

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION DIVISION UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

F.W. Peck, Director

MINNESOTA FARM BUSINESS NOTES

No. 162

June 20, 1936

Prepared by the Division of Agricultural Economics
University Farm, St. Paul, Minnesota

FARMERS' EARNINGS IN 1935 WITH COMPARISONS FOR PREVIOUS YEARS Prepared by G. A. Pond and G. A. Sallee

Some preliminary estimates of the gross and net cash income of farmers in Minnesota in 1935 were presented in Minnesota Farm Business Notes No. 156. These figures covered all farmers in the state and obviously were rather broad generalizations. In this number are presented specific earnings records for five groups of representative farmers in various parts of the state. These figures emphasize the wide variation in income and expense among farmers in different parts of the state and among farmers in the same county or even smaller area. Attention is also given to variations in earnings from year to year in some of these areas.

Table 1

Description of Farms								
Counties:	8 south- eastern counties*	Winona, Mower, Fillmore	Winona	Stevens	Carlton, Itasc a , St. Louis			
Number of farms	150	40	20	15	16			
Total acres % land tillable % tillable land in:	202	194	334	395	156			
	76	68	58	87	42			
Small grain Cultivated crops Hay and pasture	45	46	62	61	24			
	28	22	18	20	14			
	27	32	20	19	62			
Number of cows Pounds of hogs produced Number of sheep Number of hens	18	13	19	12	9			
	9672	N o data	9741	47 2 9	586			
	19	26	21	21	14			
	171	103	117	91	4 8			

^{*}Dodge, Freeborn, Goodhue, Le Sueur, Mower, Rice, Steele and Waseca.

Some description of the farms from which these earning figures were obtained is given in Table 1. In all cases, these farms are larger than the averages of the counties in which they are located. They also represent better than average management since in general it is only the better farmers who will keep farm accounts. All of the earnings figures were obtained from farmers' account books and have been carefully checked for accuracy and completeness. Practically all the farms in the first three and in the fifth groups are dairy farms or general farms with dairying as the dominant enterprise. The farms in Stevens County were about evenly divided between dairy farms and general farms on which small grain was an important enterprise. The farms in Fillmore, Mower and Winona Counties are included in a soil erosion control demonstration area.

Published in furtherance of Agricultural Extension Act of May 8 and June 30, 1914, F. W. Peck, Director, Agricultural Extension Division, Department of Agriculture, University of Minnesota, cooperating with U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Table 2

Summary of Farmers' Income, Expense and Earnings, 1935									
Counties:	8 south- eastern counties	Winona, Mower, Fillmore	Winona	Stevens	Carlton, Itasca, St. Louis				
Receipts:									
Dairy products Cattle Hogs Poultry and eggs Sheep and wool Crops Miscellaneous	\$1307 614 793 652 192 637 604	\$700 568 474 242 247 250 256	\$1049 771 725 310 93 626 610	\$515 225 265 238 121 1016 935	\$733 175 44 145 72 246 153				
Total cash receipts Increase in farm inventory Farm produce used in house	4799 294 265	2737 160 311	4184 14 363	3315 1362 255	1568 - 298				
Total farm income	53 58	3208	4561	4932	1866				
Expenses: Machinery and power Buildings and fences Hired labor Feeds Crop expense Livestock expense Taxes and insurance Miscellaneous	700 236 322 438 195 606 258 30	358 180 162 184 99 304 193	810 213 366 292 199 335 282 29	155 973 192 511 435 241 226	156 21 72 183 88 87 94				
Total cash expenses Decrease in farm inventory Board for hired labor Wages for unpaid family labor Interest on farm inventory	2785 - 121 229 859	1494 88 156 638	2526 - 168 337 862	2749 - 67 481 874	718 86 34 319 386				
Total farm expense	3994	2376	3893	4171	1543				
Operator's labor earnings: Average Low High	1364 -671 8987	832 - 785 2488	668 - 463 3380	761 -834 1835	323 - 448 1305				

A statement of the cash and non-cash items of income and expense and of the labor earnings of these farmers is presented in Table 2. These statements are computed on a "full ownership" basis in order to eliminate the effect of differences in remtal systems, amounts of indebtedness, interest rates and other variable factors of that type. Operator's labor earnings is the return a farmer receives for his labor and management after deducting all farm expense including wages for members of the family other than himself and an interest charge on the entire farm investment. It reflects more accurately the relative financial success of different farmers as managers than if differences due to ownership and tenancy factors also were involved in the same figure. Obviously, the actual amount of cash available for household and personal expenditures and for savings and investment is larger than the operator's labor earnings in most cases since he seldom pays interest on the entire farm investment and since some of the other items do not represent cash outlay. On the other hand, it may be less if non-cash items of income are unusually high. (See Stevens County, 1935, Table 3.)

The range in earnings in each of these areas is also shown in Table 2. In each case some one or more farmers had a gross income inadequate to cover all the items of expense listed. On the other hand, the most successful farmers had earnings from two to nearly seven times that of the average operator. This range is characteristic of the results found in all studies of farm earnings. This wide difference reflects in part differences in managerial ability between different operators and in part differences in fortuitous circumstances that affect earnings.

Table 3

Trend in Earnings										
	Eight Southeastern Counties									
	1928	1929	193	30	1931	19	32	1933	1934	1935
Number of farms Total acres per farm	124 163	172 176			147 198		.43 201	108 202	120 209	150 202
Cash receipts Cash expenses Net cash income Operator's labor earnings	\$4464 2266 2198 1277	\$ 5043 261 ¹ 2429 1857	239	90 i	3804 2177 1627 -622	10	69	2936 1510 1426 986	\$4192 2027 2165 1855	\$4799 2785 2014 1364
	1932	St∈t 1933	rens 1934	193'		arlt 931	on, I 1932			.Loui s* 1935
Number of farms Total acres per farm	24 352	22 374	22 372	1! 39!	-	55 199	18 <u>1</u> 4	_		_
Cash receipts Cash expenses Net cash income	\$25 1 8 1536 982	\$2506 1344 1162	\$2617 1690 927	\$331! 2749 560) 1	8 22 071 751	\$1279 729 550	86		718
Operator's labor earnings	-1153	-197	-561	76	l	56	- 205	349	9 584	323

^{*}Prior to 1935, farms in Beltrami, Clearwater, Hubbard, Koochiching, Polk and Wadena were included in addition to those in these three counties.

Farm earnings also vary from year to year on the same farm or the same group of farms. For three of the areas included in Tables 1 and 2, earnings data for several years are available. These are shown in Table 3. There are some differences in the farms included in succeeding years as is indicated by changes in acreages. However, there was no change in the type of farms and these differences indicate the variability that might be expected from year to year in the area. Drouth reduced the income sharply in Stevens County from 1932 to 1934. It also affected the other areas in 1931 and 1934 but not as seriously. The other important factor causing these variations from year to year was variations in the prices of farm products and to a lesser extent in the prices of things the farmer buys.

These data suggest rather pointedly that the average farm income or farm earnings for a state do not indicate very accurately what is happening in a particular part of the state or on individual farms. Weather conditions vary in different parts of the state and price variations from year to year affect different areas differently. Within a given area, however, differences in the managerial ability of different farmers is the most important cause of differences in earnings.

MINNESOTA FARM PRICES FOR MAY 1936 Prepared by W. C. Waite and W. B. Garver

The index number of Minnesota farm prices for the month of May, 1936 was 79.2. When the average of farm prices of the three Mays 1924-25-26 is represented by 100, the indexes for May of each year from 1924 to date are as follows:

May	1924 -	84	May	1931		64	
11	1925 -	106	II	1932	-	43	
11	1926 -	110	11	1933		49	
11	1927 -	109	11	1934		53	
	1928 -		11	1935	-	86*	
11	1929 -	113	11	1936		79*	
11	1930 -	98					*Preliminary

The price index of 79.2 for the past month is the net result of increases and decreases in the prices of farm products in May, 1936 over the average of May, 1924-25-26 weighted according to their relative importance.

Average Farm Prices Used in Computing the Minnesota Farm Price Index,

	May 15, 1936, with Comparisons*								
	May 15, 1936	Apr.15, 1936	May 15, 1935	Av. May 1924-25- 26	% May 15, 1936 is of April 15, 1936	% May 15, 1936 is of May 15, 1935	% May 15, 1936 is of May 15, 1924-25-26		
Wheat Corn Oats Barley Rye Flax Potatoes Hogs Cattle Calves Lambs-sheep Chickens Eggs Butterfat Hay Milk	\$.83 .44 .19 .38 .39 1.50 .55 8.10 7.60 8.57 .146 .18 .29 4.52 1.52	\$.91 .45 .20 .41 .38 1.55 9.70 6.50 7.70 8.145 .16 .390 1.62	\$1.01 .77 .45 .70 .49 1.57 .36 8.10 7.20 7.30 6.99 .136 .21	\$1.31 .65 .35 .59 .75 2.32 .83 9.60 6.38 8.07 11.39 .189 .22 .40 11.49 1.95	91 98 95 93 103 99 100 91 99 101 112 88 92 94	82 57 42 54 80 96 153 109 85 104 123 107 84 100 27	63 68 54 64 52 65 66 92 94 75 77 80 73 78		

*Except for milk, these are the average prices for Minnesota as reported by the United States Department of Agriculture.

Indexes and Ratios of Minnesota Agriculture* April May May Av. May 1936 1936 1924-26 1935 74.6 U.S. farm price index 75.5 78.3 100.0 79.2 84.2 Minnesota farm price index 86.1 100.0 U.S. purchasing power of farm products 96.8 96.1 97.9 100.0 Minnesota purchasing power of farm products 102.7 109.2 105.6 100.0 U.S. hog-corn ratio 14.3 16.4 9.3 12.1 Minnesota hog-corn ratio 20.0 21.6 10.5 15.1 14.9 14.0 14.4 Minnesota egg-grain ratio 17.8 45.4 34.5 Mirmesota butterfat-farm-grain ratio 45.0 20.5

^{*}Tixplanations of the computation of these data are given in Farm Business Notes No. 144.