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## TREIDS IN CROP PRODJCTION COSTS 1920-1924

In the following teibles are presented a comparison of the costs of producing the threc loading cereal crops in representative producing areas in this state for the past five years. The yield and crop values are also shown. These costs have been computed on an oporturity basis. In each case the land charge has been based on the prevailinc rontal system in the locality. Nan labor is charged at the arerage wage paid hired labor plus the cost of board. It is not expected that the data should be indicatire of the absolute profits or losses incurred. It is rather intended to show trends in costs and income for these crops and to explain some reasons for the variations that have occurred.

WHEAT-COSTS AND RETUPNS PER ACRE WIORMAN COUNTY

|  | 1920 | 1921 | 1922 | - 1923 | 1924 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Man hours <br> Horse hou:s | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \frac{3}{4} \\ 27 \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \frac{3}{4} \\ 27 \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \frac{3}{4} \\ 27 \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \frac{3}{4} \\ 27 \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$ | 27-93 |
| Labor | \$8.92 | \$4.43 | \$4.90 | \$5.47 | \$5.74 |
| Seed | 4.50 | 1.38 | 2.25 | 1.95 | 1.88 |
| Twine | . 30 | . 25 | . 20 | . 21 | . 22 |
| Threshing | 1.00 | .70 | . 90 | . 60 | 1.08 |
| Machinery | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Land rent | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Total cost | 20.72 | 13.26 | 14.25 | 14.23 | 14.92 |
| Yield - bushel | 10 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 18 |
| Cost per bushel | 2.07 | 1.33 | . 95 | 1.42 | . 83 |
| Dec. l price per bushel | 1.30 | . 97 | 1.01 | . 95 | 1.30 |
| Value of crop | 13.00 | 9.70 | 15.15 | 9.50 | 23.40 |

The above data on wheat costs are based on studies conducted in Norman county for sixteen years ending in 1917. Current price rates for the years 1920 to 1924 inclusive are applied to the nhysical units of the cost factors found during the earlier years. The yields shown are the county yields for the years 1920 to 1924.

The 1920 acre cost was undoubtedly the highest average cost ever incurred in the history of the county. The 1921 cost was only 64 per cent of the 1920 cost, largeiy due to lower wages for labor, lower costs of maintaining mork horses and lower seed costs. The $\mathbf{s l i g h t}$ rise in cost since that time is largely accounted for by small increases in wages and in feed costs for horses. The importance of yield as a factor in lov unit costs js well illustrated. Altho acre cost.s in 192 ? and 1924 averaged 10 per cent higher than in 1921 or 1923, yet due to higher yields the costs per bushel were 35 per cent less.

OATS - COST ATD RETHMEPMACRT - STHMIE COUTTY

|  | 1920 | 2421 | 1922 | 1923 | 1924 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Man hours | 112 | $8 \frac{1}{4}$ | $10 \frac{1}{4}$ | $9 \frac{1}{2}$ | $12 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Horse hours | 17\% | $15 \frac{1}{4}$ | 19 $\frac{3}{2}$ | $20 \frac{1}{2}$ | 27 |
| Tractor hours | 1.3 | 1.0 | . 6 | . 4 | . 1 |
| Labor | \$8.20 | \$4.01 | \$4.38 | \$4.61 | \$6.11 |
| Seed | 2.02 | . 88 | . 86 | 1.14 | 1.16 |
| Twine | . 46 | . 4.7 | . 34 | .30 | . 57 |
| Threshing | 1.82 | 1.48 | 1.94 | 1.52 | 2.09 |
| Manure | .31 | . 27 | . 31 | . 13 | . 34 |
| Machinery | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Land rent | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Total cost | 19.81 | 14.05 | 14.83 | 14.75 | 17.27 |
| Yield - bushels | 47 | $35 \frac{3}{4}$ | $54 \frac{3}{4}$ | $53 \frac{1}{7}$ | 64 |
| Cost per bushel | .42 | . 39 | . 27 | . $27 \frac{1}{2}$ | . 27 |
| Dec. I price per kushel | . 42 | . 24 | . 34 | .37 | . 44 |
| Crop value | 19.78 | 8.59 | 18.63 | 19.79 | 28.12 |

As in the case of wheat, the cost figures for the oats are exceptionally high for the year 1920. For the last four years thoy may be assumed to represent more nearly normal conditions. The importance of hich rield in lowering the cost per bushel as was indicated in case of wheat can not be overemphasized. During any one year the cost per acre shoms very little variation between different farms due to yield. A high yicld is ootained for very nearly the same cost per acre as a low yield. Thercfore, greater profits may be expected with high yields.

Altho oats is often considered a poor cash crop, the cost of raising a bushel on these farms during the last three years has been lower than the market price. During these years weather conditions have been unusually favorable to this crop. The yields on these farms have been consistently greater than the estimatcd yield for the county. The average yield for the five year period on these farms is 51 bushels while the county average is only 40 bushels. Lom costs per bushel have only occurred on farms where yields have been considerably above the county average.

The rental system on mich the corn table on the following page is based is a share rent system. The tenant pays all the expense incurred in producing the crop except the land charge, and in return receives three-fifths of the yield. In the tabulation both the total yield and the tenant's share of the yield is given. The cost per bushel and the value of the crop are based on the tenant'sishare only.

The importance of high yields in lowerin $n_{\text {c }}$ costs applies as stronly to corn as it does to oats or wheat. Altho the acre costs in 1921 and 1922 were about the same the cost por bushel is Jower in 1921. This is due largely to the fact that total cost was shared by 27 bushels(tenant's share) in 1921 and by only 18 $\frac{1}{4}$ bushels in 1922. The last three years have been more profitable oat than corn years. In 1922 the yield given was lomered considerably due to damage by hail. The cool weather in 1924 which caused such a large yield of oats also caused the low yield of corn. Ordinarily the corn is assumed to be the most profitable. With weather conditions more suitable to corn it is expected that it may again show a greater return than onts.

*Yield lomered consizerebly by hail
A glance at the acreares of the three crops for the last five wears shows that the wheat acreage has steadily declined while oats and corn have made large increases. Since 1920 the acreage of wheat has decreased 44 per cent, oats has increased $21 \frac{7}{2}$ per cent and coin 77 ner $c$ ent. During this same period there has been an incranse of 10 per cent in the number of dairy cattle in the state and 31 per cent in the number of hons. During most of this period those classes of livem stocle offered a relatively more attractive marizet for grains than did the cash reatx market. It is only natural that the acreage of feeding crops should be expanded at the expense of a cash crop such as wheat.

STATE ACRHAGF OF THRWE IFYSITY CERPAI CROPS

|  | 7920 | 1721 |  | 192 |  | 1924 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | $2,800,000$ | $2,279,000$ | $1,900,000$ | $1,730,000$ | $1,574,000$ |  |
| Oats | $3,702,000$ | $3,924,000$ | $4,021,000$ | $4,200,000$ | $4,500,000$ |  |
| Corn | $3,238,000$ | $3,427,000$ | $3,979,000$ | $4,297,000$ | $4,512,000$ |  |

During much of 1924 , hovever, many farmers have found it more profitable to sell their crops than to feed thom to livsstock at provailinf orices. The present cask prain market may be a laree factor in determining the acreage of these crops for the coming year. It should be renembered that abnormal conditions during the last rear have caused the market prices of corn and riseat to be unusualy high. The high price of corn now prevailine is a result of poor jields over the entire corn belt. Expectation of a normal production in 1925 together with indications of a smaller pig crop lead to the belici that present high prices may not continue truput 1995. If these indications are true any increase in corn acreages should be made very cautiously. The high price of wheat is caused by a very low world production in 1924. Tine northwest on the other hand was fortunate in harvesting one of the highest vieids on record. However, the present price can not be expected for the 1925 cron if the worla oroduction returns to normal. The high yield at the prevailing prices have caused wheat to show a margin which cannot be expected to last. Therefore, any cinanges in acreages of these two crops should be made only after considering the factors which might tend to change the condition as they have prevailed recently.
G.A.P. and A.T.H.

