

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Keeping the Borders Open



Proceedings of the Eighth Agricultural and Food Policy Systems Information Workshop

KEEPING THE BORDERS OPEN







Edited by

R.M.A. Loyns Karl Meilke Ronald D. Knutson Antonio Yunez-Naude

January 2004

Edited by:
R.M.A. Loyns
Karl Meilke
Ronald D. Knutson
Antonio Yúnez-Naude

Page Layout/CoverDesign by:

David P. Ernstes

Agricultural and Food Policy Center, Texas A&M University

Published by: Texas A&M University University of Guelph El Colegio de México

Printed by: Friesen Printers Winnipeg Manitoba

Cover images courtesy of Microsoft® Design Gallery Live (http://dgl.microsoft.com/)

Includes bibliographic references and earlier publication references.

- 1. Agricultural and Food Policy 2. Trade Agreements/Trade Disruptions and Disputes
- 3. Health/Plant and Animal Protection/Food Safety 4. Domestic Trade Law
- 5. Canada/Mexico/United States
- I. R.M.A. Loyns II. Karl Meilke (University of Guelph) III. Ronald D. Knutson (Texas A&M) IV. Antonio Yunez-Naude (El Colegio de México) V. Friesen Printers (Winnipeg)

All rights reserved. Readers are encouraged to use, quote and distribute this material freely. We request only that full citation of author and source. The Policy Disputes Information Consortium and sponsors of the Workshop assume no responsibility beyond that of presentation, for views, opinions and conclusions expressed by authors, presenters and discussants.

Printed in Canada Copyright © 2004 ISBN Catalogue January 2004

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

KEEPING THE BORDERS OPEN

NAFTA has made major positive contributions to farmers, agribusinesses, and consumers. These contributions include reductions in regulation and trade barriers; reduced prices for many food products; increased efficiency of production, processing, and distribution; increased demand for farm products; increased trade; and reduced inflation. Business and trade relations among the participants in food production, processing, and distribution have been significantly improved. NAFTA's strength relies on day-to-day working relationships, frequent ministerial contact, and effective institutions at the working level.

Need for NAFTA Leadership

The risk of trade disruption is one of the most significant risks facing agriculture. Despite the gains, NAFTA has neither accomplished free trade nor has it achieved an adequate level of trade harmony. Several areas not dealt with in the agreement continue to limit trade and cause stress. In particular, domestic farm policies and trade remedy laws limit the gains from trade that NAFTA could provide.

In order to continue the momentum of gains and to reduce the incidence of impediments, there is need to provide NAFTA with the tools to facilitate actions that reduce policy stress and stimulate national and supranational trade and economic development. This will require new institutional arrangements within the NAFTA framework to provide leadership for making further improvements in trade relations.

A visionary leadership body that speaks for North American agriculture was proposed to be established at the supranational level within NAFTA. This body would evaluate progress in achieving NAFTA's objectives; identify and evaluate sources of trade frictions; and be an active advocate, mediator, and participant in recommending outcomes that foster benefits for North American farmers, agribusinesses, and consumers. This voice would search for mutually beneficial solutions rather than pursue the confrontational, protectionist, short-run, and nationalistic interests that continue to detract from the positive NAFTA contributions.

Need for National Restraint

While NAFTA initially resulted in a reduction in SPS barriers, antidumping, and countervailing duty actions; recent increases are notable and concerning. These actions, more often than not, have frivolous, retaliatory, costly, and risk-increasing characteristics that seriously undermine NAFTA as an institution.

If NAFTA's benefits are to be realized, national restraint must be exercised to avoid taking backward steps in terms of trade and trading rules that deter trade expansion and thus thwart the gains that have already been achieved. Specific concerns include the imposition or maintenance of sanitary and phytosanitary (SPS) trade barriers that are not based on science, anti-dumping (AD) actions, countervailing duties (CVD), and increased agricultural subsidies by NAFTA countries. Individual countries should always consider the economic impacts of their actions on other member countries.

Counterproductive Policies

Agricultural prices are determined by competitive forces of supply and demand that are subject to considerable seasonal and cyclical variation and in some cases, a high degree of perishability. Consequently, most agricultural prices periodically and predictably fall below total costs of production, a main standard for findings of dumping. While injury may be demonstrated in such instances, it often results from normal market adjustments to relative supply or demand conditions and is likely reflected on the world market for these commodities. When no price differences can be demonstrated between the countries involved, it is a clear indicator of a competitive market. These price characteristics should be recognized when dumping cases are brought.

Countervailing duty cases often result from perceptions of farm subsidies and sometimes from their reality. Subsidies usually result in lower prices to producers in other NAFTA countries and higher producer costs in the subsidizing country. The higher production costs are the result of capitalization of subsidies into the value of land and other capital assets, which increase rental rates and asset prices. Countervailing duty cases have doubtful impact on modifying subsidization policies. A more efficient and less costly means to reduce the trade distorting effects of subsidies is restraint in the use of this form of public support for agriculture, particularly subsidies extending over a number of years.

Role of Science

Special care must be taken to assure that SPS regulations are based on scientific facts that can be replicated in research. Sound science is to be distinguished from "soft science" that is based on normative judgments on the part of the advocates and their research counterparts regarding what is good, moral, and ought to be. Such judgments need to be carefully and consistently avoided in SPS decisions.

The evidence in dumping and countervailing cases often has limited economic content. It is frequently based on evidence that runs counter

to economic and business logic. Free trade, and the benefits thereof, is an economic concept. Therefore, economic science should be seriously considered in trade dispute decisions.

The NAFTA countries share an obligation for employing sound science and transparency. Sound science and transparency in policy, programs, and decision-making should enhance trade harmony among the NAFTA partners. NAFTA's integrity depends on being able to openly evaluate the impacts of its policies and those of its member countries on trade.

The following areas were identified where gains can be realized by all NAFTA countries and where the only pain involves sharing costs. The areas where the benefits can be readily realized and should be actively pursued include:

- Eradication programs for animal diseases and pests: There are substantial benefits to be realized in all NAFTA countries from the eradication of diseases such as bovine tuberculosis and brucellosis; from systematic control of carriers of disease such as ticks; from control of plant diseases; and from cooperative efforts to prevent outbreaks of contagious animal diseases such as foot and mouth disease (FMD).
- Food Safety: Hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP) procedures need to be implemented throughout all NAFTA countries for both crops and livestock. HACCP facilitates trade by reducing reasons for SPS barriers while protecting the health and safety of the member countries' highly mobile populations.
- Facilitate commerce: Compatible grades, standards, and payment procedures are essential for trade in agricultural commodities. The US Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act (PACA) provides a useful model for implementation across NAFTA.

- **Infrastructure:** Improved border infrastructure is an obvious area of need. Many other examples, such as the development of water quantity and quality infrastructure, are equally important.
- **Education:** There is need for a massive education program informing concerned citizens in the three countries about the accomplishments and problem areas of NAFTA. An informed body politic can then take ownership of NAFTA.

ORDERING THE PUBLICATION

This publication is available without charge to educational institutions, libraries, businesses and interest groups until the supply is exhausted. For copies or information, please contact the following or refer to the Farm Foundation website (http://www.farmfoundation.org/policysystems.htm).

Please contact:

Dr. Ronald D. Knutson Agriculture and Food Policy Center

Texas A&M University Phone: (979) 845-5913 Fax: (979) 845-3140

E-mail: rknutson@tamu.edu

Dr. Antonio Yunez-Naude El Colegio de México

Phone: 011-52 (55) 5449-3049 Fax: 011-52 (55) 5645-0464 E-mail: yunez@colmex.mx

Dr. R.M.A. Loyns Prairie Horizons Ltd. Winnipeg, Mb. R3T 2X6 Phone: (204) 261-7869 Fax: (204) 269-7774

E-mail: a_lloyns@shaw.ca

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following organizations provided financial support for the 2002 workshop held in Puerto Vallarta, and for editing, printing and distributing this publication. In addition, they contributed presenters, discussants and session chairs. Farm Foundation posts all of our papers on its website providing early access to the program. The Coordinating Committee acknowledges these contributors and expresses deep gratitude for their continued support.

Canada Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

Ottawa

Canadian Agricultural Trade Research Network

(Guelph, Saskatchewan & Laval Universities)

Cargill Ltd.

Winnipeg

United States Farm Foundation

Oak Brook, IL

Agricultural and Food Policy Center

Texas A&M University

U.S. Department of Agriculture

(Agricultural Marketing Service, Economic Research Service, & Foreign Agricultural Service)

Mexico El Colegio de México

Mexico City

Secretaría de Agricultura, Ganadería, Desarrollo Rural, Pesca y Alimentación (SAGARPA)

Mexico City

Senado de la República - México

Mexico City

Several universities, interest group organizations, the private sector, and interested individuals are among our presenters and discussants. We pay a small honorarium for the preparation of main papers but it does not cover the time and effort expended by those researching and writing the papers, let alone resubmitting them after the workshop for publication. These contributions are part of the way this workshop has evolved, and we could not maintain our program without these generous contributions.

The Agricultural and Food Policy Center at Texas A&M University took the lead role in administering the workshop, and providing print-ready copy of the edited publication. Rene Ochoa did all the detailed organization before, during, and following the workshop. David Ernstes prepared the print-ready copyand provided the cover design. All of these functions are time consuming tasks, essential to a good workshop, and required for the publication. Finally, we acknowledge the ongoing contributions of Brenda Pitt in AAFC for keeping the committee connected through conference calls, Lenore Loyns for the "final reads and edits," and Friesen Printers for expert printing services, all of which are also essential to publication of these proceedings.

AUTHORS AND DISCUSSANTS

Alejandro Ampudia, Rabobank International - México. Mexico City

Richard Barichello, University of British Columbia. Vancouver, British Columbia

Maury Bredahl, University of Missouri. Columbia, Missouri

Linda Calvin, U.S. Department of Agriculture/Economic Research Service. Washington, D.C.

Colin Carter, University of California - Davis. Davis, California

Burce Dahl, North Dakota State University. Fargo, North Dakota

Thomas Elam, Elanco Animal Health. Indianapolis, Indiana

David Freshwater, University of Kentucky. Lexington, Kentucky

Carol Goodloe, U.S. Department of Agriculture/Office of the Chief Economist. Washington, D.C.

Ben Goodwin, California Beet Growers Association. Stockton, California

Caroline Gunning-Trant, University of California - Davis. Davis, California

Paul Haddow, Canadian Food Inspection Agency. Ottawa, Ontario

Spencer Henson, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario

William Kerr, University of Saskatchewan. Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

Ron Knutson, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas

Beatriz Leycegui, SAI Consultores, S.C. Mexico City

Al Loyns, Prairie Horizons, Ltd. Winnipeg, Manitoba

Kathleen MacMillan, International Trade Policy Consultants, Inc. Ottawa, Ontario

Robert McDonald, A and R Farms, Ltd. Belfast, Prince Edward Island

Karl Meilke, University of Guelph. Guelph, Ontario

Bill Miner, Consultant. Ottawa, Ontario

Terry Norman, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. Ottawa, Ontario

David Orden, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. Blacksburg, Virginia

Françoy Raynauld, NAFTA Secretariat. Ottawa, Ontario

Robert Riemenschneider, U.S. Department of Agriculture/Foreign Agricultural Service. Washington, D.C.

Mario Ruiz Cornejo, SAI Consultores, S.C. Mexico City

Cameron Short, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. Ottawa, Ontario

Ken Shwedel, Rabobank International - México. Mexico City

Rick Tolman, National Corn Growers Association. St. Louis, Missouri

Gale Wagner, Texas A&M University. College Station, Texas

John Wainio, U.S. Department of Agriculture/Economic Research Service. Washington, D.C.

Bill Wilson, North Dakota State University. Fargo, North Dakota

Linda Young, Montana State University. Bozeman, Montana

Antonio Yúnez,. El Colegio de México. Mexico City

TAB	LE OF CONTENTS
	Executive Summaryiii
	Acknowledgmentsix
	Authors and Discussantsxi
	Table of Contents xviii
	Background and Purpose of the Workshop Karl Meilke, R.M.A. Loyns, Ronald D. Knutson and Antonio Yunez-Naude
SECT	ION 1: HEALTH, PLANT AND ANIMAL PROTECTION AND FOOD SAFETY7
	Health, Plant and Animal Protection, and Food Safety: WTO and NAFTA Paul Haddow
SECT	ION 2: THREE COMMODITY STUDIES 25
	Sanitary Barriers and International Trade Governance Issues for the NAFTA Beef Market
	William A. Kerr

U.SMexico Free Trade: Animal Health Issues G. Gale Wagner
Mexico-U.S. Avocado Trade Expansion David Orden
Discussion Mexico-U.S. Avocado Trade Expansion Robert MacDonald
Trade and Policy Implications of the Wheat Disease Karnal Bunt Robert Riemenschneider
Discussion Wheat Karnal Bunt and Other Grain Disease Issues W.M. Miner
Access to Pesticides as a Source of Trade Dispute Cameron Short and David Freshwater
Discussion Access to Pesticides as a Source of Trade Disputes Thomas E. Elam
and Plant Protection and Food Safety Spencer Henson and Maury Bredahl
SECTION 3: DOMESTIC TRADE REMEDY LAW: REQUIRED SAFEGUARD OR DISPUTE GENERATOR 165
Trading Remedies to Remedy Trade: The NAFTA Experience Beatriz Leycegui and Mario Ruiz Cornejo

	DISCUSSION
	Trading Remedies to Remedy Trade:
	The NAFTA Experience
	Kathleen Macmillan210
	D
	Discussion
	Trading Remedies to Remedy Trade:
	The NAFTA Experience
	Carol Goodloe215
Tra	de Dispute Settlement Procedures in the NAFTA
	ınçoy Raynauld220
	1 D. I. A. C. S. MATTER A. C. I.
	de Remedy Actions in NAFTA Agriculture
	Agri-Food Industries
Lin	da M. Young, John Wainio, and Karl Meilke232
	4: FOUR COMMODITY STUDIES IN TRADE
RE	MEDY LAWS
Ant	ti-Dumping in Agriculture Between Canada
	the United States: Two Cases of Tomatoes
	hard Barichello
Ric	207
	Discussion
	NAFTA Tomato Dumping Cases
	Linda Calvin
Ma	nitoba Corn Growers Association Inc. vs U.S. Corn Exports
	Application of Regional Market Rules under Canadian
	de Remedy Law)
	A.A. Loyns
Λ.1/	I.A. Loyns
	Discussion
	Manitoba Corn Growers Association Versus
	U.S. Corn Exports
	c.s. com Empores

Trade Disputes in an Unsettled Industry: Mexican Sugar Kenneth Shwedel and Alejandro Ampudia
Discussion Trade Disputes in an Unsettled Industry: Mexican Sugar Ben Goodwin
The Section 301 Action and Effects of the Canadian Wheat Board on U.S. Hard Red Spring and Amber Durum Wheat William W. Wilson and Bruce L. Dahl
Discussion U.S. Section 301 Investigation of Canadian Wheat Trading Practices Terry Norman 404
Trade Remedy Laws and NAFTA Agricultural Trade Colin A. Carter and Caroline Gunning-Trant
SECTION 5: OVERALL ASSESSMENT AND CONCLUSIONS
A Policy Leadership Commission for NAFTA Ronald D. Knutson and R.M.A. Loyns
Discussion A Policy Leadership Commission for NAFTA? Françoy Raynauld
Participants in the Workshop 438