

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

## This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

## FARM MANAGEMENT SERVICE NOTES

CIRCULAR NO. 3

**APRIL** 1914

## + + + + + + + +

A lively discussion has arisen as to the monetary value of the manure from milch cows. The following conclusions are based on saving 60% of the manure. Many farmers are not saving over 30%, thereby losing a large part of this by-product of the cow.

																					·····	• • • • • • • • • •	
AMT. PRODUCT   TOTAL FERTILIZER					FERTILITY RETURNED IN						1	FERTILITY IN 60% OF											
FRC	)M COWS	ELEMENTS IN FEED				ן כ	MANURE					FER.VAL								FER.VAL			
																				тот			
		'N	P20:	5 K2	OVALU	E	Ν	P20	<sub>Б</sub>   К <sub>2</sub>	<u>ا</u> 0ء		ε	MILK	VALUE	N		P <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> (		UE	MILK	VAL	-UE
h au	4000# M																						••••
Cow	4000# M										<b>*</b> • • • •		<b>*</b> • • • •				~ ~			~-		-	<b>F 0</b>
]]	150# BF	1/1.3	3 22.0	Jb3.	6 \$15.	016	50.5	\$ 15.!	648.	1	\$12.	/0	\$1.93	5 \$14.6	336	. 1	9.3	529.5	י. / גרו	69	\$1.93	\$9.	. 58
Cow	50 <b>0</b> 0# M																				1		
2	200# BF	83.6	526.	562.	5 17.	61	70.7	18.	756	. 8	14.9	94	2.40	17.3	742	. 4	11.2	234.1	8.9	97	2.40	11	. 37
Now .	7500# M																						
1311 V	300# BF	105.7	733.	675.	7 22.	10	89.4	423.	668	. 8	18.	72	3.60	) 22.3	2 53	. 6	14.2	41.3	311.	23	3.60	14	. 83
				,	_	· ·	-	,	I	ا بر	•	~	;	-	•	.,		0			<u></u>	-	
PRICE	S USED:	⊹N	- 15¢	LB.	; P <sub>2</sub>	0 <sub>5</sub>	— b	¢LE	3.;	K	. <sub>2</sub> 0 -	6	¢ LΒ.	; FEF	λ. Τ	VА	LUE	SKIN	⊿ Mii	LK	— 6¢	CW	т.

To obtain the above figures, certain definite rations were assumed. Henry's 'Feeds and Feeding" is the authority for the figures "fertility elements in feeds": Hopkin's "Soil Fertility and Permanent Agriculture" for those on "fertility in manure"; while 60% was assumed as the maximum estimate of the fertility usually saved.

Assumed Rations - 200 Days\*

EED				#2 TOTAL		#3 TOTAL				
LOVER BILAGE Corn Dats		2,000 3,000 600 600	25 3	2,000 5,000 600 800	30 4	2,400 6,000 800 1,200				
ACRES RE DF AV. Y		1.8	2.	1	2	. 6				

\*ASSUMED THAT PASTURE IS FERTILIZED BY COWS DURING PASTURE SEASON. Value of Manure from Foods Fed

	SELL'G	SELL'G	SELL'G	SELL'G	Cow SELL'G WH.M'K	SELL'G
Value Manure Acres	\$7.65	\$9.58	\$8.97	\$11.37	\$11.23	\$14.83
Covered * Value	1.8		2.1		2.6	
PER ACRE	\$4.25	\$5.32	\$4.28	\$5.42	\$4.32	\$5.70

\*THIS MEANS THE VALUE OF MANURE FROM EACH ACRE OF FIELD.

Assuming the above ration and considering average state yields, it is necessary that the manure produced and saved should be returned to the same acreage that produced the feed. This means that cow #3 will require more acreage for crops than #1, hence the difference in value of manure per acre is not as great as the total annual value of the manure saved. The fact that there is a difference in favor of cow #3 indicates that not only is it poor business policy to keep the 150# cow from a production standpoint but also room a fertility standpoint. The above figures also indicate that there is a direct loss of fertility by selling whole milk instead of butterfat. Skim milk has a feeding value of the price received for the whole milk is sufficient to overcome the feeding value of the whole milk. For cow #1 this value amounts to \$6.40; for cow #2 a value of \$8.00 and for ow #3 a value of \$12.00. Can the farmer afford to sell whole milk?

COST ACCOUNTING SECTION, FARM MANAGEMENT DIVISION, UNIVERSITY FARM, ST. PAUL, MINN.