

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

Stata tip 89: Estimating means and percentiles following multiple imputation

Peter A. Lachenbruch Oregon State University Corvallis, OR peter.lachenbruch@oregonstate.edu

1 Introduction

In a statistical analysis, I usually want some basic descriptive statistics such as the mean, standard deviation, extremes, and percentiles. See, for example, Pagano and Gauvreau (2000). Stata conveniently provides these descriptive statistics with the summarize command's detail option. Alternatively, I can obtain percentiles with the centile command. For example, with auto.dta, we have

- . sysuse auto (1978 Automobile Data)
- . summarize price, detail

Price						
	Percentiles	Smallest				
1%	3291	3291				
5%	3748	3299				
10%	3895	3667	Obs	74		
25%	4195	3748	Sum of Wgt.	74		
50%	5006.5		Mean	6165.257		
		Largest	Std. Dev.	2949.496		
75%	6342	13466				
90%	11385	13594	Variance	8699526		
95%	13466	14500	Skewness	1.653434		
99%	15906	15906	Kurtosis	4.819188		

However, if I have missing values, the summarize command is not supported by mi estimate or by the user-written mim command (Royston 2004, 2005a,b, 2007; Royston, Carlin, and White 2009).

2 Finding means and percentiles when missing values are present

For a general multiple-imputation reference, see Stata 11 Multiple-Imputation Reference Manual (2009). By recognizing that a regression with no independent variables estimates the mean, I can use mi estimate: regress to get multiply imputed means. If I wish to get multiply imputed quantiles, I can use mi estimate: qreg or mi estimate: sqreg for this purpose.

P. A. Lachenbruch 497

I now create a dataset with missing values of price:

```
. clonevar newprice = price
. set seed 19670221
. replace newprice = . if runiform() < .4
(32 real changes made, 32 to missing)</pre>
```

The following commands were generated from the multiple-imputation dialog box. I used 20 imputations. Before Stata 11, this could also be done with the user-written commands ice and mim (Royston 2004, 2005a,b, 2007; Royston, Carlin, and White 2009).

```
. mi set mlong
. mi register imputed newprice
(32 m=0 obs. now marked as incomplete)
. mi register regular mpg trunk weight length
. mi impute regress newprice, add(20) rseed(3252010)
Univariate imputation
                                          Imputations =
                                                               20
                                                               20
Linear regression
                                                added =
Imputed: m=1 through m=20
                                              updated =
                                                                0
                               Observations per m
      Variable
                    complete
                                             imputed
                                                            total
                               incomplete
      newprice
                                        32
                                                               74
(complete + incomplete = total; imputed is the minimum across m
 of the number of filled in observations.)
. mi estimate: regress newprice
Multiple-imputation estimates
                                                    Imputations
                                                                                20
Linear regression
                                                    Number of obs
                                                                                74
                                                    Average RVI
                                                                           1.3880
                                                    Complete DF
                                                                               73
                                                                            19.46
                                                             min
                                                                            19.46
                                                             avg
DF adjustment:
                                                                            19.46
                  Small sample
                                                             max
                                                         Ο,
                                                    F(
                                                                  .) =
Within VCE type:
                           OLS
                                                    Prob > F
    newprice
                     Coef.
                             Std. Err.
                                             t
                                                  P>|t|
                                                             [95% Conf. Interval]
       _cons
                  5693.489
                             454.9877
                                          12.51
                                                  0.000
                                                             4742.721
                                                                         6644.258
```

From this output, we see that the estimated mean is 5,693 with a standard error of 455 (rounded up) compared with the complete data value of 6,165 with a standard error of 343 (also rounded up). However, we do not have estimates of quantiles. This could also have been done using mi estimate: mean newprice (the mean command is near the bottom of the estimation command list for mi estimate).

498 Stata tip 89

We can apply the same principle using qreg. For the 10th percentile, type

. mi estimate:	: qreg newprio	ce, quantile(10)				
Multiple-imputation estimates				Impu	tations	=	20
.1 Quantile regression				Numb	er of obs	3 =	74
	_			Aver	age RVI	=	0.2901
				Comp	lete DF	=	73
				DF:	min	=	48.05
					avg	=	48.05
DF adjustment: Small sample					max	=	48.05
				F(0,	.) =	
				Prob	> F	=	•
newprice	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
_cons	3495.635	708.54	4.93	0.000	2071	.058	4920.212

Compare the value of 3,496 with the value of 3,895 from the full data. We can use the simultaneous estimates command for the full set:

. mi	estimate	sqreg newpr	ice, quantil	es(10 25	50 75 90)	reps(20))	
Multiple-imputation estimates Simultaneous quantile regression					-	ations c of obs	=	20 74
DIMUL	Simultaneous quantile regression				Averag		=	0.6085
					Comple	_	=	73
DF adjustment: Small sample				DF:	min	=	23.19	
Dr adjustment. Small sample				ы.	avg	=	26.97	
						max	=	31.65
n	ewprice	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% (Conf.	Interval]
q10								
qio	_cons	3495.635	533.5129	6.55	0.000	2408.4	134	4582.836
q25								
qzo	_cons	4130.037	237.1932	17.41	0.000	3642.4	159	4617.614
q50								
qoo	_cons	5200.238	441.294	11.78	0.000	4292.7	719	6107.757
q75								
410	_cons	6620.232	778.8488	8.50	0.000	5025.	.49	8214.974
q90								
	_cons	8901.985	1417.022	6.28	0.000	5971.9	962	11832.01

3 Comments and cautions

The qreg command does not give the same result as the centile command when you have complete data. This is because the centile command uses one observation, while the qreg command uses a weighted combination of the observations. It will have somewhat shorter confidence intervals, but with large datasets, the difference will be

P. A. Lachenbruch 499

small. A second caution is that comparing two medians can be tricky: the difference of two medians is not the median difference of the distributions. I have found it useful to use percentiles because there is a one-to-one relationship between percentiles if data are transformed. In our case, there is plentiful evidence that **price** is not normally distributed, so it would be good to look for a transformation and impute those values.

This method of using regression commands without an independent variable can provide estimates of quantities that otherwise would be difficult to obtain. For example, it is much faster than finding 20 imputed percentiles and then combining them with Rubin's rules, and it is much less onerous and prone to error.

4 Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by a grant from the Cure JM Foundation.

References

- Pagano, M., and K. Gauvreau. 2000. Principles of Biostatistics. 2nd ed. Belmont, CA: Duxbury.
- Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. Stata Journal 4: 227–241.
- ———. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. Stata Journal 5: 188–201.
- ———. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of ice. Stata Journal 5: 527–536.
- ———. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on interval censoring. Stata Journal 7: 445–464.
- Royston, P., J. B. Carlin, and I. R. White. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: New features for mim. *Stata Journal* 9: 252–264.
- StataCorp. 2009. Stata 11 Multiple-Imputation Reference Manual. College Station, TX: Stata Press.