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# THE YIELD OF DOUGLAS FIR IN THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST 

By Richand E. McApdle, silvicullurist, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experivnent Station, Forest Service, with a section on application of yield tables by Walter H. Meyer, silvicultutist, and supplemental treatment by Donald Bruce, ${ }^{1}$ collaborator
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## INTRODUCTION

The portion of Oregon and Washington west of the Cascade Range is one of the most important forest regions of the country. Its forests now contain about one-fourth of the entire standing-timber supply of the United States; its lumber mills are now producing yearly about one-fourth of all the lumber cut in the United States. This forest region is of immense economic importance to the Nation, not only because of its present great supply of virgin timber and its large annual contribution of useful lumber products but also because of its great possibilities for the continuous production of superior forest crops in large quantities from lands eminently suited to that purpose alone.

Western Oregon and Washington is spoken of as the Douglas fir region on account of the preponderance of this species, which makes up 66 percent ( $340,000,000,000 \mathrm{bd}$. ft.) of the total stand of all species estimated at $515,000,000,000 \mathrm{bd}$. ft . Here Douglas fir (Pseudotruga taxifolia) reaches its best development, both in size and quality of

[^0]the individual trees, and in density and rapid growth of its stands. The original forest of this region covered about $28,000,000$ acres, but logging, fires, and land clearing have reduced this area to something less than $24,000,000$ acres ( 9 ). ${ }^{2}$

A vast acreage of primeval forest thus remains, embracing many large tracts of splendid old-growth timber, with trees 5 feet and larger in diameter and cruising over 100,000 board feet per acre. However, not every acre is covered with a dense stand of old-growth trees. Many stands have been thinned by fire, and in some places the old growth has been completely exterminated by fire or logging. Still other stands are decrepit with age. As for the logged-over and burned-over areas, many have satisfactorily restocked with young trees, but others are only sparsely stocked or are entirely barren of second growth. Ownership of forest acreage in the Douglas fir region is rather evenly divided between the public and private owners, but the volume of timber in private ownership is considerably larger.

In this region an immense logging and lumbering industry has come into being within the past.few decades. Washington now ranks first amond the States in volume of lumber production, and Oregon has second place. Each year approximately 75,000 acres in western Oregon ayd 145,000 in western Washington are logged over. The 579 sawmills and 144 shingle mills in the western part of these two States in 1927 cut $9,881,414,000$ board feet of lumber, and of this, 80 per cent was Douglas fir. Inasmuch as the stand of virgin timber is by no means unlimited, the permanence of this lumber industry, which now contributes 65 per cent of the entire industrial pay roll of Oregon and Washington, hinges to a considerable degree upon the continued production of forest crops from lands chiefly suitable for this purpose.

In western Oregon and Washington, both inside and outside the national forests, at least $15,000,000$ acres of land is estimated to be chiefly suitable for the continuous growing of crops of Douglas fir and its associates. As the supply of mature timber becomes less the forests which to-day are immature and the lands which are yet to be reforested will furnish an increasingly large part of the timber supply. Ultimately the lumber production of the entire region must come from such young or second-growth stands.

Since the continued existence and prosperity of the lumber industry in the Pacific Northwest is so dependent upon the growth that takes place in these still immature stands of Douglas fir and upon areas yet to be logged and reforested, it is important to have definite information concerning the potential yjelds on these forest lands. The owner of timberland who contemplates raising crops of timber on his land in such succession or alternation as to produce a sustained annual yield of a fixed volume, the investor in growing timber, and the manufacturer looking for a future supply of raw material, all are interested in knowing the growth and yield possibilities of Douglas fir stands. They should know how many years it will be before trees now too small to cut will be of merchantable size, how many trees of each size class there will be of various ages during the

[^1]life of the forest, and how large a harvest may be expected at any given age.

Anticipating this need, the Forest Service has prepared the yield figures here presented. They will help anyone who is interested in a future timber crop in this region to determine the profitableness of timber growing on various classes of land, to decide upon the best age at which to cut the timber, to predict the probable future size of the trees, and to estimate the prospective value of the crop.

Opportunity to study the yield of young Douglas fir forests was abundantly afforded by the many areas where such forests of various ages and ort various types of land have originated naturally after logging or following severe fires that killed the former stands. Many of these young forests are so uniform and well stocked that they are a fair index of what may be expected hereafter under intensive forest management. Some are very extensive, even aged, uniformly stocked with trees, and unbroken over thousands of acres; others are but small patches surrounded by timber of another age, or, rarely, are a composite of several age classes.

Estimates place the total area of Douglas fir forests originating on old burns and now 20 to 120 years old at $2,500,000$ acres in Oregon and 2,000,000 acres in Washington; in addition there are at present in the two States about 500,000 a ares of Douglas fir second growth on cut-over lands. Over half of this $5,000,000$ acres is privately owned. A survey made in 1922 by the Forest Service on $5,910,529$ acres of national forests within the Douglas fir zone showed 2,009,580 acres of Douglas fir under 120 years old. From this survey it appears that about 34 per cent of the stands on the national forests in the Douglas fir zone are less than 120 years old (pl. 1); these areas are rather evenly distributed between the various age classes except that the 1 to 20 year class is relatively larger. This proportion of young growth to old growth probably is not as large on privately owned lands outside the national forests.

The yield tables here given were constructed from measurements of trees on sample areas laid out in a great many representative young forests, and supplemented by the periodic remeasurement of a number of permanent plots which have been under observation for as long as 15 years. Figure 1 shows the location of the 261 individual forests or tracts covered by the study. All told, during the course of the survey measurements were obtained on 2,052 sample plots. Some were in stands only 20 years old, some in stands 160 years old, and others in forests of nearly every age between these extremes. The principal combinations of soil and climatic factors in this region were considered, ranging from localities with very little rainfall to those where over 100 inches of rain falls every year; gravel soils, clay soils, loam soils; and altitudes from a few feet to over 3,000 feet. In this way a series of composite pictures was built up, one illustrating the conditions existing at various ages in forests growing under highly favorable circumstances, another showing the conditions under the least favorable circumstances, and still others illustrating intermediate conditions. The measurements so obtained from representative forest areas, reduced to tabular form, show what probably will be yielded or produced by a forest at different stages in its life. The study of Douglas fir growth was begun in 1909 by

Thornton T. Munger (8), was continued in 1911 by E. J. Hanzlik (4), and has been materially augmented by a large amount of field work by the author in 1924-25.

## THE DOUGLAS FIR REGION AND ITS FORESTS

Douglas fir grows naturally in most of the temperate portions of western North America. ${ }^{3}$ It natural range is, roughly, from northern British Columbia southward in the Rocky Mountains through Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, Utah, Colorado, New Mexico, and Arizona to Mexico; and in the coastal States through Washington, Oregon, and as far south as central California. This builetin is concerned only with the region west of the Cascade Range in Washington and Oregon, where Douglas fir is the dominant forest species and reaches its mazimum development, a long narrow strip extending from the upper slopes of the Cascade Range to the Pacific Ocean and from southwestern British Columbia almost to the Oregon-California boundary, an area approximately 100 miles wide and 450 miles long.

Typical of the region are rugged mountains and broad fertile valleys. The soils include sterile gravels, sands, heavy clays, loose friable loams, voicanic ash, and almost every possible combination of these individual classes. As a rule, the mountain soils are mostly clays and loams, and the soils of the valleys are largely loams and gravels; but no hard and fast line of distinction can be made, for the several soil classes are to a great extent intermingled in many areas. Even within a township it is often possible to find several distinct classes of soils.

The climate of the Douglas fir region is exceptionally favorable for conifer growth. Except at high elevations in the mountains, the winters are short and rarely severe. The growing season thus is long and usually free from extremes of heat or cold. The average temperature during the season for tree growth is about $56^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The amount of annual precipitation varies from an exceptional minimum of about 20 inches on the leeward east slopes of the Olympic Mountains to over 100 inches along the coast and on the upper west slopes. Most of the region has an annual precipitation of 40 to 60 inches. Below 1,500 feet nearly all the precipitation is in the form of rain, but from June to September there is little rain anywhere in the region.

Although Douglas fir predominates in the region and grows under almost every pariety of conditions up to the limit of its distribution, the forests of western Oregon and Washington are by no means restricted to this species. According to the species predominating, the Douglas fir region may be divided into three subregions called the fog belt type, the upper-slope type, and the Douglas fir type proper.
The fog-belt type occurs as a narrow strip along the seacoast, where the rainfall is exceedingly heavy and moisture-dripping fogs

[^2]roll in from the ocean even during the summer months. Here the very moist soil and cool atmosphere conditions favor the growth of western hemlock (Tsuga heterophylla) and Sitka spruce (Picea sitchensis), often to the exclusion of Douglas fir. In the southern part of the fog-belt type, the valuable Port Orford cedar (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana) also takes a prominent place in these forests.


Figuam 1.-Area in Oregon and Wabhington included in the Dougias ar yifld studyEach aolld dot represents a Douging fr forest in whtch several (nsually about iof temporary sample plots were lald out aud measured. The encircied crosses represent periodlc remencurements of one or a group of permavent bample piots

The upper-slope type of forest occurs at moderate to high altitudes in the Cascade Mountains, where Douglas fir gradually yields its place to western red cedar (Thuja plicata), western hemlock, western white pine (Pinus monticola), noble fir (Abies nobilis), silver fir (A. amabilis), alpine fir (A. lasiocarpa), mountain hermlock (Tsuga mertensiana), and Alaska cedar (Chamaecyparis nootkatensis).

The Douglas fir type proper occurs in the valleys of the Puget Sound-Willamette River Basins, on the mountains of the Coast Ranges, and on the foothills and lower slopes of the Cascade Range. These forests consist almost entirely of Douglas fir intermingled with small quantities of western hemlock, western red cedar, lowland white fir (A. grondis), and occasionally silver fir, noble fir, and western white pine. The few broad-leaved trees of most common occurrence here and in the fog-belt type are bigleaf maple (Aceer macrophyllum), red alder (Alnus mubra), black cottonwood (Populus trichocarpa), and Oregon white oak (Quercus garryana).

## GROWTH CHARACTERISTICS OF THE FOREST

Young forests, whether on old burns or on logged areas, consist to a high degree of Douglas fir, most stands being over 80 per cent, and many 100 per cent, of this species. This is due to the ability of Douglas fir to establish itself by natural means more successfully than any of its associates in open areas following fire or logging. (Pl. 2.) These young forests as a rule are even aged, the larger trees in any one forest seldom rarying by more than a few years.

Although a new forest starts with many thousands of small trees to the acre, only a small proportion of these survive until the stand reaches maturity. (Pls. 3 and 4.) At 10 years of age on reasonably good land there are about 900 trees' to the acre, some of them 10 or 12 feet tall and clothed to the ground with living limbs. At 30 years of age at least one-half of these trees are dead, several of the survivors are more than 12 inches in diameter and 90 feet tall, and on all the surviving trees the lower branches, though they still hang on, dry and brittle, have been killed by the intense shade. When the forest is 100 years old there are only about 80 living trees to the acre, but most of them are now 2 or 3 feet in diameter. The larger trees are nearly 200 feet tall and have nearly attained their full height growth; dead branches have dropped off the trunks for at least half the total length; the bark has thickened greatly, become deeply furrowed, and turned a dark gray-brown. The ground is strewn with trees which have died, and the holes so made in the forest canopy admit enough light to permit the establishment of shrubby piants and occasional small hemlocks, cedars, and white firs.

Most of the present young stands of Donglas fir, such as were studied for the purposes of this bulletin, originated naturally following fires which destroyed the previous forests. Other young forests have come in on logged-over areas. The extensive stands of Douglas fir now 60 to 80 years old in the Willamette Valley and on the foothilis in Oregon are commonly assumed to have originated about the time that intensive settlement of the valley brought to an end the setting of brush fires by Indians to maintain pasture for their horses.

Overmature forests have a large proportion of other species, mostly western hemlock and western red cedar. This is due to the fact that Douglas fir does not endure heavy shade, and for this reason there is no understory forest of young Douglas fir to take the place of the old trees as they die from old age or lose out to
their neighbors in the competition for sunlight. Western red cedar, western hemlock, white fir, and other species which can grow in the shade of the mature forest fill in the places left vacant by the dying Douglas firs.

## GROWTH CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TREE

In the Pacific Northwest, Douglas fir is a tall, massive tree with slowly tapering trunk, attaining great size and age. Excepting only the sequoias of California, Douglas fir is the largest tree of the North American forests. Trees 5 or 6 feet in diameter and 250 feet tall are common in mature forests. In general, however, trees more than 8 or 9 feet in diameter and 275 feet in height and more than 500 years old are rare. ${ }^{4}$

The inability of Douglas fir to live in its own dense shade insures, in well-stocked stands, the early death and gradual shedding of the lower branches and the production of clear Jumber thereafter. Sensitiveness to shade varies with age, young trees being more shade resistant than old trees. Likewise, trees having favorable growth conditions are more tolerant of shade than those on the poorer sites. Early in life Douglas fir is able to withstand some side shading, but after about the twenty-fifth year the tree is unable to make satisfactory growth or to live in either side or overhead shade.

Young Douglas firs are fairly safe at present from indigenous fungous diseases. Studies by J. S. Boyce indicate that in stands less than 100 years old the loss through decay ordinarily amounts to less than 2.5 per cent of the total merchantable volume of the stand. Damage by tree-killing insects is restricted to a very few species, and the only serious attacks have occurred near the marginal limits of the tree's distribution. Ice storms occasionally breali the tops out of trees, and those so clamaged seldom recover their places in the forest canopy. Crown fires sometimes destroy many acres of Douglas fir forests, but are rare in young stands. Surface fires, on the

[^3]other hand, do little damage to mature trees protected by their thick bark, but are responsible for a great deal of damage in young stands, scarring the bases of the thin-barked trees and thus exposing them to windthrow or fungous attacks.

Douglas fir reproduces itself only from seed; it does not sprout as do many of the hardwoods and a few of the conifers. The seed matures in August and September and most of it falls from the cones within a month or two; but good seed often continues to be shed until the following spring. As a rule, Douglas fir has a large seed crop over the entire region about every three or four years, the intervening crops being either total failures or light.

Douglas fir normally is a deep-rooted species on the drier loams and very dry gravels, but this characteristic of the root system appears to be determined largely by the quantity of moisture present in the soil. On wet clay soils so shallow a root system is developed that isolated trees are liable to be overthrown by the wind; and windthrow is particularly prevalent in the wet soils of river bottoms.

Douglas fir stands, as well as logs and Iumber, are often referred to as "red fir" or "yellow fir," according to the color and quality of the wood. Both kinds of wood may be ins the same tree, the coarsegrained center being reddish and the fine-graited outer portions of the stem yellowish. The yellow fir is considered more desirable than red fir because of its color, fine grain, and easy-working qualities. The formation of red fir wood is commonly attributed to rapidity of growth, and since the rate of growth diminishes with age, the trees in old forests are likely to contain a relatively large proportion of the yellow wood. For this reason, young Douglas fir forests are frequently referred to as red fir forests and older stands classed as yellow fir forests.

## FACTORS INFLUENCING YIELD OF DOUGLAS FIR

The yield of the growing forest at any given age is determined by site quality and degree of stocking. A brief analysis of these factors will be presented; a complete discussion of them is beyond the scope of this bulletin. The actual yields obtainable from any stand are affected by other factors also, such as the intensity of the utilization and the amount of defect; these are discussed in later paragraphs.

## SITE QUALITY

Various combinations of the physical characteristics of forest areas, such as soil, drainage, rainfall, temperature, altitude, slope, and aspect, result in different degrees of favorableness for tree growth. The combined effect of these characteristics on the stand is embraced in the term " site " or " site quality." (Pl. 6.) Between the best and poorest sites in the Douglas fir region is a range in productivity, as measired in cubic feet of wood produced, of over 250 per cent. It would be difficult, if not impossible, to determine the part that each physical factor has in making an area productive, althongh this study has given some indications of the combinations of factors that contribute toward productivity. For practical purposes in using yield tables it is essential to have only a measure of the combined effect.
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Plate 1


A TYPICAL STAND OF YOUNG DOUGLAS FIR
A healthy stand of Douglas fir about 100 years old in Clackgmas County, Oreg. The forest canopy is beginning to open and the light thus admitted to the ground has enabled tho establishment of a thick growth of underbrush.
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## THRIFTY YOUNG STANDS OF DOUGLAS FIR ARE ABUNDANT ON OLD BURNS AND LQGGED OFF LANDS

A. (exterlor) and 3 (Interlor) vlews of Douglas Ar stands about 45 years old. The remarizably aniform helght development of the young trees and the overtoppink seed reees bre characterlstic. Btand B, which is on land that once was cillivated, has 438 trees and 6,789 cubic feet to the scre.


THE LIFE CYCLE OF A DOUGLAS FIR FOREST
A, A dense stand 9 years old. There are thousands of trees to the acre, some of which are 10 or 12 feet tall. The period of rapid height growth has begun and during the next decade these trees will make their greatest yearly increase in height; $B$, the stand is now 52 years old, and has retained about 275 trees to the acre, averaging 12.5 inches in didecade these trees will make their greatest yearly increase in height; B, the stand is now 52 years old, and has retained about 275 trees to the acre, averaging 12.5 inches in di-
ameter, of which 136 trees are 12 inches or larger in diameter with a volume of 27,000 board feet (Scribner full scale). Within the next 20 years many of the dead limbs now persisting on the trees will fall to the ground, and through the death of many of the young trees the stand will open sufficienily to admit sunlight for the establishment of underbrush.


F203835


The Life cycle of a douglas fir forest
A, By the time the stand is 140 years old many of the trees have been shaded out. There are now 170 trees to the acre, averaging 20.2 inches in diam-
 stocked atand the trees are stralght, and free from large knots; $B$, when the forest reibher full scale). As a result of growing in a full and evenly
acre remain. The forest canopy has opened 400 years old, about 50 or 60 Douglas fir trees to the acre remain. The forest canopy has opened greatly owing to the death of many of the treos, and a uumber of young hemlocks and cedars are taking
full advantage of this opportunity to obtain a dominant place in the forest.


## A GIANT DOUGLAS FIR

The largest Dougles fir of whicin thers in an eccurata record stands ness Mineral, West. In 1924, its cirtumference at 4.8 feet above the ground was 49 feet, equivaient to a diameter of $\$ 5.4$ feet; helght to a broken top was 225 teet; age, 1,020 years. This tree fell recently. (Photograph by Holand.)
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THE EFFECT OF DENSITY OF STOCKING ON QUALITY
A, Limby timber is the result of trees growing in a sparsely stocked stand. This is the kind of timber foresters and timberk.ad owners do not want to grow and which has plenty of volume but will produce lumber of very low quality; B, proper density of stocts. ing results in an excellent stand of timber typical of what may be expected under gond forest management. Diameters are smaller than when grown with a wide spacing but there are more to the gere of withich 175 are 12 inches and larger in diameter, and yield 80,000 board feet (Scribner full ssale).

Altitude influences the productivity of an area by shortening the growing season and lowering the mean temperature. Since at high elevations growth begins late and stops early, high-aititude areas are less productive than those at low elevations, even though soil, drainage, and other conditions are favorable. In this study, 89 per cent of the areas ranking as Site I were found below an altitude of 1,500 feet, and no Site I areas were found above 2,000 feet.

Aspect was found to be an imporiant factor in regulating the productivity of forest sites. The mosy rapid growth was found on slopes facing north, northeast, and esst, probably because the soil on these exposures is less subject to the drying rays of the summer sun and consequently remains more inoist than on other aspects. Ninety per cent of the Site I areas measured during the course of this study were on north, northeast, and east aspects. The south to west aspects were found to be more variable in their effect on site than the north to east aspects. Although the least productive areas were found on south, southwest, and west aspects, occasional Site I areas and a moderatoly large number of Site II areas were found on these exposures. All of the Site V plots were on south to west aspects, or on level ground.
Soil undoubtedly plays a most important part in regulating the productivity of any area. Even though there be abundant rainfall, a long, warm growing season, and every other condition conducive to rapid growth, if the soil is lacking in food substances (or if there is an excess of certain substances), if it is extremely shallow or the drainage is deficient, growth will be slow, and large yields will not be attained. The most rapid growth and the largest yields of Douglas fir are obtained on deep, well-drained sandy loam soils. Clay soils apparently rank second in productivity, and those soils which are mainly gravel are the least productive.

It is difficult to separate the effect of rainfall from the effect of other physical factors influencing site quality. The results of this study indicate in general, however, that abundant precipitation is essential for rapid growth and large yields. The most productive areas having favorable soil and altitude were found in localities where the annual precipitation is more than 60 inches. Conversely, on areas where the soil and altitude apparently are favorable, but where the rainfall is less than 30 inches annually, the trees were growing slowly, and the total yields were small.
Slope affects site quality by reason of the fact that, even when other factors remain the same, a change in the gradient may result in an increased or decreased rate of growth. ${ }^{5}$

## STOCKING

The completeness of stocking of a forest area has a decidedly important, effect on yield, not only on the volume production but also on the quality of the wood produced. Where there are but few trees per acre the increase in volume of individual trees is very rapid, but

[^4]trees growing under these conditions will have many large limbs, a gnarled, rough appearance, and lumber from such open-grown trees will contain large knots, will be coarse grained, and consequently will he of low quality. When the trees are closely spaced the limbs on the lower portions of the stems are small and die early because of shading, and the lumber from closely grown trees therefore has fewer and smaller knots, a finer texture, and a higher quality.

The density of stocking may also affect the character of the product (pl. 7), determining, for example, whether a large or a small proportion of the trees has the proper taper or clear surface which renders them suitable for special purposes, such as telegraph poles or piling.

Though quality production is perhaps of as great economic importance as quanticy production, the present study was contined to the latter phase, and no systematic information is vet available regarding the quality yields at different ages, for different site qualiuies, and for different degrees of stocking.

## GROWTH AND YIELD TABLES

## TERMS USED

The following explanations are given of the terms used in the discussion and tabulation of growth and yield.

Field.-The volume per acre in fully stocked stands at stated ages by any one of several standards of measure. The yield-table values include only living trees, and do not show the very considerable increase in total yield which can be had by taking advantage of thinnings, even if the thinning operations do no more than remove the trees which normally will die as the stand grows older. No allowance is made in the computations of yield for possible loss in logging through defect and breakage.

Mean annual increment.-The average yearly increase in volume computed for the total age of a stand at any period in its life. See below.

Periodic anmual increment.-The average yearly increase in volume during a short period-in this instance a 10 -year period is used. The figures for mean annual increment and periodic annual increment are for living trees only and take no account of the trees which die between measurements; nor is allowance made for possible loss in logging through defect or breakage.

Dominant and codominant trees.-Trees with well-developed crowns forming the general level of the forest canopy and occasionally extending above it; the larger trees of the stand. (The group corresponds to the two upper classes of a crown classification having four divisions-dominant, codominant, intermediate, and suppressed trees.)

Age--As given in this bulletin the age of the stand is the average total age of the dominant and codominant trees. It is the number of years since the stand started from the seed, not the number of years since the previous stand was removed.

Stocking.-Stocking is a term here used in describing the degree to which an area is covered by Dovglas fir trees. The ideal or most effective number and distribution of trees is called normal, or fuil,
stocking. Normal stocking, as used here, is not theoretical maximum stocking but represents the condition of a large number of selected acres in natural stands where no accidents have interfered with growth. Normal stocking as indicated in this bulletin can be found on single acres in all parts of the region and in many forests uniformly over an area of 10 or 20 acres.
Assumed utilization.-For tables in cubic feet, the volume is the total cubic volume of the entire stems including stump and top but coniferous trees over 1.5 inches in diameter, except those of the understory, are included. The yields in board feet by the Scribner $\log$ rule allow for a minimum top diameter of 8 inches, a stump height of 2 feet, a trimming allowance of 0.3 foot for each 16 -foot $\log$, and represent the volume of all trees 11.6 inches in diameter (12-inch class) and larger on one fully stocked acre. The yields in board feet according to the International $\log$ rule ( $1 / 8$-inch kerf) allow for a minimum top diameter of 5 inches, a stump height of 1.5 feet, a trimming allowance of 0.3 foot for each 16 -foot log , and represent the volume of all trees (1) 6.6 inches in diameter ( $\overline{7}$-inch class) and larger, and (2) 11.6 inchas in diameter and larger on 1 fully stocked acre. ${ }^{6}$
Scale.-The volumes given represent full scale, no allowance having been made for possible loss through defect, breakage, or incomplete woods utilization.
Site quality.-Separation of forest land into the various classes of productivity (sites) is based on the average total height of the dominant and codominant trees. The average total height which has been or will be attained at a given age (in these tables at 100 years) is the "site index," and the tables here presented show the yields for forests of all site indices from 80 to 210. For the sake of compactness, only the 10 -foot site indices (as $80,90,100$ ) are shown, but intermediate values (as site index $82,87,88$, etc.) can be obtained by interpolation between two adjoining 10 -foot classes. To simplify the application of the tables, the site indices are grouped in five broad classes, called Sites I, II, III, IV, and V. Each site class is arranged in these tables to include three of the 10 -foot site-index classes which may be used as high, low, and median values in each site class. The median values of the five site classes correspond to the following site indices:


Total basal area.-The sum of the cross-sectional areas in square feet (including bark), at 4:5 feet above the ground, on 1 acre; com-

[^5]puted in this bulletin for three groups of living trees, namely, (1) all the trees, (2) thos, 7 inches in diameter and larger, and (3) those 12 inches and larger.

## TABLeS OF NORMAL YIELD

Normal-yield tables such as are given in this bulletin take into eccount the variations in yield due to site and age. But the normal tables do not reckon with the variations in yield due to stocking, degree of defect, or utilization practice. The normal tables are standards to which actual yields of extensive areas can be referenced. In using the yield tables, proper allowance must be made for utiliza. tion less complete than is indicated; for possible loss through defect and breakage; and for any estimated degree of stocking more or less than that represented by the normal tables.
Conventional normal yield tables such as the ones in this bulletin show only yields of live timber, or net yield. No attempt is made to measure the large segment of the forest that dies during natural stand development.
A recent publication by Stnebler (11) presents gross yield tables for Douglas fir based on data from the present builetin and permanent sample plots. Gross yields (net yield plus mortality) give an approximation of maximum yields that might be achiered by intensive management in which mortadity can be anticipated and utilized.

## DETERMINATION OF SITE QUALITY

Obviously, before yield tables can be used, the site quality of the area in question must be determined. As already stated, the average total height of the dominant and codominant trees at a given age is the accepted index of site. Whenever there is a stand of Douglas fir on the area, either young growth or old growth, site can be determined readily by comparing the average total height of selected dominant and codominant trees with the standard heights corre-
Tasle 1 .-Average total height of dominant and codominant trees, by site classes

| Age (ytars) | 8 ite Ciaso V |  | Stto Class IV |  |  | Bita Class III |  |  | Sito Class II |  |  | gite Clase I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Blte } \\ \operatorname{sidex} \\ 80 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \mathrm{ta} \\ \text { inder } \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitt } \\ & \text { index } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 110 |  | Site | $\begin{gathered} 8146 \\ \text { cide } \\ \substack{\text { ndex }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { site } \\ \text { Hinde } \\ 150 \end{gathered}$ | 8ite | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { site } \\ \text { 1ndex } \\ 1700 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site } \\ \text { index } \\ 180 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 t e \\ \substack{\text { Blex } \\ \text { nctex } \\ 100} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 81te } \\ \text { Index } \\ 200 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { site } \\ \text { lidex }}}{210}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{30} 0$ | ${ }_{37}^{21}$ | ${ }_{4}^{24}$ | 26 46 | 20 20 | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 35 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{30}^{34}$ | ${ }_{8}^{87}$ | ${ }^{39}$ | $\underset{4}{2}$ | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 47 \\ 88 \\ 87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { cea } \\ 49 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{52} 5$ | cs |
| 10. | ${ }_{48}^{88}$ | ${ }^{44}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 80 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 68 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $82$ | ${ }_{78}^{60}$ | $84$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & \hline 86 \end{aligned}$ | 102 |  | 18 | ${ }_{120}$ | 126 |
|  | ${ }^{56}$ | \% | ${ }_{78}^{70}$ | ${ }_{86}^{77}$ | ${ }_{8}^{88}$ | - 101 | ${ }^{28}$ | 1105 | 112 | 119 | 125 | 132 | 139 | ${ }^{146}$ |
|  | ${ }^{88}$ | 7 | ${ }_{85}$ | 9 | 103 | 110 | 119 | 127 | 135 | m | 152 | 161 | 170 | ${ }_{178}$ |
|  | ${ }_{7}^{7}$ | ${ }_{88}^{82}$ | ${ }_{98}^{98}$ | 105 | ${ }_{115}$ | ${ }_{125}^{128}$ | 137 | 136 | 145 | 154 | 1172 | 172 | 181 | 180 |
| 100 | 30 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 123 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 380 | 200 | 210 |
|  | 8 | ${ }_{98}^{93}$ | 100 | ${ }_{11} 11$ | lis | 138 | 143 | ${ }_{160}$ | ${ }_{170}^{288}$ | ${ }^{178}$ | 187 | 197 | 273 | ${ }^{218}$ |
| 130 | 87 | ${ }_{8}^{9}$ | 109 | 110 | 131 | 141 | 152 | ${ }_{183}$ | 174 | 185 | ${ }_{198}^{192}$ | 202 | 213 218 | 288 |
|  | 88 | ${ }^{98}$ | 110 | 121 | ${ }^{133}$ | 144 | 154 | 168 | 177 | 188 | ${ }^{193}$ | 210 | 221 | 232 |
| 100.-.-.-.... | ${ }_{80}^{89}$ | 102 | 113 | 124 | ${ }_{131}^{134}$ | 145 147 | 158 | 178 | 178 | 189 | ${ }_{203}^{203}$ | ${ }_{215}^{213}$ | ${ }_{228}^{228}$ | $\xrightarrow{235}$ |

sponding to the age of the stand as given in Table 1 and Figure 2.5 As an example, if the age of the stand is 50 years, and the average
total height of the dominant and codominant treas is 98 feet, Table 1 shows that this height at 50 years corresponds to site index 140, or Site III. Height measurements of 15 or 20 dominant and codominant trees and age counts on about 10 should be sufficient for site-quality determination if the area is homogeneous. Since age counts usually are made several feet above the ground, these counts must be converted (Table 19) to total age.
It is less easy to determine the site class of an old burn or freshly logged area devoid of trees. Where such bare areas are adjacent to standing timber on land of apparently similar site, the nonforested areas may be assumed to be of about the same site as the adjoining forested areas. Methods for determining the site of bare areas are now being sought.

The normal-yield tables are presented in three ways: Table 2 for total stand, all sizes of trees included; Table 3 for that part of the


Figurd 2.-Aperege total beight of dominant and codominant trees
stand 7 inches in diameter and larger; Table 4 for the stand 12 inches in diameter and larger.

## YIELD TABLES FOR TOTAL STAND

Table 2 comprises a group of yield tables covering the whole stand and includes four items, the total number of trees per acre, the diameter of the average tree at breastheight, total basal area, and the cubic volume of the entire stems. The values for each item are arranged by site indices from 80 to 210 in 10 -foot classes. Figures 3 to 6 present the same data by means of curves but include only the median values for cach of the five site classes. All conifers (but not understory trees, if present) 1.5 inches in diameter and larger are included in the table for the total stand.

[^6]Table 2.-Yield tables for Douglas fir on fully stocked acre, total stand total nomber of trees

| Age (years) | site Class V |  | Site Class IV |  |  | Site Class III |  |  | Site Class II |  |  | Site Class I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site } \ln d e x \\ 80 \end{array}\right\|$ | Site index | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Site index } \\ 100 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Sito inder } \\ 110 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site index } \\ 120 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site index } \\ 130 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 8 i t e \\ 140 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\mid \text { Site index }\left.\right\|_{150}$ | $\mid \underset{100}{\text { Site index }}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site index } \\ 170 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\mid$ | $\left.\right\|_{180} ^{\text {gite index }}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Bite inder } \\ 200 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sito index } \\ & \quad 210 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Nurnber | Number |
| 40 | 2, ${ }^{1} 700$ | ${ }^{2} 2200$ | 4,800 1,00 | 3,472 | - 1,219 | 1,815 1,030 | 1,460 865 | 1,210 735 | $\begin{array}{r}1,012 \\ 840 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 880 <br> 655 | $\begin{array}{r}756 \\ \hline 483 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 654 408 | (1872, | 480 |
| 40 | 1,630 | 1,275 | 1,090 | ${ }^{1927}$ | ${ }^{7} 788$ | 1.680 +60 | 885 <br> 885 <br> 80 | ${ }_{5}^{735}$ | $\begin{array}{r}640 \\ 445 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{3}^{655}$ | 483 335 | 408 282 | 350 <br> 240 | 300 203 |
| 60 | 1.050 780 | 890 <br> 670 | 764 680 | $\stackrel{659}{650}$ | 572 | 4960 | 430 437 | 377 | 331 | 290 | 248 | 208 | ${ }_{176}$ | 203 150 |
| 70 | 625 | ${ }_{537}^{670}$ | ${ }_{468} 688$ | 500 405 | $\begin{array}{r}439 \\ \hline 52 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 380 <br> 310 | $\begin{array}{r}337 \\ 274 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{242}^{296}$ | 281 214 | $\begin{array}{r}228 \\ 188 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 195 | 164 | 138 | 118 |
| ${ }_{80}^{80}$ | 525 | 455 | 394 | 345 | 303 | 268 | 232 | ${ }_{207}^{242}$ | $\begin{array}{r}214 \\ 182 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}186 \\ 159 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 160 <br> 136 | 135 <br> 115 | 113 | ${ }_{87}^{95}$ |
| 100 | 451 <br> 403 | $\begin{array}{r}398 \\ 352 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{311}^{347}$ | $\stackrel{304}{201}$ | 288 | 235 | 205 | 180 | 158 | 138 | 118 | 100 | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ |
| 110 | 462 | 352 319 | 311 <br> 281 | 271 247 | 239 <br> 217 | 209 <br> 188 | ${ }_{166}^{184}$ | ${ }_{1}^{161}$ | 142 | 123 | 106 | 89 | 75 | 64 |
| 120 | 331 305 | 292 | 259 | 224 | 197 | 173 | 152 | 134 | 116 | 101 | 88 | 81 | ${ }_{63}^{69}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ |
| 145 | $\begin{array}{r}305 \\ 248 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 252 | 224 | 209 <br> 195 <br> 19 | 184 171 | 161 | 141 | 124 | 108 | 94 | 80 | 69 | ${ }_{69}$ | 49 |
| $1150 \ldots$ | 236 250 | ${ }_{225}^{238}$ | 211 | 184 | 160 | 141 | 123 | 108 | ${ }_{95}$ | 88 | 75 | 64 60 | 55 | 48 |
|  |  |  | 200 | 175 | 152 | 133 | 117 | 102 | 90 | 78 | 71 <br> 7 | 60 57 |  | 42 |
| dlameter of average tree at breastheight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inctios | Inches | Inches |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 1.3 | 1.5 |  |  | 2.6 | 3.0 |  | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.2 |
| 40 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 5.5 7.4 | 6.0 8.0 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 9.8 |
| 60 | 4.9 6.0 | 5.6 | ${ }^{6} 3$ | 7.0 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 13.3 |
| 70. | 6.0 7.0 | 6.8 7.9 | 8.8 | 8.5 <br> 8.8 | 0.3 108 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 16.6 | 18.2 | 19.9 |
| 80 90 | 7.9 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 12.8 14.3 | 13.8 | ${ }_{16}^{14.8}$ | 17.0 | 17.5 | 18.1 | 20.9 | 22.8 |
| ${ }^{90} 10$ | 8.7 | 9.7 | 10.8 | 11.9 | 13.1 | 14.3 | 15.6 | 16.9 | 18.2 | 17.9 10.6 | 19.6 | 21.3 | 23.3 | 25.5 |
| 110 | 9.4 | 10.5 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 14.2 | 15.5 | 18.9 | 18.2 | 19.7 | 21.2 | 23.1 | 25.1 | 27.68 | 280 |
| 120. | 10.1 10.7 | 11.3 11.9 | 12.4 | 13.7 14.6 | 15.2 | ${ }^{176.6}$ | 18.0 | 18.5 | 21.0 | 22.6 | 24.6 | 28.9 | 29.4 | 32.2 |
| 130 | 11.3 | 12.5 | 13.2 13.9 | 14.6 15.3 | 16.1 16.9 | $\begin{array}{r}17.6 \\ 18.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 19.1 20.1 | 20.7 21.7 | $\begin{array}{r}22.3 \\ 23.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 24.0 25 | 2818 | 28.5 | 31.1 | 342 |
| 140 | 11.0 | 13.1 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 17.7 | 18.4 | 2.1 | 22.8 | 23.5 24.5 | $\begin{array}{r}25,3 \\ 265 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 27.5 28.8 | 30.0 | 32.7 34 3 | 26.0 |
| 160. | 12.4 | 13.7 | 15.1 | 18.7 | 18.4 | 20.2 | 22.0 | 23.8 | 25.6 | 27.7 | 30.0 | 32.8 | 34.8 | 38.8 |
|  | 12.9 | 14.2 | 15.7 | 17.4 | 19.1 | 21.0 | 22.8 | 24.7 | 28.6 | 28.0 | 31.2 | 3 F 1 | 37.2 | 41.0 |

TOTAL BASAL AREA

|  |  |  |  | Sq. | Sq. $f$. | So.fl. | So.ft. | Sq. ft. | Sq.f. | Sq.ft. | Sq.ft. | Sq. ft. | Sq. ft. | Sq. $f$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | S8.ft. | Sq. ${ }^{\text {fa }} 70$ | Sq. $\mathrm{rl}_{76}$ | Sq. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 81 | ${ }^{\text {sq. }} 186$ | 89.8 | S\%. 92 | ${ }^{\text {S. }} 95$ |  | - 98 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 102 |
| 30 | 96 | 105 | 114 | 122 | 129 | 135 | 140 | 144 | 147 | 1.50 | 152 | 153 | 154 | 155 |
| 40 | 121 | 132 | 143 | 153 | 162 | 170 | 177 | 182 | 186 | 189 | 191 | 193 | - 224 | 226 |
| 50 | 140 | 153 | 165 | 177 | 187 | 196 | 204 | 210 | 214 | 217 | 244 | 246 | 248 | 250 |
| 60 | 154 | 169 | 182 | 195 | 207 | 217 | 226 | 232 | 23. | 241 | 264 | 266 | 268 | 270 |
| 70 | 166 | 183 | 197 | 211 | 224 | 235 | 244 | 251 | 256 271 | 276 | 280 | 283 | 285 | 287 |
| 80. | 177 | 194 | 210 | 224 | 238 | 249 <br> 262 <br> 2 | 272 | 279 | 285 | 290 | 294 | 297 | 299 | 301 |
| 90 | 185 | 204 | 220 | 235 245 | 249 | 273 | 283 | 291 | 297 | 302 | 306 | 309 | 312 | 314 |
| 100 | 193 | ${ }_{2}^{212}$ | 229 | 245 | 209 | 282 | 292 | 301 | 307 | 313 | 317 | 320 | 323 | 325 |
| 120 | 206 | 226 | 245 | 261 | 277 | 290 | 301 | 310 | 316 | 322 | 326 | 329 | 332 | 335 |
| 130 | 213 | 233 | 251 | 208 | 284 | 298 | 309 | 318 | 325 | 331 | 335 | 317 | 34 | 53 |
| 140. | 218 | 238 | 257 | 275 | 291 | 305 | 317 | 326 | 333 | 338 | 343 | 347 | 350 <br> 357 | 353 360 3 |
| 150. | 223 | 243 | 263 | 281 | 298 304 | 312 318 | 324 331 | 333 340 | 340 347 | 346 353 | 357 | 351 | 304 | 367 |
| 160 | 227 | 248 | 268 | 287 | 304 | 318 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL YIELD IN CUBIC FEET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft, | Cu.fl. | Cu. ft. | Cu. ft. | Cu. ft. | Cu. ft. | $\mathrm{Cu} . \mathrm{fl}$. | Cu. ft. | Cu. ${ }^{\text {at }}$ | Cu. ft. | Cu.ft. |
| 20. | ${ }^{2} .120$ | Cu. 620 | Cu. 730 | Cu. 870 | C. 990 | 1,120 | 1,250 | 1,380 | 1,490 | - 1,550 | 1, 650 | 1, 730 | 1,830 4,750 | 1,920 4,990 |
| 30 | 1.330 | 1,610 | 1,930 | 2,270 | 2, 630 | 2,980 | 3, 300 | 3,610 5,750 | 3,880 | 4,110 6,550 | 4,330 6,900 | 4,530 7.220 | 4, 750 7.500 | 4, 4930 |
| 40 | 2,110 | 2, 520 | 3,020 | 3, 560 | 4,150 | 4, 690 | 5, 250 | 5.750 7730 | 8,160 8,300 | $\begin{array}{r}6,550 \\ 8,840 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6, 920 | +,220 | 10, 150 | 10,560 |
| 50 | 2,840 | 3,410 | 4,080 | 4,780 | 5.540 | 6, 300 | 7,050 8,700 | 7,730 9,490 | 8.300 10.200 | 8,840 10,860 | 11, 11 | 12,000 | 12,590 | 12,960 |
| 60 | 3, 500 | 4200 | 5,010 | 5,880 | 6,880 | 7,760 9,100 | 8,700 10,150 | 9,490 11,090 | 10,200 11,900 | 12, 3.50 | 11, 300 | 13, 850 | 14, 500 | 15,080 |
| 70. | 4,090 | 4,920 | 5,820 | 6,830 | 8, 000 | $\begin{array}{r}9,100 \\ 10,240 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 10,150 <br> 11,350 | 12, 400 | 11, 13,360 | 14, 220 | 14,990 | 15,700 | 16, 350 | 16,970 |
| 80 | 4, 580 | 5.510 | 6,530 | 7,690 8,400 | 9,000 9810 | 10,240 11,160 | 11,359 | 12, 500 | 14, 000 | 15, 540 | 16,400 | 17, 190 | 17, 880 | 18,500 |
| 90. | 5,000 | $6{ }_{6} 010$ | 7.120 | 8,400 9,000 | 9,810 10,510 | 11,940 | 12, 13,270 | 14,460 | 15, 600 | 16, 610 | 17,550 | 18,370 | 19, 140 | 19,820 |
| 100 | 5, 350 | 6,420 6.780 | 7,620 | 9, 9000 | 11, 080 | 12,610 | 14,090 | 15, 200 | 16,500 | 17,560 | 18,510 | 19,390 | 20, 200 | 20,940 |
| 110. | 5,640 | 6, 7,080 | 8,410 | 9,920 | 11, 580 | 13, 180 | 14,600 | 15,990 | 17, 240 | 18,340 | 19, 320 | 20, 220 | 21,090 | 21,870 |
| 120 | 5, 600 | 7, 340 | 8, 720 | 10,290 | 12,000 | 13,650 | 15, 140 | 18,560 | 17,870 | 19,000 | 20,000 | 20,980 | 21,840 | 22,660 |
| 130. | 6, 340 | 7,600 | 9, 020 | 10,620 | 12,370 | 14,080 | 15,610 | 17,090 | 18, 410 | 19,590 | 20, 540 | 21,610 | 22, 520 | 23, 360 |
| 150 | 6,520 | 7,810 | 9, 280 | 10, 920 | 12,710 | 14,490 | 16, 080 | 17. 565 | 18, 910 | 20, 130 | 21, 270 | 22, 250 | 23, 170 | 24, 24,680 |
| 160. | 6, 670 | 8,000 | 9,500 | 11,200 | 13, 040 | 14,850 | 16,490 | 18, 010 | 19,380 | 20,650 | 21,820 | 22,830 | 23, 780 | 24,660 |



Figuay 3.-Total number of treas per acre


Figune 4.-Diameter of arerage trees at breasthelght, total stand


Fotura 5.-Wotal basal area per acre


## YELD TABLES FOR STAND OF TREES 7 INCHES IN DIAMETER AND LARGER

For the stand of trees 7 inches in diameter and larger, Table 3 records the number of trees, the diameter of the average tree, total basal area, cubic volume, and board-foot volume by the international log rule. Figures 7 and 8 illustrate the change in number of trees and in board-foot volume, with age.

The tables and graphs are self-explanatory with the possible exception of the graph for number of trees. (Fig. 7.) The number of


Figong 7.--Number of trees per acre 7 inches in alameter and larger
trees larger than 7 inches in diameter must increase as the stand grows older and the trees gain in diameter. The trees which die and drop out of the stand during this period presumably are small trees, mostly less than 7 inches in diameter. Since the stand must some time reach an age when all the trees in the stand are over 7 inches in diameter, trees dying after that time must come from the ranks of the 7 -inch and larger trees. Hence, after a certain age, there is a decrease in the total number of these trees; this accounts for the sudden reversal in direction of the curves.

During the period when part of the total number of trees are smaller than 7 inches in diameter, the diameter of the average tree in the stand 7 inches and larger is greater than the diameter of the average tree in the total stand, and basal area and cubic volume are less. Later the values become equal in both stand groups.


Figore 8.-Volume per acre in board feet by the International fog rule (\%-inch kerf), trees 7 inchea in dímeter and larger

## YIELD TABLES FOR STAND OF TREES 12 INCHES AND LARGER IN DIAMETER

Table 4 records, for that part of the stand in and above the 12 -inch diameter class, the number of trees, diameter of the average tree, total basal area, cubic-foot volume, board-foot volume by the International $\log$ rule and board-foot volume by the Scribner log rule. Figures 9 and 10 illustrate the change with age in the number of trees and Scribner board-foot volume.

Table 3.-Yield tables for Douglas fir on fully slocked acre, trses 7 inches in diameter and larger
NUMBER OF TREEB

| Age (years) | Sito Class V |  | Site Class IV |  |  | Site Class III |  |  | Bite Class II |  |  | Sito Class 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Site Index | Site index | Site inder 100 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Sito index } \\ & 110 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site Index } \\ 120 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Site index } \\ 130 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Site Index } \\ 140 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { 8ite Inder } \\ 150 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\int_{160}^{8 i t e} \text { index }$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Slive Index } \\ 170 \end{array}\right\|$ | Bito Index | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Bite indsx } \\ 100 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Bite } \operatorname{Index} \\ 200 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |
|  | Number | Number | Number 0 | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 30 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 <br> 31 | 0 <br> 82 |  | r 0 | 7 199 | 45 220 | 76 <br> $\quad 235$ | 99 246 | 119 | 134 256 | $\begin{aligned} & 148 \\ & 247 \end{aligned}$ | 160 225 |
|  | 70 | 124 | 173 | 217 | 250 | 278 | 292 | - 298 | 300 | - 288 | 269 | +245 | 218 | 192 |
| 50 | 170 | 220 | 261 | 290 | 311 | 322 | 318 | 302 | 282 | 259 | 231 | 201 | 173 | 148 |
|  | 234 | 276 | 306 | 320 | 322 | 308 | 291 | 260 | 244 | 218 | 190 | 162 | . 137 | 116 |
| 70 | 275 | 305 | 322 | 316 | 299 | 279 | 255 | 231 | 207 | 183 | 158 | 134 | . 113 | ${ }_{95}$ |
| 80 | 298 | 318 307 | 312 | 296 | 275 | 250 | 225 | 202 | 179 | 157 | 138 | 115 | 97 | 81 |
| 100 | 304 301 | 307 203 | 295 | 274 | 250 | 224 | 200 | 177 | 158 | 137 | 118 | 100 | 84 | 71 |
| 110. | $\stackrel{301}{292}$ | - 2293 | 259 | $\begin{array}{r}252 \\ -\quad 235 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 229 | 204 | 182 | 160 | 141 | 123 | 108 | 89 | 75 | 64 |
| 120. | 279 | 263 | 243 | $\begin{array}{r}235 \\ \hline 28\end{array}$ | 183 | 171 | 185 <br> 152 | 145 | 128 116 | 111 | 95 87 | 81 | 69 | 58 53 |
| 130. | 287 | 250 | 229 | 204 | 1.81 | 159 | 141 | 124 | 108 | 104 | 80 | 69 | ${ }_{59}$ | 49 |
| 140. | 258 | 237 | 216 | - 192 | 169 | 149 | 131 | 115 | 101 | 88 | 75 | 64 | 55 | 45 |
| 150. | 245 | 227 | 205 | 182 | 100 | 141 | 123 | 108 | 05 | 82 | 71 | 60 | 51 | 42 |
| 160 | 235 | 217 | 196 | 173 | 152 | 133 | 117 | 102 | 90 | 78 | 67 | 57 | 48 | 40 |

diameter of average tree at breastheight

basal area


Table 3.-Yield tables for Douglas fir on fully stocked acre, trees 7 inches in diameter and larger-Continued yield in board feet, international rule (\%-inoh kerf)


Table 4.-Yield tables for Douglas fir on fully stocked acre, trees 12 inches in diameter and larger NUMBER OF TREES


Table 4.-Yield tables for Douglas fir on fully stocked acre, trees 12 inches in diameter and larger-Continued


- See appendix table 22, p. 65, for cubic-foot yield table for fully stocked Douglas fir, trees larger than 5.0 inches d. b. h. (Forest Survey standard).

YIELD IN BOARD FEET, INTERNATIONAL RULE ( (B-INCH KERF) 2

| 30. | Bd.ft. ${ }_{0}$ | Bd.ft. 0 | Bd. ft. ${ }_{0}$ | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | $B d . f t$. | Bd. ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd. $f$ f. | Bd. ft. | Bd. $f$. | $B d . f t$. 9,100 | Bd. ft. | Bd. fl. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 900 | 2,400 | 4,300 | 7, 200 | 1,200 10,500 | 2,300 14,300 | 4,400 19,300 | 6,700 24,400 | 9, 100 31,000 | 12,500 37,500 | 16,400 43,600 |
| 50. | 0 | 800 | 2,500 | 5,400 | 9,000 | 13,800 | 19,800 | 26, 600 | 33,900 | -41,900 | 49,600 | 57,600 | 64, 600 | 43,600 71,100 |
| 60 | 1,800 | 4,200 | 7,800 | 12,800 | 19,200 | 27,400 | 37, 200 | 46,500 | 55,000 | 64, 200 | 72, 600 | 81,000 | 88, 300 | 95, 000 |
| 70. | 4,400 | 8, 600 | 14, 500 | 22, 100 | 32,600 | 43,300 | 54, 100 | 64, 200 | 74,000 | 83,600 | 92, 500 | 100, 900 | 88, 108,400 | 115,000 |
| 80 | 7,600 | 14,000 | 21,800 | 31, 800 | 44, 600 | 56,800 | 68, 800 | 79, 700 | 90,000 | 99, 900 | 109, 200 | 117,000 | 124,700 | 131, 000 |
| 90 | 11, 200 | 19,200 | 28,900 | 40,200 | 54, 600 | 67, 800 | 81,000 | 92,400 | 103, 500 | 113,500 | 122, 800 | 130,800 | 137.700 | 144, 000 |
| 100 | 15, 200 | 24,800 | 35,400 | 48,000 | 63, 100 | 77,000 | 90,700 | 102, 000 | 114,400 | 124, 200 | 133, 400 | 141,500 | 148, 900 | 155, 400 |
| 110 | 19,600 | 29,600 | 41,500 | 55,000 | 70, 200 | 85, 100 | 98,900 | 111,300 | 123,000 | 133, 000 | 142,000 | 150, 100 | 157, 900 | 164,900 |
| 120 | 23,800 | 34, 000 | 47,000 | 60, 800 | 76,300 | 91,900 | 105, 600 | 118,400 | 130,000 | 140,300 | 148, 400 | 157, 500 | 165,500 | 172,700 |
| 130 | 27, 200 | 38, 000 | 51,400 | 65,800 | 81,600 | 97, 400 | 111, 300 | 124, 400 | 136,000 | 146,500 | 155, 700 | 164,000 | 172,000 | 170, 500 |
| 140 | 30,400 | 41,700 | 55,100 | 70,000 | 86, 300 | 102, 300 | 116, 500 | 129,700 | 141,300 | 152,000 | 161.300 | 169,900 | 178, 000 | 185, 400 |
| 150. | 33, 200 | 45,000 | 58,600 | 74,000 | 90, 500 | 108,600 | 121,000 | 134, 300 | 146,000 | 156, 700 | 166,500 | 175, 200 | 183, 300 | 190,900 |
| 160 | 35,900 | 48,100 | 61,900 | 77, 600 | 94, 500 | 110,400 | 125, 000 | 138,700 | 150,200 | 161, 100 | 171,400 | 180, 300 | 188, 100 | 196,000 |


| 30. | Bd.fl. | $B d . f t$. | Bd.ft. ${ }_{0}$ | Bd, ft. ${ }_{0}$ | Bd. 10.0 | Bd. jt. | Ad. 76. | Bd. 90. | Bd. $f 6$. | Bd. $\begin{array}{r}\text { a } \\ 2\end{array}$ | Bd. ft. 4,000 | Bd. ft. | Bd. ft . | Ld. fl . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 0 |  | 0 | 200 | 1,200 | 2,600 | 300 4,500 | 900 6,500 | 1,500 9,000 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2, } 600 \\ 11,900 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,000 15,500 | 3, 000 9,600 | 8,000 24,400 | 10,500 29,400 |
| 50 | 30 | 200 | 1, 600 | 3,300 | 5,500 | 8,400 | 12,400 | 17,000 | 22, 200 | 27,400 | 32, 700 | 38, 400 | 44, 100 | 29, 400 |
| 60. | 1, 100 | 2,600 | 4,800 | 8,100 | 12,500 | 18,000 | 23, 800 | 20,600 | 36,200 | 42, 800 | 49,300 | 55,900 | 44,100 62,000 | 68, 600 |
| 70 | 2,400 | 5,300 | 9,000 | 14,000 | 20,600 | 27,900 | 35, 200 | 42600 | 50,000 | 57,200 | 64,600 | 71, 500 | 78, 200 | 85,000 |
| 80 | 4,400 | 8,600 | 13, 900 | 20, 100 | 28,600 | 37,000 | 45, 700 | 54,300 | 62, 100 | 70,000 | 78, 900 | 85, 40 A | 92, 500 | 99,800 |
| 90 | 6,900 | 12,000 | 18, 600 | 26,000 | 35, 700 | 45,200 | 55, 000 | 64, 000 | 72,900 | 81,000 | 89, 210 | 97, 200 | 104, 800 | 112, 300 |
| 100 | 9,600 | 15,400 | 22,800 | 31,400 | 42,000 | 52, 400 | 62, 800 | 72, 400 | 81, 800 | 90, 400 | 98,900 | 107, 100 | 115, 100 | 122, 900 |
| 110 | 12,200 | 18,900 | 26,700 | 36,300 | 47, 500 | 58,500 | 69,400 | 79,400 | 88, 200 | 98, 300 | 107,000 | 115, 200 | 123, 700 | 131, 200 |
| 120 | 14,700 | 21, 800 | 30,400 | 40,700 | 52, 400 | 63,900 | 75, 000 | 85,500 | 95,300 | 105, 100 | 114, 100 | 122,500 | 131, 100 | 139, 000 |
| 130 | 17,000 | 24,600 | 33, 800 | 44,700 | 56, 700 | 68,700 | 80,000 | 91, 000 | 101, 106 | 111, 000 | 120,000 | 128,900 | 137, 700 | 146, 100 |
| 140 | 19,200 | 27, 200 | 36, 800 | 48,300 | 80, 600 | 72,900 | 84,500 | 95, 900 | 106, 200 | 116, 300 | 125, 500. | 134,500 | 143, 500 | 152, 000 |
| 150 | 21,300 | 29,600 | 39,700 | 51,600 | 64,000 | 76,600 | 88, 600 | 100,300 | 111,000 | 121, 200 | 130, 700 | 139, 500 | 148, 700 | 157, 200 |
| 160 | 23,300 | 31, 000 | 42, 200 | 54,600 | 67, 100 | 80, 100 | 92, 400 | 104,400 | 115, 400 | 125. 700 | 135, 400 | 144,400 | 153,500 | 162, 000 |

 15.6 inches in diameter and larger to a 12 -inch ton, scaled by 32 -foot lous.

## APPLICATION OF NORMAL-YIELD TABLES TO ACTUAL STANDS

## PRENCIPLES AFFECTING NORMALITY

The normal-yieid tables in this bulletin indicate the volume yields and other stand values that may be expected in normaily stocked forests. Such values were actually found in natural stands, but only on plots selected for their uniform distribution of trees and freedom from openings. Normal stocking does not occur uniformly over large areas in the natural stands of the present day, nor is it likely that full stocking will prevail in the forests of the immediate future.


Fgacra 9.-Number of trees per acre 12 faches in difmeter and larger
The forest manager or landowner, therefore, who wishes to ascertain the capacity of his land to produce forests or wishes to predict the yield that he may obtain 10,20 , or more years hence from growing forests now immature must apply a discount to the normalyield tables. To do this he should know how to judge normality and should have a knowledge of the allowances to be made for the inevitable disparities between the actual average stands and the standard fully stocked stands.

Small areas, but not extensive forests of Douglas fir in this region, are sometimes overstocked in reference to the standard tables. Large areas may appear fully and uniformly forest clad, but closer inspection nearly ulways discloses "holes" or blank spaces that
aggregate enough to bring the stand volume materially below that of the normal-yield tables.

In considering the relationship of actual stands to the normal standard for any area of homogeneous forest, it is obvious that only minor holes or breaks in the miform continuity of the canopy are considered-those less than about 150 feet across. Upon the quantity of these small blank spaces depends the degree of understocking. Breaks that are large enough to be mapped, such as those made by meadows, rivers, or cutting operations, or changes in the forest type under consideration, are eliminated as "surveyable openings" and so have no part in affecting the degree of understockirg.


Figunb 10,-Volume per acre in board fret by the Scrlbuer Jag rale, treas 12 inches In ammoter and larger

Obviously these surveyable openings must be listed according to their respective types in the forest inventory, but should not be confused with those minor and well-nigh universal breaks and thin places in the canopy of any natural forest which cause the condition of understocking.

In order to ascertain the retationship between the stand values of average extensive forests and the normal yield table values for selected plots, a special study was conducted during the field seasons of 1926 and 1927, following the conclusion of the collection of the data for the normal-yield tables. An answer was sought for such practical questions as the following: What causes and what consti-
tutes understocking? Does the understocked stand always remain understocked or does its relationship to the normal change during its life? How may the degree of understocking or overstocking be recognized? By how much must the normal yield tables be discounted to give correct values for actual average stands? What field operations and office technic are necessary to employ normalyield tables in determining the probable production of extensive actual stands?

This study of average stands comprised the running of 62 miles of very detailed strip surveys through 83 Douglas fir forests of various ages, the analyzing of 493 sample-plot records to test their relationship to normality, and finally the making of a complete survey of a 4,000 -acre forest as a basis for perfecting the technic of yield-table application. The results of this research are published elsewhere, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and but a brief summary of the findings will be given here.

## VARLABILLTY OF STANDS

Uniformity in the stocking of a forest is rare; variability seems to be general and the range from one acre to another quite wide. This was found to be so in comparing individual acres on strip cruises of the stady referred to. The degree of stocking, as expressed in volume of cubic feet, ran all the way from 25 to 138 per cent of the normal stocking indicated by the yield tables, and averaged 80.5 per cent. Two-thirds of the individual acres came within 20 per cent of the average cubic volume, either above or below; i. e., between 60 and 100 per cent of the yield-table volumes. The indi-vidual-acre basal areas show similar departures from the normal; and this is also true of the board-foot volume by the International rule.

Tracts of several acres may vary in respect to cubic-foot volume, basal area, and board-foot volume (International rule) from as little as 40 or 50 per cent of normal stocking to as much as 120 or 130 per cent. When still larger tracts are considered, the range in departures from the normal is not quite so wide. Over extensive areas the stand values will be approximately 80 per cent of those in the normal yield tables. This may be taken as a fair regional approximation since it is based on a survey of 83 forests, but a discount for local application to single stands, which may differ widely from the average, must be ascertained by methods described below wherever detailed yield oredictions are to be made.

A variety of canses may affect the stocking of a stand, actually producing small holes or gaps in the forest canopy which can be picked out as a strip is run. On the average, the sum of such determinable small interruptions amounted in this study to 10 per cent of the area, although understocking itself was 90 per cent below the normal. The remaining 10 per cent is probably attributable to the wide spacing of trees. Understocked stands seem to have both actual holes in the canopy and thin spots due to wide spacing, beth conditions contributing alike to subnormal stand volume.

[^7]The principal causes of understocking include-
(1) An insuffictent number of seedlings per acre when the stand originated to funly utilize the soll and crown space;
(2) Topographle or soil conditions, such as ledges, swampy spots, or watercourses, which preclude oecupation by trees of the type under consideration;
(3) Breaks in the canopy of the main coniferous stand occupled by clumps of hardwoods, which are not considered in the final yields;
(4) Openings caused by action of such factors as tire, wind, snowbreak, and insects or other depredators; and
(5) Minor openings made by man, such as the cuttling of a few trees to make way for roads or trails.

## Effect of slope on yield

It has been mentionad that slope is one of the elements that control site quality. But apart from this consideration there is a difference in stand values, or stocking, with changes in slope, site for site. All the normal-yield table values are for acres measured on the horizontal, which is significant in topography such as that in the Douglas fir region, where slopes of 80 per cent or more are not uncommon. The slopes of the areas upon which the normal-yield tables were based averaged probably between 20 and 30 per cent. The question has often been raised whether the yields did not increase with increase in slope, just as the surface area of a horizontally measured acre increases. It seems natural that this should be so since the soil surface and crown exposure increase for the horizontally measured acre with increase in gradient. A test of 433 sample plots indicated that this condition did prevail up to a certain point and that the largest yields were found on slopes of about 40 per cent. Broadly speaking, on 40 per cent slopes the basal area of the stand averages 6 per cent and the cubic foot volume 9.5 per cent above that on the average or 25 per cent slope, whereas on level areas the values are 6 per cent and 5 per cent, respectivaly, less than the normal.

In this connection it is significant that a horizontally measured acre on a 40 per cent slope has 4.6 per cent more surface area than one on the average 25 per cent slope, and a level acre has 2.8 per cent less surface area than an acre on a 25 per cent slope. It is obvious, therefore, that in the application of these normal-yield tables to areas where no stand tally is available there should be some correction for slope if the bulk of the area is on land the slope of which is different from that for which the tables were made. ${ }^{10}$

## indices of stocking

In the study referred to an attempt was made to determine the most reliable criterion to use in judging normality of stocking. The ultimate definition of stocking should be made in terms of volume. Volume computations, however, are tedious and make the application of normal yields much more time-consuming without appre-

[^8]ciably increasing the accuracy. Therefore it is advisable to seek in a simpler stand value, such as number of trees or basal area, an index to the degree of volume stocking.

A study of the factors which might be used in Douglas fir forests for a determination of the degree of stocking confirms the conclusion reached by other is yestigators in other forest types, that the total number of trees per unit area is a very unsatisfactory, if not useless, index. On the other hand, basal area was found to be a most reliable index and one easily obtained, since it requires only a tally of the stand and simple computations. The relation between basal area and cubic-foot or International-rule board-foot volume is very regular; the degree of normality of any tract will be approximately the same whether expressed in basal area, in cubic-foot volume, or in International-rule board-foot volume. To illustrate: Should a tract be found to have a basal area approximately 75 per cent of that given in the normal-yield tables, it is probable that its cubic-foot contents and its International-rule board-foot contents would be approximately 75 per cent of that given in the normal-yield tables for the same age and site class, yet the number of trees per acre is quite apt to bear a different ratio to the normal-yield values.

In the jounger stands, where many of the trees are below 12 inches in diameter and therefore can not be measured in terms of boardfoot volume by the Scribner rule, it was found, as would be expected, that the ratio of their Seribner-rule volume to the normal values was very erratic. However, in stands where most of the living trees were 12 inches or more in diameter, the number of such trees was a fairly good indication of the degree of stocking in terms of Scribner boardfoot volume. Nevertheless, the ratio between the number of trees 12 inches or larger and the Scribner-rule volume of such trees is not a straight-line relationship, as in the case of some other stand values, but can be defined by a curve, from which are derived the ratios shown in '「able 5.

Table 5.-Gomparison of percentages of stocking as aetermined by number of trees 12 inches d. b. h. and larger and by board foot volume (Scribner rule)

| Number of trees | Corre. sponding volume | Number of trees | Corre. spanding volume | Number of trees | Corresponding volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per cent | Per cent | Percent | Pet cent | Pef cent | Per cent |
| 30 | $3{ }_{4}^{4}$ |  | 78 87 | 110 | 111 |
| 50 | 58 | 90 | 95 | 120 | 128 |
| 60 | 68 | 100 | 303 | 2 |  |

## ALLOWANCES FOR INCOMPLETE UTILIZATION AND FOR DEFECTS

In the application of yield tables to actual cases, a very important consideration is the discount that should be made for defect in the trees, for breakage in logging, and for incomplete utilization. Earlier pages have indicated that the normal-yjeld tables assume all trees to be sound and make no allowances for breakage and wastage, yet inevitably there will be a certain amount of disparity between the normal and the realizable volumes, even under most intensive practices. In predicting future yields it is necessary to assume prophetically that certain standards of utilization will apply years hence and discount the normal yields accordingly.

No general rules can be given for these discounts; they will vary greatly from stand to stand with age, topography, type of logging, character of product, and all the other variables. In making yield predictions the forester must depend largely on experience and judgment for the fizing of defect and utilization allowances.

A few general statements may be a guide. As there is little rot in immature stands of Douglas fir the correction on that account is inconsequential. The timber destroyed in logging or left in the woods unutilized in immature Donglas fir forests that are of good merchantable size, has been estimated by A. H. Hodgson (5) to amount to 12 or 15 per cent of the volume of the original stand. It may amount to very much more than this sometimes, particularly where some of the trees are not of a size to be merchantable for the product sought, and are left uncut, a prey to wind and fire. Presentday utilization is therefore at least 15 to 20 per cent below the nor-mal-yield table values; how much less the discount should be for stands to be cut one, two, or three decades hence, is problematical.

It should be clear that the above discussion of utilization standards is based on tree or log measurement and takes into consideration only the portion of the forest stand that is taken out of the woods and has no reference to the material that is wasted or fails of utilization in the manufacturing process-such as sawdust, slabs, and trim-mings-except as these are allowed for by the log rules themselves.

## TREND OF UNDERSTOCTED STANDS TOWARD NORMALITY

There is in nature a tendency toward equilibrium, which in forest growth appears to manifest itself in a trend toward normality. Understocked stands tend automatically to become more like the normal stand of the same age, and the converse is probably true of overstocked stands. Positive information on this point is meager, and nothing could be learned from the analysis of data from plots measured but once. However, the statistical history of some Douglas fir permanent sample plots that have been under observation since 1910 leads to the tentative conclusion that the change toward normality goes on at the rate of 4 per cent each decade (4). There is apparently much irregularity in the progress of stands toward normality, because of occasional accidental setbacks. Until further data are gathered from permanent plots over a longer term of years, this percentage rate of increase should be used conservatively in correcting yield predictions, and only with stands within the age range of the permanent plots so far studied, namely, between 40 and 80 years. Data on the rate of regression of overstocked plots toward normal conditions are still too scanty to justify even tentative conclusions.

## METHODS OF CONDUCTING YIELD SURVEXS

The preceding paragraphs have shown briefly the relation between actual and normal stands and how these differences may be judged. To develop the technic by which a set of normal-yield tables might be applied to a large area preliminary to making yield predictions for a forest management plan, an actual survey was made of an area
of over 4,000 acres. Although space will not permit a complete account of this yield survey, its principal steps are outlined here to serve as a model or illustration for forest managers who have such surveys to make for either small or large properties. This experimental project was carried on in more detail than need be the case in extensive practice, in order that by using intensive methods the relative importance and practicability of each step in the field and office work might be tested.

On the test area of over 4,000 acres, on the Sauk River watershed of the Snoqualmie National Forest, Wash., 2,631 acres were mapped as second-growth Douglas fir type and this comprised the area for which yield predictions were to be made. The remainder of the tract was old-growth mature timber, hardwood type, and rivers or other surveyable openings, and so was excluded from consideration.

## FIELD WORK

The purpose of the field work is to obtain (1) a map showing the location and size of each areu of uniform site and uniform age class, and (2) a tally of the diameter and height of the trees by site and age groups and by legal subdivisions if desired. The tract is sampled by means of strips covering only a small percentage of the actual area.
The most convenient crew consists of three men, one of whom acts as compass and map man, the second as caliper man or estimator, and the third as rear chainman taking borings and heights and correcting distances for slope.
Horizontal and vertical control is run as in the usual timbercruising projects, by means of established section lines, rights of way, surveys, traverses, and so forth. The intensity of the control will depend upon whether a topographic map exists or has to be made.
Strips 1 chain in width are run at 10 or 20 chain intervals, depending upon the complexity and size of the area, thus giving a 10 or 5 per cent estimate. In a typical survey the compass man runs the iine, carries the chain, and makes the map on a scale of 8 inches to the mile. The estimator tallies all the trees on the strip in 2 -inch classes, actually measuring as many as possible, and tallying within the class all diameters 0.4 inch below and 0.5 inch above; for instance, trees 11.6 to 13.5 inches in diameter are tallied in the 12 to 13 inch class. Since the largest chance for error in any survey is in maintaining the proper width of the strip, this should be checked continually, either by pacing or by means of a small range finder with which the caliper man can easily and quickly determine whether a certain tree is inside or outside the belt. The rear chainman takes borings to ascertain the age of the trees on that strip, converting the number of rings at breastheight to total age by means of the values found in Table 19, and, for convenience, rounding off the age of each stand to the nearest decade. He also takes, with hypsometer or Abney level, total heights of average-sized dominant and codominant trees, in order to make the site determination. After such a crew is well organized, they can work as fast as a 2 -man timber survey crew using a chain and correcting for slope, if not a little faster.

Wherever the site or age class changes, a new tally sheet is started by the estimator. If the estimate is desired by 40 -acre divisions the tally sheet should be changed also after each forty. This frequent change of tally sheets means that all three members of the crew must work in close contact so that the sheets will not be changed without a corresponding change in the map.

The five site-quality groups, I to $V$, will be used ordinarily, the siteindex system being applicable only to small tracts or single stands in which the sites are not too variable. All three members of the crew should be on the watch continually for a change in site, as indicated by the average height of the larger trees in the stand. No site or age class distinction less than 2 cheins along the strip need be made. Before concluding the field worix a careful check should be made between the tally sheets and the map to discover whether any discrepancies exist.

## OFFICE WORK

The office work differs more than the field work from the conventional methods of timber surveys. It will therefore be described in greater detail, and will be illustrated by computations drawn from the above-mentioned actual survey.

First, the field maps are combined into a project map, drawn either to the same scale of 8 inches to the mile or to a smaller scale if more convenient. A legend must be chosen that will show without confusion the several site and age classes of the major type under consideration and the areas of other types. With a planimeter the acreage of the individual site-age classes is compiled. In some surveys it may be desirable to keep these by legai 40 -acre divisions, but this increases the work two to three fold and should be avoided when not necessary.

When the site and age class acreages have been compiled, the tallies applying to each of the individual areas must be worked up. The total basal area and the number of trees in diameter classes 12 inches and larger are first computed. Should the portion of the strip in the tally not be an even acre, the basal area and number of 12 -inch trees must be converted to the acre basis. Following this, the values are applied to the total area inside the individual area under consideration, and total basal areas and total number of 12 -inch trees are found. For instance, in the example given in Table 6, section 19, forty 11, has 5.4 acres in age class 80 , Site Class III. Basal area for this class is 116.1 square feet per acre; trees in and over the 12 -inch diameter class number 50. Thus the total basal area for the class is 627 square feet, and the total number of trees is 270 . In the illustrative survey (Tables 6 and 7) the present-stand volumes were also computed. However, in all prospective yield surveys, if a knowledge of the present volumes is not essential for immediate purposes the computations should not be made, since to do so greatly increases the amount of office work,

Table 6.-Sample form for summarizing stand values in a yield survey by 40 -acre subdivizions

| Seotlon | Forty | Age | Slte | PIB-nimeterrending(dolblo) | Areb of atand | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Basal. } \\ & \text { ares } \end{aligned}$ | Trees 12 Lriches in dfometer and over | Voluma |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Clibic measure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seribner } \\ \text { rule } \end{gathered}$ |
| 19 | II | Years 80 80 80 90 Ots. | III | Sq. 1.08 .08 4.63 .08 208 | Atres 5.4 .3 33.0 11.3 1.0 | $54 . f 6$. 627 43 244 54 | No. 270 21 738 44 | Cu, 2. 20, 260 1,660 50,090 2,030 | 86.97 146,350 6,960 92.460 6,530 |
|  | (al |  |  |  | 40.0 | 3,138 | 1,051 | 91, 840 | 252,340 |

Table 7.-Sample of summary of yield figures by site-age classes
GITE ILI


This 40 -acre tally can be further simplified by adding all site and age classes together, thus obtaining a forty estimate, which, however, has no use in the yield prediction.

After the computations are completed for esch individual tally sheet they are combined in a single table arranged by age and site classes, in which each age and site class contains all the areas of that, particular category in the whole survey. This grouping is necessary in order to facilitate further computation, except for small areas or those where the types are not complex.
The last step before making the yield predictions is to compute the average degree of stocking found in the various site and age classes. This is done by dividing the total basal area of each site-age class by the number of acres to get the average basal area per acre and then comparing this with the basal area per acre of the fully stocked or normal forest of the same age and site. The ratio is the degree of stocking expressed as percentage of normal.

For instance, in Table 7, "age 80, Site III," has a total basal area of 23,840 square feet on 126.75 acres, or an average of 188.1 square feet per acre. According to Tiable 2, the basal area of this age-site
class in the normal forest is 259 square feet. Dividing 188.1 by 259 gives 72.6 per cent, which is listed as 72.5 per cent in Table 8, in which normality is given to the nearest 0.5 per cent.

Table 8.-Sample form for computation of yield predictions 30 years hence


Etc., otc., etc., for alf remaining site and age classes
Total
Similarly the Scribner board-foot volume ratio of normality can be computed; but it should be remembered that this scale rule, being an arbitrary and somewhat erratic unit of volume measurement, can not be expected to give aiways a consistent and accurate index of the stocking; furthermore, it should only be applied to site and age classes in which the majority of the trees are over 12 inches in diameter. In this comparison the actual number of trees 12 inches and over for the site-age group under consideration is first divided by the number in the comparable normal stand. (Table 4.) Then, since the normality ratios of trees 12 inches and Iarger and of Scribner-rule volumes, unlike those of basal area and cubic-foot volume, are not the same, it is necessary to adjust the percentage of stand to get the correct Scribner-rule volume ratios of normality according to Table 5. For instance, if the percentage of normel number of trees 12 inches and larger is 50 , the percentage of Scribnerrule volume would be 58 , and the latter figure would be entered in the compilation table under "Corresponding Scribner-rule normality."

After the computation of the degrees of stocking of all age and site classes have been made, the yield predictions themselves can be made. Briefly, this is done by reading first the values for cubic-foot volume or board-foot volume from the normal-yield tables (Tables 2,3 , or 4) at the age for which the prediction is to be made, and then reducing them by the proportion that the actual stand is understocked (or enhancing the normal-yield values if overstocked). and finally applying the aitered figures to the whole area.

In the example given in Table 8 it is assumed that all stands will be cut 30 years hence.

To make clear the several steps in this procedure, a single line of calculation will be followed through. Age class 60, Site III, has an area of 13.1 acres, a total basal area of 920 square feet, and 630 trees 12 inches or more in diameter at breastheight. The normal values per acre for this age and site are 226 square feet basal area and 118 trees 12 inches and larger. (Tables 2 and 4.) The gross basal area of the age class, 920 divided by 13.1 and then divided again by 226, gives 31 per cent of normal stocking. Similarly 630 trees divided by 13.1 and then by 118 gives 40.75 per cent, the ratio of normality in number of trees 12 inches and larger. According to Table 5 , this 40.75 corresponds to a Scribner-rule volume percentage of normality of 46.5 . These values are then entered in the computation form under "Normality."
Thirty years hence this 60 -year stand will be 90 years old. The normal values at this age for volume in cubic measure, and board measure by International rule and Scribner rule are $12,390,86,700$, and 55,000 feet, respectively, and are listed under "normal stocking" per acre. To the cubic-foot volume and board-foot volume by International rule the present day basal area normality percentage at 60 years ( 31 per cent) is applied; that is to say, 12,390 and 86,700 are multiplied by 31 per cent and the values entered in the colums marked "Reduced for actual stocking." The normal Scribner volume is multiplied by 46.5 per cent and entered in the third column of this group. Finally the reduced amounts are multiplied by the total acreage and the results entered in the last three columns as the volume 30 years hence for the total area.
This is repeated for each age-site class and totaled for the entire tract.

The question may arise as to why no correction was made in this comparatively young age class (60 years) for the advance it may make to a normal condition. In this particular survey there was only 13.1 acres of this age class out of a total of 2.631 acres. A correction would have been of little weight in the final result; and it is a good rule in practice to adopt methods to fit each case and to avoid refinements when they are useless.
However, to exemplify the methods through which correction for advance to a more normal condition may be obtained, the 13.1 acres in age class 60 will be treated as if it were large enough to be of significance. Stands of this age-between 40 and 80 years on the a verage - are expected to approach a more normal condition at a rate of about 4 per cent per decade. In 30 years the increase in normality ratio would thus be 12 per cent, which when added to the actual percentages of 31 and 46.5 for basal area and Scribner volume indi-
cate ratios, respectively, of 43 and 58.5 per cent. Normal volumes of 12,390 and 86,700 in cubic measure and board measure by International rule are multiplied by 43 and board measure of 55,000 by Scribner rule by 58.5 , giving predicted volumes per acre of 5,328 cubic feet, 37,281 board feet International and 32,175 board feet Scribner. For the whole acreage in age class $60,13.1$ acres, the total predicted volume will then be 69,797 cubic feet, 488,381 board feet International, and 421,492 board feet Scribner, compared to the uncorrected volumes of 50,317 cubic feet, and of 352,089 and 335,032 board feet, respectively. In actual computations, of course, the volumes would be rounded off to the nearest hundred in cubic feet and the nearest thousand in bnard feet.
After the computations have been completed and before the report is written, the results should be arranged in suitable summaries, covering such items as-

> Area of each type expressed in acres and in percentage of the total.
> Area of each site and age class of second-growth Douglas fir in acres and in percentuge of total area in the type.
> Average stocking (obtained by multiplying the areas in each site-age class by the degree of stocking, summing these reduced areas, and clividing the sum by the total area in the type.)
> Predicted volumes in cubic feet, board feet International, and in board feet Scribner.

Additional summaries can be suggested to fit each case, such as when the forty estimaies are of consequence, or when certain areas are blocked out for cutting while others remain uncut until some future time.

## ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

A number of further questions are likely to arise in the application of yield tables. One question is in regard to the making of predictions for cut-over or burned areas which have not yet become restocked. On areas which are not restocked to seedlings but which have a good chance to stock, a period of one to five years must be added to allow for one or two good seed years.

Uneven agedness of a forest is often a complicating factor. Accidents, such as fire, may overcome the tendency of second-growth Douglas fir to be strictly even-aged, and make a stand two-aged. When this is so, the more important age class-i. e., the one with the larger amount of timber-whould be used when calculating the stocking percentages. Planted forests should theoretically have a more uniform and complete stocking than natural stands. Especially where survival of the young trees has been good, plantations above normal in volume stocking might be looked for. As no artificial stands were investigated in this study, positive information on this point is still lacking for Douglas fir forests.

To obtain a knowledge of the variability of a tract and of the relation of its stand values to the normal, either sample strips or sample plots may be measured. This study showed that, if the width of strip is properly controlled, sampling by strips is more reliable than sampling by plots, in spite of the fact that as a general rule the error incurred in the measurement of a plot is proportional to the length of its perimeter. The variability of stocking in a forest is so great that a sample strip gives a truer average of conditions than a series of sample plots.

The area on which the illustrative survey was made had a large assortment of age classes, great diversity of types and sites, and a ruggedness quite typical of the region, and therefore made a good illustrative area to work out every complication of technic. But in many actual instances conditions would be more regular, and the methods could be modified accordingly, particularly on small areas. The smaller the area the less will be the variation of age and site class, and therefore the more possible will it be to treat each individual site and age class by itself instead of grouping them by siteage classes. At times the site-index system will be found preferable and will give more accurate results. This is the case especially in the poor site classes when the site quality does not fall upon the exact center of the class. Thus, stands in the upper range of Site $V$ will have contorted Scribner board-volume percentages. The fact that it is contorted may not affect the predictions, but yet gives a wrong impression of the stocking. Therefore, if the areas in these sites are of consequence, an apparently more correct result is obtainable if the average site index is computed and checked against the site-class value.

## CHECK UPON THE METHOD OF COMPUTING YIELDS

An approximate check upon this mathod of computing yields can be made by applying the yield tables to the present ages and comparing the yields derived in this way with the actual volumes computed from the tally sheets directiy. In the illustrative survey this resulted in an estimate by yield tables of $23,754,000$ cubic feet and an actual computation of $24,789,000$ cubic feet, or an underestimate of 4.2 per cent. Computing the volume in board feet by Scribner rule gave an underestimate of 1.6 per cent. It can hardly be expected that yield calculations will always attain this degree of accuracy.

## résume of methods of making yield predictions

The preceding pages have shown the relationship of the actual forest to the normal, the reasons why the former is usually understocked, and the methods of judging the degree of understocking; and the technic of making an actual yield survey of an extensive tract has been described. The principal allowances to make in using normal tables for yield predictions of actual tracts may be briefly summed up as follows:

1. Before classifying in site and age groups the area for which yield predictions are to be made, the areas of surveyable openings and of other types are taken out.
2. The stand values of each site and age group are discounted by the percentage that each is understocked (or overstocked), basal area being used as the index of normality.
3. In understocked stands between 40 and 80 years of age, allow for progress toward normality at the rate of 4 per cent each decade.
4. If the slopes are markedly different from those for which the normal-yield tables were prepared, increase (or decrease) the preflicted yields accordingly. (This applies only when an actual stand tally has not been made.)
5. Discount the predicted yield for assumed defect, breakage, and probable waste in wood utilization.
6. Where restocking is not established add a sufficient number of years to the rotation period to assure it.

Yield predictions of course assume good silviculture and good protection. If the stand is prevented from restocking as expected, or if disastrous fires thin down the stand, the most carefully made yield predictions will be quite futile.
The first requisites of accurate yield prediction are a thorough knowledge of the forest, accurate determination of age, site, and area, correct stand tailies, and good judgment as to what the stand will do. The mechanics of applying the tables and making the several mathematical allowances is secondary to "knowing your forest."

## INCREMENT TABLES

The mean annual increments in cubic feet, in board feet by the International rule, and in board feet by the Scribner rule, are given in Table 9, and are also shown graphically in Figures 11 to 16.

Tabies 9.-Mean annual increment on a fully stocked acre according to three units of measurcment: (1) Cubio feet; (2) board feet, scribner rule; and (3) board feet, Imernational rule, (1/8-ineh kerf) CUBIC FEET:

| Total age (years) | Site clas9 |  |  |  |  | Total age (years) | 8ite chass |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | II | III | IV | v |  | $\Sigma$ | if | III | IV | V |
|  | 92 | 77 | 63 | 44 | 28 | 100.. | 191 | 166 | 133 | $\infty$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{158}$ | 133 | ${ }^{1093}$ | 76 | 44 | 110. | 183 | 100 | 127 | 80 | 51 |
|  | 188 | ${ }^{194}$ | 131 | ${ }^{89}$ | 53 |  | 1715 | 133 | 121 | 82 | 49 |
|  | 203 | 177 | 141 | 96 | 57 | 130... | 12.8 | 146 | 116 | 79 | 46 |
|  | 228 | 181 | 14.5 | 98 | 58 |  | 161 | 140 | 111 | 75 | 4 |
|  | 207 | 181 | 144 | 98 | 58 | 150. | ist | 134 | 108 | 72 | 43 |
|  | 2 | ${ }^{178}$ | -142 | 96 | 37 | 160. | 148 | 130 | 103 | 89 | 42 |
|  | 298 | 173 | 138 | 03 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

bOARD FEET, SCRIBNER RTLE *

|  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.149 | 902 | 626 | 314 | 96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30. | ${ }_{210}^{287}$ | 87 | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  | 1, 125 | 894 | 630 | 330 | 111 |
| 50. | ${ }^{010}$ | ${ }_{5}^{298}$ | 112 | 5 |  |  | 1,032 | 876 | 625 | 340 | 122 |
|  | 1, ${ }^{880}$ | 740 | 248 396 | 100 |  | 130 | 1,059 | 851 | ${ }^{613}$ | 345 | 130 |
| 70 | 1,117 | 820 | 508 | 201 |  |  | 1,025 | ${ }_{868}^{830}$ | ${ }_{500} 60$ | 349 | 137 |
|  | 1,154 | ${ }^{878}$ | 571 | 250 | 58 |  | 350 | 780 | 5 | 3498 | ${ }_{148}^{142}$ |
|  | t, 160 | 900 | 609 | 290 |  |  |  | 180 | 578 | 346 | 148 |

BOARD FEET, NTERNATIONAL RULE


[^9]

Figmed 11.-Mean annual increment per acre in cubic feet for total stand


Fround 12.-l'erforlic annual inerement per acre in cubic feet for total stand. Tenyear periads are used

The periodic annual increments, by 10 -year periods, are given in cubic feet, International board feet, and Scribner board feet in Table 10, and are also illustrated in Figures 11 to 16.

Taples 10.-Periodis annual increment on a fully stocked acre acoording to ibrce units of measurement: (1) Oubio feet; (2) board feet, Soribner rule; and (\$) board feet, International rule (1/8-inoh kerf)

CUBIC FEET ${ }^{-1}$

| Age perlod (уears) | Site class |  |  |  |  | Age period (years) | Bite class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V |  | I | II | III | IV | V |
| 20 to 30 | 292 | 256 | 205 | 140 | 81 | 90 to 100 | 127 | 110 | 87 | 60 | 35 |
| 30 to 40. | 276 | 243 | 194 | 132 | 78 | 100 to 110. | 106 | 92 | 72 | 50 | 29 |
| 40 to 50. | 258 | 225 | 180 | 121 | 73 | 110 to $120 .$. | 88 | 77 | 61 | 42 | 28 |
| 80 to 80 | 238 | 203 | 163 | 109 | 66 | 120 to 130... | 76 | 66 | 53 | 37 | 23 |
| 60 to 70. | 216 | 180 | 145 | 06 | 58 | 130 to 140... | 68 | 59 | 47 | 33 | 21 |
| 70 to 80 | 182 | 156 | 125 | 83 | 49 | 140 to 150. | 64 | 54 | 43 | 30 | 18 |
| 80 to 00. | 155 | 132 | 104 | 71 | 41 | 150 to 160 | 61 | 52 | 41 | 28 | 15 |

BOARD FEET, SCRIBNER RULE ${ }^{*}$


BOARD FEET, INTERNATIONAL RULE *

| 20 to 30. | 1,800 | 1,320 | 840 |  |  | 90 to 100 | 1,100 | 970 | 830 | 580 | 300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 to 40. | 2250 | 1, 890 | 1,370 | 700 |  | 100 to 110 | 1900 | 810 | 680 | 500 | 320 |
| 401050. | 2,320 | 2,000 | 1,520 | 9190 | 340 | I10 to 120 | 766 | 680 | 570 | 430 | 270 |
| 50 to 60. | 2000 | 1,820 | 1,490 | 1,000 | 450 | 120 to 130 | 660 | 590 | 500 | 330 | 240 |
| 80 to 70 | 1.800 | 1, 800 | 1,340 | 890 | 490 | 130 to 140 | 500 | 530 | 450 | 340 | 220 |
| 76 to 80. | 1, 540 | I, 390 | 1,160 | 780 | 490 | 140 to 150 | 530 | 480 | 420 | 320 | 210 |
| 80 to 90 | 1, 320 | 1, 170 | 990 | 680 | 480 | 150 to 180. | 480 | 440 | 380 | 300 | 200 |

2 Volume In culic feet Includes all trees.
1 Volume in board feet oy the Scrlbuer rule includes only those trees 12 inches or more in diameter.

3 Volume In board faet by the International rule includes only those trees 7 inches or more in diameter.


Figusi 13.-Mean monal Increment per acre in board feet by the International log rule for trees 7 inches in diameter and larger


Figun 14.-Perfodic nnnual increment per acre in board feet by the International log rule for trees 7 inches in dimmeter and larger. Ten-year pettods ate used


Eigura 15.-Mean annual incretuent per bere In board feet by the Scribner log rale for trees 12 limehes lu diameter and larger


Fioune 10.-Yeriodic onnual increment per acre in board feet by the Scribner log fule for treey 12 Inches la diameter and larger. Ten-yeur periods are used

The mean annual increments indicate for any given age of stand the average yearly increase in volume per acre up to that age. That is, if a forest on Site I were cut at 40 years of age, the average yearly increase in volume would be only 188 cubic feet per acre; if cut at 60 years, the average yearly growth would be 208 cubic feet; or if cut at 100 years, 191 cubic feat per acre.
The rate of volume growth is not constant throughout the life of a forest; hence the average annual increase in volume reaches a maximum and thereafter diminishes. Thus a forest on Site I reaches the maximum of average annual increase in Scribner boardfoot volume at about 90 years of age.

The periodic annual increment, as used in these tables, indicates the decede of most rapid volume growth. The largest yearly increases in cubic-foot volume, for example, occur, in forests on Site I, during the third decade of their life. During this period 292 cubic feet per acre are added annually, whereas only 236 cubic feet per year are produced during the 50 to 60 year period, and 106 cubic feet per year during the 100 to 110 year period.

The amount of increase varies according to the productive capacity of the site. During the decade of greatest productivity a forest on Site V adds annually only 270 Scribner board feet per acre, whereas on Site I an average of 1,960 board feet is produced during the decade of most rapid volume growth.

A thorough discussion of the choice of rotation age and other problems of forest management is obviously beyond the scope of this bulletin. Theoretically, the age at which to harvest the forest crop is the age at which mean annual increment culminates, since this coincides with the period of maximum average annual increasf, in volume. But so many other factors than maximum quantity production in minimum time enter into a choice of rotation age that each case must be decided according to the prevailing conditions. It may be that quality instead of quantity is desired, or that financially it is impossible to withhold cutting until the time of maximum volume production, or that trees of a certain size are desired for a certain purpose; any one of these considerations alone will then control the length of rotation. All these points and many others must be taken into account when deciding upon a suitable rotntion for a crop of timber.

## STAND TABLES

For some purposes it is essential to know the approximate number of trees in each diameter class. Table 11 shows for selected site qualities the approximate number of trees in each 2 -inch diameter class at various periods in the life of a stand from 20 to 160 yoars of age. Additional tables for other ages and site qualities may be prepared by following the procedure outlined in the appendix.

Tabre 11.—Stand table for Douglas fr $^{2}$
sITE I

| 2-inck-diameter ciass | Number of trees by age classes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 7ears | 40 yeers | 60 ypars | 80 yestr | 100 yegiss | 120 ybays | 140 years | 160 years |
| 2-3.- | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 | 157 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.9 | ¢ 0 | 42 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 |  | 48 | 12 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 12-13 |  | 43 | 16 | $\underline{5}$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| 14-18. |  | 38 | 18 | 8 | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 10-17. |  | 20 | 18 | 9 | $\tilde{6}$ | 2 | 1 | ------------ |
| 18-10. |  | 11 | 19 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 2 | - |
|  |  | 5 | 18 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 2 | ------- |
| 22-23----------------- |  |  | 13 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 3 | ----- |
|  |  |  | $\hat{\theta}$ | 19 | 7 | 5 | 4 | ------ |
| 26-27---------------- |  |  | 5 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 4 | ----------- |
|  |  |  |  | 6 | 7 | 5 | 4 | ---------- |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | --------- |
|  |  |  |  | 3 2 | 4 | 5 | 4 | ----------- |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | ----------- |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 48-43-----------1.- |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{1}$ | 2 |  |
| 48-47. |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| 48.49 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| $80-81$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Over 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Total=---~-2.** | 871 | 240 | 138 | 87 | 76 | 8 | 85 |  |

SITE II

${ }^{1}$ Table 11 ahows for a fully stocked acte the sppronhmate number of trees in eaoh 2 Lnok diameter group for given ages snd gite qualities. In thfs table the total mumber of trees will check with the values giver in Table 2, but che number of trees 7 inches and larger and 12 inches and larger in diameter may not alwaya bo identical with the values for corresponding ages and efte qualites as given in Tables 3 and 4. This dis orepancy is to be axpected gince stand tables are, at best, only an approximation; their purpose is only to illubtrate in about what order the trees are distributed among the yarious diametar claceas,

Table 11.—Stand table for Douglde fir-Continued EITE III

| 2-5ngh-diammerer class | Number of trees by age ciasses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 29) Txars | 40 ytars | 60 years | 89 years | 100 years | 120 years | 140 years | 160 yeers |
| 2-8. |  | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-8.- |  | 159 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8-7--1 |  | 176 | 54 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| $8-9$ |  | 129 | 67 | 29 | 15 | 8 | 3 |  |
| 10-11. |  | 54 | 75 | 35 | 21 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
|  | --------- | 16 | 55 | 39 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| 18-15. | -.-....... | --------- | 39 | 37 | 24 | 19 | 14 | 9 |
| 18-17. | -------- | ........... | 18 | 32 | 28 | 19 | 14 | 12 |
| 18-10 |  | --------- | 9 | 22 | 23 | 20 | 16 | 12 |
| 20-21-. |  | -...--.--- |  | 14 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 13 |
| 22-23-.. |  | -------- |  | 10 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 13 |
| 20-27. |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 | 13 | 12 |
| 23-29 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 8 | 1 |
| 32-31 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 32-33. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 |
| 38-37. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Total. |  | 885 | 337 | 282 | 184 | 162 | 131 | 117 |

BITE IV


8ITE V

| 2-3. |  |  | 148 | 16 | 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  |  | 305 | B0 | 67 | 29 | 11 | 6 |
| 6-7. |  |  | 214 | 125 | 92 | 57 | 37 | 21 |
| 8-9 |  |  | 91 | 132 | 97 | 69 | $\triangle 1$ | 41 |
| 10-11 |  | ----------- | 22 | 100 | 85 | 73 | 57 | 45 |
| 12-13 |  |  |  | 45 | 42 | 68 | 50 | 43 |
| 14-15. |  |  | -......... | 18 | 22 | 32 | 43 | 12 |
| 16-17. |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 19 | 28 |
| 18-19. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 15 |
| 20-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| Tatal...-........ |  |  | 780 | 525 | 403 | 331 | 284 | 250 |

## VOLUME TABLES

The volumes of the trees on the sample plots of the yield study were computed with the aid of volume tables. Tables 12 to 16 cover volumes in cubic feet classified by diameter and total height of trees; merchantable volume in board feet, Scribner rule, classified by diameter and log lengths, and by diameter and total height of trees; and merchantable volume in board feet, International rule, classified by diameter and log lengths, and by diameter and total height of trees.

These volume tables are based on the measurement of nearly 2,000 trees, most of which were obtained in 1909 by T. T. Munger, of the Forest Service, and the rest in 1921-1924 by J. S. Boyce, then of the Bureau of Plant Industry. The tables were constructed by the author according to the procedure developed by Donald Bruce and L. H. Reineke of the Forest Service.

The volumes shown in the tables include no allowance for defect (all the trees measured having been scaled full). These tables are applicable to Douglas fir trees less than 160 years old which are within the specified range of diameters and heights.

Table 12.-Cubic-foot volume table for second-growth Douglas fir
[Western foothills of Cascade Mountains, Washington and Oregon-All site qualities, 1926]

| D. b. h., taches | Height of tree in feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 230 | 240 | Basis; trees |
|  | Volume in cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 | . 75 | . 88 | 1.21 | 1.45 | 1.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 4. | 88 | 1.30 | 1.70 | 2.06 | 2.55 | 2.88 | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |
| 5. | 139 | 1.99 | 2.61 | 3.18 | 3.80 | 4.30 | 4.89 | 5. 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| 6. | 197 | 285 | 3.70 | 4. 50 | 5.35 | 6. 14 | 6.95 | 7.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| 7. | 2.61 | 8.86 | 4.96 | 6.02 | 7.15 | 8. 22 | 9.30 | 10.40 | 11.2 | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |
| 8. | 3.38 | 4.97 | 6.35 | 7.84 | 9.12 | 10.7 | 12.3 | 13.3 | 14.4 | 15.8 | 17.2 | 19.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| 9. | 4. 24 | \%. 19 | 7.95 | 9, 98 | 11.6 | 13.5 | 15.2 | 16.6 | 18.1 | 20.0 | 21.7 | 23.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |
| 10 | 5. 20 | 7.55 | 9.82 | 11.8 | 14.2 | 16.4 | 18.5 | 20.1 | 22,0 | 24.4 | 38.3 | 28.7 | 31.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 50 |
|  | 6. 26 | 9. 10 | 11.7 | 14.3 | 17.0 | 19.5 | 22.0 | 24. 0 | 28.3 | 20.1 | 31.7 | 34.2 | 37.3 44.0 | 40.4 47.9 | 43.1 51.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 53 |
| 12 |  | 10.8 | 13.8 | 16.8 | 20.0 | 22.8 | 25.9 | 28.3 | 31.1 | 34.6 | 37.4 | 40.5 | 44.0 | 47.9 | 51.3 | 54.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |
| 13. |  | 12.4 | 16.0 | 19.5 | 23.2 | 26.5 | 30.2 | 33.3 | 36.7 | 40.3 | 43.7 | 47.3 | 51.4 | 55.8 | 59.6 | 63.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| 14. |  | 14.2 | 18.4 | 22.4 | 26.6 | 30.4 | 34.7 | 38.5 | 42.3 | 46.1 | 50.0 | 54.2 | 58.8 | 63.8 | 68, 2 | 72.5 | 78.0 | 82.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 05 |
| 15. |  |  | 21.0 | 25.6 | 30.2 | 34.8 | 39.5 | 43.7 | 48.0 | 52.2 | 50.5 | 61.2 | 66.5 | 71.9 | 76.8 | 82.0 | 88.0 | 93.6 | 99.8 |  |  |  |  | 87 |
| 16. |  |  | 23.7 | 28.8 | 33.9 | 38.2 | 44.3 | 49.2 | 53.9 | 58.4 | 63.1 | 68.2 | 74.4 | 80.5 | 86.0 | 82. 6 | 98.8 | 105.0 | 113.0 |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| 17 |  |  | 26.4 | 32.2 | 38.0 | 43.8 | 49.2 | 54.8 | 60.0 | 64.8 | 70.0 | 75. 8 | 82.8 | 80.5 | 95.5 | 103.0 | 110.0 | 117.0 | 125.0 | 134 | 145 |  |  | 72 |
| 18. |  |  | 29.3 | 35.7 | 42.2 | 48.4 | 54.5 | 60.4 | 67.0 | 71.3 | 77.2 | 83.7 | 91.4 | 99.0 | 106.0 | 114.0 | 122.0 | 130.0 | 139.0 | 157 | 160 |  |  | 65 |
| 19. |  |  |  | 39.5 | 46.5 | 53.3 | 59.8 | 66.1 | 72.4 | 77.8 | 84.7 | 91.6 | 100.0 | 108. 0 | 116.0 | 125.0 | 134.0 | 143.0 | 153.0 | 162 | 176 | 186 | 195 | 65 |
| 20. |  |  |  | 43.4 | 51.1 | 588.3 |  | 72.2 | 79.0 | 84.8 | 82, 1 | 99.8 | 109.0 | 118.0 | 127.0 | 137.0 | 147.0 |  | 168.0 | 178 | 192 | 204 | 214 | 60 |
| 21. |  |  |  | 47.5 | 55.6 | 63.5 | 71.2 | 78.3 | 85.7 | 92, 0 | 100.0 | 108.0 | 118.0 | 128.0 | 138.0 | 148.0 | 150.0 | 170.0 | 183.0 | 194 | 208 | 222 | 234 | 66 |
| 22. |  |  |  | 51.7 | 60.2 | 69.0 | 77.0 | 84.8 | 925 | 09.2 | 108.0 | 117.0 | 127.0 | 138.0 | 149.0 | 160.0 | 172.0 | 185.0 | 188.0 | 210 | 225 | 240 | 253 | 62 |
| 23. |  |  |  |  | 65.0 | 74.3 | 83.0 | 91.0 | 89.4 |  | 115.0 | 125.0 | 136.0 | 148.0 | 160.0 | 172.0 | 185.0 | 199.0 | 213.0 | 227 | 243 | 258 | 273 | 59 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  | 69.8 | 80.0 | 89.0 | 97.8 | 105. 0 | 114.0 | 123.0 | 133.0 | 144.0 | 157.0 | 170.0 | 184.0 | 198.0 | 213.0 | 229.0 | 245 | 2828 | 277 297 | 294 315 | 67 51 |
| 25. |  |  |  |  |  | 85.7 | 95.0 | 104.0 | 113.0 | 122.0 | 132.0 | 142.0 | 154.0 | 168.0 | 182.0 | 196.0 | 212. 0 | 228.0 | 245.0 | 262 | 282 | 297 | 315 | 51 |
| 26. |  |  |  |  |  | 91.5 | 101.0 | 111.0 | 120.0 | 129.0 | 139.0 | 150.0 | 162.0 | 178.0 | 193.0 | 208.0 | 228.0 | 243.0 | 281.0 | 281 | 300 | 317 | 337 | 58 |
| 27. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 107.0 | 117.0 | 128.0 | 137.0 | 147.0 | 159.0 | 172.0 | 188.0 | 204.0 | 220.0 | 239.0 | 258.0 | 278.0 | 298 | 320 | 337 | 360 | 53 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 122.0 | 135.0 | 145.0 | 155.0 | 168.0 | 181.0 | 198.0 | 216.0 | 233.0 | 253.0 | 774.0 | 295.0 | 317 | 341 | 358 | 384 | 42 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 131.0 | 142.0 | 152.0 | 163.0 | 177.0 | 191.0 | 209.0 | 227.0 | 246.0 | 2888.0 | 290.0 | 310.0 | 335 | 380 | 382 | 408 | 48 |
| 30. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138.0 | 149.0 | 160.0 | 171.0 | 185, 0 | 200.0 | 220.0 | 239.0 | 259.0 | 282.0 | 307.0 | 327.0 | 354 | 381 | 403 | 434 | 56 |
| 31. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 144.0 | 156.0 | 187.0 | 170.0 | 194.0 | 210.0 | 230.0 | 251.0 | 272.0 | 299.0 | 321.0 | 344.0 | 372 | 400 | 426 | 457 | 31 |



The volume is total cubic volume of the stem, including stump and top, but excluding bark. Prepared from curved form factors. Block indicates extent of basic data. Aggregate volumo by table is 0.2 par cant lowar than aggregate volume of basic data.

Table 13.-Board-foot volume table (Scribner rule-16-foot logs) for second-growth Dougles fir
[Western foothills of Cascade Mountains, Washington and Oregon-All site qualities, 1928]

| D. b. h., inches | Number of 16-foot logs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cone frustum form factor | Basis, trees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 134 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |
|  | Volume in board feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | 62 | 80 84 | 133 140 | 183 | 235 | 286 | 338 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0. 925 | 51 |
| $14$ | 63 | 84 88 | 140 <br> 147 | 197 | 254 | 310 | 370 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 908 | 81 |
| 15. | 64 65 | 88 91 | 1147 | 220 | 274 296 | 338 365 | 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 894 | 95 |
| 16. | 67 | 91 96 | 165 163 | 220 842 | 296 320 | 3895 | $\begin{array}{r}435 \\ 478 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 8880 | 87 |
| 17. | 69 | 102 | 178 | 262 | 347 | 430 | 515 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 868 | 79 |
| 18. | 71 | 109 | 190 | 280 | 370 | 459 | 550 | 640 | 729 |  |  |  |  | . 848 | 65 |
| 19 | 73 | 115 | 207 | 305 | 403 | 503 | 602 | 701 | 801 | 901 |  |  |  | . 840 | 65 |
| 20. | 75 | 123 | 221 | 330 | 435 | 543 | 651 | 758 | 885 | 970 |  |  |  | . 883 | 60 |
| 21. | 77 | 129 | 240 | 355 | 469 | 584 | 700 | 815 | 030 | 1,046 |  |  |  | . 828 | 60 66 |
| 22 | 80 | 136 | 258 | 383 | 509 | 633 | 760 | 884 | 1.011 | 1,130 | 1,260 |  |  | . 823 | 62 |
| 23. | 84 | 143 | 277 | 414 | 551 | 688 | 827 | 964 | 1, 101 | 1,239 | 1,375 |  |  | . 820 | 59 |
| 24. |  | 151 | 292 | 438 | 584 | 728 | 882 | 1,035 | 1, 188 | 1,340 | 1,495 | 1,641 |  | . 819 | 67 |
| 25. |  | 160 | 311 | 468 | 623 | 780 | 982 | 1, 109 | 1,275 | 1,440 | 1, 602 | 1,763 |  | . 816 | 51 |
| 27. |  | 170 | 333 | 500 | 606 | 832 | 1,013 | 1,190 | 1,368 | 1,546 | 1,724 | 1,895 |  | . 813 | 58 |
| 28. |  | 188 | 371 | 560 | 750 | 889 | 1, 018 | 1,2t8 | 1,458 | 1,648 | 1,835 | 2,020 | 2,215 | . 810 | 53 |
| 29. |  | 188 | 371 | 560 602 | 750 800 | 1, ${ }_{(081}^{041}$ | 1, 144 | 1,346 1,433 | 1.551 1,650 | 1,752 1,862 | 1,856 | 2, 152 | 2,361 | . 808 | 42 |
| 30. |  |  |  | 638 | 850 | 1,003 | 1,201 | 1,433 1,518 | 1,650 1,749 | 1,862 1,975 | 2,077 2,208 | 2, 282 | 2,507 $\mathbf{2 , 6 5 6}$ | .803 .801 | 46 56 |
| 31 |  |  | ---- | 675 | 901 | 1,130 | 1,370 | 1,609 | 1,850 | 2,091 | 2330 | 2,562 | 2,810 | . 800 | 31 |
| 32 |  |  |  | 716 | 965 | 1, 195 | 1. 449 | 1,700 | 1,954 | 2,211 | 2,462 | 2, 707 | 2,968 | . 789 | 34 |
| 33. |  |  |  | 751 | 1,005 | 1,260 | 1,530 | 1,800 | 2,670 | 2,340 | 2,613 | 2,873 | 3,127 | . 797 | 31 |
| 34. |  |  |  | 791 | 1, 059 | 1,333 | 1,614 | 1,898 | 2,180 | 2,463 | 2,745 | 3,006 | 3,295 | . 793 | 29 |
| 35. |  |  |  | 839 | 1,115 | 1,402 | 1,700 | 1,998 | 2,295 | 2,590 | 2,885 | 3,160 | 3,461 | . 791 | 19 |
| 36. |  |  |  | 882 | 1, 175 | 1,494 | 1,782 | 2,095 | 2,410 | 2,721 | 3, 025 | 3,318 | 3, ${ }^{\text {3, }} 831$ | . 789 | 18 |
| 37. |  |  |  | 930 | 1,228 | 1,545 | 1,873 | 2,205 | 2,530 | 2,860 | 3,168 | 3,478 | 3,810 | . 788 | 12 |
| 38 |  |  |  | 978 | 1, 290 | 1,614 | 1, 955 | 2,305 | 2,660 | 2,985 | 3,315 | 3,642 | 3,990 | . 783 | 10 |
| 39. |  |  |  | 1,020 | 1,354 | 1,680 | 2,049 | 2,415 | 2,790 | 3,137 | 3,487 | 3,825 | 4,190 | . 781 | 7 |
| 40. |  |  |  |  | 1,412 | 1,779 | 2,150 | 2,523 | 2,892 | 3,266 | 3, 634 | 3,995 | 4,380 | . 779 | 6 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  | 1,478 | 1,861 1,937 | 2,250 2,340 | $\begin{array}{r}2,633 \\ 2,735 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,015 3,138 3,25 | 3,390 3,528 | 3,768 3,920 | 4, 135 4,301 | 4,530 4,717 | .776 .773 | 3 9 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  | 1, 609 | 2,020 | 2,440 | 2,850 | 3,258 | 3,672 | -1,080 | 4,400 | 4,717 4.917 | . 773 | ${ }_{1}^{9}$ |



Prepared by the frustum form actor method. Stump height 2 feet. (Trees scaled in 16 -foot logs with 0.3-foot trimming allowance to 8 inches d. i. b. in top, Scribner decimal $C$
Volume shown in this table as full scale. No allowance made for defect. Block indicates extent of basic data. Agregate volume by table is 1.06 per cent lower thanageregate volume of basic data.)

Table 14.-Board-foot volume table (Scribner rule-total height) second-growth Douglas fir
[Western foothills of Cascade Mountains, Washington and Oregon-All site qualties, 1926]

| D. b. M. inclees |  | Total height in feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Basis, trees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 230 | 240 |  |
|  |  | Volume in board feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 32 | 50 | 69 | 87 | 105 | 124 | 140 | 155 | 176 | 198 | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |
|  |  | 39 | 61 | 83 | 107 | 130 | 150 | 170 | 190 | 212 | 238 | 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61 95 |
|  |  | 47 | 74 | 101 | 129 | 155 | 175 | 201 | 225 | 252 | 282 | 318 | 354 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 |
| 15. |  |  | 88 | 118 | 148 | 180 | 207 | 233 | 262 | 290 | 324 | 364 | 410 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |
|  |  |  | 102 | 130 | 170 | 205 | 235 | 205 | 296 331 | 330 370 | 368 412 | 410 460 | 468 522 | 584 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| 17 |  |  | 115 | 154 | 193 | 230 257 | 263 | 298 | 331 367 | 370 410 | 412 460 | 460 510 | 588 | 640 | 714 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| 18 |  |  |  | 174 | 215 | 257 | 294 | 329 | 367 403 | 410 450 | 508 | 564 | 640 | 710 | 782 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | 102 | $\frac{239}{282}$ | 283 | 325 <br> 355 | 363 <br> 397 | 403 440 | 450 494 | 508 558 | 564 618 | 694 | 774 | 854 | 942 | 1,032 |  |  |  |  | 60 |
|  |  |  |  | 213 | 262 285 385 | 311 338 | 355 <br> 388 | 397 <br> 438 | 440 <br> 480 | 483 | 608 | 676 | 754 | 844 | 930 | 1,026 | 1,122 |  |  |  |  | 68 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 309 | 367 | 420 | 470 | 520 | 584 | 658 | 732 | 820 | 914 | 1,010 | 1,114 | 1,222 |  |  |  |  | 62 |
| 23. |  |  |  |  |  | 397 | 455 | 507 | 562 | 630 | 708 | 788 | 882 | 986 | 1,090 | 1,204 | 1,322 | 1, 438 |  |  |  | 59 |
| 24. |  |  |  |  |  | 420 | 489 | ${ }_{645}$ | 607 | 676 | 758 | 848 | . 9650 | 1,058 | 1, 172 | 1, 1,393 | 1,527 | 1,667 | 1,801 |  |  | 67 51 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 458 | 524 | 584 | 648 | 770 | 866 | 971 | 1,088 | 1,210 | 1,346 | 1,495 | 1,645 | 1,794 | 1,038 |  |  | 58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 482 | 598 | 686 | 728 | 821 | 820 | 1,034 | 1, 158 | 1,287 | 1,435 | 1,597 | 1,757 | 1,921 | 2,075 |  |  | 53 |
| 22. |  |  |  |  |  | S 24 | 638 | 768 | 782 | 870 | 975 | 1,096 | 1,230 | 1,368 | 1,535 | 1,609 | 1,874 | 2,048 | 2, 215 |  |  | 42 |
| ${ }_{29}^{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 674 | 750 | 828 | 920 | 1,032 | 1,162 | 1,304 | 1,450 | 1, 627 | 1,803 | 1,989 | 2. 180 | 2,354 |  |  | 46 56 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 712 | 782 | 870 | 972 | 1, 088 | 1,228 | 1,379 | 1,536 | 1,720 1,813 | 1,909 2,014 | 2,104 2,222 | 2,438 2,436 | 2, 635 |  |  | 31 |
| 31 | -------- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 838 | 922 | $\frac{1,023}{1,076}$ | 1, 148 | 1,280 | 1,454 | 1, 711 | 1,906 | 2,100 | 2, 340 | 2,569 | 2,778 | 3, 019 | 3,246 | 34 |
| 32. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 878 928 | 970 1,016 | 1,076 1,129 | 1, 2027 | 1,366 | 1, 1,00 | 1,797 | 1, 1,099 | 2, 220 | 2, 458 | 2,702 | 2,921 | 3, 180 | 3, 424 | 31 |
| 33. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 964 | 1,060 | 1, 182 | 1,327 | 1, 512 | 1,694 | 1,880 | 2,092 | 2,333 | 2,576 | 2,833 | 3, 084 | 3, 338 | 3,598 3,780 | 29 19 |
| 34. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1, 112 | 1,238 | 1,387 | 1,565 | 1,747 | 1,964 | 2,180 | 2, 439 | 2694 | 2,963 | 3,227 | 3,496 | 3, 780 | 19 |
| 36. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,158 | 1,291 | 1,447 | 1,628 | 1,818 | 2,046 | 2, 278 | 2,544 | 28812 | 3,096 3,225 | 3, 370 | 3,657 3,818 | 3,986 4,132 | 126 |
| 37. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,208 1, | 1,347 1,401 | 1,510 1,575 | 1,692 1,753 | 1,889 1,962 | 2, 208 | 2,465 | 2,681 2,759 | 3,049 | 3,25 3,358 | 3, 6.57 | 3,881 | 4,315 | 10 |
| 38. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,035 | 2, 286 | 2,558 | 2,867 | 3, 167 | 3,491 | 3,800 | 4,136 | 4,488 | 7 |
| 39. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1, 874 | 2, 106 | 2,362 | 2,651 | 2,970 | 3, 280 | 3, 619 | 3,943 | 4, 299 | 4,687 | 6 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,932 | 2,176 | 2,437 | 2,746 | 3, 068 | 3, 398 | 3,752 | 4,086 | 4,459 | 4, 8 , 024 | 3 8 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,090 | 2, 244 | 2,513 | 2,839 | 3,168 | 3,516 | 3,885 | 4, 229 | 4,622 | 5,022 | 4 |
| 43. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2045 | 2,310 | 2,586 | 2,932 3,011 | 3,266 3,365 | 3,632 3,747 | 4,018 4,149 | 4,372 4,520 | 4,781 4,839 | 5,200 5,373 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,101 | 2,375 | 2,659 | 3,011 | 3,365 | 3,747 |  |  |  |  |  |



Prepared by applying curved board foot-cuble foot ratios to cubic foot volume table. Stump height 2 feet. Volume includes all of stem to 8-inch d. i. b. fn top except stump and 0.3 -foot trimming allowance for each 16 -foot log. Gross scale-no allowance for defect. Block shows extent of basic data. Aggregate volume by table is 0.1 per cent higher than aggregate volume of basic data.



Prepared by the frustum form factor method. Stump height 1.5 foet. Treas scaled in 16 -foot logs with 0.3-foot trimming allowance to 5 inches d. I. b . in top, International
Gross scale-no allowance for defect. Block shows extont of basic data. Aggregate volume by table is 1.01 per cent lower than aggregato volume of basic data.

Table 16.-Board-foot volume table (International rule-total height) for second-growth Douglas fir
[Western foothills of Cascade Mountains, Washington and Oregon-All site qualities, 1926]

| D.b.h., inches | Total height in feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Basis, trees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 80 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 100 | 170 | 180 | 180 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 230 | 240 |  |
|  | Volume in board feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 18 | 24 | 2 | 40 | 48 | $36$ | 66 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 |
| 8 | 10 | 22 | 32 | 42 | 52 | 64 | 76 | 88 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |
|  | 20 | 30 | 42 | 54 | 66 | 82 | 96 | 112 | 128 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |
| 10 |  | 36 | 62 | 68 | 84 | 102 | 120 | 138 | 158 | 180 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{63}$ |
| 11 |  | 46 | 62 | 80 | 102 | 124 | 146 | 168 | 194 | 218 | 242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |
| 12. |  | 54 | 76 | 98 | 122 | 148 | 174 | 200 | 230 | 260 | 288 | 320 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |
| 13. |  | 64 | 80 | 114 | 144 | 176 | 206 | 238 | 270 | 302 | 336 | 374 | 416 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| 14. |  |  | 104 | 134 | 166 | 202 | 240 | 274 | 310 | 346 | 388 | 430 | 480 | 524 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 |
| 15 |  |  |  | 154 | 180 | 232 | 270 | 312 | 352 | 302 | 440 | 486 | 544 | 692 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 |
| 16 |  |  |  | 176 | 214 | 260 | 3304 | 350 | 394 | 440 | 494 | 546 | ${ }_{678}^{612}$ | ${ }^{662}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| 18. |  |  |  | 188 | 238 | ${ }_{322}^{290}$ | 338 | 388 426 | 438 <br> 484 | $\begin{array}{r}490 \\ 540 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 648 606 | 608 <br> 672 | 678 747 | ${ }_{812} 83$ | 889 | 974 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 65 |
| 19. |  |  |  |  | 288 | 349 | 405 | 466 | 528 | 588 | 659 | 734 | 813 | 886 | 974 | 1,061 | 1,143 |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 378 | 442 | 507 | 576 | 642 | 718 | 799 | 883 | 009 | 1,063 | 1,156 | 1,250 | 1, 341 |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| 21. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 479 | 550 | 623 | 693 | 775 | 864 | 955 | 1,053 | 1,152 | 1,254 | 1,358 | 1, 464 |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 519 | 697 | 672 | 747 | 834 | 930 | 1,028 | 1,139 | 1,244 | 1,354 | 1,468 | 1.575 |  |  |  |  | 62 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 859 | 637 | 728 | 788 | 893 | 997 | 1, 102 | 1,221 | 1,336 | 1, 4568 | 1,578 | 1,688 | 1,829 |  |  |  | 69 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 599 | ${ }_{7}^{682}$ | 788 | ${ }_{9} 82$ | ${ }^{8.83}$ | 1, 1134 | 1, 177 | 1,308 | 1,429 | 1, 1,564 | 1,600 | 1,821 | 1,969 | 2,099 |  |  | ${ }_{51}^{67}$ |
| 25. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 640 683 | 727 <br> 774 | 817 | 907 904 | 1,013 | 1, 134 | 1, 1,336 | 1,392 1,481 | 1,524 1,620 | 1,684 1,770 | 1,803 1 | 1, | 2, 245 | 2,405 |  |  | 58 |
| 27. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 728 | 824 | 922 | 1,023 | 1, 141 | 1,280 | 1, 420 | 1, 571 | 1,719 | 1,879 | 2,043 | 2,211 | 2,389 | 2,564 |  |  | 53 |
| 28. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 874 | 978 | 1,086 | 1,210 | 1,354 | 1,506 | 1, 663 | 1, 824 | 1, 1991 | 2,170 | 2,351 | 2,537 | 2,726 |  |  | 42 |
| ${ }_{30}^{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.038 1,096 | 1,150 1,215 | 1,281 | 1,432 1,509 | 1,597 | 1,759 | 1,030 | 2, 2104 | 2, 2 200 | ${ }_{2,638}^{2,494}$ | 2, 2849 | 2,894 |  |  | 46 56 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,154 | 1, 282 | 1,423 | 1, 582 | 1, 1,75 | 1,94? | 2,139 | 2, 343 | 2, 560 | 2, 776 | 3, 006 | 3,238 |  |  | 31 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,347 | 1,495 | 1,660 | 1,863 | 2,036 | 2, 248 | 2,461 | 2, 688 | 2, 921 | 3, 164 | ${ }^{3,416}$ | 3,678 | 3, 830 | 34 |
| ${ }_{34}^{33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,411 | 1,563 | 1,737 | -1,952 | 2, 2128 | 2, 2,454 | 2, 2 , 781 | 2, 2 200 | 3,083 <br> 3,206 | 3, 321 | ${ }_{3}^{3,593}$ | 3,885 4,060 | 4, 4 , 32 | ${ }^{39}$ |
| 35. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,540 | 1, 705 | 1,897 | 2, 120 | 2,316 | 2,556 | 2,813 | 3,080 | 3, 347 | 3, 646 | 3,948 | 4, 250 | 4,562 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1, 605 | 1,779 | 1, 174 | 2, 1978 | 2,408 <br> 2 <br> 109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 37 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1, 1,763 | 1.851 | 2, 2,133 | 2.278 2,359 | 2,489 2,592 | 2,759 2,865 | 3,041 3,160 | 3,338 $\mathbf{3 , 4 6 9}$ | 3,3, <br> 3, <br> 184 | 4,127 | 4,301 4,479 | 4,646 4,846 | 4, 5,283 | 10 |



[^10]
## APPENDIX <br> basic data

It was impractical to get measurements of forests for every age and site quality, but a good distribution among the possible combinations of age and slte quality was obtained. Table 17 shows the distribution by age and site index classes of the forests forming the basis for these yield tables.

The geographical distribution of the sample piots has been illustrated in Figure 1. No attempt was made to have an equal number of plots for every county; in some countles there are only a few age-site quality combinations and for these countles there were fewer plots measured than for sounties where there are many varieties of growth conditions. In Oregon, 128 tracts were measured comprising 1,008 plots; and in Washington, 133 tracts comprising 1,044 plots.

Table 17.-Distribution of composite plots by age and site-index classes

| Age class | Distribution by sito-inder class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} 80 \\ 10 \\ 89 \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 80 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ \hline 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 10 \\ 108 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ 10 \\ 119 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & 120 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} 130 \\ 130 \\ 139 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 180 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} 150 \\ 10 \\ 158 \\ 158 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} 160 \\ \text { to } \\ 169 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \\ & 170 \\ & 170 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 880 \\ & 180 \\ & 889 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \\ & 10 \\ & \text { to } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 209 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210 \\ & \text { 20 } \\ & 219 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 20 to 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 301039 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| 50 |  | -. | 1 | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | ${ }_{8}^{5}$ | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 1 | 2 |  | ${ }_{88}$ |
| \%0to 689 | 1 | 1 | 2 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | - | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{9}^{8}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 4 | ${ }_{3}^{6}$ |  |  | +50 |
| 880 to 80--- |  |  |  |  | : | - | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  | ds |
| $1000_{0} 109$. |  |  |  |  | - | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | ! | - | $\stackrel{3}{1}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{8}$ |
| 110 to 119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 4 |
| ${ }_{120}^{120}$ to 1298 |  | -.. | - |  | -- |  | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 7 |
| 11080149 |  | . |  |  | - | 1 |  |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | ${ }_{3}$ |
| 150 to 158 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 18020169 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 170 20 179. |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| T | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 25 | 28 | 42 | 3 | 3 | * | ${ }^{17}$ | 3 | 1 | 245 |

In these tabulations, a "tract" represents a forest of unfform age and site quallty in whtch a group of plots were measured. The number of plots per tract varied from 1 to 33 , but, as a rule, each tract comprised 5 to 10 plots. The original feld work before any phots were ciscarded covered the following: "

## Tracts

Individual plots.
2, 052
Total acreage of plots
1, 371.05
The plots varfed in size from onesisteenth of an acre to 4 acres. The distribution of plots by size is shown in Table 18.

Tabre 18.-Distribution of individual plots by size of plot

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Size of plot \& Piot \& Sliz of plot \& Plot \\
\hline 库acro \& Number
21 \& 1 acte-- \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Number \\
853
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(\chi^{\text {acre. }}\) \& 38

14 \& 2 actes . \& <br>
\hline 3\% acre.--- \& 605 \& \& <br>
\hline 23acre..-- \& 16 \& Total \& 2,049 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## TECHNIC OF PREPARING YIELD TABLES <br> FIELD WORK

It is customary in constructing yleld tables to select a single plot as representative of a forest tract of unlform age and site quality. (PL. 8.) In this

[^11]

YIELD . F203838
F203888
YIELD TABLES ARE BASED ON THE MEASUREMENT OF SAMPle PLOTS IN TYPICAL FORESTS
study it was possible to take advantage of the natural occurrence of Douglas fir in extensive even-aged stands of unfform site quality and to measure several piots in eacn forest. The group of plots for each forest subsequently was averaged to obtain a composite plot. By tbus sampling the seemingly homogeneous conditions in various parts of each forest, more accurate yield tables have been obtained than would be possible with but one plot to the tract, no matter how carefully it might be selected. This assumption was supported When the data were analyzed, for although each plot of a tract was selected by inspection as representing normal stocking, considerable variation was found between sister plots in the same tract.

The plot boundaries were surveyed with a compass and steel tape. All piots except, perhaps, half a dozen were square. Arbitrary limits of error in closure of plot surveys were set at $i$ feet for 3 -acre plots, 2 feet for half-acre plots, and 1 foot for quarter-acre plots.

All living trees on the plots were calipered and recorded by inch classes aceording to spectes. Hardwoods and the small trees of the understory, although they were not used in the yield computations, were measured for diameter and recorded.

For each tract enough total-height measurements were taken with steel tape and Abney level (or Forest Service hypsometer) to draft a curve of height over diameter. ${ }^{22}$ These trees were selected throughout the tract and were representative of the group of plots.
Age determinations were made by counting the annual rings on increment borings, or on stumps where a part of the stand was being logged adjacent to the plots. The age counts were made throughout the stand on representative trees of every crown class, although most attention was given to the dominant and codominant trees because the average age of these trees was taken as the age of the stand. Since the age determinations mere usually made at several feet above the ground level, it was necessary to add to the ages obtained at these heights the number of years required to reach the hefght of stump or tncrement boring. Table 19, based on 1,228 measurements of dominant Douglas fr seedlings, was used to convert the ring count to totai age.

Table 19.-Height growth of dominant Douglas fir seedlings

| Yeight Qboveground (feet) | Orowlag period required, by site classes- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | Iv | V |
|  | Years | Years | Years | Years |  |
| 1....-.-.----- | 3 | 3 5 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | ${ }_{7}^{5}$ |
| 3--.-...------- | 5 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | 8 | 9 |
| 4..........----- | ${ }_{7}^{8}$ | ${ }_{8}^{7}$ | 8 | 10 | ${ }_{11}^{10}$ |

For each forest in which measurements were taken a description was prepared recording detafls of the stand as a whole. The following items were included in this description: Location, relief, fleld estimate of site quality, soll, ground cover, underbrush, reproduction, the stand itself, infurles, and hifstory of the stand.

## office computations

The first step fin the office computations was the elimination of tracts that could not be used. Certain essential information pertaining to some tracta apparently had been lost in the years between 1011 and 1924; other tracts obviously were unsultable because of indeterminate age, or because the stand had been thinned or cut linto. Sixteen tracts comprising 46 plots ( 32.725 acres) were so diseardec.

Individual plots of some of the remafning tracts likewise were discarded because spectes other than Douglas fir predominated or because there was doubt as to the uniformity of age ns compared with the other plots of the same tract. Seventeen plots ( 15.525 acres) were so eliminated.

[^12]The remaining plots were then analyzed by tracts. Individual plots were discarded if their total basal area differed from the average basal area of the tract by more than twice the standard deviation about the average. Seventy-three plots ( 48.51 acres) were so eliminated because of overstocking or understocking. There were left, after the elimination of these data, 245 tracts comprisisg 1,916 individual plots.

The remaining plots of each tract were combined into one composite plot to be used in all subsequent analyses as representative of that particular site and age class. This was done by averaging the number of trees in each diameter class, and converting these averages, where necessary, to a 1 -acre basis. The basal area of each diameter class of the composite plot was computed. From a curve of height over diameter the average height of each diameter class was obtained. The cuble-foot, International board-foot, and Scribner board-foot volumes for each diameter class were computed with the aid of volume tables. (Tables 12, 14, and 16.) Totals for each of the five items (number of trees, basal area, and the three volumes) were obtalned for (1) the total stand, (2) the stand 7 inches in diameter and larger, and (3) the stand 12 inches in diameter and larger. The basal area of the averuge tree was obtained by dividing the total basal area of the composite piot by the total number of trees. The age of a composite plot and the average height of its dominant and codominant trees" were taken from the measurements of the tract represented by the composite plot.

Table 20.-Check of yield tables against basic data (deviation of actual values from estimated values)

TOTAL STAND

| Teble | Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aggregate | Average |
| Number of trees | Per cent -0.27 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent } \\ 19.5 \end{array}$ |
| Basal ares--... | +.03 | 11.4 |
| Cubic volume. | +.68 | 13.05 |
| Basal ares of average tree. | $+1.97$ | 21.4 |

STAND 7 INCHES OR MORE IN DIAMETER

|  | +0.74 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of trees. | +0.74 +1.55 | 14.1 |
| Gassl arca...- | +1.85 | 14. |
| Cubic volume. | $+1,13$ +.08 | 18.6 |
| International board-foot volume | +.08 | 18.6 |

## GTAND 12 INOHES OR MORE IN DLAMETER

| Number of trees. | $+0.55$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of trees. | $+3.87$ | 23.1 |
| Basal area..... | +2.99 |  |
|  | +3.55 |  |
| Intermatlong board-foot volume | +2.65 | 2.1 |
| Beribner board-foot volume. | +2.65 | 2. |

${ }^{28}$ The height-diameter tallles of a tract ghowed specffically which of the treef measured for beight fiere in the dominant and codominant crown classes. When the data for some of the eariler merbured tricts were examined it was digcovered that the belght taily sheets ahowed only diameter and helght, and had no note concerning crown classes. It Fonld ordinarily have been impossible to determine the site qualty of these tracts on the basig of the average bejght for dominant and codominant trees. Experimentation wht data of tracts for which there was complete tnformation showed a relationship between the dompanat and codominant trees and the rest of the gtand and thus permalted the use of some of the data which otherwise could not bave been used. It was found that the dominant and codominant trees constituted 55 per cent of the entire range in djameter classes of a tract. In young stands this range included only a few sizes of trets because the entire range of diameterg was small; old stands had a wide range in blzen and the dominant and codomiannt classes therefore included more dameter clabses than the young stands. With this knowledge it was possible to determine the probable lower diameter limit of the dominant and codominant crown classes. Then from the lieight tally or from the height-dhmeter curve the everage helpht of the trees in these classes was obtalned. A somewhat different method of approach to thls problem would be to compare the average diameter of afl crown clagses comblaed with the average diameter of the dombant and codominant classea.

From this point on, the composite plots were treated exactly as the single plots of the ordinary yield study. The method used is that developed by Donald Bruce for the yield study of southern yellow pine (I). Briefly, the procedure is as follows:

Prepare curves representing site index,
Construct preliminary curves of total basal area.
Reject plots which have erratic values for total basal area. (The application of two standard deviations centered on the mean resulted in the Douglas fir yield study in the elimination of 10 composite plots, representing 49 individusl plots or 22 acres.)

Using the accepted data, construct four basic tables-total bassi area, basal area of average tree, total number of trees, and cubic-foot volume.

Tables for the stand 7 inches in diameter and larger and for the stand 12 inches in diameter and larger are derived by means of conversion factors from the tables for the total stand.

The yield tables were checked against the date from which they were constructed. These checks are given in Table 20.

The final basis for the yield tables, when all data, for whatever cause, harl been eliminated, was as follows:

Composite plots (fracts), 235.
Individual plots involved, 1,867 .
Total area, acres, 1,252.29.
Stand tables were originaliy prepared by plotting on logarithmic-probability paper the percentage distribution of number of trees in each diameter class, as described by Bruce (1). The computation of these stand values is simplified by an alignment chart recently devised by $L$. H. Reineke and presented here as Figure 17.


Fiover 17.-Stand table alignment ebart.

To use this chart a straightedge is laid across the three graduated scales, intersecting the scale for lower diameter limit at the desired figure. and the scate for average diameter at the figure corresponding to the diameter of the average tree of the total stand. (Table 2.) The stand of trees above the selected lower diameter limit is then read on the scale at the extreme right, in percentage of total number of trees. After computing the number of trees above successive lower diameter limits the number of trees in each diameter group is subtracted from the number in the group immediately preceding to obtain the number of trees in each group. The sample computation given in Table 21 will make this clear.

Table 21.-Sample computation of stand table

| Dismeter-class gronp | Lower diameter limit | Trees above lower limit |  | Trees in sroup |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lnches | Inches | Percend | Numper | Namber |
| 4-5.............. | 3.5 | 180.0 |  | 22 |
| $6-7$ | 5. 5 | 93. 5 | 315 | 54 |
| 8 -2 | 7.5 | 77.5 | 261 | 67 |
| 10-11. | 9.5 | \$7. 5 | 194 | 73 |
| 12-13. | 11.5 | 36.0 | 121 | 55 |
| 14-15 | 13.5 | 19.5 | 65 | 39 |
| 16-17. | 15.5 | 8.0 | 27 | 18 |
| is and over | 17.5 | 2. 7 | 9 | 9 |
| ToLal |  |  |  | 337 |

Age, to yenrs; site index, 140 ; dinmeter of average tree. 11.1 friches (from Table 2); total number of trees, 337 (from Table 2).

## A REVISED YIELD TABLE FOR DOUGLAS Fir

A primary purpose of the yield table is to predict the future condition of given stands of second growth. It seems self-evident that such prediction is possible only when the yield table well describes such stands as they are today. The conventional normal yield table described in the body of this bulletin does not meet this requirement. Its failure is widely recognized in the ease of partially stocked stands that have fewer and larger trees than a normal stand. This leads to a confused definition of normality or normal stand density. Normality percentage by numbers of trees may not be closely related to timber volume, and normalities by basal area, cubic-foot volume, and board-foot volume, are often grotesquely different.

The conventional table also fails frequentiy in the case of stands that may be considered normal in terms of one but not ail standards of measurement. This is because subnormal stands may become normal with the passage of time. A stand having normal board-foot volume, for example, may have been normal for many yaars or for only a few If the stand has been normal for many years, it will consist of considerably more smaller trees than a stand that has been normal only a few years. In other words, the difficulties in defining normality will persist even after normality has been attained.
Preliminary yied tables for Douglas fir that are free from most of these difficulties are presented here. They should be more applicable than conventionai tables to subnormal stands, and in many cases to normal stands as well. No claim is made, however, that they are accurate for use with all types of subnormal stands or with extreme cases of understocking. They must be thoroughly tested before their applicability can be clearly determined. 'Thus. the new tables are presented to permit actual tests against typical stands.

A further advantage of the new tabies is that the independent variables used for predicting stand volumes can be nore easily and accurately measured. Site index is eliminated completely, and age assumes a minor role. The important independent variables are average diameter, number of treess per acre, and a height relationship based on a small number of measured samples.

Basic data for the new tables are from the same plots used in preparing the main part of this publication. Permanent sample plots established and periodi-

Table 22.-Yield ' of Douglas fir on fully stocked acre, trees larger than 5.0 inches d.b. h. (Forest Survey standard) ${ }^{2}$

| Age (years) | Site class V |  | Site class IV |  |  | Site class III |  |  | Site class II |  |  | Site class I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Site index } \\ 80 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Site index } \\ 90 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site index } \\ 100 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site index } \\ \mathbf{1 1 0} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Site index } \\ 120 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { Site index } \\ 130 \end{array}\right.$ | Site inder 14C | $\underset{150}{\text { Site index }}$ | $\underset{160}{\text { Site index }}$ | Site index 170 | Site index 180 | $\mid \underset{190}{\text { Site index }}$ | $\underset{200}{\text { Site index }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Site Index } \\ 210 \end{array}$ |
| 20. | Cu.ft. | Cut.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.flo | Cu. 71. | Cu.ft. | Cu. 56 | $C^{\prime \prime}$ | Cu. 81. | Cu. ${ }_{950}$ | Cu.ft. | Cu. 1, 300 300 | Cu.ft. | Cu. ft. |
| 30. | 400 | 550 | 800 | 1,050 | 1,350 | 1,700 | 2,100 | 2,500 | 2,900 | 3,250 | 3,600 | 3,950 | 1,450 | 1,400 |
| 40 | 1,050 | 1,350 | 1,800 | 2,350 | 3, 000 | 3,700 | 4,300 | 4,900 | 5,500 | 6,000 | 6, 400 | 6, 750 | 7,050 | 7. 250 |
| 50 | 1,900 | 2,350 | 3,000 | 3,800 | 4,800 | 5,600 | 6, 350 | 7,050 | 7,700 | 8, 300 | 8,850 | 9, 300 | 9,700 | 10,000 |
| 60. | 2,800 | 3,500 | 4,300 | 5, 200 | 6. 250 | 7,200 | 8, 150 | 9,000 | 9,750 | 10, 400 | 11,050 | 11, 600 | 12,050 | 12,400 |
| 70. | 3,700 | 4,400 | 5,300 | 6. 350 | 7, 500 | 8,600 | 9,650 | 10,550 | 11,400 | 12, 150 | 12,850 | 13,500 | 14,050 | 14,500 |
| 80 | 4,300 | 5,200 | 6,200 | 7,300 | 8, 550 | 9,700 | 10,850 | 11,900 | 12,850 | 13,700 | 14,500 | 15,200 | 15,850 | 16,350 |
| 90. | 4,750 | 5, 700 | 6,800 | 8,050 | 9.400 | 10,700 | 11,900 | 13,050 | 14, 100 | 15,050 | 15,900 | 16,650 | 17,300 | 17,800 |
| 100 | 5, 100 | 6, 150 | 7,300 | 8,650 | 10,100 | 11. 500 | 12, 800 | 14,000 | 15, 150 | 16,150 | 17, 100 | 17, 900 | 18, 550 | 19,050 |
| 110 | 5,400 | 6. 550 | 7.880 | 9, 200 | 10,700 | 12.150 | 13, 550 | 14, 800 | 16,000 | 17,050 | 18,000 | 18, 800 | 19, 550 | 20,100 |
| 120 | 5,700 | 6, 850 | 8,150 | 9,600 | 11,200 | 12,700 | 14, 150 | 15, 450 | 16,650 | 17.750 | 18,800 | 19,700 | 20,450 | 21,150 |
| 130 | 5,950 | 7,150 | 8,450 | 10,000 | 11.650 | 13,200 | 14,700 | 16,000 | 17. 250 | 18,400 | 19,450 | 20,400 | 21, 230 | 21,900 |
| 140 | 6, 150 | 7,350 | 8,750 | 10,300 | 12,000 | 13,630 | 15, 150 | 16,500 | 17,850 | 19,000 | 20, 100 | 21,050 | 21,850 | 22, 550 |
| 150. | 6, 300 | 7,550 | 9,000 | 10.600 | 12.300 | 14,000 | 15, 640 | 16.950 | 18,300 | 19,500 | 20,650 | 21,600 | 22.500 | 23,200 23,800 |
| 160 | 6,450 | 7,700 | 9,200 | 10,850 | 12,655 | 14,400 | 16,000 | 17.400 | 18,750 | 23, 000 | 21, 150 | 22, 150 | 23,050 | 23,800 |

ump and 4 -inch top derived from cubic foot volume and yield tables presented in the body of this publication. Volumes are stem volumes, exclusive of bark and limbs, between compiled by P. A. Briegleb, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, March 15, 1941.

Table 23.-Yield of Douglas fir on fully stocked acre, traes 12 inches in diameter and larger, to an 8-inch top, International rule (one-fourth
inch kerf)

| Age (years) | Site class V |  | Site class IV |  |  | Site class III |  |  | Site class II |  |  | Site class I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index | Site index |
|  | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | $210$ |
|  | Bd. ft. | Bd, ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd.f. | $B d, f t$ | Ba. ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd. Jt. | Bd. fl. | Bd. $f$. | Bd. $f t$. | Bd. ft. | Bd. fl, |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 400 | 1, 100 | 1,800 | 3, 100 | 4,800 | 7,100 | 9,500 | 12, 400 |
| 40 |  |  |  | 3 200 | 1,400 | 3, 100 | 5. 400 | 7,700 | 10,600 | 14,000 | 18,200 | 22,910 | 28,300 | 33, 800 |
| 50 | 40 | ${ }_{3} 200$ | 1, 900 | 3,1000 | 6,500 | 9,900 | 14,600 | 20,000 | 26.000 | 31,800 | 37,700 | 43,900 | 50, 100 | 56, 400 |
| 60 | 1,300 | 3, 100 | 5,700 | 8,600 | 14,700 | 21, 140 | 27,800 | 34,300 | 41,700 | 49,000 | 56,000 | 63, 100 | 69,300 | 75, 700 |
| 70. | 2.900 | 6,300 | 10,600 | 16, 500 | 24, 100 | 32, 400 | 40, 600 | 48.700 | 57,000 | 64,600 | 72,500 | 79,600 | 86,400 | 93, 300 |
| 80. 90. | 5,200 | 10,200 | 16,300 | 23,500 | 33, 100 | 42,600 | 52, 200 | 61.600 | 70,000 | 78.400 | 86, 700 | 94, 200 | 101,500 | 108, 600 |
| 100. | 8,200 11 | 14, 100 | 21, 800 | 30, 200 | 41,000 48000 | 51,600 59 | 62,300 | 72, 100 | 81, 500 | 89,9030 | 98, 400 | 106.600 | 114, 100 | 121, 400 |
| 110 | 14,300 | 22,000 | 30,900 | 41, 600 | 54,000 | 65, 900 | 77, 600 | 88.900 | 98, 800 | 107.800 | 108, 600 | 116,700 | 124,500 | 132, 100 |
| 120 | 17, 200 | 25,300 | 35,000 | 46, 400 | 59,200 | 71. 600 | 83, 500 | 94,600 | 105,000 | 115,000 | 124,000 | 132, 200 . | 130, 500 | 140,300 |
| 130. | 19,800 | 28,400 | 38,700 | 50, 700 | 63, 800 | 76,700 | 88,600 | 100, 300 | 110, 800 | 121,000 | 120,800 | 138,600 | 147, 100 | 147,909 154,900 |
| 140 | 22,300 | 31,300 | 42,000 | 54, 600 | 67, 900 | 81,100 | 93, 300 | 105, 300 | 116, 000 | 126, 200 | 135, 300 | 144,000 | 152, 700 | 160, 800 |
| 150 | 24, 700 | 33, 900 | 45, 100 | 58,200 | 71,400 | 84, 900 | 97,500 | 109, 800 | 120,800 | 131,000 | 140,5010 | 149,000 | 157, 800 | 166, Ou0 |
| 160. | 26,900 | 36,500 | 47,800 | 61.300 | 74, 700 | 88, 500 | 101,500 | 113,900 | 125, 200 | 135, 500 | 145, 000 | 153, 600 | 162,400 | 170, 100 |

${ }^{1}$ Derived by adjustment of Scribner volumes in table 4, p. 27, by P. A. Bricgleb, December 1948.

Table 24.-Yield of Douglas fir on fully stocked acre, trees 15.6 inches in diameter and larger, to a 12 -inch top, Scribner rule 1

| Age (years) | Site class V |  | Site class IV |  |  | Site class III |  |  | Site class II |  |  | Site class I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Site index 80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site index } \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site index } \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | Site index 110 | Site index | $\left.\operatorname{Site}_{130}\right\|^{8}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site index } \\ 140 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Site index } \\ 150 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Site index } \\ 160 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site index } \\ 170 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site index } \\ 180 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Site index } \\ 190 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{200}{\text { Site index }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site index } \\ 210 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Bd.ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd. ft . | Bd. ft. | Bd. 14. | Bd. $f$ t. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd. ft . | Bd. ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd.ft. |
| 40. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500 | 2,000 | 3,000 | 5,000 | 1,000 8,500 | 12,000 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1, 0000 | 28.800 | 5, ${ }^{5} 1400$ | 9, 000 | 13,000 | 19,000 | 25, ${ }^{2500}$ | 32,000 54,000 |
| 60. |  |  |  | 800 | 1,500 | 3,000 | 6,500 | 10,000 | 14,500 | 19,500 | ${ }^{26,000}$ | 33,000 | - 42,500 | 54,000 68,000 |
| 70 |  |  |  | 2,900 | 5. ${ }^{\text {5 }} 000$ | 9,000 | 14,000 | 19,500 | 26,000 | 33,000 | 41,000 | 48,000 | 58,500 <br> 73,000 | 68,000 83,000 |
| 90 | 800 | 1,500 | 4, 500 | 8,500 | 14,000 18 | 21,000 | - 32,000 | 38,500 | 47,000 | 57,000 | 66,000 | 76,000 | 86,000 | 98,000 |
| 100 | 1,700 | 4,000 | 7,000 | 12,000 | 19,500 | 28,000 | 38,000 | 48,000 | 58, 000 | 67.500 | 78,000 | 87,000 | 97,000 | 107,000 |
| 110 | 2,700 | 5,600 | 10,000 | 16,000 | 25,000 | 36,000 | 46,000 | 56,500 | 66,500 | 76, 000 | 86,500 | 96, 500 | 106,000 | 115,000 |
| 120 | 3,700 | 7,000 | 12,000 | 20,000 | 31,000 | 42, 000 | 52,500 | 63, 000 | 73,500 |  | 94,000 | 104, 000 | 12,000 | 124,000 |
| 130 | 5,000 | ${ }^{9,500}$ | 16,000 | 24,000 | 36,000 | 47, 500 | 58,500 | 69,000 | 80, 000 | 90, 500 | 101, 000 | 111, 000 | 121, 000 | 131,000 |
| 160 | ${ }^{8} 9$ | 16, 000 | 24,000 | 34,000 | 47,000 | 59, 500 | 72,000 | 84, 0001 | 96,000 | 107,000 | 118,000 | 128, 500 | 139,000 | 149,000 |

${ }_{1}$ These values were derived from board foot volume and yield tables presented in the body of this publication. Trees scaled by 32 -foot lops. Allowance was made for a 2 -foot stump. Volumes are gross scale and no deductions have been made for breakage or defect. They were read from curves prepared by W. H. Meyer, (zuary 1933 , at Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, October 1946.
cally remeasured by the Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station were used for checking the tables. Other muxiliary data were taken from W. H. Meyer's study, Height Curves for Even-Aged Stands of Douglas Fir, reported in ${ }^{\text {a }}$ processed paper in 1936, and his Journal of Agricuitural Research article, A Study of the Relation Between Actual and Normal Yields of Immature Douglas Fir Forest, issued in 1930. Auxiliary data from an article entitled New Methods and Results of Growth Measurement in Douglas Fir, by P. A. Briegleb and J. W. Girard, issued in the Journal of Forestry in March' 1943, were also used.

## average diameter as a basig for yield tables

Over 20 years ago it was found that some of the more difficult problems of normal yield table construction were easily solved by using average stand diameter (regardless of site and age) as the independent variable. This led G. H. Barnes to suggest in an article published by the British Columbis Forest Service in 1931, The Importance of Average Stand Diameters as a Factor in Forecasting Timber Yields, that a similar treatment might profitably be applied to the entire process. Further studies confirmed the impression that stands of the same average diameter breast high were much more nearly alike in every way than were stands of the same site and age class. Meyer in United States Department of Agriculture Technical Bulletin 544, Yield of Even-Aged Stands of Sitka Spruce and Western Hemlock, issued in 1937 , presented partial yield tahles based on average diameter at breast height as well as the complete yield tables based on site and age. The tables given here are an extension of this same principle.

Table 25 is the basic description of second-growth Douglas fir stands when average stand diameter is the independent variable. This was derived from the

Table 25.-Revised Douglas fir yield table, based on average diameter instead of site and age

| Average d, b, h.t of sland (inches) | Normel number: of trees per acre | Normal height of trees of averape d. b, h. | Volume per tree |  |  |  | Volume per tree, 12 inches d. b. h. and over: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tots stand 3 and entire stand | 5 inches d. b. h. and over to 4-inch top | 7 inches d. b. h. and over to 4 -inch top | 12 inches d. b. h. and over to 4-inch top | Interna1lenas. 3-inch ruje : | Scribner rule |
| 2. | 4,466 | Fect 22 | Gutic feel | Cutis reat | Cubic feet | Cabic feet | Board feat | Board feel |
| 3. | 2,387 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1..--\%.-.............. | 1,530 | 39 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | --7.- |  |  |
|  | 1,584 | 47 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 1.1 |  |  |  |
| 6--..--................ | 818 | 55 | \$. 1 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 1.3 |  |  |
| 7..-n----1-............ | 644 | 62 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 5 | 3 |
|  | 524 | 69 | 10.8 | 4.4 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 18 | 11 |
|  | 437 | 76 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 12,1 | 5.3 | 35 | 23 |
| 10.0............---r-- | 373 | 83 | 19.6 | 18.0 | 16.7 | 9.5 | 68 | 41 |
| 11. | 320 | 00 | 25.2 | 23.6 | 22.7 | 15. 1 | 102 | 67 |
| 12. | 280 | 97 | 31.5 | 29,8 | 28.3 | 21.7 | 148 | 90 |
| 13 | 248 | 104 | 38.5 | 36.6 | 36. 5 | 29.5 | 224 | 149 |
| 14. | 221 | 110 | 46.6 | 44.3 | 44.3 | 38.3 | 274 | 184 |
| 15....-................ | 188 | 11.7 | 55.5 | 53.8 | 52.8 | 48.0 | 347 | 236 |
| 13..--...........-.... | 180 | 123 | 85 | 62 | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 38 | 432 | 296 |
| 17---.-................ | 164 | 130 | 75 | 72 | 72 | 69 | 521 | 359 |
| 18 | 150 | 13.5 | 87 | 83 | 83 | 81 | 658 | 420 |
| 18. | 138 | 141 | 90 | 95 | 95 | 51 | 7\%4 | 510 |
| 20....---.............. | 127 | 147 | 112 | 108 | 108 | 106 | 830 | 593 |
| 21. | 118 | 152 | 126 | 121 | 121 | 118 | 058 | 683 |
| 22.-...-..............- | 110 | 157 | 142 | 136 | 136 | 134 | 1,075 | 779 |
| 23. | 102 | 102 | 158 | 152 | 152 | 1.50 | i, 205 | 388 |
| 24...................... | 0 | 167 | 175 | 168 | 160 | 168 | 1,338 | 009 |
| 25-------1...........- | $9_{1}$ | 171 | 113 | 188 | 185 | 186 | 1.485 | 1,125 |
| 28.-.-.-. ............- | 85 | 176 | 213 | 205 | 205 | 204 | 1.853 | 1,262 |
| 27.-----------........ | 80 | 180 | 234 | 227 | 227 | 227 | I, 820 | T, 408 |
| 28 | 781 | 18.5 | 256 | 349 | 248 | 248 | 2,031 | 1. 582 |
| 29.........-*.........- | 72 | 189 | 279 | 271 | $27!$ | 271 | 2,240 | 1.730 |
| 30.-...................... | 68 | 134 | 302 | 203 | 293 | 283 | 2,476 | 1,904 |

[^13]same plot data that were used to compile the yield tables in the main body of this publication. The method of derivation is simple, involving merely sorting the plots by diameter-breast-high classes and summarizing, averaging, and curving the corresponding number of trees per acre, normal height, and the various expressions of voiume shown in table 25. Because some of these items had not been worked out for the individual plots, ratios based on other available studies were used to derive them to save time. Obviously, additional columns (such as the number of trees per acre more than 12 inches in diameter) could readily be added to this table if desired, thus covering all the standards of measurement presented in the normal yield table.

Table 25 may be applied to any given second-growth Douglas fir stand for which average diameter and number of trees per acre have been determined. Volume per acre, as defined in the heading of any of the last six columns, is obtained merely by multiplying the volume per tree by the number of trees per acre as determined by field sampling. This use of the table is, in effect, a shortcut method of eruising second-growth stands.

## CORRECTIONS POR HEIGHT

The short-cut method described above has been checked for accuracy by using it to estimate the volume in cubic feet of the basic temporary plots and also of the permanent sample plots. The standard error of estimate for individual plots was found to be 6.5 percent, even when average diameters were interpolated to the nearest 0.1 inch.

The cause of this rather high error was found to be associated with variations in hright. It is, then, clear that stands of the same average diameter at breast height may vary in the height-diameter relation. This variation was in turn found to be loosely correiated with site. The looseness of this correlation suggested a correction based directly on the height-diameter relation rather than indirectly on the site index.

It was found that, if the estimates of volume in cubic feet on each plot were adjusted in proportion to the ratio between (1) the average height of the trees of average diameter at breast height on the individual plots and (2) the corresponding normal height given in table 25, column 3, the standard error of estimate was reduced to 2.6 percent, a very satisfactory figure. The maximum error discovered in stands 10 inches or more in average diameter breast high was 4 percent.

In 1936 Meyer prepared a series of standard Douglas fir height-diameter curves, referred to on page 68, for each combination of site and age (Height Curves for Even-Aged Stands of Douglas Fir). Table 26 was taken from his work sheets and represents a similar series of curves based on average stand diameters.
To correct volume estimates for variations in height, one need only measure a small number of mechanically selected trees that are near the stand average in diameter. (in practice, they would be measured before stand diameter was precisely determined.) The sum of the total heights of the measured trees divided by the sum of the corresponding total heights taken from table 26 will give a correction factor that should be applied to the volume. For instance, if the actual trees are 5 percent higher on an average than is indicated by table 26, volume estimates from table 25 should be raised 5 percent, etc.

For board-foot volumes, greater errors were found, particulariy by the Scribner rule. The standard error of estimate in Scribner volume for individual plots was 10.8 percent. It was found, however, that the large errors were concentrated in the stands of small average diameter. For stands with an average diameter breast high of 12 inches and over the standard error was only 4.1 percent.

Many may believe that errors in determining board-foot volume of a stand with small average diameter are of little concern, since the volume is in seattered trees of merchantable size and the stand as a whole is unmerchantable. Moreover, such errors tend to diminish as the stand grows older. If this opinion is accepted, the present tables appear to meet the requirement of adequately deacribing typical second-growth stands as they are today. It remaits to supply a means of predicting future volumes.

## NORMALITY

Attention should first be called, however, to the simplification introduced into the concept of normality. When tables 25 and 26 are used, normality by number of trees and by volume, however expressed, are identical. Normality may, therefore, be defined as the ratio between the actual number of trees per acre and

Tagle 26.--Standard height for well-stocked second-growth Douglas fir, based on average diameter of stands :

| Tree d. b. i. (inches) | Total heigbt when average d. b. h. of stard is- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 23 | 33 |
| 2. | Feet | Fect | Fect | Feet | Fed | Feel | Fedt | Fect | Frel | Feet | Fett | Feet | Feet |
| 4 | 44 | 46 | 47 | 46 | 43 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | 55 | 59 | 63 | 65 | 66 | 65 | 63 | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. | \%4 | 69 | 74 | 79 | 81 | 82 | 82 | 81 | 7\% | 褈 | 68 |  |  |
| 10 | 72 | 77 | 83 | 89 | 04 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 95 | 94 | 90 | 85 |  |
| 12 | 77 | 83 | 90 | 97 | 103 | 107 | 111 | 113 | 111 | 110 | 107 | 105 |  |
| 14. | 81 | 09 | 97 | 104 | 110 | 116 | 120 | 123 | 124 | 125 | 123 | 123 | 122 |
| 16. | 85 | 94 | 103 | I! | 117 | 123 | 127 | 132 | 133 | 135 | 136 | 135 | 134 |
| 18. | 88 | 98 | 107 | 115 | 122 | 120 | 13.5 | 140 | 142 | 1 | 148 | 145 | 145 |
| 20. |  | 104 | 112 | 120 | 188 | 135 | 131 142 | 147 | +51 | 144 154 | 140 | 145 155 | 145 157 |
| 22. |  | 106 | 116 | \$25 | 332 | 139 | 346 | 153 | 157 | 165 | 164 | 166 | 167 |
| 24. |  |  | $\$ 19$ | 125 | 135 | 144 | 1.15 | 157 | 162 | 167 | 170 | 172 | 175 |
| 28. |  |  |  | 132 | 140 | 148 | 155 | 168 | 163 | 172 | 176 | 178 | 182 |
| 28. |  |  |  | 134 | 148 | 151 | 158 | 15\% | 171 | 176 | 181 | 185 | 188 |
| 30. |  |  |  | 137 | 146 | 155 | 162 | 164 | 176 | 188 | 185 | 180 | 184 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  | 149 | 159 | 160 | 173 | 178 | 184 | 188 | 1003 | 188 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  | 152 | 362 | 169 | 177 | 182 | 187 | 192 | 196 | 2031 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  | 154 | 365 | 751 | 189 | 185 | 1983 | 195 | 200 | 204 |
| 38. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183 | 188 | 192 | 188 | 273 | 208 |
| 42. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185 | 190 | 135 | 272 | 205 | 211 |
| 42. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158 | 197 | 201 | 208 | 213 |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | -. |  |  | 195 | 190 | 20.6 | 211 | 2?5 |

Derived from Watter H. Meyer's Eeight Curves for Even-Aged Stands of IJoughas Fir and from his work sfiects.
that shown in table 25 for the corresponding average diameter breast high (interpolated to the nearest 0.1 inch). The similerity between this expression and that found in Ferfecting a Stand-Density Index for Even-Aged Forests, by L. H. Reineke (published in the Journal of Agricultural Research in 1933) is self-evident. The percentage form is more easily understood and leads to simpler computations.

## PREDICTED DESCRIPTIONS OF FUTURE STANDS

If tables 25 and 26 are to be used for future stands, a method must be provided for predicting the future average stand diameter, the future number of trees per acre, and the future height correction.

Diameter will be considered first. Conventional yield tables rely on age and site as the sole basis for determining tree diameter, even though these two variables usually lead to erroneous estimates of the present diameters. Table 27 provides for predicting the diameter growth (10-year) directly on the basis of the present diameter and the age. These two variables are an expression of preceding diameter growth, so that, in effect, estimated future growth is reiated to that of the past. As figures in table 27 indicate, however, future diameter-breast-high growth is not assumed to equal that of the past. Allowance is made for normal decline in growth as age advances.

Table 27 is mercly a transformation of the information contained in the conventional lable showing the relation between average diameter breast high and site and age. (See p. 14.) Some technical objections may be raised to the indirect graphical method used in deriving the table, but it appears to be an acceptabie first approximation easily extracted from the a vailable data.

It seems probable that better estimates might be obtained by use of current diameter growth determined by increment borings, but here the additiona! problem arises of estimating the "false growth" resuitiag from the death of smaller trees. Pending further research the present table seems reasonably satisfactory.
A conservative estimate of the future number of trees may be obtained by calculating the present normality and mustiplying the normal number of treas for the predicted average diameter breast high (iable 25) by this percentage. This ignores the tendency of understocked stands to approach normality. To allow for that tendency, table 28 has been derived from a study by Briegleh and Girard (see p. 68) of understocked stands. When data obtained in that study were plotted in the form indicated by table 28, a straight regression line was fairly well defined. By use of this table a correctert future normality can be predicteri, and presumably a more accurate forecast of the future number of trees can be made.

Table 27.-Eztimated diameter growth per decade in normal stands of secondgrowth Douglas fir ${ }^{\prime}$

| A verage d. b. th. of stand (in.) | Dismeter growth when age of stand in years is - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 | 30 | 4) | $5 \times$ | S0 | 70 | 80 | 00 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 |
| 2. | 79. 1.6 | In. | In. | In. | In. | In. | In. | In. | 17. | /n. | In. | In. | In. | In, |
| 3. | 2.0 | 1.4 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | 3.2 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1. I | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | 3.10 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | . 8 | . 7 | . 6 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 3.3 | 2.f | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | . 8 | .8 | . 7 | + 6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |
| 11 |  | 3.6 | 2. 8 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.0 | . 9 | . 8 | . 7 | . 6 | . 5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 12 |  |  | 3.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | - 0 | . 8 | . 7 | . 6 | . 6 | . 5 | + 4 |
| 13 |  | .-... | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | . 9 | . 8 | . 7 | , 6 | . 5 | . 5 |
| 14 |  |  | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | . 8 | . 7 | . 7 | .f1 | . 5 |
| 15. |  |  | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | . 9 | . 8 | . 7 | . 6 | . 6 |
| 16. |  |  | 4. 0 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | . 8 | . 7 | . 7 | . 6 |
| 17 |  |  |  | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1. 6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | . 0 | . 8 | . 7 | -7 |
| 18 |  |  |  | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1,7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | . 9 | . 8 | .7 | -7 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | . 9 | . 8 | . 7 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | .9 | . 8 | + 8 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1. 5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | . 9 | . |
| 22. |  |  |  |  |  | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | -9 | - 8 |
| 23. |  |  |  |  |  | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | . |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.8 | 2. 3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | + |
| 25. |  |  |  |  |  | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1, $\hat{7}$ | 1. 5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2. 5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| 27. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 14 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1. 5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 30. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 |

"Figures derived from yieldiables on page 14. Above figures include "false grow'th" resulting Irom death of smaller trees and hence will not agree with actral dismeter growth of surviving trees as determined by borings.

Table 28.-Estimated increase in normalily in a 10-year period

| Normality | 10 years' increase in normslity | Normality arter 10 years | Normality | 10 years' increase in normality | Normbily after 10 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0. 05 | 0.02 | 0.07 |
| . 35 | . 07 | . 42 | 1.00 | . 02 | 1.02 |
| . 40 | . 07 | .47 | 11.05 | , 01 | 1.08 |
| . 45 | . 06 | . 51 | 1.10 | . 01 | 1.11 |
| . 50 | . 06 | . 561 | 1.15 | . 010 | 1.15 |
| . 55 | . 00 | . 61 | 1. 20 | . 00 | 1. 20 |
| . 60 | . 0.5 | . 6.5 | 1. 25 | . 00 | 1. 25 |
| . 65 | . 05 | . 70 | 1. 30 | -. 01 | 1. 29 |
| +70 | . 05 | . 74 | I. 35 | -. 01 | 1.34 |
| . 75 | .04 | . 79 | 1.40 | -. 02 | 1.38 |
| . 80 | . 03 | . 83 | 1.45 | -. 02 | 1. 13 |
| . 85 | . 03 | +88 | 1.50 | -. 03 | 1.47 |
| +90 | . 02 | . 92 | - |  |  |

Variations of heights from those in table 26 will presumably persist for many years. A careful search of all availaije permanent sample plot information failed to reveal any definite tendency for the ratios of actual to standard heights either to increase or decrease. The present height ratios may, therefore, be used for future stands without change.

## EXAMPLE OF USE OF THE TABLES

The following calculations will clarify the manner in which tables 25 to 28 may be used.

Assume that the data collected give the following information:
Average number of trees per acre (number) ..... 151Average diameter (inches)14. 5

| Sample trees |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diamete breast high (inches) | Height (feat) | Dtameter breast high (inches) | Heipht (feed) |
| 13. | - 103 | 15 | .-.- 111 |
| 14 | . 106 | 14. | - 99 |
| 12. | . 100 | 16. | 114 |

Average age, 70 years.
Wanted:
(1) Estimated cubic foot volume today in trees 7 inches and over diameter breast high to 4 -inch top.
(2) Estimated volume today in Scribner board feet 12 inches and over diameter breast figh.
(3) Estimated volumes 10 years hence as above.

Present cubic foot volume is $151 \times 48.55$ (from table 25, by interpolation), or 7,311 cubic feet per acre.
Present Scribner volume is $151 \times 210$ (from table 25 , by interpolstion), or 31,710 bobrd feet per acre.

| Diametr breast high | Height correction | Adual heipht | Tabular height (from table 56 ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 |  | - 100 | 104 |
| 13 |  | - 103 | 108 |
| 14. |  | - 106 | 112 |
| 14 |  | - 99 | 111 |
| 15. |  | - 111 | 115 |
| 16. |  | - 114 | 118 |
| Total_ |  | - 633 | $668=0.95$ |

Adjusted volume per acre $=0.95 \times 7,331=6,964$ cubic feet

$$
\text { or }=0.95 \times 31,710=30,124 \text { board feet. }
$$

Growth of average diameter breast high $=1.7$ inches (from table 27, interpolated).
Estimated aversge diameter 10 years hence $=14.5$ inches +1.7 inches $=16.2$ inches.
Present normality $=151 \div 210$ (table 25 ) $=72$ percent; predicted normality $=76$ percent (table 28).
Number trees 10 years hence $=178$ (table 25) $\times 0.76=135$.
Volume $=135 \times 64^{-}($table 25$) \times 0.95=8,208$ cubic feel, or $=135 \times 309$ (table 25) $\times 0.95=39,629$ board feet.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Growth per year }=\frac{8,208--6,964}{10}=124,4 \text { cubic feet per acre per year } \\
& \text { or } \frac{39,629-30,124}{10}=950 \text { board feet per scre per year. } \\
& \text { PREDICTIONS FOR PERIOD OVER } 10 \text { YEARS }
\end{aligned}
$$

Predictions for two or more decades may be made by successive $10-y e a r$ steps, the final stand at the end of the first period being taken as the starting point for the prediction for the second period. Intermediate periods can be derived by interpolation.

## accuracy of estimates of future voleme

The accuracy of predictions of future volumes depends on the securacy of predictions of three factors: The future average stand diameters, the number of trees per acre, and the height ratios. The resulting errors will be augmented by any errors that arise in computing volumes from these data.

A study of the Douglas fir permanent sample plots permits a preliminary appraissl of the sccuracy with which future volumes can be predicted. The permanent sample plots were all at least fairly well stocked. The best figures bow available for the permanent sample-plot study are 2.9 percent standard error of estimate for 10 -year future average stand diameters; 5.1 percent for $10-$ year future density; and 3.4 percent for 10 -year future height ratio. Predictions for moderately understocked stands should be nearly as accurate, provided that the density of the stands is not so heterogeneous as to cause very abnormal diameter distributions.

The atandard error of estimate of 10 -year future volume in cubic feet will reflect the combined result of these errors, plus that of calculating the cubic volume in the simple way herein proposed ( 2.6 percen $\hat{i}$ ). Theoretically, if the errors are not intercorrelated, this should be for the permanent sample plots

$$
\sqrt{2.9^{2}+5.1^{2}+3.4^{2}+2.6^{2}}=7.3 \text { percent. }
$$

A direct calculation shows a value of 7.6 percent for the same plots, which is a remarkably close check.

The similar standard error for volumes based on the Scribner log rule and for stands larger then 12 inches in average diemeter breast high should correspondingly be

$$
\sqrt{2.9^{2}+5.1^{2}+3.4^{2}+4.1^{2}}=7.9 \text { percent. }
$$

A direct calculation shows only 6.6 percent.
If predictions are carried further into the future, the theoretical standard errors should be (if the errors of the later decades are not correlated with those of the earlier) the foregoing figures multiplied by $\sqrt{2}=1.4$ for 2 decades, by $\sqrt{3}=1.7$ for 3 decades, etc. Available data confirm this theory reasonably well, although the actual errors are about 18 percent higher, which suggests that surplus or deficient growth rates have a slight tendency to recur.

Again, it must be remembered that these figures apply to plots of 1 acre or smaller, and that estimates of future volume on such small areas are very failible because of the erratic occurrence of tree mortality. Better results should be obtained for large tracts of land, although even them it must be recognized that abnormal condifions such as disease, insect infestation, and sleet damage, may invalidate the predictions. Such occurrences, of course, will upset calculations based on the conventionsl yield table as well.

## IN CONCLUSION

This is not an argument for the complete absandonment of the conventional form of yield table. Such tables are useful for making general determinations of the growth capacity of forest land as distinct from the growing stock that happens to be thereon. Most of the problems of forest management, however, are concerned with actual growing stock that is seldom normal. For these problems the new tables seem to have decided advantages. Their limitations can be determined only by further testing.
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$\dot{i}$ $\theta$


[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ Material aupplled by Donald Bruce for the 1949 revision (see p. 64) was derived (ram
    the basic data ured in the compliation of this hullettu.
    In the 1961 revision, clarifying materlal has theen udded (p. 12), whth n reference to a tess publication.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Italle numbers in parentheses refer to Literature Cited, p. 74,

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Two specics of Paeudotsuma are natlve to North Amerlca: Douglas flr, $P$. tarifolia, and blgcone spruce, P. macrocarya. Some botenlsts subdivide P. tarifolia according to the colot of the follage or form of tree characteristic of diferent replons. Thus. the green Douglan tr of the Pacific coast is called $P$. taxifolia, the blue Douglas fir of Colorado is called $P$. ghaw and another form intermediate between the green and blue fotmits sametimes referred to as $P$. taxifolia var. cacria. There are aboda number of parieties of Douglas fir recogbized by arboriculturists. In Elurope, pe tasifolia is ugualiy ealled $P$. Douglayis.

[^3]:    -The greategt dinmeter so far nuthentlcally reported is of a tree untll very recently standing in a prove of glant cedars and firs near Mineral, Wabh. (Pl. 5.) This tree. measured in 1924 whth stecl tape and Aliney level, had a diameter of 15.4 feet at 4.5 feet nbove the menn ground jevel, and a height (up to a broken top) of 225 feet. A large part of the Interitor of the tree has been burned out at the base, peavtag a doorijise opening at one side. The rings of yearly growth are plainly vistble in the charrat whils of the taterior, and by counting these Higs the age of the tree was estimated to be 1,020 yeara (in 1924 ). Ancthor targe tree about 15 feet in dameter was reported 101900 by E. T. Allen Who writea, "It was about 3y milles from Aghford, Wagh., on the Mount Rainter rogd, and was a fallen tree which itselif ley quite sound, but the scump whick was ebout 25 feet bigh had been burat or rotted out from one gide leaving a large opening. I rode a horge lato this stump. turaed him around and rode out again. It was a small horse, but you can gee te was also n big tree." The tallest Dougline ir on record was reported in 1900 by E, T. Alen. This tree, found near Little Rock. Wash., Was 330 feet tall and had a dlameter of 71.6 tnches. A down tren 380 feet long has been reported, but, unfortunately. the detatis of the mesturement were never reconded and the exact locatlon of the tree forgotten. Other tall trees for which accurate information 1 B avainabje are the following: One near Hoqulam, Wabh,, 318 feet; ose at Little Rock, Wash, 310 feet; one near Derrington, Wash.: 325 feet : one neat Pawn, on the gtualaw National Forest In Oregon, 295 teet. Several instances of exceptionel volume of idipldual Dougtas fr Iogs hare been reported. At Belligham, Wagh., a lop 12 feet In diameter at the butt, g78 feet long, scaled 105,000 board feet, Seribner rule. At Clear Lake, Wrib., g log 12.9 fect in dameter at the butt, 170 feet long, acaled 78,000 board feet, Scritner rule. The oldegt Douglas fir of which there is any authentic record wha found in 1813 by Ranger Fintgoss on the Finney Creek waternhed nbat 30 miles enst of Mount Vernon, Wash. The aye collot was made on a Bection sbout 40 feet above the stump, and the gge at that point was 1,376 years. SInce at least 25 years were required to reach the beight at which the age count was made. thts tree urugt brve been over 1400 years old when cut, The next oldest ja the tree at Mlnernl, There may be taller, older, or larger trees than these, but the Forest Service has no record of securately measured trees which aurpass those deacribed.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Abide from the fofuence that siope has on eite quality, the degree of glope alao affects the yield per acre. For borizontally measured acres an increase in slope zeaults in an increased area of sofl burface per acre. An fncrease in kradtent likewlae makes posible a more advantageous exposure of the crowna with resultant increase th denalty of atocking. sind thls holds true even if the billialde acre fa furface measured and thus has the same surface area mb an acre on level ground. The effect of siope on yleld is deecribed in a. iater paragraph.

[^5]:    - Since the International rule assumes rather close utilization. a saw kerf of one-elghth of an tnch 18 here provided. If, however, a ${ }^{2 / 4}$-meh saw rert is used with natlonal rule, the gields indicated in these tabies must be reduced 9.5 per cent Theodore and Robert Hartlg used the system in growth and yield studtes, and so ald Huber, as reported by Sterling (2). Frteke (S) developed a system of gite indices similar to the one described fere, and Roth (j0) propoged a scbeme essentisliy the game, although he did not mention the term "site tudex."

[^6]:    *The Cgures in Thble 1, and Fygure 2 up to 180 yenrg are baged on the meagurement of stands used in the field study, but for the beneft of those who may want to determine site quallty of leod now gupporting old-growth timber the figures in Ftgure 2 have unen cxtended to 440 years by W. H. Meyer. The oxtenslon ls based on the meaburement of betghte in 40 diferent forests oy varlous members of the Foreat Serfice

[^7]:    O MEEER, W, II. A STLDY OF THE RELATION DETWEEN ACTUAL AND NORMAL YIELDA OE iagatuke doughas fit fotiests. 1930 . [Unpubllshed manuseript.j

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ Suggested corrections for slope, all referenced to the bortzontelly measured acte, are as follows, expressed in cubic- foot volume:

    On level areas discount figures in normal-yleld tables 5 per cent.
    On 10 to 25 per cent slopes no discount or increase nped be made.
    On 30 to 50 per cent slopres incrensi figures in normal-yteld tables 5 per cent.
    On 60 per cent Blopeb make no discount or tnerence.
    On 80 per cent stopes discount figures in pormal-yield tables 10 per cent.

[^9]:    2 Volume in cuble feet includes all trees.
    Wolume in bourd feet by the Scribner rule lacludes only those trees 12 inches or more In diameter.
    solume in board feet by the International rufe lnctudea only those trees 7 fachea or more in diameter.

[^10]:    Prapared by applying curved board foot-cubic foot ratios to cubic foot volume table. Stump height 1.5 feet. Volume includes all of stem to 5 inch d. i. b. in top except stump an gato volume of of basic data.

[^11]:    ${ }^{11}$ These Agures include a few periodic measurements of permanent sample plots in Doughas fir, each remeasurement being conshered tas a tract.

[^12]:    12 The hetght meagurement of trees on stcep slopes was greatiy tacilitated by the development of a method permitting the use of surface measure instead of hortzontal meagure In obtalning the distance from the observer to the tree. A fult description of this method bas geen publighed by R. E. MoArdie and R. A. Cbepman (6).

[^13]:    : Weighled by bassl arca.
    ' Total stand; I. e., trees over 1.5 inches In $\mathfrak{d}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{h}$.
    Tos-inch top.
    To S-fnch top.

