# Commercial Sweetpotato Production in Mississippi

Dave Parvin

Charles Walden

Benny Graves

Department of Agricultural Economics Mississippi State University P.O. Box 5187 Mississippi State, MS 39762

> parvin@agecon.msstate.edu Phone: 662-325-2045 Fax: 662-325-8777

# Abstract

This report provides an estimate of selected costs incurred in sweetpotato production in Mississippi, 1999. Land, management and general farm overhead costs were not included. Per acre returns above specified costs are estimated at approximately \$1,100.00 per acre.

Keywords: sweetpotatoes, production costs, net returns, cash flow, price sensitivity, equipment, chemicals.

# Commercial Sweetpotato Production In Mississippi

# **Dave Parvin**

Economist and Professor Department of Agricultural Economics MAFES/MSU

# **Charles Walden**

Extension Specialist and Professor Department of Agricultural Economics MSUES

# **Benny Graves**

Branch Director, Plant Pest Division
Bureau of Plant Industries
Mississippi Department of Agriculture and Commerce

#### Foreword

This report is designed to provide necessary planning data to farmers, research and extension staffs, lending agencies, and others in agriculture. Readers are cautioned that returns presented are labeled "**Returns Above Specified Expenses**." A further-edited version of this report is forthcoming in early 2000 as a bulletin of the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station.

# Acknowledgments

Appreciation is expressed to producers who provided information on crop practices used. Acknowledgment is extended to Gail Gillis for providing assistance in the areas of computer applications and data management. Special appreciation is expressed to Debra Price for organizing and typing this manuscript.

Acknowledgment is made to the Mississippi State University Extension Service and the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station staffs for the excellent cooperation that made this report possible.

The mention in this report of any commercial product is for communication purposes only and does not imply its endorsement by MSU-ES or MAFES over other products not named nor does their omission imply they are not satisfactory.

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such 3 opies.

# **Table of Contents**

Page
Foreword i
Acknowledgments
Introduction
Methodology
Budgets for Sweetpotatoes       5         Methods and Procedures       6         Production Practices       6         Machinery       6         Estimates of Direct Costs       6         Estimates of Fixed Costs       8         Estimates of Returns       9
Results
Preplant Tillage       10         Fertilizer       10         Transplant       10         Weed Control       11         Insect Control       11         Harvest       12         Storage       12         Clean, Grade, Pack       13         Per Acre Costs and Returns       13         Income       13         Direct Costs       13         Fixed Costs       14         Returns Above Total Specified Expenses       14         Sensitivity Analysis       14
Limitations 1/

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such&opies.

# **TABLES**

1.	United States Sweetpotato harvested acres, selected states, 1978-1998 16
2.	United States per capita consumption of sweetpotatoes, 1978-1998
3.	Sweetpotato average yields, selected states and United States, 1978-1998 18
4.	Textural and drainage distribution of soils within the Yazoo-Mississippi  Delta counties
5.	Estimated resource use and costs for field operations, per acre, Sweetpotato, 2-row Harvester, Custom Pack, 1999
6.	Estimated costs and returns, per acre, Sweetpotato, 2-row Harvester, Custom Pack, Mississippi, 1999
7.	Estimated returns for various price/yield combinations, per acre, Sweetpotato, 2-row Harvester, Custom Pack, Mississippi, 1999
A.	PPENDIX TABLES
1.	Tractors: estimated useful life, annual use, purchase price, repair cost, fuel consumption rate, and direct and fixed cost per hour, Mississippi, 1999 24
2.	Self-propelled machines: estimated performance rate, useful life, annual use, purchase price, repair cost, fuel consumption rate, and direct and fixed cost per hour and per acre, Mississippi, 1999
3.	Implements: estimated performance rate, useful life, annual use, purchase price, repair cost, and direct and fixed cost per hour and per acre, Mississippi, 1999 26
4.	Operating inputs: estimated prices, Mississippi, 1999
	Estimated fuel prices, labor wage rates, and interest rates, Mississippi, 1999 29 terature Cited

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

# Commercial Sweetpotato Production In Mississippi

#### INTRODUCTION

U.S. growers harvested sweetpotatoes from 83,200 acres in 1998 (Table 1).

North Carolina and Louisiana, the top two sweetpotato producing states, accounted for 63% of the 1998 acreage. In 1983, California, Texas, Alabama, and Mississippi ranked third through sixth in acreage. Mississippi became fifth in 1985, fourth in 1994, and third in 1998. Based on current sweetpotato insect trapping information, Mississippi's 1999 planted acreage is estimated at 10,600 acres.

U.S. sweetpotato acreage has been decreasing since 1970. During 1978-82, harvested acres averaged 110,720 (33% above the average for 1994-1998).

Sweetpotatoes are usually grown as part of a vegetable and field crop enterprise mix. In North Carolina, several of the largest sweetpotato growers are primarily tobacco producers. In Louisiana, sweetpotato farms frequently produce cotton, soybeans, corn, and rice.

About 60 to 65 % of sweetpotatoes sold for human consumption are to the fresh market; 35 to 40% move into the processed market. Following World War II, per capita consumption of sweetpotatoes began a slow downward trend which continued through the 1980's. Per capita use stabilized at about 4 pounds (fresh weight) in the early 1990's (Table 2) and may be trending slightly upward since 1993.

The marketing season price pattern for sweetpotatoes is stable compared with

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such2opies.

prices of many vegetables that are highly perishable. Prices for the new marketing year (beginning September 1) become established during September and October, when production prospects become known. They remain relatively flat or rise slowly through May or June with peaks in late November or December since sweetpotatoes are largely perceived as a holiday food [Thanksgiving, Christmas, New Year, and Easter are the periods of greatest consumption]. Prices sometimes rise sharply in July and August as storage stocks become depleted.

U.S. sweetpotato yields for selected states are given in Table 3. Average yields indicate that half the acreage and approximately half of the producers experience higher yields. None of the Mississippi producers surveyed as part of this study reported average yields as low as the estimates of average yield listed in Table 3 for Mississippi's acreage.

Worldwide, the U.S. ranks sixth in annual production [5, 18]. Asia and Africa account for 95% of the world's sweetpotatoes. China plants 16 million acres (compared to the U.S.'s 1998 acreage of approximately 83,000).

Sweetpotatoes can be stored 10-12 months with proper curing and storage conditions [14, 18]. In the U.S., fresh and processed (primarily canned) sweetpotatoes are available year round. The Center for Science in the Public Interest [7] ranks sweetpotatoes as the most nutritious vegetable - over twice as nutritious as the next most nutritious vegetable, the Irish potato. Per capita consumption of the Irish potato is about 126 pounds compared to approximately 4.0 pounds for the sweetpotato.

Estimates of Mississippi's sweetpotato acreage are available since 1869.

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such 3 opies.

Mississippi's highest acreage occurred in 1934 with 103,000 acres. Acreage trended downward after 1934, dropping below 40,000 in 1948, and below 20,000 in 1958. From 1973-1998 it remained less than 10,000 acres, with the lowest acreage occurring in 1989 at 3,000. Since 1989 Mississippi's acreage has shown a strong positive trend, with 1998 acreage 3.3 times larger than 1989 acreage.

Currently, commercial sweetpotato production in Mississippi is centered primarily in the Vardaman area, in Calhoun and Chickasaw counties in the north-central region of the state. However, sweetpotatoes are grown commercially in more than half of Mississippi's counties [17]. They can be grown throughout the state since all areas provide the necessary (150) frost-free days. However, infestation by the sweetpotato weevil has led to a quarantine of much of the state south of Interstate 20.

When commercial sweetpotato production is defined as operations larger than four acres, sweetpotatoes are grown commercially in less than one-third of Mississippi's counties. Sweetpotatoes produced in sweetpotato weevil infested areas have market restrictions and additional insect control costs not incurred in weevil free areas of the state.

The availability of additional acreage in the Vardaman area with soils suitable for sweetpotato production will allow continued expansion in that area. However, the Federal Agricultural Improvement Act (FAIR) of 1996, and the current low price for cotton, is causing some Mississippi cotton producers, especially in the Yazoo-Mississippi Delta, to consider sweetpotatoes as an alternative crop. The Delta region is large with a

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such4opies.

high percentage of suitable land irrigated (Table 4). Soils groups 1 and 2, Mississippi's best cotton soils, thought to be well suited for sweetpotato production, and total more than 600,000 acres, with more than 50% irrigated..

Rapid expansion in the Delta could have a disastrous impact on the price of sweetpotatoes. However, the Delta currently has very limited storage, cleaning, and packing capacity, and rapid expansion will not be possible until additional facilities are constructed. But, moderate expansion is expected in the Delta in the short-run.

A return of per capita consumption from the current (1996-97) level of 4.6 pounds per year (Table 2) to the 1982 level of 5.5 would support a 16% increase in production (approximately 13,000 acres nationally) without exerting pressure on prices. Additionally, because of the nutritional value of sweetpotatoes and current consumer interest in a healthy diet, etc., an effective advertising effort by the industry could dramatically shift the demand curve to the right.

# **METHODOLOGY**

The purpose of this report is to provide an overview of production practices, and estimates of costs and returns for sweetpotato production in Mississippi for 1999. Due to the detailed nature of the cost computations, a computerized budget generator procedure was employed. The microcomputer enterprise budget generator developed at Mississippi State University [10, 16] was utilized because its procedure(s) for computational purposes

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such 5 copies.

and output formats (the budget tables) are widely accepted.<sup>1</sup>

The Department of Agricultural Economics at Mississippi State University routinely publishes budgets for most major crops by regions of the state (for example, see [9, 12]). These publications contain information on tractors and towed equipment, such as performance rate (hours/acre to complete a task), purchase price, useful life, etc., necessary to compute direct and fixed cost per acre. They also include input prices for fertilizers, herbicides, insecticides, etc. The Department's most current estimates of these parameters were used in this report. Items unique to sweetpotato production were estimated by the authors by surveying producers, machinery and input dealers, etc., in the Vardaman area. A complete listing of the items used in this report are found in Appendix Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

# **Budgets for Sweetpotatoes**

This publication provides economic and technical information in the form of enterprise budgets for sweetpotatoes produced by Mississippi farmers. The purpose of this section is to present the methods and procedures used to calculate costs and returns.

Enterprise budgets represent a type of information that can be used by a wide variety of individuals in making decisions. They are used:

- by farmers for planning,
- by extension personnel in providing educational programs to farmers,

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such@opies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This section was developed from [9, 10, 12, 15, 16]. Formulas and much of the discussion pulled directly from [9].

- by lenders as a basis for credit, and
- to provide basic data for research.

Enterprise budgets should be prepared with a specific objective in mind. The budgets in this report were prepared to provide general information for several different uses. They provide information concerning general levels of costs and returns for a "representative" farm operation. These cost and return estimates will need to be adjusted for specific situations. Most users should think of these budgets as a first approximation and then make appropriate adjustments for their own operations, using the "Your Farm" column provided, to add, delete, or change costs or incomes to reflect their own specific situations.

#### **Methods and Procedures**

<u>Production Practices.</u> - The production practices listed in each budget represent those practices that producers are using. Data collected from producers were used as the basis for selecting the practices included. Quantities of materials are based on survey data from producers.

Machinery. - Published data and information from machinery dealers form the basis for machinery prices. A performance rate reflects the time required to perform a given task or operation and is expressed as hours per acre. The estimated life of machinery is based on using the equipment until it no longer has value. Repairs and maintenance as a percentage of new cost are estimated for the life of the equipment and include oil and lubricants.

Estimates of Direct Costs. - Direct costs include estimated costs of repairs and

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such?copies.

maintenance (R&M) for all machinery and include fuel costs for powered machinery (Appendix Tables 1 and 2). Direct costs are estimated on an hourly basis and are then converted to a per-acre basis using the performance rate for the particular operation.

R&M costs for towed equipment and powered equipment are estimated as follows:

$$RPH = \frac{RLC \times RP}{THL}$$

$$RPA = RPH \times PR$$

where:

RPH = R&M cost per hour of use

RLC = Replacement cost of machine

RP = R&M percentage (percent of RLC)

THL = Total hours of machine life

RPA = R&M cost per acre

PR = Performance rate

Direct costs include an estimate of fuel cost based on average fuel consumption per hour of use for the power unit. Other components of direct costs include quantities of materials used in production multiplied by the price per unit of these inputs, custom rates, hourly wage rates, and interest charges on short-term capital (Appendix Tables 4 and 5).

The labor wage rate per hour includes social security, accident, and unemployment

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such&opies.

insurance, and some perquisites [8]. Labor costs are routinely estimated for four labor categories: operator labor, hand labor, irrigation labor, and unallocated labor. Operator labor and hand labor represent estimates of labor required to perform in-field tasks.

Operator labor is that labor required to operate all power-driven equipment. Irrigation labor is used to perform tasks associated with an irrigation system. Unallocated (or overhead) labor is an estimate of labor that is not used directly in producing the enterprise. Its cost is estimated as a percentage of operator labor [8]. Special labor represents estimates of labor that are of particular interest to users of sweetpotato budgets.

Interest on operating capital is determined by using a short-term interest rate obtained from agricultural lenders and making a charge against capital outflows as the production process takes place. Interest is accumulated until the crop is harvested.

Estimates of Fixed Costs. - Annual fixed cost estimates for machinery are based on a budgeting technique which computes the annual capital recovery charge [2, p. 143]. When a combination of machines or equipment is required to perform a single operation, the total fixed cost per acre for all equipment used in the operation is estimated. The fixed cost of machinery ownership is calculated by first computing the capital recovery factor and then using it to estimate the annual capital recovery charge.

$$CRF = \frac{IIR}{1 - (1 + IIR)^{-TYL}}$$

where:

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such@opies.

CRF = Capital recovery factor

IIR = Intermediate-term interest rate

TYL = Total years of life

$$CRCPY = [(RLC - SV) \times CRF] + (SV \times IIR)$$

where:

CRCPY = Capital recovery charge per year

RLC = Replacement cost

SV = Salvage value (at end of useful life)

Capital recovery change per year (CRCPY) is then converted to its per-hour (CRCPH) and per-acre (CRCRA) equivalent values:

$$CRCPH = \frac{CRCPY}{HAU}$$

$$CRCPA = CRCPH \times PR$$

where:

CRCPH = Capital recovery charge per hour

HAU = Hours of annual use

CRCPA = Capital recovery charge per acre

PR = Performance rate

<u>Estimates of Returns.</u> - Crop yields used in the budgets, which are greater than the regional average, are based on producer surveys. To estimate returns, a price for

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all suchl@opies.

sweetpotatoes must be used. Output prices used are based on recent prices.

To evaluate the sensitivity of net returns to price variability, returns are computed at three different price levels. The "Average Price" is the expected price used in the basic budget tables. The "High Price" is 25% above the "Average Price" and the "Low Price" is 25% below the "Average Price."

A "sensitivity" table is presented to illustrate the effects of alternate levels of yields and prices on net returns. The budgeted yield and the budgeted price are used as base values (100%). Yields are then varied from 50 to 150% of the base yield while prices are varied from 75 to 125% of the base price. Net returns are computed for each combination of yield and price.

#### **RESULTS**

Estimated resource use and costs per acre for sweetpotato production are presented in Table 5. Per acre costs total \$2,231.85 including \$84.42 for interest on operating capital.

Some growers custom apply selected materials by air. Most apply their own spray materials by ground. The budgets reflect ground application of all spray materials with a tractor mounted boom.

# **Preplant Tillage**

Some growers hip in the fall after disking. Most growers start with a burndown in the spring. The budget shows a burndown spray of Round-Up, followed by two diskings (see column labeled "times over"). Next, fertilizer is custom applied and two

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all suchleopies.

trips with a hipper form the beds.

#### **Fertilizer**

Mississippi State University recommends that fertilizer rates be based on a soil test. A 1:2:3 (N:P:K) ratio appears best for most sweetpotato fields in north central Mississippi. However, growers appear to prefer a 1:4:6 ratio. The budget reflects 50-200-300 pounds of liquid N-P-K per acre, supplemented with 15 pounds of sulfur and one pound of boron per acre. The fertilizer is custom blended and custom applied with ground equipment.

## **Transplant**

Slips are generally planted 12-16 inches apart in 38, 40, or 44 inch rows. Most growers produce their own transplants. The authors have not identified all the technical parameters associated with plant beds. There is a limited local market for slips. Local prices range from \$10 to \$30 per thousand (depending on availability and time of transplant season). The budget assumes 11,000 slips priced at \$16.50 per thousand.

Costs reflect a 4-row transplanter towed by a 75 hp. tractor. The transplanter requires a minimum of 10 people (2 per row on the transplanter and 2 walking). An acre requires 0.600 hours. Hence, "transplant labor" is 6 hours per acre at a minimum. The budget shows 10 hours. The transplant operation is supported by a towed utility trailer and water trailer. The operation requires three tractors, and the budget indicates three tractor drivers. A "crate" is a six-gallon milk carton. It is used to handle or store slips. A crate holds 1,000 slips. The budget assumes that two crates are replaced per acre per

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all suchl2opies.

year.

#### **Weed Control**

Table 5 lists a weed control program comprised of two herbicide applications and two cultivations. Specifically it is: 2.0 pints of Command 4EC, followed by two cultivations and 1.0 pint of Poast. Some growers are able to delete the second herbicide application.

#### **Insect Control**

The insect control program begins with 4.0 pints of Lorsban mixed with the fertilizer and hipped into the beds. Thiodan (1.0 pint) is tank-mixed with the initial herbicide application (Command 4EC). The budgets summarize a foliar spray program of four alternating applications of Imidan and Penncap-M, followed by an application of SpinTor. Specifically they are:

- 1) 1.3 pounds of Imidan,
- 2) 2.0 pints of Penncap-M,
- 3) 1.3 pounds of Imidan,
- 4) 2.0 pints of Penncap-M, and
- 5) 6.0 ounces of SpinTor.

Some growers do not utilize the initial four foliar sprays. Some apply their final application to less than 100 percent of their acreage.

#### Harvest

The plants are de-vined with a shredder prior to harvest. Harvesting requires

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all suchl 3 opies.

2.0 hours per acre. The 2-row harvester is towed by a 75 hp tractor and requires 12 laborers (24 hours per acre). The budget indicates that the harvester is supported by a utility trailer, three trucks, and a forklift (Bobcat front-end loader). Many growers run more than one harvester (digger) in a group to spread the costs associated with the utility trailer, trucks, and forklift. To approximate this "gain in efficiency", the budget reflects 0.50 "times over" for the trucks so that only 50 percent of their cost is assigned to an acre.

A "bin" is a wooden box which holds 1,000 pounds of sweetpotatoes. The budget assumes that two per acre are replaced each year.

## **Storage**

After harvest, most sweetpotatoes to be sold graded or by the 40-pound box are stored. Culls or canners (25% of yield) are sold fresh weight (high moisture - green weight) directly from the field. Some graded or boxed sweetpotatoes are sold uncured (high moisture with little or no storage time). The budget reflects storage cost (\$1.00 per cwt.) for 65% of the yield.

Sweetpotatoes suffer a 2-5% weight loss during curing. Weight loss during storage runs 1-3% per month (0.5-1.5% with supplemental humidification [14]). Budget yields represent product sold. They reflect losses in curing, storage, grading, etc.

# Clean, Grade, Pack

The budget assumes custom cleaning, grading, and packing at a charge of \$1.75 per box. Additionally, the grower is charged for the box (\$1.10 per box). The budgeted yield (discussed below) requires 330 boxes. When yield changes, the number of

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all suchleopies.

boxes per acre is adjusted, and the per acre change for "clean, grade, pack" is recalculated for the new yield.

#### **Per Acre Costs and Returns**

Estimated per acre costs and returns are summarized in Table 6.

Income. - Expected budget yield per acre is 172 hundredweight. It is made up of 25% culls, 50% No. 1's, 15% No. 2's, and 10% Jumbos. Culls are priced per hundredweight and the balance per 40-pound box. Culls are assigned an expected budget price of \$3.00/cwt. No. 1's are given an expected budget price of \$12.00 per box. No. 2's are priced at half of No. 1's and Jumbos are priced at \$1.00 per box more than No. 2's.

Estimated total income is \$3,435.00 per acre.

<u>Direct costs.</u> - The high cost items are:

Item	\$/Acre
Fertilizer	125.00
Slips	181.50
Boxes	363.00
Custom Pack	577.50
Transplant and Harvest Labor	288.75

Herbicide and insecticide costs are \$34.90 and \$75.87 per acre, respectively. Total direct expenses are estimated at \$2,229.30.

<u>Fixed costs.</u> - Towed equipment, tractors, and trucks are the components of

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all suchlopies.

fixed expenses. Total fixed expenses sum to \$86.98 per acre.

Returns above total specified expenses. - In the budgets, direct and fixed expenses sum to total specified expenses. Returns above total specified expenses are estimated at \$1,118.73 per acre. Profits are returns above **all** expenses. Cost items not included are land, management, and general farm overhead. A charge of \$250.00 per acre (Land - \$85.00, Management - \$90.00, General farm overhead - \$75.00) would be reasonable for large sweetpotato farms in north central Mississippi. Then profits, or returns to risk, would be approximately \$870.00 per acre.

### **Sensitivity Analysis**

Table 7 reports estimated returns for a range of yield and price combinations. Returns are negative for only the lowest yields <u>and</u> lowest prices. If yield is 80% of expected and price is 90% of expected, per acre returns above total specified expenses are \$407.99. Or, more than enough to cover the land, management, general farm overhead cost.

#### **LIMITATIONS**

Sweetpotato farming operations can have three components: plant beds, production, and packing sheds. Plant beds produce the slips for transplanting. Future research will address the detailed cost of plant beds.

Packing sheds store, cure, clean, grade, and pack. Climate controlled storage was observed on some farms. Often, storage buildings were physically separate from the facilities utilized to clean, grade, and pack. Future research will address the costs

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all suchl 6 opies.

associated with packing sheds. Negative horizontal ventilation (NHV) curing and storage facilities [1, 3, 4] may be more efficient than conventional systems since field or pallet bins are not handled during storage/curing and individual storage compartments do not require cooling, etc., when not in use. Future studies should address, in greater detail, the costs associated with existing packing sheds and provide cost data and analysis on packing sheds incorporating NHV systems.

Technical parameters (prices of specialized equipment, length of life, repair costs, and performance rates) associated with sweetpotato production systems need additional study, especially in the area of support personnel and equipment at harvest. Also, hauling costs from the field to storage location(s) and from detached storage locations to packing sheds need additional study.

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all suchlopies.

Table 1. United States sweetpotato harvested acres, selected states, 1978-1998.

Year	North	Louisiana	California	Texas	Alabama	Mississippi	U.S.
	Carolina						Total
1978	37,000	28,000		9,500	5,500	4,700	112,200
1979	40,000	27,000		7,900	5,700	4,700	114,200
1980	37,000	25,000		6,500	5,300	4,600	102,000
1981	39,000	26,000		7,800	5,400	5,200	109,800
1982	44,000	25,000		7,200	5,500	5,000	115,400
1983	37,000	24,000		7,100	4,900	4,700	102,400
1984	38,000	23,000		7,300	5,900	4,800	102,900
1985	40,000	21,000		7,700	5,400	5,500	103,300
1986	34,000	20,000		6,600	4,700	5,200	90,800
1987	35,000	19,000	6,600	7,300	4,000	4,500	88,900
1988	34,000	17,000	7,100	7,400	4,100	3,500	85,500
1989	34,000	18,000	8,300	7,000	3,900	3,000	86,000
1990	34,000	21,000	8,300	6,200	4,900	3,500	89,500
1991	30,000	16,000	8,200	5,500	4,700	3,500	77,800
1992	35,000	16,000	9,000	5,500	4,900	4,000	82,400
1993	32,000	16,500	8,300	6,000	4,400	5,500	80,200
1994	34,000	19,000	8,200	5,400	4,200	5,500	82,800
1995	32,000	21,000	9,600	5,200	4,100	5,500	83,600
1996	31,000	21,000	9,600	5,500	4,200	8,100	84,800
1997	31,000	20,000	9,700	5,800	3,600	8,400	83,300
1998	32,000	20,000	9,100	6,000	3,700	9,700	83,200

Source: [11]

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all suchl & opies.

Table 2. United States per capita consumption of sweetpotatoes, 1978-1998.

Year	Pounds
1978	4.9
1979	5.1
1980	4.4
1981	4.7
1982	5.5
1983	4.6
1984	4.9
1985	5.4
1986	4.4
1987	4.4
1988	4.1
1989	4.1
1990	4.6
1991	4.0
1992	4.3
1993	3.9
1994	4.7
1995	4.5
1996	4.6
1997	4.6

Source: [11]

Table 3. Sweetpotato average yields<sup>1</sup>, selected states and United States, 1978-1998.

Year	North	Louisiana	California	Texas	Alabama	Mississippi	U.S.
	Carolina						Average
1978	130	95		110	100	95	117
1979	120	95		130	110	95	117
1980	115	85		80	100	90	107
1981	120	100		95	115	95	117
1982	135	100		135	120	100	129
1983	120	95		120	105	95	118
1984	135	105		110	115	105	125
1985	150	120		130	120	120	141
1986	150	125		120	110	100	136
1987	130	130	205	115	90	110	131
1988	130	145	170	70	115	100	128
1989	120	160	175	90	120	95	132
1990	145	160	175	60	120	120	141
1991	135	150	185	140	145	140	144
1992	120	170	205	140	165	130	146
1993	130	125	210	150	160	120	138
1994	155	160	205	155	190	170	162
1995	150	150	200	125	165	150	154
1996	140	160	205	135	170	160	159
1997	160	170	205	155	150	130	162
1998	170	100	205	135	170	140	

<sup>1</sup>cwt per acre Source: [11]

Table 4. Textural and drainage distribution of soils within the Yazoo-Mississippi Delta counties<sup>1</sup>.

Soils Group	Texture	Soil Drainage Class <sup>2</sup>	Percent of Delta	Acres	Cumulative Acres	
1	Sandy Loam	WD	4.72	182,423	182,423	
2	Silt Loam	MWD	12.40	479,248	661,671	
3	Silty Clay Loam	SPD	9.82	379,533	1,041,204	
4	Silty Clay Loam	PD	2.12	81,936	1,123,140	
5	Silty Clay	PD	6.29	243,102	1,366,242	
6	Clay	VPD	53.25	2,058,059	3,424,301	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ten all Delta counties and the Delta portion of Tallahatchie.

Source: [13]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>WD,MWD,SPD,PD and VPD represent *well*, *moderately well*, *somewhat poor*, *poor*, and *very poor* internal and surface drainage, respectively.

Table 5. Estimated resource use and costs for field operations, per acre, sweetpotato, 2-row Harvester, Custom Pack, Mississippi, 1999.

OPERATION/	SIZE/	TRACTOR	PERF	TIMES		TRACTO		EQUIP	COST	ALLOC	LABOR	OPERA	ATING IN		TOTAL
OPERATION/ OPERATING INPUT	UNIT	SIZE	RATE		MTH	DIRECT	FIXED	DIRECT	FIXED	HOURS	COST	AMOUNT	PRICE	COST	COST
							dol	lars			dollars			dollars-	
Trapping Spray (Broadcast)	acre 27'	50 hp	0.061	1.00	Apr Apr	0.22	0.29	0.14	0.20	0.092	0.72	1.0000	0.50	0.50	0.50 1.57
Roundup Ultra 4SL	pt 14'	FO 10	0 124	2 00	7	0.97	1 07	0.07	1 72	0 260	2.23	1.0000	5.68	5.68	5.68 7.06
Disk Harrow Custom Spread(Truck)		50 hp	0.134	2.00	Apr Apr	0.97	1.27	0.87	1.73	0.268	2.23	1.0000	4.00	4.00	4.00
Fert 5-20-30 +S+B Lorsban 4E	cwt pt			1.00	API							10.0000	12.50 5.57	125.00	125.00
Disk Bed (Hipper)	4R-40	50 hp	0.141	2.00	May	1.02	1.33	1.12	2.06	0.282	2.34	4.0000	3.37	22.20	7.88
Trailer utility	10 ft	50 hp	0.600		May	2.17	2.84	0.25	1.18	0.600	4.99				11.41
sweetpotato slips	thoud	_			_							11.0000	16.50	181.50	181.50
Trailer water	10 ft	50 hp	0.600	1.00	May	2.17	2.84	0.58	2.28	0.600	4.99				12.84
Transplanter	4 row	75 hp	0.600	1.00	May	3.17	4.05	0.79	3.29	0.600	4.99	10 0000	F 50	75 00	16.28
Transplant labor Crate	hr each											10.0000	7.50 6.00	75.00 12.00	75.00 12.00
Spray (Broadcast)	27'	50 hp	0 061	1.00	May	0.22	0.29	0.14	0.20	0.092	0.72	2.0000	6.00	12.00	1.57
Command 4EC	pt	30 115	0.001	1.00	ray	0.22	0.25	0.11	0.20	0.002	0.72	2.0000	9.70	19.40	19.40
Thiodan 3 EC	qt											1.0000	9.09	9.09	9.09
Ditcher		50 hp	0.020		May	0.07	0.09	0.01	0.04	0.020	0.17				0.38
Spray (Broadcast) Imidan 50-WP	27' lb	50 hp	0.061	1.00	May	0.22	0.29	0.14	0.20	0.092	0.72	1.3000	5.90	7.67	1.57 7.67
Cultivate (Early)	4R-40	50 hp	0.190	1.00	May	0.69	0.90	0.36	0.76	0.190	1.58				4.28
Ditcher		50 hp	0.020		May	0.07	0.09	0.01	0.04	0.020	0.17				0.38
Spray (Broadcast) Penncap-M 2F	27' pt	50 hp	0.061		Jun	0.22	0.29	0.14	0.20	0.092	0.72	2.0000	2.79	5.58	1.57 5.58
Spray (Broadcast) Imidan 50-WP	27' lb	50 hp	0.061		Jun	0.22	0.29	0.14	0.20	0.092	0.72	1.3000	5.90	7.67	1.57 7.67
Cultivate (Late)	4R-40	50 hp	0.128		Jun	0.46	0.61	0.24	0.51	0.128	1.06				2.88
Ditcher Spray (Broadcast)	27'	50 hp 50 hp	0.020		Jun Jul	0.07 0.22	0.09 0.29	0.01	0.04	0.020	0.17 0.72				0.38
Penncap-M 2F	pt	30 Hp	0.001	1.00	oui	0.22	0.23	0.14	0.20	0.092	0.72	2.0000	2.79	5.58	5.58
Poast	pt											1.0000	9.82	9.82	9.82
Spray (Broadcast)	27'	50 hp	0.061	1.00	Jul	0.22	0.29	0.14	0.20	0.092	0.72				1.57
SpinTor	OZ											6.0000	3.00	18.00	18.00
Shredder	4 row	50 hp	0.230	1.00	Sep	0.83	1.09	0.05	1.85	0.230	1.91				5.68
Trailer utility Harvest labor	10 ft hr	50 hp	0.600	1.00	Sep	2.17	2.84	0.25	1.18	0.600	4.99	1.0000	7.50	7.50	11.41
Truck	1/2 ton		1.000	0.50	Sep			1.54	2.11	0.500	4.16	1.0000	7.50	7.50	7.80
Truck	2 ton		1.000	0.50	Sep			2.22	4.43	0.500	4.16				10.80
Harvest labor	hr											3.5000	7.50	26.25	26.25
Harvester towed	2 row	75 hp	2.000	1.00	Sep	10.55	13.51	9.00	19.38	2.000	16.62				69.06
Harvest labor	hr											24.0000	7.50	180.00	180.00
Bin	each		1 000	1 00	_			0 10	6 50	1 000	0 01	2.0000	42.00	84.00	84.00
Fork lift Truck	2 ton		1.000		Sep Sep			2.48	6.72 4.43	1.000	8.31 4.16				17.51 10.80
Storage	cwt		1.000	1.00	Sep			2.22	7.73	0.500	4.10	112.0000	1.00	112.00	112.00
Clean,grade,pack	box			1.00	Sep							330.0000	1.75	577.50	577.50
Box	each			1.00	СР							330.0000	1.10	363.00	363.00
Broker	box			1.00	Sep							330.0000	0.50	165.00	165.00
TOTALS INTEREST ON OPERATING	C CADITAI					25.94	33.57	22.93	53.41	8.698	71.99			2024.02	2231.85 84.42

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Table 6. Estimated costs and returns per acre, sweetpotato, 2-row Harvester, Custom Pack, Mississippi, 1999.

ITEM	UNIT	PRICE	QUANTITY	AMOUNT	YOUR FARM
	01111		QUANTITI		TOOK PARM
INCOME		dollars		dollars	
Sweetpotato #1	box	12.00	215.0000	2580.00	
Sweetpotato #2	box	6.00	70.0000	420.00	
Sweetpotato -Jumbo	box	7.00	45.0000	315.00	
Sweetpotato -cull	cwt	3.00	40.0000	120.00	
TOTAL INCOME				3435.00	
DIRECT EXPENSES FERTILIZERS					
Fert 5-20-30 +S+B HERBICIDES	cwt	12.50	10.0000	125.00	
Roundup Ultra 4SL	pt	5.68	1.0000	5.68	
Command 4EC	pt	9.70	2.0000	19.40	
Poast	pt	9.82	1.0000	9.82	
INSECTICIDES					
Lorsban 4E	pt	5.57	4.0000	22.28	
Thiodan 3 EC	qt	9.09	1.0000	9.09	
Imidan 50-WP	lb	5.90	2.6000	15.34	
Penncap-M 2F	pt oz	2.79	4.0000 6.0000	11.16 18.00	
SpinTor SEED/PLANTS	OZ	3.00	6.0000	18.00	
sweetpotato slips FEES	thoud	16.50	11.0000	181.50	
Trapping	acre	0.50	1.0000	0.50	
Broker	box	0.50	330.0000	165.00	
CUSTOM FERT/LIME					
Custom Spread(Truck) CRATES,BINS,BOXES	appl	4.00	1.0000	4.00	
Crate	each	6.00	2.0000	12.00	
Bin	each	42.00	2.0000	84.00	
Box	each	1.10	330.0000	363.00	
SPECIAL LABOR					
Transplant labor	hr	7.50	10.0000	75.00	
Harvest labor	hr	7.50	28.5000	213.75	
CUSTOM PACK					
Clean, grade, pack	box	1.75	330.0000	577.50	
STORAGE Storage	cwt	1.00	112.0000	112.00	
OPERATOR LABOR	1	0 21	F 00F0	40 74	
Tractors	hour	8.31	5.9850	49.74	
Self-Propelled Eq. HAND LABOR	hour	8.31	2.5000	20.78	
Implements DIESEL FUEL	hour	6.91	0.2135	1.48	
Tractors	gal	0.65	18.7490	12.19	
Self-Propelled Eq.	gal	0.65	6.4500	4.19	
REPAIR & MAINTENANCE	541	0.03	0.1500	1.17	
Implements	acre	14.46	1.0000	14.46	
Tractors	acre	13.75	1.0000	13.75	
Self-Propelled Eq.	acre	4.27	1.0000	4.27	
INTEREST ON OP. CAP.	acre	84.42	1.0000	84.42	
TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES RETURNS ABOVE DIRECT EXP	ENSES			2229.30 1205.70	
FIXED EXPENSES					
Implements	2020	35.73	1.0000	35.73	
Tractors	acre acre	33.57	1.0000	33.57	
Self-Propelled Eq.	acre	17.68	1.0000	17.68	
beir froperied Eq.	acre	17.00	1.0000		
TOTAL FIXED EXPENSES				86.98	
TOTAL SPECIFIED EXPENSES				2316.27	
RETURNS ABOVE TOTAL SPEC	IFIED :	EXPENSES		1118.73	

Note: Cost of production estimates are based on last year's input prices.

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such24opies.

Table 7. Estimated returns for various price/yield combinations, per acre, sweetpotato,2-row Harvester, Custom Pack, Mississippi, 1999.

PRODUCT			75				1	DDODITOR 1	DDTCE				
Sweetpo Sweetpo Sweetpo Sweetpo	tato tato tato -d tato -d	#1 #2 Jumbo cull	9.00 4.50 5.25 2.25	9.60 4.80 5.60 2.40	10.20 5.10 5.95 2.55	10.80 5.40 6.30 2.70	11.40 5.70 6.65 2.85	12.00 6.00 7.00 3.00	12.60 6.30 7.35 3.15	13.20 6.60 7.70 3.30	13.80 6.90 8.05 3.45	14.40 7.20 8.40 3.60	15.00 7.50 8.75 3.75
50	35.00 22.50		-313.51 -400.49										
60	42.00 27.00	0 box 0 box 0 box 0 cwt	-181.42 -268.40	-78.37 -165.35	24.68 -62.30	127.73 40.75	230.78 143.80	333.83 246.85	436.88 349.90	539.93 452.95	642.98 556.00	746.03 659.05	849.08 762.10
70	49.00 31.50	0 box 0 box 0 box 0 cwt	-49.32 -136.30									1032.70 945.72	
80	56.00 36.00	0 box 0 box 0 box 0 cwt										1319.37 1232.39	
90	63.00 40.50	0 box 0 box 0 box 0 cwt	214.86 127.88	369.44 282.46	524.01 437.03	678.59 591.61	833.16 746.18	987.74 900.76	1142.31 1055.33	1296.89 1209.91	1451.46 1364.48	1606.04 1519.06	1760.61 1673.63
100	70.00	0 box 0 box 0 box 0 cwt										1892.70 1805.73	
110	77.00 49.50	0 box 0 box 0 box 0 cwt										2179.37 2092.39	
120	84.00 54.00	0 box 0 box 0 box 0 cwt	611.14 524.16									2466.04 2379.06	
130	91.00 58.50	0 box 0 box 0 box 0 cwt										2752.71 2665.73	
140	98.00 63.00	0 box 0 box 0 box 0 cwt	875.33 788.35									3039.38 2952.40	
150	105.00	0 box 0 box 0 box 0 cwt	1007.42 920.44										

The top number in each cell is Returns Above Direct Expenses.

The bottom number in each cell is Returns Above Total Specified Expenses.

Note: Cost of production estimates are based on last year's input prices.

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such26opies.

# **APPENDIX**

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such26opies.

Appendix Table 1. Tractors: estimated useful life, annual use, purchase price, repair cost, fuel consumption rate, and direct and fixed cost per hour, Mississippi, 1999.

ITEM NAME	SIZE	USEFUL LIFE	ANNUAL USE	PURCHASE PRICE	REPAIR COST	FUEL CONS RATE	DIRECT COST	FIXED COST
		years	hours	dollars	percent	/hour	\$/hr	\$/hr
Tractor 40-59 hp	50 hp	14	600	21,700	75	2.57	3.61	4.73
Tractor 60-89 hp	75 hp	14	600	31,000	75	3.86	5.28	6.76
Tractor 90-119 hp	105 hp	14	600	50,200	60	5.41	7.10	10.94
Tractor 120-139 hp	130 hp	14	600	67,200	60	6.69	9.15	14.64
Tractor 140-159 hp	150 hp	14	600	74,300	60	7.72	10.33	16.19
Tractor 160-179 hp	170 hp	14	600	79,400	60	8.75	11.36	17.30
Tractor 180-199 hp	190 hp	14	600	88,000	60	9.78	12.64	19.18
Tractor 200-219 hp	210 hp	14	600	97,800	50	10.81	12.85	21.31
Tractor 220-229 hp	225 hp	14	600	117,600	50	11.58	14.53	25.63

Appendix Table 2. Self-propelled machines: estimated performance rate, useful life, annual use, purchase price, repair cost, fuel consumption rate, and direct and fixed cost per hour and per acre, Mississippi, 1999.

ITEM NAME	SIZE	PERF RATE	USEFUL LIFE	ANNUAL USE	PURCHASE PRICE	REPAIR COST	FUEL CONS RATE	DIRECT	COST	FIXED	COST
		hrs/ac	years	hours	dollars	percent	/hour	\$/hr	\$/ac	\$/hr	\$/ac
ATV - 4 Wheeler (CL)	10'	0.040	8	100	6,500	60	1.00	5.92	0.24	11.88	0.48
Fork lift		1.000	20	350	21,000	50	1.50	2.48	2.48	6.72	6.72
Hi-Clear Sprayer	40'	0.049	8	350	49,600	80	2.00	15.47	0.76	25.91	1.27
Hi-Clear Sprayer	60'	0.033	8	350	57,000	80	2.00	17.59	0.58	29.77	0.98
Truck	½ ton	1.000	5	800	13,000	45	2.50	3.09	3.09	4.21	4.21
Truck	2 ton	1.000	19	400	31,000	50	3.70	4.44	4.44	8.85	8.85

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Appendix Table 3. Implements: estimated performance rate, useful life, annual use, purchase price, repair cost, and direct and fixed cost per hour and per acre, Mississippi, 1999.

		PERF	USEFUL	ANNUAL	PURCHASE	REPAIR				
ITEM NAME	SIZE	RATE	LIFE	USE	PRICE	COST	DIRECT COST		FIXED COST	
		hrs/ac	years	hours	dollars	percent	\$/hr	\$/ac	\$/hr	\$/ac
Chisel Plow	12'	0.156	12	150	4,900	70	1.91	0.30	4.64	0.72
Chisel Plow	16'	0.117	12	150	6,120	70	2.38	0.28	5.79	0.68
Chisel Plow	24'	0.078	12	150	7,960	70	3.10	0.24	7.53	0.59
Chisel Plow	32'	0.059	12	150	9,790	70	3.81	0.22	9.26	0.55
Cult & Post (Early)	4R-40	0.199	12	200	7,680	80	2.56	0.51	5.45	1.08
Cult & Post (Early)	6R-40	0.133	12	200	11,080	80	3.69	0.49	7.86	1.05
Cult & Post (Early)	8R-40	0.100	12	200	15,750	80	5.25	0.53	11.18	1.12
Cult & Post (Late)	4R-40	0.134	12	200	7,680	80	2.56	0.34	5.45	0.73
Cult & Post (Late)	6R-40	0.089	12	200	11,080	80	3.69	0.33	7.86	0.70
Cult & Post (Late)	8R-40	0.067	12	200	15,750	80	5.25	0.35	11.18	0.75
Cultivate (Early)	4R-40	0.190	12	200	5,610	80	1.87	0.36	3.98	0.76
Cultivate (Early)	6R-40	0.127	12	200	8,770	80	2.92	0.37	6.22	0.79
Cultivate (Early)	8R-40	0.095	12	200	12,240	80	4.08	0.39	8.68	0.83
Cultivate (Late)	4R-40	0.128	12	200	5,610	80	1.87	0.24	3.98	0.51
Cultivate (Late)	6R-40	0.085	12	200	8,770	80	2.92	0.25	6.22	0.53
Cultivate (Late)	8R-40	0.064	12	200	12,240	80	4.08	0.26	8.68	0.56
Disk Bed (Hipper)	4R-40	0.141	8	160	6,380	80	3.99	0.56	7.29	1.03
Disk Bed (Hipper)	6R-40	0.094	8	160	7,140	80	4.46	0.42	8.16	0.77
Disk Bed (Hipper)	8R-40	0.070	8	160	9,490	80	5.93	0.42	10.84	0.76
Disk Harrow	14'	0.134	10	180	7,340	80	3.26	0.44	6.44	0.86
Disk Harrow	24'	0.078	10	180	16,830	80	7.48	0.58	14.78	1.15
Disk Harrow	32'	0.059	10	180	26,010	80	11.56	0.68	22.83	1.35
Ditcher		0.020	10	200	2,330	60	0.70	0.01	1.84	0.04
Fert Appl (Liquid)	4R-40	0.147	8	150	10,510	50	4.38	0.64	12.81	1.88
Fert Appl (Liquid)	6R-40	0.098	8	150	10,810	50	4.50	0.44	13.18	1.29
Fert Appl (Liquid)	8R-40	0.074	8	150	11,120	50	4.63	0.34	13.55	1.00
Harvester towed	2 row	2.000	15	300	15,500	88	3.03	6.06	6.53	13.06
Heavy Disk	14'	0.167	10	180	13,260	80	5.89	0.98	11.64	1.94
Heavy Disk	21'	0.112	10	180	17,850	80	7.93	0.89	15.67	1.76
Heavy Disk	27'	0.087	10	180	26,520	80	11.79	1.03	23.28	2.03
Shredder	2 row	0.400	10	150	2,650	0	0.00	0.00	2.79	1.12
Spray (Broadcast)	27'	0.061	8	200	3,630	100	2.27	0.14	3.32	0.20
Spray (Broadcast)	42'	0.039	8	200	4,010	100	2.51	0.10	3.67	0.14
Spray (Broadcast)	60'	0.027	8	200	4,770	100	2.98	0.08	4.36	0.12
Trailer utility	10 ft	0.600	15	200	3,100	40	0.41	0.25	1.96	1.18
Trailer water	10 ft	0.600	10	150	3,600	40	0.96	0.58	3.79	2.28
Transplanter	4 row	0.600	10	150	5,200	38	1.32	0.79	5.48	3.29

Appendix Table 4. Operating inputs: estimated prices, Mississippi, 1999.

ITEM NAME	UNIT	PRICE
		dollars
CUSTOM SPRAY		
	appl	2.20
App by Air (2 gal)	appl	2.60
App by Air (5 gal)	appl	3.25
FERTILIZERS		
Amm Nitrate (34% N)	cwt	9.15
Anhy Ammonia (82% N)		11.15
Boron (Solubor) Fert 0-20-20	lb cwt	0.60 10.90
Fert 10-20-20 Fert 10-34-0	cwt	11.00
Fert 13-13-13	cwt	10.53
Fert 5-20-20	cwt	11.78
Fert 5-20-30 +S+B	cwt	12.50
Fert 8-24-24	cwt	13.05
Phosphorus(46% P205)	cwt	12.47
Potash (60% K20)	cwt	8.35
Sulfur	lb .	0.40
UAN (32% N)	cwt	6.03
FUNGICIDES Benlate 50 WP	lb	16.57
Captan 50 WP	lb	2.78
Rovral 4f	pt	20.35
Terraclor Super X EC		3.37
Vitavax 200	OZ	0.35
HERBICIDES		
	pt	1.34
	pt	10.31
	pt	8.65 6.83
Bladex 4L Blazer 2L	qt nt	6.83 7.62
Buctril 4EC	pt pt	13.25
Classic	οz	11.13
	pt	9.70
	pt	4.19
Fusilade DX	OZ	0.92
Gramoxone Extra	pt	4.41
Karmex DF	lb	4.57
Lasso 4E	qt	5.53
	pt	9.82
	pt	3.16
	pt	5.68
INSECTICIDES		1 50
Ammo 2.5 EC	oz	1.70
Baythroid 2 Decis 1.5EC	OZ	3.14
Guthion 2L	0Z nt	3.56 3.65
Imidan 50-WP	pt lb	5.90
Lorsban 4E	pt	5.57
Malathion	pt	3.07
	pt	3.50
Orthene 90S	lb	9.41
Sevin 4F	qt	0.00
Spintor	OZ	3.00
Temik 15G	lb	3.19
Thiodan 3 EC	qt	9.09
Tracer	OZ	5.84
SEED/PLANTS	, ,	1
	houd	14.50
FEES Bt Cotton	2020	22 00
Bt Cotton Broker	acre box	32.00 0.50
Trapping	acre	0.50
CUSTOM FERT/LIME	acr c	0.50
	cwt	4.10
App Fert by Air		
App Fert by Air Apply Liquid Fert	acre	2.50

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such popels.

```
Lime (Spread) ton 26.73

CUSTOM HARVEST/HAUL

Haul Corn bu 0.16

Haul Cotton 1b 0.02

Haul Soybeans bu 0.16

Appendix Table 4. Operating inputs: estimated prices, Mississippi, 1999.
```

ITEM NAME	UNIT	PRICE
		dollars
CRATES, BINS, BOXES		
Bin	each	42.00
Box	each	1.10
Crate	each	6.00
SPECIAL LABOR		
Cut-slip labor	hr	7.50
Harvest labor	hr	7.50
Transplant labor	hr	7.50
CUSTOM PACK		
Clean, grade, pack	box	1.75

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such&opies.

Appendix Table 5. Estimated fuel prices, labor wage rates, and interest rates, Mississippi, 1999.

ITEM NAME	UNIT	PRICE	
		dollars	
FUEL TYPES			
Diesel Fuel	gal	0.65	
Gasoline	gal	1.04	
LABOR TYPES			
Operator	hour	8.31	
Hand	hour	6.91	
Irrigation	hour	6.91	
INTEREST RATES			
Short-term	%	9.32	
Intermediate-term	%	9.32	

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such 20 pies.

#### **Literature Cited**

- 1. Abrams, C. F., et al. Bulk Harvesting and Handling of Fresh Market Sweetpotatoes, Paper No. 76-1528. American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI.
- 2. Boehlje, M. D. and V. R. Eldman. <u>Farm Management</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1984.
- 3. Boyette, M. D. and H. E. Stewart. Horizontal Ventilation for Long-Term Storage of Sweetpotatoes, Paper No. 94-6583. American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI.
- 4. Boyette, M. D., et al. The Postharvest Handling of Sweetpotatoes. North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service, AG-413-10-B, June 1997.
- 5. Cannon, Mike. Ten Years After Beauregard: Where do we go from here? Louisiana Agricultural Experimental Station, Vol. 40, No. 4, Fall 1977.
- 6. Census of Agriculture (1987). NASS/USDA, Washington, D.C.
- 7. Center for Science in the Public Interest. http://209.8.150.31/
- 8. Cox, L. R. Overhead Labor Cost in the Delta Area of Mississippi. Master of Science Thesis, Department of Agricultural Economics, Mississippi State University, October 1982.
- 9. Delta 1999 Planning Budgets. Agricultural Economics Report 100, Mississippi State University, December, 1998.
- 10. Laughlin, D. H. and S. R. Spurlock. Mississippi State Budget Generator User's Guide-Version 5.0 for Windows. <a href="http://www.agecon.msstate.edu/laughlin/msbg5.0.htm">http://www.agecon.msstate.edu/laughlin/msbg5.0.htm</a>
- 11. National Agricultural Statistical Service, selected information. http://usda.mannlil.cornell.edu/data-sets/specialty and http://www.nass.usda.gov/ipedlb/report.htm
- 12. Parvin, D. W., et al. Crop Budget and Planning Data for Major Farm Enterprises for the Mississippi and Louisiana Delta. Research Bulletin 484. Mississippi State University, June 1975.
- 13. Pettiet, Joe. An Interpretive Evaluation of Soils in the Yazoo-Mississippi Delta Area for Crop Production. MAFES, MSU Bulletin 808, May 1974.

Copyright © November 1999 by Dave Parvin. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such®opies.

- 14. Picha, David. Postharvest Handling and Physiology of Sweetpotatoes. Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station, Vol. 40, No. 4, Fall 1977.
- 15. Projected Costs and Returns and Whole Farm Analysis for Major Agricultural Enterprises, Louisiana 1999. A.E.A. Info. Series, No's. 169-176. LSU Ag. Center, January 1999.
- 16. Spurlock, S.R. and D. H. Laughlin. Mississippi State Budget Generator User's Guide Version 3.0. Agricultural Economics Technical Publication No. 88, Mississippi State University, July 1992.
- 17. Thompson, Paul, et al. Commercial Sweetpotato Production in Mississippi. Publication 1678. Mississippi State University Extension Service, Mississippi State University, August 1998.
- 18. Wilson L. G., et al. Growing and Marketing Quality Sweetpotatoes. North Carolina Agricultural Extension Service, AG-09, January 1989.