



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search  
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>  
[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

## **Efficiency of wheat and cotton producing farms in Uzbekistan: a Stochastic Frontier Approach**

Davron Absalyamov\*

### **Author's Affiliations and contact:**

\*Davron Absalyamov  
Institute for Agricultural Policy and Market Research  
Justus-Liebig-University of Giessen  
Doctoral student  
Senckenberg street 3, 35390 Giessen, Germany  
Phone: +49 641 9937065  
Fax: +49 641 9937069  
Email: Davron.Absalymov@agrar.uni-giessen.de



**Paper prepared for presentation at the Young Researchers' Forum of the International  
Conference- Natural Resource Use in Central Asia: Institutional Challenges and the  
Contribution of Capacity Building**

September 30 to October 1, 2013  
JLU, Giessen, Germany

*Copyright 2013 by [Authors' names]. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim  
copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this  
copyright notice appears on all such copies.*

## **Efficiency of wheat and cotton producing farms in Uzbekistan: a Stochastic Frontier Approach**

### **Problem Statement**

In the recent decades agricultural production in Uzbekistan has been facing problems in relation to environment and agricultural management systems especially with regard to the efficiency and the productivity of agricultural enterprises. The sharp decrease of total factor productivity after independency in 1990 can be traced back to inefficiency and misallocation of resources.<sup>1</sup> Although statistical data shows steadily increasing partial productivity of land and labor since 1996 land degradation, low level of mechanization, partial water scarcity and the use of low-productive labor appear to prove the opposite.

An increase in agricultural production by increasing area is not possible since the irrigated area itself is decreasing and not able to keep up with population growth. Further irrigation expansion due to increasing budget constraints and marginal quality of new reclaimed areas for agricultural use has been exhausted.<sup>2</sup>

Agriculture plays a very important role in the economy of Uzbekistan. Besides the fact majority of population lives in rural areas and roughly one forth of labor force is employed agriculture contributes 20% to the GDP of Uzbekistan.<sup>3</sup>

The productivity of wheat and cotton producing farms can be raised either by adoption of improved production technologies or increasing technical efficiency or both. Due to non-availability of information on farm level efficiency in wheat and cotton production in Uzbekistan this study is designed to provide estimates of technical efficiency and its main determinants using data obtained from a significant sample of farms.

The costs of input resources such as land, labor and capital especially fuel and mechanization in the past years have significantly increased. High population growth and associated decrease of irrigated land per capita require policy makers to provide incentives for farms to obtain maximal output at the given input level (technical efficiency) and furthermore to use them in right proportion in order to produce at the

---

<sup>1</sup> Djalalov (2006), p.122

<sup>2</sup> Lerman (2008), p.483

<sup>3</sup> State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Statistics (2013)

lowest possible cost (allocative efficiency). In the light of the above it is also to be discussed whether “optimization” i.e. increasing of farm size per decree in 2008 were justified and reasonable (scale efficiency). Agricultural production can be increased by increasing above mentioned efficiencies which can be caused as result of economical, social and ecological factors.

The specific feature of the current study is to estimate impact of environmental factors such as water availability and provision, soil quality on technical efficiency level of farms.

### Research Questions

The main question of the study is how efficient are wheat and cotton producing farms in Uzbekistan and what are the main sources of inefficiency?

Other specific questions of the study are:

- How do ecological factors such water scarcity, soil salinity and other farm-specific, farm-size specific and region-specific factors affect farm efficiency?
- What is the pattern of input use and production output for wheat and cotton producing farmers?
- How is the production of state-ordered commodities organized?

### Methodological Approach

Stochastic frontier approach bases on a non-deterministic frontier which imposes the assumption that any deviation from the frontier is the result of random error and error term representing technical efficiency<sup>4</sup>. If we formulate these in stochastic frontier function it can be written as:

$$Y_i = f(X_i, \beta) + \varepsilon_i$$

or

$$Y_i = f(X_i, \beta) + V_i - U_i$$

Where Y- observed output,  $\beta$ - vector of parameters,  $\varepsilon_i$  - error term for observation which consist of two parts in his turn:  $V_i$  - two sided symmetric, normally distributed random error representing usual statistical noise, identical independent and identically distributed; and  $U_i$  – one sided error term representing technical inefficiency.

---

<sup>4</sup> Aigner, Lovell and Schmidt (1977); Meeusen and van den Broeck (1977)

In the current study we are interested in the stochastic frontier of input oriented production function. It is more of importance as the farmers in Uzbekistan are obliged to fulfill fixed state-order quotas for wheat and cotton. Concerning parametric form of production function Cobb-Douglas and Translog are mostly used and well known functions which can be applied within their abilities and limitations.

In order to separate technical efficiency of each decision making unit from composed error term assumption on the distribution of errors representing technical inefficiency should be made. There is a number of error distributions with respect to the one sided error (inefficiency): Half-normal, exponential and truncated distributions are considered as the most used ones in the literature. Jondrow et al. (1982) developed a method for decomposing the total error term for the half-normal case. The expected value of technical inefficiency  $u_i$  conditional on the composed error term  $\varepsilon_i$  is:

$$E(u_i|\varepsilon_i) = \frac{\sigma\lambda}{(1+\lambda^2)} \left[ \frac{\varphi(\varepsilon_i\lambda/\sigma)}{\Phi(-\varepsilon_i\lambda/\sigma)} - \frac{\varepsilon_i\lambda}{\sigma} \right]$$

Where:

$\varphi(\cdot)$  – Density of the standard distribution

$\Phi(\cdot)$  – Cumulative density function

$\lambda = \sigma_u / \sigma_v$

$\sigma = (\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2)^{1/2}$

The objective of conducting a stochastic frontier model is not only to determine technical efficiency scores, but also to investigate the key factors of efficiency differences. In single stage inefficiency effects model proposed by Battese and Coelli<sup>5</sup> inefficiency levels are defined to be exogenous factors' explicit functions. It uses also ML estimation and specified as:

$$\mu_i = \delta_0 + \delta_j Z_{ij}$$

$\mu_i$  – mean technical inefficiency

$Z_{ij}$  – matrix of exogenous variable assumed to have influence the farmers decision

$\delta_j$  – vector of parameters to estimate  $\beta$  and  $\delta$ , together with the variance parameters:

---

<sup>5</sup> Battese, Coelli (1995)

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2 \text{ and } \gamma = \sigma_u^2 / (\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2)$$

Maximum likelihood approach is based on the estimation of  $\beta$  and  $\delta$ , together with the variance parameters  $\sigma^2 = \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2$  and  $\gamma = \sigma_u^2 / (\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2)$

The marginal effect of a  $Z_{ij}$  variable on the technical efficiency is calculated as follows<sup>6</sup>:

$$\frac{\partial TE}{\partial Z_{ij}} = (1 - \gamma) \left( \frac{\phi\left(\frac{\mu_z}{\sigma_z} - \sigma_z\right) e^{-\mu_z + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_z^2}}{\sigma_z \phi\left(\frac{\mu_z}{\sigma_z}\right)} - \frac{\phi\left(\frac{\mu_z}{\sigma_z} - \sigma_z\right) e^{-\mu_z + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_z^2}}{(\sigma_z \phi\left(\frac{\mu_z}{\sigma_z}\right))^2} \right) \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial Z_{ij}}$$

### Expected Results

Preliminary results show that factors experience of farmers, number of workers, car (dummy) positively affect on farm efficiency in cotton production. Age, education and manure seem to have negative effect on efficiency. The negative impact of education can be reasoned by the fact that the most of educated farmers in the surveyed area have another educational background than agricultural sciences.

In wheat production there are few studied factors that could explain the farm inefficiency. They are water scarcity and car (dummy). Matter-of-course water scarcity has negative effect of farm efficiency. Owning car by farmers positively influences farm efficiency.

There are many other factors such soil salinity; distance to the local market, to the field and to main water source, region, family structure – studying their impact currently is in progress. It is expected that generally, distances, soil salinity have negative impact on farm efficiency.

### Literature

1. Aigner, D. J., Lovell, C.A.K., Schmidt P.J. (1977) “Formulation and Estimation of Stochastic Frontier Production Function Models”, Journal of Econometrics, 41, 21-37.

---

<sup>6</sup>Olsen and Henningsen (2011)

2. Battese, G.E. Coelli, T.J. (1995) “A model for technical inefficiency effects in a stochastic frontier production function for panel data”, *Empirical Economics*, 20, 325-332
3. Djalalov S. (2006) A Comparative Analysis of Trends in Agricultural Productivity in Central Asian Transition Economies, in: *Policy Reforms and Agriculture Development in Central Asia, Natural Resource Management and Policy*, 2006, Volume 28, Part II, 109-129.
4. Jondrow, J., Lovell C.A.K., Materov, I.C., Schmidt P. (1982). “On the Estimation of Technical Efficiency in the Stochastic Frontier Production Function Model”, *Journal of Econometrics*, 19, 233-238.
5. Meeusen, W., van den Broeck, J. (1977) “Efficiency Estimation from Cobb-Douglas Production Functions with Composed Error”, *International Economic Review*, 18, 435-444.
6. Olsen, J.V., Henningsen, A. (2011) “Investment Utilization, Adjustment Costs and Technical Efficiency in Danish Pig Farms” FOI Working paper.
7. State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Statistics (2013) *Statistical Yearbook of Uzbekistan 2012*, Tashkent 2013.
8. Lerman, Z. (2008) Agricultural Development in Central Asia: A Survey of Uzbekistan, in: *Eurasian Geography and Economics*, 49, 481-505.