

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION DIVISION UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

F. W. Peck. Director

MINNESOTA FARM BUSINESS NOTES

No. 127

June 20, 1933

Prepared by the Division of Agricultural Economics University Farm, St. Paul, Minnesota

EARNINGS ON MINNESOTA FARMS
Prepared by W. P. Ranney, G. A. Sallee, and S. B. Cleland

Farm records kept by 211 farmers in three parts of Minnesota in cooperation with the Department of Agriculture, University of Minnesota, indicate that the earnings of most Minnesota farmers in 1932 were insufficient to cover operating expenses plus a five per cent return on capital, leaving no return to the operator as wages for his work or that of members of his family. Only 55 out of these 211 farmers obtained any remuneration for family labor and only 38

Table 1

Receipts, Expenses, and Earnings for Minnesota Farms, 1932 Average per Farm Southeastern West Central Part of state: Northern Fiscal year: Jan. 1 to Dec. 31 Mar. 1 to Feb. 28 Apr.l to Mar.31 Number of farms: 24 44 Cash Receipts: Dairy products \$978 \$304 \$438 Cattle 341 713 84 Hogs 502 376 60 Sheep and wool 37 84 44 333 Poultry and eggs 331 135 288 Crops 472 244 Miscellaneous 275 \$2754 238 \$2518 274 \$1279 Cash Expenses: 383 Power and machinery 394 244 Buildings, fencing and tiling 66 57 33 Hired labor 220 132 60 Feed 282 168 110 Livestock expense 206 327 75 Crop 129 143 70 298 Taxes 280 112 Miscellaneous 74 1536 1669 46 25 729 Net cash income 1085 982 550 Farm produce used in house 197 188 211 Total income less cash expense 1282 1170 761 Decrease in inventory 919 1098 281 Board for hired labor 68 74 32 Interest @ 5% on farm inventory 834 854 405 Wages for unpaid family labor 229 2050 297 248 966 Operator's labor earnings -768 -205

Published in furtherance of Agricultural Extension Act of May 8, 1914, F. W. Feck, Director, Agricultural Extension Division, Department of Agriculture, University of Minnesota, cooperating with U.S. Department of Agriculture.

had anything left for their own labor after making a moderate allowance for other members of the family. Most of these farmers are more efficient managers than the average of their respective counties, as in general only the better farmers are interested in keeping accounts and in making a farm business analysis. Althouse farms averaged larger in size, they are representative of the types of farming prevailing in their respective areas.

Average farm financial statements are presented in Table 1. The farmers in this study included the following groups: farm owners, part owners, cash tenants and stock share tenants. Some were entirely out of debt while a few had practically no equity. For purpose of comparison, all financial statements were worked on a full owner basis. The value of the house the operator lived in, any expenses on it, and any rental value for it are omitted in calculating the earnings. All interest and rent payments actually made are omitted from the cash expenses. The net cash income is the difference between cash receipts and cash expenses when calculated as indicated. In arriving at the operator's labor earnings, it is necessary to consider the non-cash receipts, such as the value of farm produce used in the house and any net increase in inventory, and the non-cash expenses, such as the value of the board furnished to hired laborers, any net decrease in inventory, interest on the total farm investment and a fair wage for the work done by members of the family, as well as the cash receipts and expenses.

The change in the farm inventory represents the combined effect of both changes in physical quantities and in unit prices. During 1932, there was a net decrease in inventory largely because of declining prices. Altho cash receipts exceeded cash expenses by a considerable margin, the non-cash expenses were so large that when they were deducted there was nothing left to pay the operator for his labor.

The scutheastern Minnesota records are from 143 dairy farms in Dodge, Freeborn, Goodhue, Le Sueur, Mower, Rice, Steele, and Waseca Counties. Cream for manufacture into butter was the principal dairy product sold from these farms, the skimmilk being retained on the farms and fed to hogs and poultry. Approximately one-half of the cash receipts were from dairy products and dairy cattle, and about one-third from other livestock and livestock products. The net cash income of these farms averaged \$1085; but after considering, in addition, non-cash receipts and expenses, as shown in Table 1, these farmers lacked, on the average, \$768 of meeting expenses and five per cent interest and received nothing for their own labor.

The 24 records for west central Minnesota are from diversified farms in Stevens County, and are representative of the farms in that area. The average cash receipts from crops made up a larger proportion of the total cash receipts than in southeastern Minnesota, in spite of the fact that crop yields were greatly reduced by drouth in Stevens County in 1932, whereas yields were above normal in the southeastern area. However, the west central records tell a story similar to that for the southeast records, viz.: very few farmers were able to meet expenses and the five per cent interest charge.

The 44 northern Minnesota records were from farms in Beltrami, Carlton, Clearwater, Hubbard, Itasca, Polk, St. Louis, and Wadena Counties. On the average, two-fifths of the cash receipts on these farms were for dairy products and dairy cattle, one-fifth for crops, and one-fifth for work done off the farm. These farms did not show as large losses as those for the other two areas of the state. However, these farmers were more closely selected on the basis of good farm organization than were those keeping records in the other areas. Also, since the investments were smaller, the interest charge was less, and as less feeds and livestock were raised and on hand at inventory time, the average decrease in inventory was

much less than for the other two areas. On the other hand, the net cash income averaged only \$550 in northern Minnesota compared with approximately \$1000 in the other two parts of the state. Some of the differences in financial returns between the three areas may be explained by the data presented in Table 2.

Table 2

Livestock, Acres, and Crop Yields, 1932

	Average per Farm Keeping Records					
Part of state:	Southeastern	West Central	Northern			
Number of dairy cows	18.2	_*	10.4			
Animal units other productive livestock	21.7	42.0	8.3			
Acres in corn, small grain, and flax	92.6	220.2	28,6			
Acres in hay, fodder, and silage	41.3	66.7	41.4			
Acres in other crops	4.3	2.2	8.2			
Acres in pasture	45.2	44.8	70.7			
Acres in timber, farmstead, roads and waste	e 18.0	17.7	35.4			
Total acres in farm	201.4	351.6	184.3			
Yield per acre - corn, bu.	51.3	28.8	22.9			
Yield per acre - oats, bu.	54.8	45.2	33,5			
Yield per acre - alfalfa, tons	2.8	1.0	1.8			

^{*}Dairy cows included with other livestock as only part of the farmers kept dairy cows.

Recent Trend in Earnings

Records have been kept in scutheastern Minnesota since 1928, in the west central area one year, and in northern Minnesota two years. For the purpose of showing the five-year trend in receipts, expenses, and earnings, a summary is presented of these items for thirty dairy farmers in southeastern Minnesota who have continued through the last five years with the same acreage each year. Facts concerning the size of business, production and prices received on these farms are given in Table 3.

Table 3
Size of Business, Production, and Prices for 30 Dairy Farms in Southeastern Minnesota

	Average per Farm						
	1928	1929	1930	1931	1932		
Size:							
Acres, total	183	183	183	183	183		
Cows, number	15.2	15.9	16.7	16.6	17.4		
Hogs produced, 1bs.	15257	16564	18733	19281	16185		
Hens, number	167	168	205	223	233		
Froduction:							
Butterfat, per cow	238	260	25 8	253	250		
Pigs, per litter	6.2	6.8	5.8	5.8	6.2		
Eggs, per hen	, 100	112	120	119	108		
Yield - corn, bu.	42	49	51	35	52		
Yield - oats, bu.	33	38	45	29	53		
Yield - alfalfa, tons	2.0	2.7	2.7	2.2	3.3		
Prices:				-	•		
Butterfat, per 1b.	\$.50	5. 49	\$.37	\$.30	\$.22		
Hogs, per 100 lbs.	8.54	9.35	8.42	5.19	3,21		
Eggs, per doz.	. 27	.28	.22	.15	.13		

The data in Table 3 show some very pronounced variations in production and prices over the last five-year period, particularly in total weight of hogs produced, number of hens kept, crop yields, and in prices received for products sold. These variations account for some of the changes in cash receipts and expenses on these farms during the same period. Table 4 shows the average cash receipts and expenses each year from 1928 to 1932, and the percentage change from year to year.

Table 4

Net Cash Income for	or 30	Dairy	Farms	in Sou	theast				
Average per Farm						% Char	ige from	n Previ	ious Year
	1928	1929	1930	1931	1932	1929	1930	1931	1932
Cash Receipts:									
Dairy products	\$1811	\$1898	\$1589	\$1156	\$886		-l.6		
Dairy cattle	859	770	709	501	345	-	-7.9		
Hogs	1341	1715	1780	1057	519		+3,8		
Poultry and eggs	572	647	714	586	486		+10.4		
Crops	565	·579	501	355	357	+2.5	-13.5	-29.1	+.6
Miscellaneous	325	421	343	267	220		-18.5		
Total cash receipts	5473	6030	5636	3922	2813	+10.2	-6. 5	-30.4	-28.3
Cash Expenses:									
Power and machinery	610	869	809	491	359	+42.5	-6.9	-39.3	-26.9
Buildings and improvements	212	351	186	89	62	+65.6	-47.0	-52.2	-30.3
Hired labor	397	385	399	316	212	-3.0	+3.6	-20.8	-32.9
Feed	687	576	598	363	294	-16.2	+3.8	-39.3	-19.0
Livestock expense	411	444	489	226	177	+8.0	+10.1	-53.8	-21.7
Crop	167	183	197	169	101	+9.6	+7.7	-14.2	-40.2
Taxes	280	283	303	290	272	+1.1	+7.1	-4.3	-6.2
Miscellaneous	69	80	82	76	71	+15.9	+2.5	- 7.3	-6.6
Total cash expenses	2833	3171	3063	2020	1548	+11.9	-3.4	-34.1	-23.4
Net cash income	2640	2859	2573	1902	1265	+8.3	-10.0	-26.1	-33. 5

The greatest variation in the various items of cash receipts was for hogs. Altho production of hogs fluctuated, the variation in cash receipts was due more to the fluctuation in the price received than in the production. The receipts for poultry and eggs have declined less relatively than those for other livestock and livestock products, partly because the prices have declined less and partly due to an increase in the size of flocks. The earliest and most pronounced adjustments of expenses in response to changes in receipts were made in buildings and improvements, and next in machinery. A marked decrease in crop expense in 1932 was due partly to the almost complete elimination of purchases of fertilizers. The smallest changes in the expense items were for taxes, as tax adjustments move slowly.

The total cash expenses increased more proportionately from 1928 to 1929 than did cash receipts. Since then, on the downward trend, cash expenses have shown a smaller percentage decrease in two years out of the three with the total percentage decline for the three-year period greater for receipts than for expenses. The net cash income followed this general trend with the percentage decrease since 1929 becoming larger each year.

Net cash income does not reflect accurately what the operator earns for his labor and management. Non-cash receipts and expenses as well as the cash items are considered in figuring operator's labor earnings. The changes in operator's labor earnings are shown in Table 5.

Table 5

Operator's Labor Earnings on 30 Dairy Farms in Southeastern Minnesota Average per Farm Net cash income (see Table 4) \$2640 \$2859 \$2573 \$1902 \$1265 Increase in farm inventory Farm produce used in house Total income less cash expense Decrease in farm inventory Board for hired labor Interest at 5% on farm inventory Wages for unpaid family labor Total other charges Operator's labor earnings -298 -604

The value of farm produce used in the house has been declining due to lower prices per unit. This, together with lower prices for purchased foodstuffs, resulted in lower costs for board for hired labor. The latter was figured at \$20 per month in 1928, 1929, and 1930, \$15 in 1931, and \$10 in 1932. As a result of this lower rate, the total charge for board for hired labor was much lower in 1931 and 1932. Similarly, the rate charged for unpaid family labor was reduced from \$60 per month in 1928, 1929, and 1930 to \$45 in 1931 and \$30 in 1932. The interest charge has been less the last two years because the total value of the farm assets has declined. The value of bare land was reduced approximately 40 per cent in 1931, and 25 per cent in 1932; and the value of improvements was reduced 25 per cent in 1932. Dairy cows were also revalued on a lower basis in 1932. These decreases in values of assets were not included in the inventory changes shown in Table 5. The latter reflect the normal depreciation on buildings, machinery, work stock, and dairy cows, and changes in the market price of other livestock and of feed and supplies.

However, even these limited changes in inventory values were so pronounced as to make the operator's labor earnings fluctuate much more widely than the net cash income. The variation in the latter from 1929 to 1932 was \$1594, while that in the operator's labor earnings was \$2969. In 1931 and 1932, these thirty farmers, on the average, received nothing for their own labor and for the services of members of their families, and failed to make five per cent interest on a greatly reduced investment. In addition, they incurred large capital losses due to falling prices.

MINIESOTA FARM PRICES FOR MAY 1933 Prepared by Adena E. Erickson

The index number of Minnesota farm prices for the month of May 1933 was 48.64. When the average of farm prices of the three Mays 1924-25-26 is represented by 100, the indexes for May of each year from 1924 to date are as follows:

May	1924	_	84.3	May 1929 -	112.6
11	1925	_	106.1	" 1930 -	98.2
**	1926	_	110.1	" 1931 -	63.5
77	1927	~	109.0	" 1932 -	43.1*
71	1928	_	113.4	" 1933 -	48.6*

*Preliminary

The price index of 48.6 for the past month is the net result of decreases in the prices of farm products in May 1933 over the average of May 1924-25-26 weighted according to their relative importance.

Average Farm Prices Used in Computing the Minnesota Farm Price Index,

May 15, 1933, withe Comparisons*									
	May 15,	Apr.15,	May 15,	Av. May	% May 15,	% May 15,	% May 15,		
	1933	1933	1932	1924-25-	1933 is	1933 is	1933 isof		
				26	of Apr.	of May	May 15,		
					15, 1933	15, 1932	1924-25-26		
Wheat	\$.59	\$.46	\$.49	\$1.31	128	120	45		
Corn	29	. 20	•30	.65	145	97	45		
Oats	.18	.13	.20	.35	138	90	51		
Barley	.32	.23	.32	.59	139	100	54		
Rye	.39	.30	.29	.75	130	134	52		
Flax	1.22	1.00	1.08	2.32	122	113	53		
Potatoes	.27	.26	.32	.83	104	84	33		
Hogs	3.90	3.15	2.70	9,60	124	144	41		
Cattle	3.80	3.35	3.70	6.38	113	103	60		
Calves	4.50	4.15	4.40	8.07	108	102	56		
Lambs-sheep	4.67	4.25	4.43	11.39	110	105	41		
Chickens	.086	.082	.105	.189	105	-82	46		
Eggs	.11	.09	.10	.22	122	110	50		
Butterfat	.21	. 18	.18	.40	117	117	53		
Hay	6.14	5.92	9.26	11.49	104	66	53		
Milk	.86	. 89	1.08	1.95	97	80	44		

^{*}Except for milk, these are the average prices for Minnesota as reported by the United States Department of Agriculture.

Indexes and Ratios of Minnesota Agriculture* April May May Av.May 1933 1933 1932 1924-26 U.S. farm price index 44.9 37.6 40.6 100.0 Minnesota farm price index 48.6 39.9 43.1 100.0 U.S. purchasing power of farm products 70.2 58.8 57.5 100.0 Minnesota purchasing power of farm products 75.9 62.3 60.7 100.0 U.S. hog-corn ratio 10.0 11.4 9.8 Minnesota hog-corn ratio 13.4 15.8 15.1 9.0 Minnesota egg-grain ratio 15.5 17.1 14.9 14.4 Minnesota butterfat-farm grain ratio 36.2 43.9 29.0 34.5

*Explanations of the computation of these data are given in Farm Pusiness Notes No. 126.