits . . . . . . . . | 11.0 | 1.1 .1 | 0. 0 | 10.7 | 11.3 |
| Number of madufacturers melnded | 0 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 28 |

[^8][^9]of Manufactures, show that the proportions of net sales accounted for by profits before income tax averaged, cluring the $t$ yenrs 1036-30, the same as the 11.2 percent in 1989. Those for 1040,1941 and 1042 averaged somewhat greater than in 1939 (table 0 ). ${ }^{0}$ These profits averaged 16.2 percent of net worth during the 4 fears $1936-39$ and increased from 16.4 percent of net worth in 1030 to 22.3 percent of

Table 9.-Profits of tobucco products manufacturing companies as proportion of net sales and of net worth, United States, average $1086-95$ wnnual $1030-12$

| ELind of product | Profle before hacome tax tas proportion of net sajes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arerate $1030 \cdot 30$ | 1039 | 1040 | 1041 | 18:42 |
| All tobacco products. | Pereent 11.2 | Parcent $11 . \mathrm{m}$ | Perceat 12.0 | Percent 11.8 | Percent <br> 11. |
| Clgarnttes <br> Cigtrs <br> Other tnbaeco products | 11.0 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 12.2 |
|  | 7.5 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 9.5 |
|  | 10.7 | 15.2 | 18.0 | 16.3 | 17.1 |
|  | Proits after income tax as proportion of net sales |  |  |  |  |
| All tobacco products. .... <br> Clgarettes Clgars $\qquad$ ............, <br> Other tobacco products | 0.2 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 0.8 | 5.4 |
|  | 9.1 0.4 | 0.1 | 8.6 | 6.5 0.9 | 5.1 |
|  | 14.2 | 12.7 | 14.0 | 11.7 | 10.3 |
|  | Prolls before income tax as proportion of net worth |  |  |  |  |
| All tobacco products. <br> Clparettes <br> Clants $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> Other tobacco produets | 16.2 | 10.1 | 18.3 | 20,4 | 22.3 |
|  | 17.6.6 | 17.9 | 19.6 | 22.3 | 24.9 |
|  | 13.2 | 11.6 | 1.4 .0 | 12.4 | 13.1 |
|  | Profits atter thedme tas as uroportion of net worth |  |  |  |  |
| All robacco produets...... | 13.3 | 13.3 | 13.6 | 11.7 | 10.5 |
| Cigarettes . . . . . . . . | 14.5 | 1.1. 5 | 1-1.3 | 12.1 | 11.1 |
| Other toliacoproinats; | 10.4 | 0.7 | 11.5 | S. 8.9 | 7.2 8.5 |

[^10]net worth in 1942. Profits after income tax as proportions of net sales and of net worth have decreased somewhat in recent years.

Advertising costs, as reported by the Federal Trade Commission, averaged 5 percent of total sales in 1939 and 0.3 percent in $19 \pm 0$ (table 8). The proportions of the gross margins, excheding costs of internal revenue stamps, accounted for by costs of advertising averaged about 19.1 percent in 1939 and 10.5 percent in 1910 . Advertising costs

[^11]avoraged 56 percent more than costs of production wages and salaries in 1939 and 29 percent more than these costs in 1040 . They were 30 per cent greater than the combined costs of depreciation and obsolescence, taxes and social security payments, and other operating expenses in 1939 and almost 70 percent greater than these combined. costs in 1940.

Selling expenses as reported by the Federal Trade Commission averaged 2.5 percent of total sales in 1939 and 2.6 percent in 1940. These expenses were relatively least tor cigaretes and relatively greatest tor tobacco products other than cigarettes and cigars. Selling expenses averaged 78.1 percent of the costs of proctuction wages and salaries in 1939 and 63.4 percent in 1910. Other itoms of cost included in manufacturers' margins were relatively small, as indicated in table 8 (p. 24).

Data as to costs and margins for eigarettes in 1941 and 1942, show some decreases in the proportions of the selling price accounted for by profits margins and by advertising cosis (table 10). For standard and economy brands combined, the prolits margins (including net prolits, income taxes, and some interest) decreased trom 10.2 percent of the net selling price in 10:11, to 9.9 percent in 10.52 . But thase profits margins continued to exceed 40 percent of the gross margins, excluding costs of Federal revenue stamps. Prolits margins for standard brands were much greater than were those for economy brands.

Advertising costs for standard and economy brands combined averaged 5.2 percent of net sales in 19.41 and 4.1 percent in 1942. The proportion of the gross margins, exclusive of costs of Federal revenue stamps, accounted for by advertising costs averaged 21.9 percent in 1041 and 18.6 percent in 1042 . Advertising costs usually accounted for a larger proportion of the net selling price for standard than for economy brands. The total for items other than costs of internal revenue stamps, profits margins, and advertising costs, included in manufacturers' margins for cigarettes, averaged 0.1 percent of the selling price in $1910,8.3$ percent in 1941 , and 8.0 percent in 1942.

Datr on margins and costs for cigtr manufacturers: in 10.41 and 1942 show that costs of intemal revenue stamps averaged 7.3 percent of the net sales each year and ranged from 6 percent for 2 -for- 25 -cent cigars to about 14 percent tor 2 -cent cigars (tables 11 and 12). Costs of operating labor in 1041 averged 22.7 percent of net sales for all price classes combined and manged from 20.7 percent for 2 -for-5cent cigars to 26.4 percent for 2 -for- 25 -cent cigurs. In 1942 these proportions averaged 23.4 percent for all chasses combined and ranged from 22.1 percent for 2 -for- 5 -cent cigars to 28.3 percent for 3 -for- 25 -cent cigars. The proportions of the gross margins accounted for by operating laboi costs averaged 34.2 percent in 1941 and 35.9 percent in 1012. These proportions varied considerably from one price class to another. Other labor and salary costs of cigar manu-factures-including packing labor, shipping labor, officers' salaries, and clerical salaries-averaged 6.4 percent of net sales and 9.6 percent of the gross margins in 1941 and 6.6 percent and 10.1 percent, respectively, in 1942. Total labor and salary costs averaged 29.1 percent of net sales in 1941 and 30 percent in 1042. The proportions of these

Tarle 10-Gigarettes: Standard and economy brands, net sales costs, and margin for manufacturers, United States, 1941 and 1942

${ }^{1}$ Includes net profits, income taxes, and some interest.
Based on primary data assembled by the Ofice of Price Adminjstration and made available for use only as industry summaries.

Tance 11.-Net sales, costs, and margins for manufacturers of 6 price classes of cigars, United States, 1941


[^12]Data obtaned in feld investigation by the United States Tarif Commissi on for the office of Price Administration and made available by the latter agency for use only as industry summaries.

Tapre 12.-Average net prices realized, costs, and margins for manufacturers of 6 price classes of cigars, United States, first quarter of 1942


[^13]Data obtained in field investigation bs the United States Tarif Commission for the Office of Price Administration and made available by the latter agency for use only as industry summaries.
margins accounted for by total labor and salary costs averaged 48.8 percent in 1941 and 46 percent in 1942.

Operating expenses of cigar manfacturers other than labor costs averaged 4.1 percent of net sales and about 6.2 percent of the gross margins in 1941 and 4.6 and 7.1 percent, respectively, in i 642 (tables 11 and 12). Fixed charges averaged 2.1 percent of net saies in 1941 and 2.3 percent in 1942 . Packing and shipping costs. other than labor, averaged 9 percent of net sules in 1041 and 8.9 percent in 1942. Advertising costs averaged 3.7 percent of net sales in $19 \pm 1$ and 3 percent in 1942 . Other selling expenses averaged 6 percent of net sales in 1941 and 6.4 percent in 19.22 . General and administrative costs, other than salaries, averaged 1.4 percent of not sules in 1941 and 1.7 percent in 1942 . Margins to cover income taxes, interest, and profits averaged 3.7 percent of net sales in 1941 and 0.9 perent in 1942. The proportions for each of these items varied considerably from one price class to another, as shown in tables 11 and 12.

Information available on smoking and chewing tobacco include data relating to sales, costs of materials used, and items included in the manufacturers' margins, by years, from 1936 to 1913 (except 1910 and 1942), inclusive, (table 13). Costs of internal revenue stamps a veraged 27.9 percent of net sales of smoking and chewing tobacco combined during this 6 -year period and the yeaty averages ranged from 26.2 percent in 1943 to 30.4 percent in 1936. The proportions of the gross margins, accounted for by costs of these stamps, areraged about 37 percent for the 6 years and ranged from 36 pereent in 1039 to 39 percent in 1943.

Net operating profits, during this 6-year perion, aleaged 16.2 percent of het sales for smoking and chewing tobacco combined and ranged from 10 percent in 1943 to 18.3 percent in 1936 . The proportions of the gross margins, including costs of Federa? revenue stamps, acconnted for by these profits nveraged 21.4 percent for the 6 years and ranged from 14.9 percent in 1043 to 23.7 percent in 1039." The proportions of these margins, exclusive of costs of Federal revenue stamps, accounted for by net operating profits averaged 34 percent and ranged from 25 percent in 1943 to 36.7 percent in 1939 and in 1936.

Labor costs for smoking and chewing tobaceo during the 6 years $1936-43$ (except 1940 and 1942) averaged 7 percent of net sales and ranged from 6.3 percent in 1939 and 1041 to 8.4 percent in 1943 . The proportions of the gross margins, exclusive of costs of Federnl revenue stamps, accomated for by labor costs, averaged I-s.7 percent for the 6 years and ranged from 12.8 percent in 1939 to 20 percent, in 1943. Wrapping materials during this period accounted for about 9.2 percent of net sales and 19.3 percent of gross margins, excluding costs of Federal revenue stamps. These proportions varied irregtlarly from one year to another, but the proportion of net sales accounted for by costs of wrapping materials decreased somewhat in the early 1940's. Costs of advertising and tree groods during the 6 yenrs $1936-43$ (except 1040 and 1042 ) accounted on the average for about 6.6 percent of net sales and 13.9 percent of the gross marigins. exclusive of costs of Federal revenue stamps. Freight, shipping, ind *selling expenses combined. during the 6 years averaged about 6.0 percent of net sales and 12.6 percent of the margins, exclasive of costs

Tabie 13-Tobacco: Chewing and smoking, ret sales, costs, and margins for manufacturers, United States, $1936-39,1941$ and 194 s

${ }^{1}$ Operating profits before deduction of income tax and interest.
Based on primary datn assembled by Office of Price Administration and made avallable for use only as industry summarles.
Advertising and free good
Selling and shipping


of internal revenue stamps. These proportions varied somewhat from year to year but no very definite trends were indicated. Other items of cost include plant overhead and general and administrative expenses, but usually thesa items made up relatively small proportions of the gross margins.

## Means of Reducing Costs

Means of reducing margins, or the spread between costs of the leaf tobacco used and the value of the finished products, may include reductions in the kinds and amonnts of services rendered, increases in the eflicioncy and reductions in the costs of rendering the specific services, and reductions in excise taxes and profits. In the absence of suficiently complete information on the various phases of the problems involved, no detailed suggestions regarding the specitic means by which and the extent to which these margins or costs could feasibly be reduced can be offered at this time. Such suggestions would need to be based on much more complete information on the services rendered, the wishes or welfare of consumers, the particular. processes involved, and the conditions under which the manufacturing establishments are operated. But it is possible to indicate in a general way some means for reducing these margins or costs.
Data presented by the Federal Trade Commission show that aggregate net profits before deduction of income taxes and interest on debts in 1940 averaged 3.5 percent of aggregate sales for cignrette manlafacturers with assets of less than 54 million dollars and average annual sales of about 15 million dollars. These compare with profits of 11.7 percent for manufacturers with assets of over $5 t$ imillion dollars and average annual sales of about 387 million dollars. ${ }^{10}$

Similar data for cigar manufacturers show average profits of 4.6 percent of aggregate sales for manufucturers with assets of under 4 million dollars and uverage annual sales of less than one million doilars compared with profits of 10.8 percent of aggregate sales for manufacturers with assets of over 4 million dollars and average annual sales of almost 13 million dollars. Although factors other than differences in size may also be involved, it would appear reasonable to assume that these diflerences in profits may be attributed in part, at least, to differences in efficiency arising from differences in the size of establishments. If this assumption is justified, it would appear that costs might be reduced by increasing the size of the smaller plants or by increasing the proportion of the total volume hundled by the larger manufacturers. But these savings might not be reflected in proportionate reductions in margins.

The cost of Federal excise taxes is by far the largest item usually included in the margin or spread between the cost of the leaf tobacco used and the value of the finished tobacco products. A reduction in these taxes would appear to be the simplest and perhaps the most effective method of substantially reducing these margins. But the feasibility of such reductions depends on questions of public policy that go beyond the scope of this report. Excise taxes on tobacco products can be collected with relative ease and are effective in ob-

[^14]Laining revenues, but they are regressive in that the proportions of the total income used in paying these taxes are greater for the low than for the medium and higher income families.

Data as to average net money income and average expenditure for tobacco products by farilies and single consumers, by income groups in 10:11, show that the average amounts spent for tobacco increased with income, but that the proportion of the annual money income spent for tobneco products was greater for the lower than for the higher income families (table 14). For all tamilies and single consumers combined, the proportion of the money income spent for tobacco ranged from 3.1 percent tor those with amual money incomes of less than $\$ 500$ to 0.5 percent for those with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and orer. The proportions for farm families and single individuals rangerl from 4.1 percent for those with anmal incomes of less than $\$ 500$ to 0.5 percent for those with incones of $\$ 3,000$ or more. For nonfarm families the proportion of moncy income spent for tobacco ranged from 2.7 percent for those with amnal money incomes of less than $\$ 500$ to 0.8 percent for those with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over. Data relating to family incomes and expenditures in 1935-36 also show that total expenditures for tobacco vary directly with income, but that the proportion of the family income used in the purchase of tobacco products raried inversely with the amount of the annual income (6).

Tabty 1.t-Average net moncy income and average expenditure for tobacco by Ameriran fomilics und single consumers, by income groups, 1941

All Amprionn fandies and slagle consumers


Nonfarm famblites and single consumers

| 50 to $\$ 509$ | 300 | S | 2.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 500 to $\$ 1.000$ | 7.12 | $1!1$ | 2.6 |
| \$1,000 ro \$1,500 | 1, ${ }^{2}+33$ | 9 | 2,3 |
| \$2,500 to \$2.000 | 1,737 | 37 | 2.1 |
| \$2,000 to $\$ 3,000$ | 2.4 -19 | 49 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 68 | 1.8 |
| \$5,000 and over | 11,696 | 02 | . 8 |

Adapted from Spending and Saving of the Nation's Families in Wartime (3).
'Tobaco manufacturers' margins could be reduced considerably if profits were reduced. Data for 28 tobacco manufacturing corporations in $19 \pm 0$ show that aggregate net profits before deducting debt interest and income laxes averaged 16.4 percent of aggregate total investment. Aggregate protits after income taxes are padd, but before deducting debt interest averuged 12.1 percent of aggregate total investment. Net profits from manafacturing and trading averaged about 11 percent of total sales, $1 \pm .6$ percent of gross margins, including costs of Federal revenue stamps, and 40.8 percent of manufacturers' gross margins, exclusive of costs of Federal revenue stamps. ${ }^{11}$

Advertising is generally recognized as an effective means of expending outlets for particular brames as well as the total for all tobacco products. Such increases in volume may make possible some reductions in average per unit cost. Perlaps the least effective advertising, for the industry as a whole, is that designed mainly to induco consumers to use one particalar brand instead of another of about the same quality. If advertising were confined more to informative and less to surictly compelitive features and were placed on a more efficient basis, margins or costs for tobacco manufacturers might be reduced somewhat. Reports indieate that toloneco manufacturers advertising costa a aeraged 5 preme of total sales in 1930, and 5.3 percent in $1940^{21}$

Costs of advertisinge exceeded production wares and salaries. 'They amounted to noout percent of gross margins including costs of Federal revenue stamps, and to about 19 percent of manafacturers' gross margins, exclusive of cost of Federal revenuo stanps. Howerer, as previously indicated, costs also are affected by size of plant operations, and advertising by companies manufacturing leading brands no doubt has been nimportant factor in concentrating opera(ions in a relatively small number of large plants.

## Smportance of Redlctions in Costs

Margins for tobacco manufacturers in 1939, plus costs of Federal revenue stamps, averaged about three-fifths of the retail price of the finished products and about five times as much as the returns to American growers for the leaf tobacco used. Manufactarers' margins, exclusive of costs of these stamps, averaged about 27 percent of the retail price of the finished products and more than twice as much as returns to American growers for the leaf tobacco used. Excise taxes alone averaged more than a third of the retal price and wero about three times as great as the retams to growers for the United States tobacco used.
A reduction of 5 percent, for example, in the mamufacturers' margin, plus costs of Federal revenue stamps, would amount to about as much as a reduction of around 3 percent in retnil prices and to about as much as an increase of one-fourth in returns to growers in the United States for the lene tobacco used. Such a reduction in manufacturers' margins, exclusive of Federal stamps, wonld amound to about as much as a reduction of 1.3 percent in retail prices and to more than an increase of 10 percent in returns to United States growers for the tobacco used.

[^15]
## WHOLESALERS' AND RETAILERS' MARGINS

These margins include the charges made by wholesalers and retailers for the services of taking tobacco products from manufacturers and delivering then to consumers at retail. Some State and local excise taxes are also included.

## Usual Practices

Most of the mamufactured tobacco products are sold by manufacturers directly or indirectly through wholesale branches or offices of the manufacturer, to wholesalers or jobbers, but considerable proportions are sold by manufacturers directly to retailers. In 1939, according to census reports, the proportion of tobacco manufactarers' snles distributed directly through wholesnlers and jobbers averaged 61.5 percent for all products combined and ranged from about 59 percent for cigarettes to about 70 percent for cigars (table 15). The proportion distributed through manufactarer-owned- and opernted outlets-mostly wholesnle branches or offices-averuged about 30 percent and ranged from about 16 percent for cigars to 33 percent for cigarettes. Most of the products handled in this way were in turn sold to wholesalers. 'The proportions sold by manufacturers to retailers, including chains, averaged about 7 perceint and ranged from about 6 percent for ciparettes to 11 pereent for cigars. Very sinall proportions were exported or sold directly to consumers.

Data showing sales of manufactured tobacco products, by chamels of distribution, for 13 companies manufacturiner tobacco products in 103 t were reported by the Federal Trade Commission (18). Total sales by these companies equaled more than 97 percent of the total quantity of cignrettes produced in the United States in 193 t , about S9 percent of the smoking tohacco. more than 75 percent of the chewing tobacco, almost 41 percent of the cigars, and practically all of the snuff. Dollar sales, by channels of distribution from manufacturers, were not ascertained for ench kind of tobaceo product sold, but for all tobacto products combined about 62 percent were distributed through tobacco jobbers and wholesalers, 18.2 percent through wholesale grocers, 8.5 perent through chain grocery stores, -1.7 percent through chain tobaceo stores, 2.5 percent through chain §lug stores, 1.7 pereent through independent retail tobacco and drug stores, and stnall proportions through wholesale drug companies, cooperative and volandary chains, and other distributors (table 16).

The relative importance of the different chamels of clistribution waried considemably from one kind of tobacco product manufacturing company to another. The proportions of the total manufactures snles that were distributed through tobarco jobbers and wholesalers ranged from 22.2 pereent for compamios mamfacturing snuff to about 64 percent for companies mannfacturine cirarettes and smoking and cheving tobnceo. About 2 percent of the sates by companies manufacturing cigars was distributed through wholesale groceries, compared with about 17.5 percent for companies mantafturing eigarettes, and almost 64 percent for companies manufacturing snuff. Manufactures of cigars did not report any sales to chain grocery stores, but the proportions reported for other companies averaged about 9 percent. The proportion distributed through chain tobneco stores ranged from 5 percent for companies manufacturing snuff to

10 percent for cigar manufacturers. Sales to independent retail tobacco and drug stores accounted for 31 percent of the totat outlets for cigar-manufacturing companies but other companies reported no sales through these chamols.
Data presented by the Federal Trate Commission showed significant differences in the proportions of the products of individual companies of the same group that were sold through specified outlets. For individaal companies in the cigarete and snoking and chewing tobacco group the proportions of the products sold to tobacco jobbers and wholesalers ranged from 32 to 83 vercent: to wholesale grocers, from about 19 to $5 t$ perent; to chain grocery stores, from 4.5 to 20 percent: and to chain tohacos stores, from abme 2.5 to 0 pereent. Sales of individual companibs mandacturing cigars to tobaceo job.
 and in industries, Unifed Stales, io3n


[^16]Table 16,-1et tollar sales of manufactured tobacco products of 13 tobacco products manufacturing companies, by chamels of distribution, calendar year 1984

| Channels of distribution | Net dollar sales of munuf actured tobacco products ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 elgarette. <br> smoking and chewing <br> tobaceo companies ? |  | 4 eigar-manu* tacturing companies ${ }^{8}$ |  | 3 snut-manufacturing companies ${ }^{4}$ |  | Total 13 companies |  |
|  | Dollars | Percent of total | Dollars | Percent of total | Dollars | Percent of total | Dollars | Percent of total |
| Tobacco jobbers and wholesalers . . . . . . . | \$ $539,992.005$ | 63.93 | 27,438.038 | 54.52 | 6,833,076 | 22.20 | $=573,563,719$ | 62.03 |
| Wholesale grocers ................... | $1.47,808,143$ $\mathbf{5 , 0 7 0 , 5 1 2}$ | 17.09 | 786,406 48,022 | 1.50 | 19,661,050 | 63.89 | 168,209,198 | 18.20 |
| Chain grocery stores .................... | $75.825,700$ | S. 09 |  | 10 | 2,800,920 | 9.42 | 78, $8.33,722$ | 8.64 |
| Chain tobacco stores | 37,655,109 | 4.47 | $5,084,134$ | 10.006 | 408,070 | 1.62 | 73,217,390 | 8.67 |
| Chain drug stores | 21,710,398 | 2.57 | 1,605,3.14 | 3.19 | 93,354 | 3.30 | 23,418,090 | $\underline{9} .68$ |
| stores |  |  | 15,386,702 | 30.57 |  |  |  |  |
| Cooperative and voluntary chains ...... All other distributors ............. | -15, 2511,745 | . 1.81 | $\cdots 710.4$ |  | \% 49.40 | 10 | 16,356, 4902 | 1.60 |
| All other distributors . .......... | 015,258,745 | 1.81 | 7,044 | $\cdots$ | 8575,230 | 1.87 | 15,835,020 | 1.71 |
| tobaceo | S43,630,831 | 100.00 | 50,331,240 | 100.00 | 30,770,038 | 100.00 | 924,751,009 | 100.00 |

${ }_{2}$ Does not inelude sales of tobaceo stems, tobncco scrap, leaf tobacco, or any products not manufactured from tobacco.

I Includes the following 4 companies and their subsidiaries: General Cigar Co., Inc. © Consolidated Cigar Corporation; Puerto-Rican American Tobacco
Co. and Bnyuk Cigars, Inc.
Inciudes the Tonowing 3 companies: Vnited States Tobncco Co. : American Snuff Co. innd George W. Helme Co.
includes a small but indeterminable volume of sales to wholesale grocers, wholesale drug companfes, and cooperative or voluntary chains.
TConsists chiefly of sales to the U. S. Army and Navy, Federal and State institutions, commissaries, restaurants, camps, and social organizations

- Includes sales to commissaries and to miscellaneous distributors.

From Federal Trade Commission (18). (See p. 1044.)
bers and wholesalers ranged from 42 to 63 percent; to independent retail tobacco and drug stores, from about 29 to 35 percent; and to chain tobacco stores, from 7 to 37 percent. For individual companies manufacturing snuff the proportion of total sales made to wholesale grocers ranged from 44 to 70 percent and to chain grocery stores, from 2.5 to 27 percent.

Wholesale distributors of tobacco products apparently confine their purchases chiefly to those from manufacturers. Datal relating to the purchases of seven wholesale tobacco-products distributors in 1934, as reported by the Federal Trade Commission, show that about 09 percent of the total purchases of more tham 68 million dollars worth of tobacco products distributed came from tobace mannfacturing companies (table 17). Five of the distributors bought all their cigar:ettes from tobacco manufacturing companies and 00.7 percent of the cigarette purchases for all distributors combined was obtamed from this source. Five of the six distributors that handled smuff bought all they handled from manufacturess and 90.2 percent of the smof handled by the six distributors was obtained from manufacturers. Purchases of 08.5 percent of manufactured products other than cignsettes and snuft made by the seven distributors were obtained from manafacturers, and five of the distributors procured their total sup. ply of these prodicts from this source.
Sales outlets for wholesalers of tobacro products are chiefly retailers, although some sales are made to other wholesalers and others. Census reports on the wholesale trade in 1030 show that almost 00 percent of the sales of sorvice and limited-function wholesalers was distributed to retailers, 9 percent to other wholesalers, and about 1 percent to consumers or others. The vatue of the sales of these service and limited-function wholesalers totaled more than four-fifths of the

[^17]| Sources of supdy | forrhases of cignrettes, smur, and all other mannfucturet tobnceo yrodlacts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cgaretio |  | Snaft |  | All other manufnctured tobaceo producta |  |
|  | Dollara | Eercent of totill | mellars | Fercent of total | Dollars | I'eremt of total |
| Tobnceo monnofacturing companies .......... Als other sourees ...... | $\begin{array}{r} 54,053,229 \\ 15 \cdot 4,108 \end{array}$ | 20.73 | 5093,513 | 90.807 | 126617,506 | 08.46 |
| Total cignrette, snuf, and other tobnece. nroducts purchases | 54,807,-120 | 100.00 | 513,140 | 100 DO | 12,847,123 | 1.00 .00 |

IIncludes cigarette nurchases and purchases of all other tobncto products, except smun. of the followhe companies and thefr subthiarles: F. A. Duvis \& Sons; Glaser Ibros, ;

 the above companfes, except the dhladalphon Wholesale brip ('o.

OOf tha 7 companleg, 6 reported purchanes for the catentar yoar 1034, und tor the fiseal year ended Oct. Si, 203.

From Federal frade \{ommission (id). (Suse p. intar.
total value of distributed sales reported for manufacturers. Most of the sales made by manufacturers' sales branches and by agents and brokers went to wholesalers, according to census reports.

Reports of the Federal Trade Commission relating to net dollar sales of manufactured tobacco products by seven wholesale tobacco products distributors show that in $193 t$ about 72.5 percent of the sales went to independent retail tobacco and drug stores and 27 percent went to tobacco jobbers and wholesalers (table 18). Other ontlets included chain drug stores, chain grocery stores, cooperatives, and roluntary chains, but the proportions of total sales accounted for hy these outlets were small.

Table 18,--Net dollar sules of manufactured dobacco products of seven wholesale tobarro products distributars, bu rhannels of dishibution, calendar or fiscal year 109,'

${ }^{1}$ Inclutlea clgarette purchases and purchases of alt other tobacco pronacts, except snuff.
 E, Shnw Co. : Metrapolitan Tobnco Co. : Mckesson \& Robbins, Inc, ; New Jersey Tobncco Co.; add tho Phindeighin Wholesto Drut Co. Inclutes suuff purchases of all of the fhove compandes, except the Phiadelphin Wholesale prat co.

1 Of the i companies, 6 reported purchasea for the catendar vear 1934 and 1 for the thscal year ended Oct. 31, 1934.


Some wholesule grocery compraies bought cigarettes manls from companies manufncturing tobacco products and distributed them to various retailers. Data assembled by the Federal Trade Commission for 11 wholesale grocery companies for the calendar or fiscal yent 1934 or 1935 show that more than 99 percent of the 691 million cigorettes purchased were obtained from tobacco products manufactaring companies (table 19). More than 76 percent of the clollar sales of these companies were made to inclependent retail grocery stores, almost 14 percent to cooperative and voluntary chains, and about 9 percent to independent drug stores (table 20). The proportions of total sales of individunl compnoies accounted for by these outlets varied widely ( $78, p, 1048$ ).

Some retail distributors obtain most of their supplies of tobacco products from tobacco manufacturing companies. Reports of the Federal Trade Commission as to purchases of six retail distributors of tobacco products for the calendar or fiscal year 1034 show that almost all of the cigarettes and snuft and abont 88 percent of the other tobacco products handled were obtained from tobacen manafacturing companies (table 21 ).

Table 19.-Cigarette purchases of 11 wholesale procers, ${ }^{1}$ by sources of supphy, calendar or Ascal year, 1084 or $1050^{\text { }}$

| Sources of supply | Citarette purchases ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nutnler | Persent of total |
| Tobacco products manufacturing companies | $080,302,180$ $1,788,000$ | 10.74 .208 |
| Totrl cigarette purchases | 601,087,180 | 100.00 |

[^18]Table 20,-Net aollar cigarotic sales of 11 wholestale grocers, ${ }^{4}$ by chonnels of distribution, calendur or flscal year 193夕 or 1985*

| Channels of distrlbution | Net dollar cigarette sales * |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doliars | Percent of total |
| Tobacco jobbers and wholesnlers | 8,023 | 0,90 |
| Independent retail drug stores . | 350,010 | 0.35 |
| Cooperative and roluntary grocery chains | , 520.470 | 13.07 |
| Alf other consmmers and distrinutors a ; | $2,013,194$ 0,340 | 7. |
| Total net dollar stules | 3,807,646 | 100.00 |

[^19]| Sources of supply | Purclases of tobacco products |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cigarettes ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | Snan ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | All other <br> tolinceo products |  |
|  | Number | Percent of total | Pounda | Percent of total | Dollars | l'ercent of $\operatorname{toth} \mathrm{l}$ |
| Tobacco jolhers and wholesniers <br> Tobacco manufacturing comprnies | $\begin{array}{r}1,085,533 \\ 7,036,500,10.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}0.02 \\ 00.08 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 49 213,341 | 0.02 00.08 | $2,050,891$ $21,077,378$ | 12,28 <br> 87.72 |
| Total purchases or tobacco products | 7,038,075,637 | 100.00 | 210,200 | 100.00 | 24,028,278 | 100.00 |

[^20]
## Charges on Costs

The Bureau of Labor Statistics has assembled unpublished data on wholesale and retail prices of tobacco products. These data indicate that in 1939 the margin or spread between prices from manufacturers to wholesale jobbers and retnil prices averaged 22.7 percent of the price to consumers for all products combined and ranged from 21.5 percent for cigarettes to 28.8 percent for pipe tobacco. Similar data for 1010 indicate that the margins averaged 23.6 percent of the retail price for all products combined and manged from 22.7 percent for cigaretles to 28.8 percent for pipe tobacco.

Estimates made for popular brands of cigarettes in 1037 indicate that the spread between prices to jobbers and retail prices averaged 21.3 percent of prices to consumers (9). A report of the Federal Trade Commission on the distribution of the consumers' price for cigarettes shows that in 193 the wholesale and retail margins combined averaged 21.2 percent of the retail price ( $18, p, 139$ ).

Operating expenses of wholesale merehants for handling tobacco products (except leaf), as reported by the Burean of Census, avearged 4.9 percent of the selling value of the products in 1939 (talle 22). These expenses ranged from 4.2 percent of sales for wholesalers with ammal volumes of sales of 1 to 2 million dollars to 7.4 percent for wholesalers with volume of sales of $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 50,000$ and to 17.7 percent for wholesalers with volume of sales of less than $\$ 10,000$.

TABLE 22,-Numbcr of establishments, volume of sales, and operating expenses for wholesalers of fobatco products (encept leaf) by size group, 1989

| . Business-stze group | EstribIfshments | Sales | Operating expenses- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proportion } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { anles } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Number | dollar dotars | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| A11 | 2,390 | 1,006,925 | 52,600 | 4.0 |
| \$2,000,000 ald arpt. | 79 | 863,193 | 12,034 | 4.8 |
| \$1,000.000-\$1.009.099. | 175 | 239,807 | 10,005 | 4.2 |
| \$500,000- \$1190,909 | 370 338 | 250,300 131,400 | 13.012 0.780 | $\frac{8}{6} .1$ |
| \$200,000- 8009.909 | 308 | 75.416 75.300 | 4,210 | E.18 |
| \$100,000- \$109,095 | 460 | 67, 850 | 3,767 | 5.6 |
| \$60,000- \$90,009 | 310 | 23,554 | 1,498 | 0.2 |
| Fider <br> \$10,000- | 296 49 | 9180 277 | 679 40 | 17.7 |

Adapted from Census of Ifusiness, whatesate frate (19).
Information relating to wholesale prices, costs, and gross margins for 4 principal wholesale and jobbing companies which handled eigarettes, as reported by the Federal Trade Commission, shows that in $103 t$ tho wholesnlers' margins for handling cigarettes averaged almost 6 percent of the receipts from sales of the products. The price received for the cigarettes averaged 10.68 cents per packnge of 20 cigarettes, the price paid averaged 10.04 cents per package, and the gross margin averaged 0.64 cent per package. These four eompanies sold in 1034 more than 4.250 million cigarettes for a little more than $\$ 20.730,000(18, p .149)$.

Drta on wholesalexs' and on retailers' margins for cigaretes and for smoking tobacco in March 1943 were obtained as a result of a special survey made by the Bureau of Labor Statisties and the Office of Price Administration. In this survey information as to costs and selling prices of specific brands and sizes of the products was obtained by specially trained enumerators through personal interviews with wholesalers and retailers in 24 selected cities widely distributed throughout the United States. ${ }^{1 a}$ The wholesalers interviewed totaled 258 and the number of margins reported totaled 1,762 for cigarettes and 4,124 for smoking tobacco. The retailers interviewed, including independents and chains of various types, totaled 1,188 and the number of margins reported totaled 17,961 for cigarettes and 16,415 for smoking tobacco (table 23).

Tabre 23.-Number of wholesalers and retailers of tobacco products intervieaced and number of margins reportea, by type of dealer, Onited States, March 194,

| Type of denter | Dealers Interviewed | Margias reported for |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cigaretter | Smoking tobacco |
|  | Number | Nrmber | Number |
| Wholesalers- ${ }^{\text {all }}$ | 258 | \$782 | 4,224 |
| Crealt and dellvery | 168 | 1,173 | 2,860 |
| Cash and carty ... | 48 | 1286 | 2,673 |
| Subjobber .... | 48 | 303 | 50.1 |
| Jetalters, independents-nil | 987 | 14,030 | 13,33-4 |
| Cigar stores | 85 | 3,122 | 3,764 |
| Drug mtores | 175 | 2, 85.4 | 3.976 |
| Grocery stores | 28. | 3,810 | 2,868 |
| Confectioneries | 161 | 1,929 | 1,002 |
| Eotels ...... | 48 | 625 | 658 |
| Rondside stands | 55 | 583 | 483 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ | 43 | 263 | 142 |
| Chafns all | 191 | 3,331 | 3,081 |
| Cigrar stores | 20 | 461 | 572 |
| - Drug stores. | 47 | 1.024 | 1,317 |
| Grocery stores | 66 | 1,008 | 1,042 |
| Restrurants . | 23 | 204 | 103 37 |
| Rnilrond stations | 14 | 217 | 10 |
| All retalters | 1.188 | 17,981 | 16,415 |

2 Includea 9 rallroad atations and 34 vending machines.
The data were assembled by the Burenu of Labor Statistics and Ofice of Price Admintstration and made availabie by the latter agency for use ouly as industry summarles.

These data show that in March 1943 wholesalers' margins averaged about 4 percent of the selling price for cigarettes and about 5.5 percent of the selling price for smoking tobacco (tables 24 and 25). The

[^21]margins for subjobber wholesulers a veraged considerably less than did those for credit-and-delivery and for cash-and-cary wholesalers. The wider average margins shown for cash-and-carry than for credit-and-delivery wholesalers of 1 -pound packages of smoking tobacco may be accounted for in part by the fact that the sample for cash-andcarry wholesalers is small and that the arithmetic means used is not bypical of the margins included. The median margin for cash-andcarry wholesulers of these products is about the same as that for the credit-and-delivery wholesalers. Margins for individual wholesalers of the same type ranged from less than 1 to more than 8 percent of the selling price for cigarettes, and from less than 1 to more than 10 percent for smoking tobacco.

Table 24.-Tholesalers' margins for cigarettes, by type of wholesaler, in 2/ selected cities, Onited States, March 9, 1948

| $\underset{\text { (percent) }}{\text { Proportion of selling price }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Credit } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { dellyery } \end{aligned}$ | Cash and carry | Subjobber | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 7.87 and over $0.87-7.80$ | 19 |  | 9 | 21 |
| 6.87-0.85 ${ }^{0.8}$ | 41 | 19 |  | 45 |
| $4.87-5.86$. | 105 | 39 | 10 | 244 |
| 3.87-4.86 | 390 | 141 | 35 | 572 |
| $1.87-2.86$ | 271 | 54 | ${ }^{66}$ | 421 |
| $0.87-1.86$ | 129 | 0 | 131 | -06 |
| 0.86 and under | 10 | 4 | 4 | 18 |
| Total | 1,173 | 280 | 303 | 1,762 |
| Average margln | Perrent 4.2 | Percent 4.2 | Percent 2.9 | Parcent 40 |

Compiled from data assembled by che Bureau of Labor Statisties and offee of pilce Administration and made avallable by the latter agency for ase only na industry summaries.
'Tames 25.-Whotesalers' margins for smoking tobacco, by kind of packuge, and by type of toholosuler, in 24 selccted cities, Onited States, March 9, 13\%s

| Proportion of selling priee (percent) | Iype of wholesnler for <br> 1.-pound package |  |  | Type of wholesaler for small package |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Credit and delivery | $\begin{gathered} \text { Casl } \\ \text { end } \\ \text { earry } \end{gathered}$ | Sub. jobber | $\begin{gathered} \text { Credit } \\ \text { delfa } \end{gathered}$ | Cash and carry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sub- } \\ & \text { jobber } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 11.87 and over | Number | Number | Ninmber |  | Number | Number | Namber |
| 10.87-11.80 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $3$ |  | ${ }_{66}^{47}$ |
| ${ }_{8}^{8.87-10.80}$ | 48 | 27 | 4 | 18 |  | 3 | +15989 |
| 8.87- 8.80 | 94 | 12 | 3 | 5 | $\pm$ |  | 46 |
| 6.87-7.86 | 58 | 17 | 1 | 49 | $\pm$ | 6 | 141 |
| 5.87- 0.86 | 100 | 28 | 8 | 6.30 | 129 | 33 | 987 |
| $4.87-5.86$ $3.87-4.86$ | 351 | 07 | 4 | 321 | 100 | 91 | 924 |
| $\underline{2.87-3.88}$ | 271 | 27 | 2t | 203 | 44 | 139 | 060 |
| 1.87-2.86 | 80 | 2.4 | 31 | 297 | 95 | 77 | 013 |
| 0.87-1.86 | 4 | 1 | $2{ }^{3}$ | $4 \frac{1}{8}$ | 6.3 | 73 26 | + 2 |
| . 80 and | 11. |  | 2 | 3 |  | 1.4 | 30 |
| Total | 1, 1.12 | 23 if | 123 | 1,748 | 437 | 408 | 4,124 |
| Avernge margin | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ 0.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 4.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 5.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 5.0 \end{array}$ | Percent 4.1 | $\text { Percent } 5.5$ |

[^22]Retailers* operatiag margins for 43 cigar stores and cigar stands engaged primarily in retailing cigarettes, cigars, and tobacco, as reported by Dun $\&$ Bradstreet, Lnc., averaged 23.9 percent of net sales in $1939\left(0^{\circ}\right)$. According to census reports, operating expenses of cigar stores and cigar stands, including payroll but not inciuding compensation for propmetors of unincorporated businesses, a veraged 19.5 percent of sales in $1935,23.0$ percent in 1933 , and 21.0 percent in 1929 ( $10, v .1, p .18$ ). Many cigar stores and cigar stands also handle newspapers and magazmes, ctundy, novelues, and soda-founcian products, and it may be that the margms or expenses shown for these stoxes do not refleor accurately the retalers margins for handing tobacco products.

Data assembled by the Federal Hrade Commission on sales, cosis, and gross margins tor $t$ principal chains of retal tobacco and drug stores in 1934 show that the retalers' margins for cigarettes averaged about 12.9 percent of the retail price $(18, p .142)$. Retail prices of the cigarettes a veraged 12.68 cents per package of 20 , the costs to retailers averaged 11.05 cents, and the retalers' gross margins averaged 1.63 cents.

Information as to costs of tobaceo products to retailers and on retail prices, assembled by the Burena of Labor Statistics and the Ollice of Price Administration, shows that, in March 19.43 , retailers' margins for cigarettes sold by independent dealers areraged about 14 percent of the retail price for one-package sales, 13 percent for two-package sales, and 9 percent for carton sales (table $2(\mathrm{j}$ ). Similur. data for chain dealers show average margins of 12 percent, 11 percent, and 9 percent, respectively (table 27 ).

Average margins for the dillerent types of indepenclent stores ranged from 13 to 18 percent of the retail price for one-package sales, 12 to 17 percent for two-package sales, and 7 to 15 percent for carton sales. Similar averuges for chain stores show ranges from 9 to 18 percent for one-package sales, 8 to 20 percent for two-package sales, and 7 to 20 percent for carton sales. Retailers' margins reported by different stores of the same type ranged from less than 2 percent to more than 20 percent of the retail price of the cigarettes.

Retailers' margins for smoking tobacco, particularly for the smaller packages, usually were considerably greater than were those for cigarettes. Data relating to the costs of the tobacco products and to retail prices show that, in March 1943 , retailers' margins for smoking tobacco sold in small packages at independent stores averaged 23 percent of the retail prices for one-package sales for all stores combined and the averages by type of store ranged from 22 percent for drug stores to 26 percent for restaurants (table 28). For twopackage sales, retailers' margins averaged 22 percent of the retail prices for all stores combined and the averages by type of store ranged from 21 percent for cigar and drug stores to 20 percent for restaurants. The margins for smoking tobacco sold in small packages at chain drug stores averaged 18 percent of the retail prices for one-package sales and 14 percent for two-packare sales. The margins reported for different stores of the same kind ranged from less than 2 percent to more than 35 percent of the retail price.

Table 20.-Retailers' margins for cigarettes sola by independent stores, oy size of sale and by type of retailer in e4 selected cilics, United States, Hurch 9, 1948

| Proportion of selling price (percent) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cigar } \\ & \text { stores } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drug } \\ & \text { stores } \end{aligned}$ | Grocery stores | Confec- <br> tionery | Restaurants | Hotels | Rondside stanids | Other ${ }^{1}$ | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\text {Number }} 4$ | Number 7 | ${ }^{\text {Fumber }} 7$ | Number | Number 5 | ${ }^{\text {Number }}$ | $\text { Number } 2$ | Number 14 | Number 57 |
| 21-23 and orer |  | $\therefore 25$ | 48 | $\cdots$ is | 40 | 30 |  | 40 | 535 |
| 18 -20 | 198 | 133 | 190 | 120 | - 112 | 61 |  | 42 | 902 1.699 |
| 15-17 | 341 | 306 | 338 | - 250 | 259 | 18 | 86 | - 28 | 1,6992 |
| 12-14 | 131 | 278 | ${ }_{2}^{429}$ | 100 | 148 | 10 | 30 | - 28 | 1.759 |
| 6-11 | 199 | 124 | 109 | 100 |  | 10 | 37 | 15 | 704 |
| 3-5 | 29 | 24 30 | 40 26 | 3 |  |  | 7 3 |  | 131 |
| 2 and under | -1,172 |  | $\frac{26}{1,463}$ |  | 606 | 230 | 269 | 191 | 5,907 |
| Total | 1,172 | 1,090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average margin | Percent 13 | crent 13 | Percent <br> 1.3 | $\text { Percent } 13$ | Percon 15 | $\text { Percent } 17$ | Percent 13 | Percent 13 | ${ }^{\text {Parcent }} 14$ |
| Two-package sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 and over | Number | Number ${ }_{4}$ | Number | Number | Number 5 | Number 13 | umber ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }^{\text {ramber }}$ | Number $30$ |
| 21-3 3 - | 13 | 11 | $3{ }^{31}$ | $\div$ |  | 28 | 1 | 5 | 111 |
| 18 | 112 |  | 151 | + 183 | 953 | 88 | 40 |  | 1,233 |
| ${ }_{1}^{15}$ | 221 | $\begin{array}{r}196 \\ 943 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 814 | 908 | 115 | 14 | 60 | 3 | 1,214 |
| 9-11 | 188 | 247 | 362 | 169 | 61 | 9 | 40 | 10 | 1,092 |
| 6-8 | 158 | 139 | 153 | 100 | 38 | 4 | 15 | ${ }^{7}$ | 614 287 |
| ${ }_{2}^{3-5}$ and und | 105 | 50 | $\frac{72}{37}$ | 18 |  | ${ }_{6}$ | 3 |  | 114 |
| Total | 1,070 | 983 | 1,405 | 753 | 500 | 220 | 237 | 54 | 5,312 |
| Average margin | $\text { Percent } 12$ | Percent <br> 12 | Percent 12 | Percent 12 | rrent 15 | ${ }_{17}$ | ${ }^{\text {Percent }} 14$ | $\overline{\text { Percent }}$ | Percent 13 |
| Carton sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 and over | Number 1 | Number 1 | Number | Number | Number ${ }_{1}$ | Number 5 | Number | Number | Number 8 |
| 21-23. |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  | ${ }^{27}$ |
| 18-20 | 31 |  |  |  |  | 36 |  |  | 130 |
| $15-17$ | 111 | 88 | 58 130 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 318 |
| 12-14 ${ }^{19}$ | ${ }_{208}^{111}$ | 8i 81 | ${ }_{292}^{136}$ | $\begin{array}{r}30 \\ 42 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 44 | 23 | 10 |  | 792 |
| $0-8$ | 264 | - 281 | 283 | 110 | 23 | 5 | 24 | $\stackrel{4}{3}$ | 997 |
| 3-5..... | 140 39 | 114 43 | $\stackrel{94}{54}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 3 | 431 178 |
| Total | 880 | 781 | 042 | 296 | 158 | 109 | 77 | 18 | 3,321 |
| Average margin | Percent ${ }_{0}$ | Percent 9 | ${ }^{\text {Percent }} 0$ | ${ }_{\text {Percent }}^{8}$ | Percent $^{12}$ | $\text { Percent } 15$ | Percent 9 | Percent 7 | Percent 9 |

${ }^{1}$ Railroad stations and rending machines.
Compiled from data assembled by the Burea of Labor Statistice and Oflec of Price Administration and made arailable by the latter agency for use only as industry summaries.

Tarde 27.-Retailers' margins for cigarettcs sold by chain stores, bu size of satc and by kind of retailer in 24 selected cities, United States, Mrach 0,1043

One-pneknge sales

| Praportion of selling price (percent) | Clyar stores | Mrug stores | Girocery stores | 1 Cotels | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Restaul- } \\ & \text { runts } \end{aligned}$ | Ralltond statlons | AII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 ard | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} A+u b c \end{array}$ | Number | Number | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \text { Xumber } \\ \hdashline \mathrm{g} \end{array}$ | Number | Number | Nimber |
| $21 \sim 3$ | 15 |  | 3 | 10 | \% | 13 | $\overline{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$ |
| 38-30 | 30 | 28 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 107 |
| 15-17 | $\bigcirc 0$ | 68 | 33 | 16 | $3{ }^{35}$ | 3. | -35 |
| 12-14 | 33 | ${ }^{+6}$ | 94 | 8 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 13\end{aligned}$ | 19 | 188 |
| $\xrightarrow{0-2.1}$ | 31 10 | 95 | 73 | -8 | 13 | 9 | 203 |
| $3-5$ |  | 27 | $\frac{8}{12}$ |  | 1 |  | 110 |
| 2 and |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Total | 101 | $3 \cdot 4$ | 405 | 122 | 112 | 8.1 | 1,299 |
| Avernge marsin | Pcrent | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc} 12 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | Parcent | Icrecent |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Perent } \\ 15 \end{gathered}\right.$ | I'crectit <br> 1" |

Two-package sales

| St mudo | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { ximber } \\ \underline{-} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | Number | Number 8 | Number |  | Ner. $10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21.23 | $1 . \overline{7}$ |  |  | 41 |  | $\overline{3}$ | 97 |
| $18-29$ | 9 | $\stackrel{3}{7}$ | 3 | 21 | fi | 9 | +10 |
| 15-17 | 46 | 33 | 3. | 18 | 35 | 9.4 | 100 |
| $1{ }^{3}-1$ | 0 | 06 | So |  | a0 | 10 | 297 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}-1$ | 17 | 93 | 68 |  | 20 | 9 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 3-5 |  | 43 | 7.5 |  | $\square$ | . . . . . . | 12 |
| 2 nnil 4 |  | 17 | 58 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 16 | 3.3 | 35.4 | 1) 3 | 103 | 76 | 1,1+7 |
| Average margill | lecrepht 1.1 | percent | Percent | $\overline{\operatorname{rcrent}}$ | $\underset{\substack{12}}{ }$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} \text { sercent } \\ 1.1 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 1 \end{array}$ |

Carton sales

|  | Namber | Number | Number | Number | Wamber | Number | Strmber |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uland over | 兰 |  |  | 115 |  |  | J4 |
| 21-23.... |  |  |  | 27 |  |  | 36 |
| $18-20$ | 10 | T ${ }^{\frac{9}{4}}$ |  | 11 |  | 3 | 68 |
| 15-17 | \% | 7-1 | $3{ }^{i}$ |  | $\frac{\pi}{5}$ | 8 | 101 |
| 12-14 | $\stackrel{7}{8}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | i' | $\div$ | 1 5 | 21 |
| 6-8 | +1 | 97 | 87 |  | 12 | 10 | 9rn |
| $3-5$ | 9 | 79 | 41. |  |  |  | 120 |
| 2 andiander |  | 38 | (0) |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.31 | 33.4 | 300 | 63 | 30 | 87 | 0\%6 |
| Averuge margin | iorest | Percent | irrecht | $\begin{array}{\|c} \operatorname{rarch} t \\ 20 \end{array}$ | Perccnt | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | Percen! |

[^23]Taple 98.-Retailers' margins for smoking tobaceo sold in small packages by independent sfores of specifled kinds, in e. 4 selected cifies, Uniled States, March 9, 1043

One-pachage sales

| proportion of selling price (percent) | Cigar stores | Conicetionery | Drus stores | Grocery stores | Restaucatits | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 and over | Number | Number | 3tinber | צ'umber | N゙umber | Number |
| 30-39 .... | 10 | 15 |  | '5 |  | 39 |
| 33-3\% | 07 | 47 | 114 | 54 | $63^{\circ}$ | 375 |
| 30-32 | 59 | 24 | 49 | 37 | 23 | 172 |
| 24-26 | 578 | 265 | -160 | 565 | 117 | 1. 478 |
| $21-23$ | 355 | 213 | 311 | 32.1 | 89 | 1,296 |
| 18-20 | 335 | 204 | 275 | 248 | 30 | 1.008 |
| $15 \mathrm{-17}$ | 80 | (3.5 | 116 | 79 | 11 | 3 T 0 |
| 12-1.1 | 11 | 13 | +4 | 17 | $\pm$ | 119 |
| $0-11$ | 54 | 1. | 75 | 40 | 1 | 384 |
| 6-8 | 39 | 9 | 30 | 7 | ........ | 85 |
| ${ }_{2}$ and undeg | 10 | 3 | 8 | 4 |  | 17 |
|  | 1,822 | 917 | 1,500 | 1,401 | 410 | 0,230 |
| Avernge margin | Percent ${ }_{2}$ | Pcrcent ${ }_{-3}$ | Percent | Percent 8 | Percent ${ }_{20}$ | Percent $\because 3$ |

Two-package sales

| 39 and over | Thamber | Fumber 1 | Ytamer | Number | Sumber | Number ${ }_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30-38 .... | 16 |  | 6 | 5 |  | 33 |
| 33-35 | 45 | 912 | 77 | 41 | $2{ }^{2}$ | 210 |
| 30-32 | 51 | $\frac{23}{3}$ | 18 | 30 | 10 | 138 |
| $24-20$ | 39319 | 18.1 | 051 | 396 | 49 | 1330 1.220 |
| ¢1-23 | 2 傌 | 122 | 296 | 263 | 47 | (913 |
| 18-20 | 270 | 176 | 231 | 308 | 3. | 1,017 |
| 15-17 | 67 | 70 | 65 | 77 | 8 | 287 |
| 12-1.4 | 61 | 12 | 53 | 36 | 4 | 160 |
| $9-11$ | 48 | 90 | 68 | 42 |  | 178 |
| C- <br> 3 <br> -5 | 61 19 | 10 | 39 | 19 |  | 195 151 |
| $\underline{2}$ num under | 5 | 3 | 10 | 4 |  | 22 |
|  | 1,323 | 687 | 1,125 | 1,330 | - 11 | 4,706 |
| Average margin | Percent | Pcrcent ${ }_{2}$ | Percent 21 | Percent 22 | Perreat 25 | Percent 22 |

Complied from data assembled by the Burean of Labor Statisties and Oflice of Price Administration nad made availnble by the latter agency for use only as Industry summarles.

The data for smoking tobacco sold in $21 / 4$ ounce prelkages show that the margins for independent retailers averaged 25 percent of the retail price and ranged from less than 12 percent to more than 30 percent (table 29). Similar data for chain stores show that retailers' margins averaged about 10 percent of retail prices for all stores combined and the averuges by kind of store ranged from 16 percent for grocery stores to 26 percent for hotels and railroad stations (table 30). Retailers' margins reported for stores of the same kind ranged from less than 5 to more than 35 percent of the retail price.

Data as to sale of smoking tobiceo sold in 1-pound packages show that the retailers' margins, in March 1943 , averuged 13 percent of the retail prices for independent and for chain stores (table 31). The averages by types of indepencient stores ranged from 12 percent for drug stores to 14 percent for cigur stores and confectioneries. Similar
averages for chain stores ranged from 12 percent for drug stores and grocery stores to 15 percent for cigar stores and to 17 percent for hotels and railroad stations. Retailers' margins reported for stores of the same kind ranged from less than 5 to more than 30 percent of the retail price.

Tamee 29.-Retailers' margins for smoking tobacco sold by independent retailers of specifiea kinds in $21 / 4$ ounce packages, in 24 selected cities, United States, Harch 0,1943

| I'roportion of selling price (percent) | ITotels | Rallroad stations | Rondslde stands | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Vumber | Number |
| 36 nanl over | 10 | 8 | 2 | 26 |
| 3335 | 119 | 19 | 48 | 184 |
| $27-20$ | 3 3 | 17 | 27 | 78 |
| 24-20 | 110 | 36 | 198 | 394 |
| 21-23 | 107 | 27 | 68 | 202 |
| 18.20 | 134 | 17 | 9 | 220 |
| 15-17 | 㥒 | 10 |  | 60 38 |
| 12-14 and wabr | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | 10 | $\stackrel{3}{8}$ | - |
| Total | 040 | 142 | 479 | 1,267 |
| Avernge margis | Percent 25 | Percert 25 | Percent 24 | Percent $25$ |

Compled from data assembled by the bureatu of Lahor Statiatics and Onlice of Prece Admindstration and mude nvalable by the later agency for use only as ladustry summarleg.

Tabre 30.-Rctailers' margins for smoking toburco sold by chains in $21 / 4$ ounce packayes, in 2/ selected citics, United States, arurch 9, 19月3

| Pronortlon of selling price (percent) | Char stores | 1)rug stores | Girocery stores | Botels | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ilestan- } \\ \text { rants } \end{gathered}$ | Rallroad stutions | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Nunber | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 36 and over .. | 3 | 19 |  | 34 | 12 | 14 | 78 |
| 33-35 $30 . . .$. | 41 | 10 | 24 | $-7$ | 2 | $\square^{\circ}$ | 331 |
| $27-20$ | 98 | 79 | 73 | 60 | 13 | 85 | 408 |
| 24-20 | 71 | 71 | 63 | 48 | 24 | 20 | 306 |
| 21-23. | 53 | 93 | 92 | 68 | 14 | 43 | 315 |
| 18-20. | 41 | 59 | 10.8 | 20 | 18 | 3 | 179 |
| 12-1.4 | 31 | 200 | 10.4 |  | 8 |  | 403 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{-11}$. | 13 | 155 | 122 |  |  |  | 290 |
| 6.. 8 . | 8 | 100 | 89 |  |  |  | 197 |
| 2 anat wnder : | 21 | ${ }^{2}$ | 24 | 4 |  |  | 58 |
| Total | 406 | 047 | 895 | 271 | 103 | 212 | 2,834 |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Average margin .. | 22 | 17 | 18 | 26 | 25 | 20 | 19 |

[^24]Tabs.E 31.-Retailers' marging for 1-pound packages of smoking tobacco sold by independent and chain retailers of epecified kinds, in 24 selected cities, United States, 3farch 9, 1948

Independent retajers

| Eroportion of selling price (perceut) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clgar } \\ & \text { store } \end{aligned}$ | ConfecHouery | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drug } \\ & \text { store } \end{aligned}$ | Grocery | Other stores | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 and aver | Numbacr | Number | Number | Number | Number ${ }^{1}$ | Number ${ }_{23}$ |
| 3t-29 and over | 29 |  | 4 |  |  | 33 |
| $24-26$ | 41 |  | 7 | 2 |  | 63 |
| 21-23 | 42 |  | 20 |  |  | 69 |
| 18-20 | 50 | 7 | 34 | 8 | 11 | 112 |
| 16-17 | 70 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 178 |
| 12-14 | +10 | 8 | 67 | ${ }_{6}$ | 3 | 109 |
| 6-8 | 94 | 5 | 60 | B | 8 | 173 |
| 3-5 | 48 | 2 | 28 | 8 |  | 86 |
| 2 and unde | 17 |  | 34 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Total | 019 | 58 | 356 | 47 | 45 | 1,125 |
| Average margin | Percent | Percent ${ }_{14}$ | Percentt ${ }_{12}$ | Percant 13 | Percent 13 | Percent 13 |

Chain retailers

|  | Number | Number | Number | Nunber | Number ${ }^{2}$ | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37-20 \%ner |  |  | 13 |  |  | 35 |
| 24-26 | \% |  | 10 | 1 |  | 17 |
| $21-23$ | 20 |  | 20 33 | $\stackrel{4}{10}$ |  | 84 |
| 18-20 | 19 |  | $\begin{array}{r}33 \\ 43 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 87 |
| 20-14 | 10 |  | 44 | 40 | 4 | 131 |
| $9-11$ | 19 |  | 74 | 28 | 2 | 123 |
| 6-8 | 22 |  | 69 | 23 |  |  |
| 3 3-5 ${ }_{2}$ and under | 9 |  | 33 26 | 9 |  | 51 |
| Total | 160 |  | 370 | 147 | 47 | 730 |
| A.vetage margin | Pcraent 15 | Percent | Percent 12 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 17 \end{array}$ | Percent 13 |

Ineludes hotels, restaurants, and rondside stands.
Includes hoteis, and ralliond stations.
Compilet from data assembled by the Bureau of Yabor Statistles and Omice of Price Adminforation and made qualnble by the latter ageney for use only as imbustry summarles.

## Items Incleded in Margins

Census reports show that in 1039 total pay rolls, including paid executives of corporations but not the compensation of proprietors of unincorporated businesses, averaged about hatf of the total margin for wholesalers of tobacco products. Other individual items of expense are not shown separately in census reports but analysis of operating expenses of wholesale margins, including pay rolls, shows that the principal items of cost included in margins for wholesalers of tobacco products are selling and administrative expenses. Census reports indicate that in 1030 seiling expenses averared about 1.6 percent of the selling price and about 32.6 percent of the wholesalers' gross margins or costs (table 32). Cost of administration averaged about 1.3 percent of the selling price and about 26.5 percent of the gross margins or costs. Data for other items of cost show that delivery expenses averaged 0.7 percent of total sales and about 14.3 percent of the gross margins. Occupancy expenses averaged 0.6 percent of total sales and 12.2 percent of the margins. Warehousing
expenses avemged 0.t perent of total sales and 8.2 percent of the margins. ()ther expenses averged 0.3 percent of total sales and 6.1 percent of the margins.

The relative imporance of some of the items of expense for wholesalers varied considerably with the rolume of business (table 32). 'The proportion of the selliner priee ateounted for by costs of administwation, delivery expenses and ocopancy decreased considerably with incretses in the volume of ales. Arerare administrative expenses tecreased trom 1,5 perent of the selling price for wholesalers with annal rolumes of business of $\$ 200.000$ to $\$ 300,000$ to 1.2 pereent for those with a volume of sales of $\$ 1,000,000$ or more. Average delivery expenses decreased from 1.1 percent of the selling price for wholesalers with ammal solumes of business of $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 200,000$ to $0 . \dot{5}$ percent for those with a volume ot sales of $\$ 2,000,000$ and over. Aremge costs of wecupaney derreased from 1.2 percent of the selling price for wholesilers with amual volume of sales of $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 00,000$ to 0.4 perent for those with a volume of sales of $\$ 2,000,000$ and over. Expenses of selling. whehonsinge, and other varied imregularly with volume of sales.

Tabre 32.-Operating eapenses of tholesale merchants whose sales of tobacco products amountea to 100,000 aollass or more, United Slutes, 1939

| Lusiness size group | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Establish } \\ \text { ments } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Volume of sales | Operating expenses including pay roll as proportion of sales. ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Active proprietors of mincorporated businesses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Ailministrative | Selling | Delivery | Warehouse | Oceupancy | Other |  |
| All | $\underset{1,000}{\substack{\text { Number }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { Dollars } \\ 723,441 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{4.9}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ \hline 1.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { percent } \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | Percent 0.7 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ 0.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 0.3 \end{array}$ | Numbers 837 |
| S2,000,000 and 0 | 61 | 108,659 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | . 5 | . 5 |  |  | 51 |
| \$1,000,000-1, 0099.999 | 128 | 176,920 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | .6 | .4 | .5 | . 3 | 82 |
| \$ 300,000- 490,999 | 1266 204 204 | 184,274 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | . 8 | 4 | . 7 | 4 | 174 |
| * 200,000- 299,099 | 202 | 49,964 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 | . 8 | . 4 | .9 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | 1.488 |
| \$ 100,000-109,909 | 229 | 33,804 | 6.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.1 | . 3 | 1.2 | .4 | 194 |

${ }^{-}$Operating expenses finclude no compensition for active proprietors of unt neorporated businesses.
Abstracted from Census of Business: 1999, Wholesale Trade. (13)

Census data for 15,504 cigar stores and cigar stands in 1030 show that total pay rolls, including salaries of prid execatives of corporations but no compensation for active proprietors of unincorporated businesses, accounted lor about 7 percent of total sales (12). l3ut the number of active proprictors of unincorporated businesses exceeded the number of employees. Other dath on items of costs included in retail margins for cigar stores and cigar stantds show that in 1980 salaries and wages accounted for 14 percent of net sules and 58.6 percent of the gross margins (table 33 ). Occupancy expenses averaged 5.6 percent of net sales and 23.4 percent of the gross margins. Prolits averaged 2.3 percent of net sales and 0. in percent of $^{2}$ the margins. The proportions of the retailes' margins acconnted for by advertising, bad-debt losses. and other expenses were relatively: small, as shown in table 33.
'Iame 38,-Net sales, costs, anä margins for cigar stores and cigar stands engagea primerily in retailing cigarettes, aigars, and other tobaccos, Uniled states, fint


${ }^{1}$ Abont 07 pereent of the concerns were renting.
 cienoy and lirofts in Pifty Trades (3).

## Means of Reducing. Costs

Means of reducing wholesalers' and retailers' margins for the distribution of tobacco products include increases in general efficiency and reductions in the services rendered. Methods of increasing general efficiency involve problems of organization and operation, personnel selection and management, location of places of business. number and kinds of commodities handled, volume of operation, and purchase and sales policies, among others. But available information on the various elements involved is so limited that the extent to which it would be feasible to reduce these margins by improvements in general efficiency cannot be indicated very definitely.
Insofar as the relation of volume of business to wholesalers' margins is concerned, data indicate that these margins might be reducel somewhat if the volume of business for many wholesalers were increased. Margins for wholesalers with an annual volume of sales of $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 200,000$ in 1939 averaged about 39 percent greater than those for wholesalers with an annual volume of sales of $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 2,000,000$ (13). Occupancy, delivery, and administrative expenses show considerable decreases from the smaller to the larger groups.
Data on retailers' margins by kind of retailer indicate that some reductions in average retailers' margins could be effected if a larger
proportion of sales were concentrated in the lower cost outlets. But. obviously, convenience to the consumers, in many instances, may be a limiting factor. Retailers' margins for cigarettes sold one package at a time, as reported by retailers in $2 t$ selected cities in March 1943 , ranged from an average of 9 percent of the selling price for chain grocery stores to 18 percent for hotels. The avenge mati-up for smoking tobacco sold in 1 -pound packages, as reported by retailers in $3 . t$ selected cities in March 19:3, ranged from an average of it percent of the selling price for deug stores, ehain grocery stores, and roadsicle stamels, to e 6 percent for hotels. Similn data on retail margins for smoking tobacco sold in $21 / 1$ ounce packages show that in March 1943 retailers' margins ranged from an average 16 percent of the retail price for chaingrocery stores to 2 a perent for hotels.

Some reduction in retailers margins might be made in many jnstances by increasing the sizo of the unit of sale. There again the convenience of consumers may be a limiting factor. Retailers' margins for cigarettes sold by independent retailers of varions kinds in March 1943 averaged 14 percent of the retail price for cigarettes sold one package at a time, 13 percent for cigarettes sold two packages at a time and 9 percent for cigarettes soid in cartons. Data on retailers mark-up for smoking tobacco show that in March 1943 these mark-ups averaged 16 percent for tobacco sold in 1-pound packages and 31 per('ent for that sold in small packages. Retailers' margins for smoking tobaceo in 24 selected citjes in March $19+3$ averaged 13 percent of the retail price for tobacco sold in 1-pound packages and about 23 percent for that sold in smatl packuges.
The fensibility of attempting to reduce retailers' marens for tobacco products by increasing the proportion clistributed thiough the lower: cost outlets and by increasing the size of the unit of sale would obyiously depend to a considerable extent upon the demands of consumers and the conditions under which these demands were met. Where differences in convenience are great enough to make informed consumers willing to pay the necessary costs of the additional services. no net gain would yesult from shifts to the lower-cost ontlets and to Ihe larger units of sale. Athough many consmem will wish to continue to buy in small lots at most convenient points, the a mailability of tobacco products in more low-cost types of outlets no doubt would promote some shifts in purchases. This is a part of a larger problem of encouraging such retail outlets in the sille of fool prodincts, as Ireated in mother report to be issued by this l3urean.

## Importance of Reductions in Costs

Margins tor wholesaling and refailing tolace products in 1939 averaged abont 22.7 percent of the retail price and about twice as much as the returns to growers in the [Tinted States for the lear tobaceo used in their manufacture. Retailers' margins alone avenged about one-sixth of the retail price and about one and one-half times the relum to farmers in this country for the leat tobacco used. $\Lambda$ reduction of 10 percent, for example, in wholesalers and retailers' margins combined would amount to about as much as a reduction of 8. 3 percent, in retail prices and 3.6 percent in manufacturers' margins, or to abont 19.4 percent of the returns to growers in the United States for the leaf tobacco used. A reduction of 10 percent in retnilers'
margins slone would about equal a reduction of almost 2 percent of retail prices and about 3 percent of manufacturexs' margins, or about 16 percent of the returns to growers in the United States for the leaf tobacco used. This merely indicates that even small percentage reductions in retail margins could have a considerable effect on returns to growers.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Price-control progrms carried on during the war and prospective readjustments to peacetime conditions emphasizo a long standing need for more infornation on marketing margins and marketing costs regarding tobacco and tobacco products. Consequently data have been assembled on margins oz cosis for making the various conversions and for rendering the various services incident to taking leaf tobacco from farms and delivering the finished product to ultimate consumers.
listimates, based on ollicial and other data and on other information, have been made to show the arerage distribution of the consumer's dollar paid for tobacco products in 1939, the last "normal" prewar year. Data on margins for the various agencies and services available lor this purpose are not complete and in some instances are not strictly comparable. Thercfore, some liberties were taken in approximating margins on the basis of this matcrial. Furthermore, the estimated margins were adjusted to approximate the farm-toretail price spreads calculated by the Bureau of Agricultural Economics.

The results show that the margins or costs of making the various conversions and of rendering the various services incident to taking leaf-tobacco from tarms and delivering the tobacco products to consumers along with Federal and State excise taxes were so great that in 1939 returns to growers for the Americim tobacco used averaged only about one-eighth of the consumer's dollar paid for the finished goods. The proportion of the consumer's dollar paid for tobacco products that goes to farmers for the American tobacco usually varies directly with changes in farm prices of tobacco.

Marketing and manufacturing margins usually cover costs of rendering such services as assembling, selling, 'storing, (inancing, manufacturing, transporting, wholesaing, and retailing. These services are rendered by a number of agencies. Estimates of the average distribution of the consumers' dollar for all tobacco products combined show that in 1939, about 11.6 percent went to growers for Americin tobacco, 3.6 percent for tobacco imported, 1.2 percent to wholesalers of leaf tobacco, 25.2 percent to manufacturers, 36 percent for Federal and State excise toxes, 4 percent to wholesalers of tobacco products, and 18.4 percent to retailers.

The margins for the various conversions and services were broken down to show the relative importance of the cost items included. The grouping of these items varied considerably from one agency to another and some liberties were taken in estimating and combining the cost items. The results indicate that Federal and State excise taxes accounted for about 36 percent of the retail price for all tobacco products combined; salaries and wages, 17.8 percent; advertising,
4.2 percent; operating profits for all agencies, except farmers, 10.3 percent; and all other expenses of manufacturing and distributing the products averaged about 16.5 percent.

These dhta on margins or costs for the various rgencies, along with other information, were used as a basis for indicating the menns by which and the extent to which it might be feasible to reduce these margins or costs and the relative importance of such reductions. The means suggested for making these rectuctions apply to specific agencies, functions, or items and, in a number of instances, possibilities for bringing about considerable reductions are indicated. It was pointed out, for example, that if substantial reductions were made in Federal and State excise taxes on tobacco products, in net operating profits of manufacturers, and in costs of advertising, the farm-to-retail price spread for tobacco products might be reduced considerably. These three items accounted, on the average, for about half of the retail price in 1939, and were more than 4 times as great as the returns to growers in the United States for the leaf tobacco userl. A mmber of means were indicated for reducing margins for wholesalers and retailers. A reduction of 10 perecnt in their combined margin would amount to more than a decrease of 2 percent in the retail price and to almost as much as an increase of 20 percent in returns to growers for the American tobnceo used. But it is not known to what extent such reductions in margins wonld be reflected in lower prices to consumers of the finished products, on the one hand. and in higher prices to frowers of lead tobareo. on the other.

Data showing distribution of the consumer's dollar paid for tobace products may serve as a basis for indicating the relative impurtance of bringing about increased efficiency and reductions in margins or costs for the rarious agencies and functions involved. According to these data, margins for marketing leaf tobacer in 1039 amounted to less than 1 percent of the consumer's collat: Mantacturers' margins amounted to about one-fourth; Federal and State excise taxes, 36 perent; and wholesalers' and retalers' margins combined amounted to more than one-fifth of the retail value of the finished products. A reduction of 10 percent in Federal and State excise taxes, for cxample, would amount to more than one-fourth of the returns to growers for the American tobareo used. A similar reduction in margins for manufacturers, wholesalers, and retailers would amount to more than one-thitel of the returns to growers and to almost 5 percent of the costs of the finished products to consumers.

Nthough differences in the size of the margins for the varions agencies and functions are important considerations. they may not reflect accurately the relative opportunities for making savings in costs that con be passed back to growers or on to consumers. But rlata which show the rlistribution of the consumer's dollar for tobacco products may be used to good advantage in apportioning the efforts to jucrease cificiency and to reduce costs on the basis of the relative importance of the agencies or functions involved. Data on the items included in the margins for the various agencies may serve ns a basis for denling with the problems of incrensing the efficiency and reducing the margins or costs of these agencies.
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