



The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

Appendix to

Utility in *WTP* space: a tool to address confounding random scale effects in destination choice to the Alps

R. Scarpa, M. Thiene and K. Train

January 2008

“Note: The material contained herein is supplementary to the article named in the title and published in the American Journal of Agricultural Economics (AJAE).”

The following tables collect auxiliary estimates for the above mentioned study.

Table A-1 reports the summary statistics for the ML estimates of the basic MNL model. As can be seen by comparing the log-likelihood value at the maxi-

mum with those reported in the paper and obtained by MSL (or simulated at the posterior in the case of HB), the RPL models produce a large improvement in fit.

Table A-2 reports the estimated ML parameters of the MNL model. The WTP estimates show similar magnitudes to the means of their RPL counterparts.

Table A-3 reports the estimated Cholesky matrix for the MSL estimate in WTP space. From this one can derive the variance-covariance matrix of the multivariate distribution of WTPs, and the associated correlation matrix.

Table A-4 reports the estimated Cholesky matrix for the MSL estimate in preference space. From this one can derive the variance-covariance matrix of the multivariate distribution of taste intensities for site attributes. These, along with the mean estimates can be used to simulate draws which in turn can be used to compute WTP distributions.

Table A-5 reports the estimates of the WTP space model with bounded distributions for $\ln(\lambda)$ and number of Alpine shelters.

Table A-6 reports the estimates of the preference space model with bounded distributions for $\ln(\lambda)$ and number of Alpine shelters.

Table A-7 reports the correlations of the latent variables for both the bounded specifications.

Table A-1: Summary of MNL model

Model	:	Multinomial Logit
Number of estimated parameters	:	7
Number of observations	:	9,221
Number of individuals	:	9,221
Null log-likelihood	:	-26,652.12
Init log-likelihood	:	-50,407.59
Final log-likelihood	:	-21,754.39
Likelihood ratio test	:	9,795.45
Rho-square	:	0.1838
Adjusted rho-square	:	0.1835
Final gradient norm	:	+1.739e-003
Variance-covariance	:	from analytical hessian

Table A-2: Estimates of MNL model

Variable		Robust				
number	Description	Coeff.	Asympt.			
		estimate	std. error	t-stat	p-value	WTP
1	<i>Travel cost</i>	-0.2835	0.0057	-49.3	0.00	.
2	<i>Degree of difficulty</i>	-0.5600	0.0208	-26.8	0.00	-1.975
3	<i>Ferrata</i>	-0.0793	0.0046	-17.3	0.00	-0.280
4	<i>% of easy trails</i>	0.0157	0.0013	12.3	0.00	0.055
5	<i>Alpine shelters</i>	0.0885	0.0032	27.2	0.00	0.312
6	<i>% of hard trails</i>	.0797	0.0033	24.1	0.00	0.281
7	<i>Prealps ASC</i>	-0.8917	0.0619	-14.4	0.00	-3.145

Table A-3: Cholesky matrix from MSL estimates in *WTP* space

<i>Parameters</i>	$\ln \lambda$	<i>Degree of diff.</i>	<i>Ferrata</i>	<i>% Easy trails</i>	<i>Alpine Shelters</i>	<i>% Hard trails</i>	<i>Prealps ASC</i>
$\ln \lambda$	-0.043						
	(21.5)						
<i>Degree of difficulty</i>	0.193	-2.977					
	(1.7)	(19.4)					
<i>Ferrata</i>	0.067	-0.291	0.220				
	(2.9)	(11.1)	(9.3)				
<i>% of easy trail</i>	-0.007	0.060	0.015	-0.043			
	(1.1)	(7.5)	(1.3)	(12.5)			
<i>Alpine shelters</i>	-0.037	0.148	-0.149	-0.003	-0.081		
	(2.2)	(8.8)	(8.5)	(0.3)	(7.0)		
<i>% of hard trail</i>	0.011	0.279	0.070	-0.038	0.024	-0.244	
	(0.5)	(11.2)	(2.2)	(4.3)	(2.1)	(10.5)	
<i>Prealps ASC</i>	2.520	-4.517	2.449	1.605	-0.014	-1.312	2.490
	(7.9)	(11.4)	(7.2)	(5.3)	(1.6)	(4.2)	(14.2)
(z-values in brackets)							

Table A-4: **Cholesky matrix from MSL estimates in preference space**

<i>Parameters</i>	$\ln \lambda$	<i>Degree of diff.</i>	<i>Ferrata</i>	<i>% Easy trails</i>	<i>Alpine Shelters</i>	<i>% Hard trails</i>	<i>Prealps ASC</i>
$\ln \lambda$	0.92						
	(20.4)						
<i>Degree of difficulty</i>	-0.19	0.70					
	(3.9)	(19.7)					
<i>Ferrata</i>	-0.06	0.05	-0.08				
	(5.5)	(6.5)	(7.3)				
<i>% of easy trail</i>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01			
	(0.4)	(1.3)	(1.0)	(0.7)			
<i>Alpine shelters</i>	0.06	-0.02	0.06	-0.00	-0.00		
	(8.1)	(3.5)	(9.6)	(0.1)	(0.7)		
<i>% of hard trail</i>	0.01	-0.01	-0.02	0.00	-0.03	0.06	
	(2.8)	(2.2)	(2.0)	(0.9)	(6.1)	(10.8)	
<i>Prealps ASC</i>	-1.29	0.92	-0.34	-0.07	-0.02	1.08	-0.01
	(7.3)	(8.2)	(2.4)	(0.5)	(4.0)	(14.8)	(0.04)
(z-values in brackets)							

Table A-5: **Estimates of WTP space model with S_b .** $\ln \mathcal{L} = 20,177.50$

<i>Site attributes</i>		HB estimates				
DISTR.	PARAM.	mean	st.dev.	Var.	st.dev.	Var.
$S_b[0, 2]$	$\lambda \times c$	0.292	0.188	0.604	0.076	
Normal	<i>Degree of difficulty</i>	-3.341	3.359	10.957	1.624	
Normal	<i>Ferrata</i>	-0.450	0.419	0.176	0.024	
Normal	<i>% of easy trails</i>	0.119	0.156	0.023	0.003	
$S_b[0, 1.5]$	<i>Alpine shelters</i>	0.417	0.240	0.632	0.116	
Normal	<i>% of hard trails</i>	0.429	0.402	0.162	0.022	
Normal	<i>Prealps ASC</i>	-5.952	8.132	62.996	8.949	

Table A-6: **Estimates of preference space model with S_b .** $\ln \mathcal{L} = 20,706.25$

<i>Site attributes</i>		HB estimates				
DISTR.	PARAM.	mean	st.dev.	Var.	st.dev.	Var.
$S_b[0, 2]$	λ	0.383	0.291	1.076	0.128	
Normal	<i>Degree of difficulty</i>	-0.920	0.932	0.877	0.105	
Normal	<i>Ferrata</i>	-0.151	0.153	0.023	0.002	
Normal	<i>% of easy trails</i>	0.031	0.076	0.006	0.000	
$S_b[0, 2]$	<i>Alpine shelters</i>	0.133	0.097	0.572	0.082	
Normal	<i>% of hard trails</i>	0.119	0.142	0.021	0.002	
Normal	<i>Prealps ASC</i>	-2.196	2.284	4.937	0.613	

Table A-7: Correlations from HB estimates of models with bounded distributions

Site Attributes		Correlation matrix for random WTP for WTP space model with S_b .						
PARAM.		ln $\hat{\lambda}$	Deg. of diff.	Ferrata	% Easy trails	Alp. shelters	% Hard trails	Prealps
ln $\hat{\lambda}$		1	-0.2737	-0.1885	0.042	0.079	0.046	-0.4014
<i>Degree of diff.</i>		-0.2737	1	0.6441	-0.3248	-0.4825	-0.5496	0.7706
<i>Ferrata</i>		-0.1885	0.6441	1	-0.2434	-0.7887	-0.3309	0.6533
<i>% of easy trails</i>		0.042	-0.3248	-0.2434	1	0.1551	0.6308	-0.4181
<i>Alpine shelters</i>		0.079	-0.4825	-0.7887	0.1551	1	0.1682	-0.5409
<i>% of hard trails</i>		0.046	-0.5496	-0.3309	0.6308	0.1682	1	-0.3489
<i>Prealps ASC</i>		-0.4014	0.7706	0.6533	-0.4181	-0.5409	-0.3489	1

Site attributes		Correlation matrix for utility coefficients of Preference space model with S_b						
PARAM.		ln $\hat{\lambda}$	Deg. of diff.	Ferrata	% Easy trails	Alp. shelters	% Hard trails	Prealps
ln $\hat{\lambda}$		1	-0.1956	-0.3122	0.0237	0.6219	0.1775	-0.4204
<i>Degree of diff.</i>		-0.1956	1	0.4955	-0.0801	-0.4014	-0.3038	0.6971
<i>Ferrata</i>		-0.3122	0.4955	1	-0.1066	-0.5936	-0.2441	0.6077
<i>% of easy trails</i>		0.0237	-0.0801	-0.1066	1	0.1282	0.3609	-0.2097
<i>Alpine shelters</i>		0.6219	-0.4014	-0.5936	0.1282	1	0.2207	-0.6711
<i>% of hard trails</i>		0.1775	-0.3038	-0.2441	0.3609	0.2207	1	-0.2447
<i>Prealps ASC</i>		-0.4204	0.6971	0.6077	-0.2097	-0.6711	-0.2447	1