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# Prepared by the Division of Agricultural Economics University Farm, St. Paul, Minnesota 

A STUDY OF THE CONSUMPTION OF EGGS IN MINEEAPOLIS, 1934 Prepared by W. C. Waite and R. W. Cox

A survey made in November and December, 1934 of approximately 2,000 lininneapolis families showed these families to be consuming about six eggs per person a week. This was at the time of the year when ege prices were close to their highest point and consumption low. Other poriods of the year will undoubtcdly show some change, but it is not thought that the general relationships disclosed by the survey would be materially different. During the period of the survey the eggs purchased averaged 30 to 32 cents a dozen in price. The families werc located in 228 widely scattered areas and represent a good cross section of the city.

Forty-six per cent of the fanilios in the survey reported a consumption of less then six eges a wock per person. These families tended to be the larger families with childron since this proportion of families included 48 por cont of the adults and 64 per cent of the children. About 10 per cent of the familics used a dozen eggs or more per week per person. These were the smaller families since they included only 8 per cent of the adults and about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ por cent of the children (Table I).

## Table 1

Eggs: Cumulative Distribution of Families, of Adults, and of Children, According to the Per Capita Rates of Consumption

| Rotes of consumption Degs per week | Proportion of total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Families |  | Children |
|  | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent |
| Less than 3 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 15.1 |
|  | 45.6 | 48.2 | 64.0 |
| 9 | 83.9 | 85.2 | 91.8 |
| 12 | 90.3 | 92.1 | 97.3 |
| 15 | 98.8 | 99.1 | 99.9 |
| 24 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

A very considerable difference in the quality of cggs is indicated by the wide range in prices reported paid. These ranged from less than 20 to over 40 cents per dozer. About $2 / 3$ of the eggs cost less then 35 conts a dozen while $1 / 3$ cost 35 cents or more. (Table 2).

Published in furtherance of Agricultural Extension Act of May 8 and June 30, 1914, W. C. Coffey, Acting Director, Agricultural Extension Division, Department of Agriculture, University of Minnesota, cooperating with U.S. Dopartment of Agriculture.

Table 2
Eggs: Cumulative Distribution of Weckly Purchascs According to the Avcrage Prices Paid

|  | Paid |
| :---: | :---: |
| Average weekly price | Provortion of total <br> purchascs |
| Less than | Per cont |
|  | .20 |
| .25 | .3 |
| .30 | 3.9 |
| .35 | 67.9 |
| .40 | 92.6 |
| .45 | 100.0 |

The most important factor determining the rete of egg consumption in the family appears to bc the por capita income of the family. Per capita income is tekon as a basis of comparison rather than family income because rostrictions on experditurc depend upon the income per porson rather than the totol fomily income. Both the quantity of eggs consumed and the quality of those cggs as is indicated by the change in price, increase with per capita incomc. (Table 3). On the low income level, under $\$ 300$ per person a year, ebout 5 eggs a week per person nere consumed, while on the high income level, $\$ 900$ a person por year and above, about $7 \frac{1}{2}$ eggs a week per person werc used. The low income group purcinsed egfs overaging 30 cents a dozen in price while in the high income group the ayerage $w a s 38$ cents. As a result of these tendencies por capita expenditures per person on eggs is about twice as large on the high as on the low income level.

## Tablc 3

EGOs and Poultry: Proportion of Fonilies Purchasing Per Capita Consumption, and Per Capite Exponditures on Various Income Levels

| Pur capita income | Proportion of familios purchasing |  | Per crpita consumption |  | Per capita expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eges | Poultiy | Egrs | Poultry | Egrs | Poultry |
|  | per cent | per cont | Number weekly | Pounds wectly | Cents weekly | Cents weekly |
| Under \$300 | 95.7 | 18.1 | 4.8 | . 19 | 12.0 | 4.1 |
| 300-599 | 97.9 | 31.7 | 5.4 | . 42 | 14.4 | 9.6 |
| 600-899 | 97.7 | 41.3 | 6.6 | . 68 | 18.3 | 17.5 |
| 900 \& above | 98.8 | 45.5 | 7.5 | 1.23 | 24.0 | 42.4 |

This situation is to bo contrasted with that found in poultry. Whilc 97 per cont of the families reported the purchase of eges only about one-third of the fomilies reported the purchese of poultry. About the seme proportion of families wore purchasing cges on all income levels, winile in the case of poultry there was a marked difference, the proportion risine from 18 per cent on the low to 48 per cont on the high income lovel. The difforence is even more marked in the casc of per capita consumption and exponditure. Whilc per capite consumption of eqgs increases by onc-half, the consumption of poultry is six times greator on the high than on the low income lovel. Likcwise while expenditures on eggs are doubling, thoso on poultry incroase ten times on the high as compered with the low income group.

Eggs and poultry at the time of the study ranged from 8 per cont of the total food expenditures on the low income level to 15 por cent on the high. (Table 4). The expenditure for eegs exceeds thet for poultry cxcept the hifinest income level. Tile proportion of food expenditures mede on eges decreases only slightly as income increases whilo the proportion spent on poultry incresses rapidly wi the income. It is cvident that tinc market for oges is much broeder and more stable than that for poultry. One would expect cianges in the income of city consumers to influence the demand for poultry much more than the demand for eges.

Tablo 4.
Food Expenditures: Weckly Per Capita Expenditure on Food and Pronortion Spent on Eges and Poultry on Various Incone Jevels

| Per capj.ta income | Total per capita expenditure on foods | Promortion of food expenditure used for: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Eses | Poultry |
|  | Dollars weekly | Per cent | Por cent |
| Under 300 | 1.91 | 6.3 | 2.2 |
| 300-599 | 2.48 | 5.9 | 3.8 |
| 600-899 | 3.15 | 5.9 | 5.4 |
| 900 \& above | 4.56 | 5.2 | 9.4 |

Assumine that price is an indication of the guality of eges purchased, it appears that families in the same income class consuming the better qualities of eegs are also the larger consumers. Table 5 shows thet the per copita consumption on each income level is not greatly influenced by tiae price paid per dozen for eggs. In fact there is a tendency, particularly in the higher income groups for per capita consumption to incrense with the price. It appears that the better quality has lod to an increase in consumption in spite of the higher price. This implies that an incroase in the quelity of eges availeble for consumption in the market would increase consumer expenditure for eegs and would tend to increase per copita consumption.

$$
\text { Table } 5
$$

EEEs: Por Capita Consumption on Various Income Levels and Within Difforent Price Clesses

| Price class, cents per dozen | Under $\$ 300$ | 300-599 | 600-899 | 900 sind over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nuizber per week | Number per woek | Number por weelr | Number por weel |
| 20-24 | 4.8 |  |  |  |
| 25-29 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.6 |  |
| 30-34 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 6.1 |
| 35-39 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 7.8 |
| 40 and over |  |  | 7.0 | 6.5 |

MINNESOTA FARM PRICES FOR JUNE 1935
Prepared by W. C. Waite and W. B. Garver
The index number of Minnesota farm prices for the month of June 1935 was 79.3. When the average of farm prices of the three Junes 1924-25-26 is represented by 100 , the indexes for June of each year from 1924 to date are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { June } 1924-84.8 & \text { June } 1930-82.2 \\
\text { " } 1925-107.3 & \text { " } 1931-57.4 \\
\text { " } 1926-107.4 & \text { " } 1932-44.7 \\
\text { " } 1927-97.8 & \text { " } 1933-47.8 \\
\text { " } 1928-110.3 & \text { " } 1934-55.7^{*} \\
\text { " } 1929-109.5 & \text { " } 1935-79.3^{*}
\end{array}
$$

*Preliminary
The price index of 79.3 for the past month is the net result of increases and decreases in the prices of farm products in June 1935 over the average of June 1924-25-26 weighted according to their relative importance.

Average Farm Prices Used in Computing the Minnesota Farm Price Index, June 15. 1935, with Comparisons*

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 15, } \\ & 1935 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 15, } \\ & 1935 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 15, } \\ & 1934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. June } \\ & 1924-25- \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% June 15, } \\ & 1935 \text { is } \\ & \text { of May } \\ & 15,1935 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% June 15, 1935 is of June 15. 1934 | \% June 15, 1935 is of June 15, 1924-25-26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat | \$. 85 | \$1.01 | \$. 87 | \$1. 36 | 84 | 98 | 63 |
| Corn | . 72 | . 77 | . 47 | . 69 | 94 | 153 | 104 |
| Oats | . 34 | . 45 | . 37 | . 39 | 76 | 92 | 87 |
| Barley | . 55 | . 70 | . 62 | . 59 | 79 | 89 | 93 |
| Rye | . 37 | . 49 | . 56 | . 74 | 76 | 66 | 50 |
| Flax | 1.50 | 1.57 | 1.72 | 2.31 | 96 | 87 | 65 |
| Potatoes | . 36 | . 36 | . 50 | . 84 | 100 | 72 | 43 |
| Hogs | 8.60 | 8.10 | 3.45 | 9.87 | 106 | 249 | 87 |
| Cattle | 7.30 | 7.20 | 3.85 | 6.24 | 101 | 190 | 117 |
| Calves | 7.30 | 7.10 | 4.60 | 8.44 | 103 | 159 | 86 |
| Lambs-sheep | 6.81 | 6.99 | 6.39 | 11.28 | 97 | 107 | 60 |
| Chickens | . 132 | . 136 | . 089 | . 18 | 97 | 148 | 73 |
| 云むgs | . 20 | . 21 | . 11 | . 24 | 95 | 182 | 83 |
| Butterfat | . 25 | . 29 | . 24 | . 40 | 86 | 104 | 63 |
| Hay | 13.02 | 16.84 | 11.32 | 11.57 | 77 | 115 | 113 |
| Mj. 11 k | 1.49 | 1.57 | 1.36 | 1.98 | 95 | 110 | 75 |

* Ixcept for milk, these are the average prices for Minnesota as reported by the United States Department of Agriculture.

Indexes and Ratios of Minnesota Agriculture*

|  | June | May | June | Av. June |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| U.S. farm price index | 1935 | 1935 | 1934 | $1924-26$ |
| Minnesota farm price index | 74.8 | 78.3 | 55.0 | 100.0 |
| U.S. purchasing power of farm products | 79.3 | 86.1 | 56.1 | 100.0 |
| Minnesota purchasing power of farm products | 90.1 | 96.1 | 68.8 | 100.0 |
| U. S. hog-corn ratio | 95.5 | 105.6 | 70.1 | 100.0 |
| Minnesota hog-corn ratio | 10.0 | 9.3 | 6.3 | 12.2 |
| Minnesota egg-grain ratio | 11.9 | 10.5 | 7.3 | 14.5 |
| Minnesota butterfat-farm grain ratio | 15.5 | 14.0 | 9.5 | 14.5 |

[^0]
[^0]:    *Explanations of the computation of these data are given in Farm Business Fotes ilo. 144.

