

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



START

ī



ŧ

 1.0
 1.0
 1.28
 1.25

 1.1
 1.25
 1.4
 1.6

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



VIF

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE WASHINGTON, D. C.

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE

By J. W. T. DUVEL, Chief, and G. WRIGHT HOFFMAN, Consulting Grain Economist, Grain Futures Administration ¹

CONTENTS

	Page		Page
Provious studies	2 4 7	Net position of 22 hedging accounts compared with price Importance of five leading speculators. Net position of five leading speculators. Net trades of five leading speculators. Days of important price chauge and large net trades. Summary and conclusions. Appendix	14 17 17 23 31 33

PREVIOUS STUDIES

This is the third of a series of studies relating primarily to largescale speculative trading in wheat futures. In this study, as in the two earlier ones, the analysis has been limited to the trading on the Chicago Board of Trade where from 85 to 90 per cent of the transactions in grain futures are made and in particular where practically all of the large-scale speculative trading in wheat futures is done. Of the various grains traded in, wheat has in each case been selected because it has ranked foremost in speculative interest.

The first of these three studies covered the period from January 2 to April 18, 1925. The investigation was occasioned by the extreme and erratic fluctuations in wheat prices during this period causing general uncertainty in the grain trade. It was published as Senate Document No. 135² under the general title of "Fluctuations in Wheat Futures."

The second study covered the period from April 19, 1925, to May 29, 1926, with particular emphasis on the 1926 May wheat future. It carried forward the previous analysis and covered a longer time, although a period during which price movements were less spectacular. The results of this second study confirmed the conclusions

¹ This study is based primarily on reports to the Chicago office of the Grain Futures Administration. Much of the statistical material was complied in the Chicago office under the direction of L. A. Fitz, grain exchange supervisor in charge. Likewise, Mr. Fitz, J. M. Mehl, Poul Mehl, and E. M. Blaylock readered valuable assistance in connection with the preparation of this report, especially through helpful critical reading of the manuscript.

eritical reading of the manuscript. ¹ Sixty-Ninth Congress, first session, June, 1926.

99542°-28---1

GIFT



 $\mathbf{2}$

TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

reached in Senate Document No. 135. The second report was published as Department Bulletin No. 1479,³ entitled "Speculative Transactions in the 1926 May Wheat Future."

The purpose of the present study, the third of the series, is to carry the analysis from June 1 to December 31, 1926. It presents an analysis of the operations of the leading speculators on the Chicago Board of Trade in which their trading is contrasted, (1) with the trading of a group of firms whose business is representative of small and medium-sized speculative traders; (2) with the trading represented by a group of hedging accounts; and (3) with the movements of wheat-futures prices. The transactions discussed herein were primarily in the 1926 December future; however, transactions in the 1926 July and September and in the 1927 May futures have been included in order clearly to present the facts.

While these three studies vary somewhat in methods of analysis and in the number and type of accounts included, the most important sections are sufficiently similar to allow the reader to obtain one continuous picture of the entire period. Taken together, they extend over a period of two years, from January 2, 1925, to December 31, 1926.

EXPLANATION OF TERMS

Many of the terms used by the grain trade, and especially those pertaining to transactions in grain futures, are distinctly technical in character. For the sake of exactness and brevity these technical terms, along with some others, have been used throughout this report. Inasmuch as some readers will not be familiar with the meaning of all of these technical terms, a brief description of the more important ones is given herewith.

ROUND LOTS AND JOB LOTS

Contracts to buy or sell must cover quantities of 5,000 bushels or multiples thereof if the price is to be registered as an official quotation. Such trades are commonly known as round lots; whereas, quantities of less than 5,000 bushels are known as job lots. Prices on job lots are not registered as official quotations. Purchases of job lots are usually at one-eighth cent above and sales at one-eighth cent below the prevailing market price as determined by transactions in round lots.

LONG AND SHORT

A trader who buys 5 December wheat, meaning a contract covering 5,000 bushels of the December wheat future, immediately assumes a "long" position, provided that he had no interest in the market, that is, was "even" at the time he made his purchase. In other words, he "bought for long account" and is "long" 5 December. Similarly, if his transaction were a sale instead of a purchase, i. e., "sold for short account" he would then be "short" 5 December.

During a single day a trader might buy 50 December wheat and likewise sell 25 December, thus leaving him "net long" or "long" 25,000 bushels at the close of the market. Should his purchases exceed his sales over a period of several days or weeks to the extent

¹ DUVEL, J. W. T., and HOPFMAN, G. WRIGUT. SPECULATIVE TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1923 MAY WHEAT FUTURE. U, S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 1479, 56 p., iBus., 1927.

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE

that his "long" holdings were continually increasing, he would be in the position of "accumulating a long line." Similarly, if his sales exceeded his purchases to the extent that his "short" holdings were continually increasing, he would be "accumulating a short line."

З

AGGREGATE LONG AND AGGREGATE SHORT

A commission house has many customers. Some are buyers, and others are sellers. At the close of the market each day some of these customers will be "long," some "short," and others "even." Taking the total of all "long" accounts gives the "aggregate long." Likewise, the total of all "short" accounts gives the "aggregate short." The difference between these two would represent the "aggregate net long" or the "aggregate net short." For example, the open contracts of the customers who were long might total 4,500,000 bushels and for those short 5,000,000 bushels, thus leaving an "aggregate net short" position of 500,000 bushels.

In any special group of traders, be they speculators, hedgers, or scalpers, some will be "long" and others "short." For example, in this bulletin special reference is made to the transactions and market positions of 42 speculators. Of these, 20 might be in the market on the long side and would be known as "longs," 15 might be in the market on the short side and would be known as "shorts." The remaining 7 at a particular period might have no position in the market, or be "even." On the other hand, some of the 7 might be "long" in September wheat, with an equal quantity "short" in December wheat, and their market position, when all futures are combined, would likewise be considered as "even." Let us assume that the aggregate of the 15 short accounts totaled 12,000,000 bushels. The "combined net position" of this group of 42 traders including the 7 who were even, would therefore be 2,000,000 bushels long.

OPEN COMMITMENTS, OPEN CONTRACTS, OR OPEN INTEREST

A trader who has no interest in the market at the beginning of a trading session and who buys during the day 25 December wheat and makes no other trades on that day, closes the day's business with "open commitments" aggregating 25 "long" December.

The open commitments or open interest in each future for the market as a whole on the "long" side must of course be equal to the open commitments on the "short" side. In other words, every time one trader buys 5 December wheat another trader must likewise sell 5 December. In this report the "total open commitments" applies to one side only, that is, does not include the contracts open on the "long" side combined with the contracts open on the "short" side.

LIQUIDATION AND COVERING

A trader who has a long position in the market eventually closes his contract by selling the same quantity of the same future, or by taking delivery of the actual grain sometime during the delivery month specified, the actual date of delivery being optional with the seller. Only a fraction of 1 per cent of the contracts are satisfied by delivery, the great majority being closed by transactions in the "pit." TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

When a "long" closes his contracts by selling in the pit he liquidates his holdings. Generally, however, "liquidation" applies to the closing of long accounts on a declining market in an effort to save profits or to prevent further losses, whereas the closing of long accounts on an advancing market is spoken of as "taking profits." In either of these cases, the transactions represent "selling for long account."

A short interest in the market is closed by making delivery or by buying an equal quantity of the same future in the pit. The latter is known as "covering" or "short covering." The closing of short trades on an advancing market to prevent further losses is often referred to as "forced to cover" or "running to cover." Such purchases represent "buying for short account."

SPREADING

The buying of futures in one market and at the same time selling an equal quantity in another market is known as "spreading." It also applies to transactions covering the purchase of one future and the selling of another future in the same market or in a different market. Spreading transactions may also be made between grains, such as the buying of a wheat future and the selling of a corn future. Persons who make a practice of this character of trading are commonly known as "spreaders."

BULLS AND BEATS

These terms are probably well understood. A "bull" is a buyer, a booster, or one who believes that higher prices are to prevail. A "bear" holds the opposite view and sells in anticipation of lower prices.

PRICES AND TRADING DURING THE SUMMER AND FALL OF 1926

Wheat prices during the summer and fall of 1926 were more stable than during the same periods in 1924 and 1925. The two earlier years were characterized by pronounced price swings. During the seven-month period from June to December, 1924, the December future rose from a low of $1.07\frac{1}{4}$ on June 3 to a high of $1.79\frac{1}{2}$ on December 27, having a total price range for the period of $72\frac{1}{4}$ cents. During the year 1925 for the same period December wheat declined from a high point of 1.70 on June 5 to a low of 1.33 on October 3, and then turned upward to a final high of $1.87\frac{1}{2}$ on December 31, with a range of $54\frac{1}{2}$ cents. The range for each of these years was large, especially when compared with the range during the same period in 1926. The high price for the 1926 December wheat future was $1.50\frac{1}{4}$, on July 19, and the low price was 1.32, on December 31. The range was $18\frac{1}{4}$ cents.

A widely fluctuating market attracts speculative traders and especially public participation, while a market of small price movements discourages speculation. The price range was somewhat smaller during the latter half of 1926 than during the corresponding periods in 1924 and 1925. This suggests that the last seven months of 1926 was a less attractive speculative period than the corresponding periods in 1924 and 1925. This is clearly shown in Table 1.

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE

TABLE 1.—Average daily price fluctuations compared with the volume of trading and open commitments, for wheat futures, for the seven-month period from June 1, to December 31, in 1923, 1924, 1925, and 1926

	7-month period, June to December							
Average dally	1923	1924	1025	1926				
Volume of trading (all futures), bushels Open commitments (all futures), bushels Range in price (dominant future), cents	25, 293, 000 1 93, 887, 000 1 1 1 -	44, 293, 000 104, 306, 000 414	56, 489, 000 163, 723, 00 336	39, 667, 000 96, 489, 000 13%				

Average for the period July 6 to Dec. 31, 1923; no earlier data available.

Table 1 gives the average daily price range for the seven-month period from June to December for the years 1923, 1924, 1925, and 1926. It will be seen that in 1924 and 1925 the average daily price range was considerably larger than in 1926. Similarly, the average taily volume of trading in all futures was larger for these periods, ind, to a more limited degree, the average daily open commitments, e., customers' future contracts open on the books of clearing firms at the close of each day's trading. As compared with the year 1923, however, 1926 shows a larger average daily price movement and likewise a larger volume of trading and open commitments. The summer and fall of 1926 constituted therefore on the whole a period of medium price fluctuation, volume of trading, and open commitments.

Figures 1 and 2 have been prepared to give a comprehensive picture of the price movements, the volume of trading, and the open commitments during the summer and fall of 1926. The price data for these charts will be found in Tables 2 and 3 of the Appendix. The charts present, by days, the course of prices, the volume of trading, and the open commitments in wheat futures for the Chicago Board of Trade.

Figure 1 shows only the 1926 December future. This future has been chosen for separate study because, for this particular period of the year, it is relavively the most important.

Trading on the Chicago Board of Trade is carried on mainly in four different futures—July, September, December, and May. At certain periods of the year each of these four futures becomes relatively the most important as a trading medium. Their relative importance can be measured either by the volume of trading done in each future from day to day or by the relative quantity of open contracts in each future each day. In the present analysis, as in previous studies made by the Grain Futures Administration the open commitments have been used to measure the period during which each future is the most important, i. e., its period of dominance.

 TABLE 2.—The period of dominance of each of the various wheat futures during the year 1926

Future	Period of dominance
1926 May	From Oct. 22, 1925, to Apr. 20, 1926. From Apr. 30 to June 29, 1926. From June 30 to Aug. 14,1926. From Aug. 16 to Nov. 15, 1926. From Nov. 16, 1926, to Apr. 29, 1927.

It will be seen from Table 2, that, during the period covered by this study, the 1926 December future was more important over a longer period of time than any one of the other futures.⁴ In a subsequent section material presented concerning the trading during this period will show that the December future also included most of the large speculative trades of the period. For these reasons the December future has been chosen for separate analysis.

Regular trading began in the 1926 December future on May 5, the market that day closing at $$1.37\frac{1}{4}$. Prices remained at about this figure until June 29, when they began to advance, reaching a high of $$1.50\frac{1}{4}$ for the life of the future on July 19. From this top a swing downward of 18 cents occurred, a preliminary low price of $$1.32\frac{1}{4}$ being reached on September 4. The price then gradually moved higher until October 23, when it reached $$1.46\frac{3}{5}$. It then turned downward to a new low of $$1.32\frac{1}{5}$ on November 19. From this point on, the price trend was fairly regular, making two minor swing and reaching a final low price for the life of the future of \$1.32 o December 31, the date on which the future expired. Open commimments and the daily volume of trading in this future assumed relatively large proportions from the latter part of August until the latter part of November.


Figure 2 throws some additional light upon the price movement and the volume of trading of this period. It starts with April 30 and continues through December 31, 1926. Beginning with April 30, which was the day on which the July future became dominant, price are shown by successive segments of each future, each being shown fo the period during which it was relatively the most important—i. e. during its period of dominance.

The volume of trading is shown both by futures and for all future combined. The open commitments are shown for all futures combined. As they are shown on a daily basis, one can trace the direct relation between the daily volume of trading during this period and the daily range in price. Days having a large volume of trading are usually days with a large price range, in some instances the price moving upward for the day and in others downward.

Similarly, one can compare by days the relation between the total of the open commitments and the corresponding price movement. As an example, it will be seen from Figure 2 that during August there was a large increase in the total of open contracts. During this same period prices declined, which shows that short selling was a more powerful market factor than the buying for long account. Earlier studies showed that prices frequently move directly with the trading of large-scale speculators. It might therefore be assumed that the short selling of this period was done principally by the leading speculators. From early September to early October prices, advanced, while the total of the open commitments decreased, showing that the prices for this period were more responsive to short covering than to new buying for long account, which suggests that the large traders were covering a short position.


Such an analysis, however, groups all traders together and does not permit of separate study of each type of trader. What is needed is a breaking up of the transactions making up the total volume of

^{*} For a period covering an entire year, however, the May future is the most important.



905 (2°.--23. (Face p. 5.)

Fig. 1 -Opening, high, low, and closing prices compared with the volume of training



g and open commitments for the 1926 December wheat future, Chicago Board of Trado

FIGURE 2 FOUND AT END OF BULLETIN.

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE

trading and of the positions long and short composing the total open commitments by classes of traders, so that one can examine the operations of each class with reference to price changes and to the trading of each of the other classes. In so far as the information currently occived by the Grain Futures Administration will permit, this has open done in the sections following.

MARKET POSITION OF 42 LARGE SPECULATIVE TRADERS COMPARED WITH PRICE

The clearing firms of the Chicago Board of Trade report daily to the Grain Futures Administration the total volume of trading and the aggregate of the open commitments of their customers. The latter is given as of the close of the market each day for both those who are long and those who are short. These reports are given by futures and by grains. Among the customers of a clearing firm there may be individual speculators who trade on a large scale; there may be included a large number of small speculators who venture to the extent of buying or selling 5,000 or 10,000 bushels; there may be scalpers who buy and sell large amounts during the day but who even up their market position each day before the close of the session; there may be spreaders who buy in one market or future and sell an equal quantity in another; there may be hedging accounts repre-senting the balancing trades of some nill or cash-grain firm; there may also be accounts of other firms, correspondents of the clearing firm, who in turn may have customers of varying types. The extent to which a clearing firm will have accounts of these various classes will depend upon the size and character of business which it receives.

In addition to a report of the total of the open commitments covered by all accounts, each clearing firm during 1926 was required to report daily the position of each separate account which equaled or exceeded a designated amount. For wheat this amount was 500,000 bushels in any one future. Some of these special accounts reported were for individual speculators trading on a large scale, some were hedging accounts of cash-grain firms, some were accounts of other commission houses being carried on the books of the clearing firm as a single account. This general description of the reports received by the Grain Futures Administration has been given in order to explain more clearly Figures 3 and 4 and others to be presented in later sections.

Figure 3 has been prepared from the special accounts of 500,000 bushels or over reported by clearing members. It shows, by days, the combined net position of all of the accounts of individual speculative traders reported by clearing firms from April 30 to December 31, 1926.

For all futures, there were 42 of these individual speculative traders, each of whom had a position in the market of 500,000 bushels or over in some one future. Fifteen of the 42 held a position of a half million or more in only one of the four futures, 12 in two futures, 4 in three futures, and 11 in all four futures. The length of time each trader held a position of 500,000 bushels or over varied from 1 day to the entire period of 202 trading days. Some of these 42 speculators were long, while others were short. The curve representing the group as a whole, shown in Figure 3, is the net of the




FIG. 3.—The net position of 42 leading speculators of the Chicago Board of Trade, all wheat futures combined, compared with the average closing price of that wheat future which at the time was relatively the most important, by days, for the period April 30 to December 31, 1926

TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, d ţ, DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE

aggregate of all of the long and of the aggregate of all of the short positions, all futures combined. The aggregate of all of the long positions and the aggregate of all of the short positions, together with the net, is shown in Table 1 of the Appendix.

In Figure 3, in addition to the combined net position in all wheat futures of the 42 speculative traders, there is presented the trend of the futures prices covering the same period. The price curve is a composite of each of the four futures, the one used for each period being relatively the most important at the time. Both the netposition curve and the price curve are drawn on the block plan so that one may compare not only the course of trading with the course of prices for the period as a whole but also the change in net position occurring on individual days with the corresponding change in price.

As an example, on July 31, the combined net position of the 42 traders in all wheat futures was long 6,080,000 bushels at the close of trading, while the price of the 1926 September wheat future closed at \$1.43 $\frac{1}{3}$. At the close of the market the following trading day, August 2, the combined net position of the 42 traders was short 535,000 bushels, while the 1926 September future closed at \$1.39 $\frac{3}{4}$. The difference between the two net-position figures gives the net trade of the 42 speculators on August 2. This net is represented by sales aggregating 6,615,000 bushels. Similarly, the difference botween the two closing prices gives the net change in price on August 2, which was a decline of $4\frac{1}{8}$ cents. The vertical bars in Figure 3, therefore, represent the net trades and the net changes in price occurring each day.


The high degree of relationship between the net position of the 42 large speculative accounts and the price can be easily seen. Throughout the period of eight months, each major movement in the net position of the 42 traders had its counterpart in the movements in futures prices. As a rule, also, the high points and the low points of not only the major but also the minor net-position movements are closely duplicated in the price curve. Finally, when compared by individual days, it will be readily seen that as a general rule on the days when the net trades are purchases, the net price changes are upward; while on days on which the net trades are sales, the net changes in price are downward.

To measure quantitatively the exact relation between these two curves, a correlation coefficient has been employed. The net position of the 42 speculative traders correlated with the closing price of the dominant future for this period of 202 trading days resulted in a direct correlation of ± 0.71 where a perfect relationship would be represented by a ± 1.00 , and a complete lack of relationship by a 0.00.

Figure 4 shows a comparison of the changes in price and net position from day to day for the 1926 December future only. Of the 42 speculative traders whose daily net position in all futures combined is shown in Figure 3, only 32 held a position of 500,000 bushels or more in the December future. The net position curve in Figure 4 shows the combined position for these 32 traders, and it is compared by days with the price curve of the 1926 December future.

It will be seen that from the early part of August until the latter part of November, essentially the same picture is presented in Figure 4 as in Figure 3. In other words, the outstanding net trades made

99542°—28——2



TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

3

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE 11

during this period were in the December future, and they are fully reflected in both charts. Prior to August and during December, only a small portion of the combined position of all of the large speculative traders was in the 1926 December future, and consequently the netposition curve over these periods shows little correspondence with the price. The proper comparison to be made prior to August is the trading and price changes in the July and September futures, and for December the trading and price changes in the 1927 May future.

Figure 4, representing only the 1926 December wheat future, shows to a large extent the reasons for the market changes from August to November. Figure 3 supplements and enlarges the picture by showing the 1926 July, the 1926 September, and the 1927 May future combined with the December future. It compares the combined figures with the price of the appropriate future. This makes a complete survey over an eight-month peried, showing the combined operations of all of the leading speculators. The results show a remarkable correspondence in movement between the net-position changes and the net-price changes, whether the comparison is made for major movements, for minor movements, or for individual days.

NET POSITION OF SMALL TRADERS COMPARED WITH PRICE

In the earlier bulletin entitled "Speculative Transactions in the 1926 May Wheat Future" a compilation was made showing the combined net position of 15 clearing firms of the Chicago Board of Trade. The 15 firms were selected from a total of 135 clearing firms. Each of the 15 firms selected was known to have a clientele consisting mainly of small and medium-sized speculative traders. All of the houses handling the business of the leading speculators of the market were avoided, as well as those houses known to be directly connected to any considerable extent with the cash-grain business.

The trading of the customers of these 15 clearing firms was contrasted with the transactions of the large traders and with the changes in price. Likewise, the not position of these 15 firms was compiled for the 1926 May wheat future and compared with the May future price by days from October 22, 1925, to and including April 29, 1926, the period during which this particular future was relatively the most important. The comparison shows an inverse relationship of net position to price throughout the period, the correlation figure being -0.74.


A similar analysis has been made for the present study covering the period frem April 30 to December 31, 1926. The transactions of the same 15 firms have been used, but instead of one future being used, all futures have been combined to obtain the net-position data, and a composite of all four futures has been used for the price series.

Figure 5 shows the results of this analysis in graphic form, the detailed data being recorded in Table 1 of the Appendix. In addition to the combined net position of the 15 clearing firms and the composite price, Figure 5 reproduces, for comparative purposes, the net-position curve of the 42 large speculators already shown in Figure 3.

An interesting fact brought out by Figure 5 is that an inverse relation ovidently exists between the transactions of small and medium-sized traders, as indicated by the curve representing the trading of the 15 clearing firms, and that of the 42 large-scale speculative traders, as

12 TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

indicated by the curve representing their combined transactions. It is even more important, however, to bear in mind the fact that for every purchase there is also a sale. If one group in the market is heavily short, some other group or groups must hold an equal



amount on the long side. In the operations of the leading speculators on the Chicago Board of Trade, the opposite rôle falls largely to the small or medium-sized traders, usually referred to as the "general public."

Of greater significance is the fact that the combined net position of the 15 firms moved in the direction opposite to that of the price movement. The extent to which this is true, when measured statistically, is represented by an inverse correlation of -0.83. Expressed differently, on days during which the price advanced, small traders generally sold more than they bought, and on days during which the price declined small and medium-sized traders generally bought more than they sold. This observation is the result of three analyses,³ covering a period of two years and including periods of unusual as well as periods of usual market activity.

This inverse relationship of price to the trading of small and medium-sized speculators is somewhat at variance with popular ideas regarding the trading methods of this group. This type of trader is generally considered a bull entering the market on the long side by buying. One would expect him to buy when the market is strong with considerable promise of an upward movement. Even more, one would expect, and it is quite generally held, that with each reaction in price a so-called "shake-out" of the small and financially weak takes place, this liquidation consisting of hurried selling as the price declines.

Figure 5 shows that, taken as a group, this type of trader does just the opposite. On days when prices are rising, this class sells more than it buys; and on days of falling prices, it buys more than it sells. Furthermore, the results are based upon a sufficiently large sample to be fairly conclusive. Some other explanation is therefore necessary.

If the explanation is to be found, one will need to observe what takes place within each trading day. In this bulletin, as well as in previous studies, each trading day has been treated as a unit, purchases and sales being combined as a net for the day and changes in market position being measured by taking daily cross sections as of the close of trading. This serves to tell what has happened for the day as a whole and from day to day or week to week, but it is not sufficiently detailed to give the trading, the changes in market position, and the changes in price within each day.

To make an intraday study of a large enough sample to be representative of all of the traders on the market would require considerable work. Such a detailed study, which would add greatly to our knowledge of future trading, must therefore be deferred for future consideration. It is evident, however, that if the purchases and sales, and the changes in market position of each of a considerable number of small traders were tabulated and classified, it would then be possible to see just how each individual or subgroup acted under the pressure of price movement. For example, on a day in which the price advanced 4 cents one could classify the small-scale traders into a number of groups: (1) Those who limited their losses by buying to cover a short position; (2) those who took profits by selting to close out a long position; (3) those who bought to acquire a long position expecting the price to continue its upward course; and (4) those who sold short, thinking the upward movement a "bulge" in the market and expecting momentarily a downward reaction. One could also

See also Fluctuations in Wheat Futures, pp. 51-56.

14 TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

classify these traders by the length of time and amount of price movement required to induce them to act.

Perhaps it would be found that on days of upward price movement those who were short would quickly cover by buying and others would buy to acquire a long position, but that, as the price continued to rise, sentiment changed and selling developed in considerable volume, either in the taking of profits on long holdings or in short selling in the hope of a reaction in price movement. Similarly, on days during which the price broke rapidly, it might be found that late buying on an assumed "break" in prices, together with the taking of profits, more than counterbalanced earlier short selling and selling to stop losses on long holdings. This is one possible explanation of the fact that on days when the price declines, the small and medium-sized 'raders, taken as a group, buy more than they sell; and on days when the price increases, the traders of this same class sell more than they buy.

It should not be inferred from the inverse relationship, whatever the explanation may be, that the small and medium-sized traders are as a group generally wrong and lose money in their trading; nor should one conclude that they are generally right and profit thereby. In the absence of specific price data giving the purchase price and sales price of each trade, it is impossible to tell what the probable profits or losses for the group were at any time or for any period of time. This is equally true of the operations of other groups analyzed in this study.

Certain it is that for the day as a whole and from day to day the trading of this group is not the direct and immediate cause of price changes. Rather its trading seems to act in response to changes in price, although a knowledge of the forces at work causing it to so act awaits a further and more minute analysis.

NET POSITION OF 22 HEDGING ACCOUNTS COMPARED WITH PRICE

In an attempt to determine the character of future trading for hedging purposes and how it is related to price and to the trading of other groups, an analysis has been made of 22 hedging accounts for the period covered by this bulletin. These 22 accounts were reported to the Grain Futures Administration by the clearing firms of the Chicago Board of Trade and include all of the accounts that could be definitely identified as purely hedging in character. They belong to the class of special accounts described in an earlier section, being reported only when they had a position in any one future of at least 500,000 bushels. While the number of accounts included in this list is small, each account is large so that when combined they aggregate a considerable share of the total hedging position during this period.

Figure 6 shows the combined net position of these 22 hedging accounts from day to day, the data for this chart being found in Table 1 of the appendix. The chart shows not only the net position but also the aggregate of the long accounts and the aggregate of the short accounts throughout the period. The composite price curve is shown for comparative purposes. In connection with figure 6, it is of interest to note in the first place that the net position of this group



.

16 TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

of hedging accounts was long throughout the major portion of the period. One would expect during this period of the year that the accounts taken together would have a short position of considerable size. It is generally held that during the late summer and fall, when the wheat crop is moving freely, the large purchases of actual grain and the accumulation of stocks are accompanied by heavy selling of futures for hedging purposes. This was the case in 1925 and to a more limited degree in 1923 and 1924.

The reverse apparently held true in 1926. The explanation is to be found mainly in the unusually large forward sales of flour made during this period but in part also in the very brisk export demand for wheat. In the milling industry so large were their forward sales in the late summer and fall of 1926 that the sales of flour exceeded the cash-grain purchases by a large margin. This required heavy purchases of futures as a bedge.

Table 3, which has been prepared from reports of the Millers' National Federation, shows the pronounced change in the hedging requirements of mills for the years 1925 and 1926.

 TABLE 3.—The combined aggregate long, the combined aggregate short, and the combined net futures position of all mills reporting to the Millers' National Federation, for specified dates during 1925 and 1926

Date		to futures ition	Not futures position		
	Long	Short	Long	Short	
1925 Jan. 31	415,000	18, (\$4, 000		18, 265, 000	
Jan. 31. Jume 30. Dec. 31.		+, 808, 000 14, 635, 000		4, 129, 000 13, 809, 000	
June 39. Sept. 30 Dec. 31.	$\begin{array}{c} 11,264,000\\ 35,123,000\\ 18,736,000 \end{array}$	10, 500, 000 9, 305, 000 0, 149, 000	651, 000 25, 725, 000 9, 588, 000		

These figures do not include all mills, the reports being from mills manufacturing between 50 and 60 per cent of the total flour output for the United States. They are, however, representative. They show a marked change in position from the short side of the market during 1925 (due to the fact that the wheat stocks carried were larger than forward sales of flour) to the long side of the market in 1926 (due to the fact that forward sales of flour greatly exceeded wheat supplies purchased). The aggregate long position of 35,123,000 bushels and the aggregate short position of 9,398,000 bushels on September 30, 1926, is surprisingly large in view of the fact that the total of open commitments in wheat futures for that date, one side only, was 96,225,000, bushels.

Of the 22 hedging accounts, as charted in Figure 6, those showing a long position aggregate 17,686,000 bushels, and those showing a short position aggregate 8,089,000 bushels on that same date, September 30, 1926. Of these 22 hedging accounts, 11 were long accounts during the major part of the period, and 11 were short. Five of the accounts were those of milling companies; others were accounts representing a combination of grain and milling business. The exact extent to which the position of these 22 hedging accounts reflected the position of the milling industry can not be definitely measured, although it is known that a considerable portion of the combined net position came from this source.

In addition to the fact that this group of 22 hedging accounts was net long throughout the major portion of the period, as shown in Figure 6, it should be observed that the course of their market position is not directly related to the futures price. Instead, it moves opposite, in general, to the movements in price, having an inverse correlation of -0.67 for the period. In particular, during the downward price swing in August, the net long position of the hedging accounts increased rapidly, serving as a market support. In this it was similar to the movement representing the transactions of the small and medium sized traders shown in the curve of the 15 elearing firms. Certainly for this season the downward movements in price were not caused by hedging pressure so frequently mentioned in the "trade gossip" as having a depressing influence on prices.

IMPORTANCE OF FIVE LEADING SPECULATORS

The transactions of three classes of traders have thus far been presented, each in turn being compared with the movements in price. It has been found that the net position of one of these classes, the one composed of 42 large speculative traders, correlates directly with the price, the movements in net position from day to day having their counterpart in the day-to-day changes in price. The net position of each of the other two classes, the small or medium sized speculative traders and the 22 hedging accounts, correlate inversely to price, the movements in net position from day to day being opposite to the corresponding movements in price.


There are two other groups of traders which should be mentioned spreaders and scalpers. Spreaders are at times a market factor of importance, although previous investigations indicate that as a group their operations are neither as significant nor as large as is generally supposed. Scalpers, or those who primarily confine their operations to buying and selling equal quantities within a trading day, closing even or practically so, are an important class in intraday trading. In this bulletin, however, prices and trading are considered only as they change from day to day, and no attempt has been made to determine the influence of trading by scalpers or other intraday transactions.


Of the various classes of traders, therefore, the one which is directly related to price movements is the group of 42 large-scale speculators. The operations of five of these 42 speculators stand out as far more important than those of the others and will be further analyzed in the three sections following.


NET POSITION OF FIVE LEADING SPECULATORS

Figure 7 has been prepared to show the combined net position of these five leading speculators in all futures and, in turn, to compare the course of their market position with the trend of futures prices. These five traders have been designated for analysis in this bulletin as traders A, B, C, D, and E, arranged in the order of the size of their

98542°-----3







market position during this period. Each of the five had a net position of over 2,000,000 bushels in wheat futures at some time during the period covered. These five were the only speculative traders having a market position of this size. Four of these five traders had a market position of 2,000,000 bushels or more in the 1926 December future, trader E reaching the 2,000,000-bushel level in only the 1926 September future. The combined net position of the four traders for the December future, together with the 1926 December price curve, is shown in Figure S.

It can be seen from Figures 7 and 8, for the period in which the December future was of major importance, that the position of these leading traders is related directly to the price to a high degree. By referring to Figures 3 and 7 it will be seen that the curve of the combined net position of the 5 traders closely resembles the curve representing the combined net position of the 42 speculative traders. The position of the latter group shows a direct correlation with the composite price curve of ± 0.71 , while the position of the group of five traders shows a direct correlation of ± 0.72 .

The reason why the position of these 5 traders so closely resembles that of the 42 is because the other 37 usually were in the market for only a short time. Likewise, whenever the 37 were in the market their position was much smaller and much less consistent. The transactions of the 37 traders, as a group, show no definite relation to the futures price for this period.

The direct relation between the operations of large-scale speculators and price is thus limited to five leading traders. In an earlier report⁵ there were eight such leading traders, each having a position of at least 2,000,000 bushels. In the bulletin covering the Speculative Transactions in the 1926 May Wheat Future, there were also only eight "2-million-bushel-or-over" traders.


The market position of each of the five leading traders, as covered in this report, has been compiled by futures and is shown in Table 2 of the Appendix. Figures 9 and 10 show the position of each in chart form; Figure 9 for all futures combined, and Figure 10 for the 1926 December future only.

It will be seen from these two charts that traders A and B in particular held unusually large lines. Trader A reached a maximum position of 12,545,000 bushels short on September 8, all but 200,000 of which was in the 1926 December future. Trader B on this same date held a maximum position of 10,250,000 bushels short, all in the 1926 December future. Together the two traders had on this date a short position of 22,795,000 bushels, all but 200,000 of which was in the 1926 December wheat future. This short selling evidently exerted a very heavy pressure on prices at the very time when farmers were marketing heavily. Their holdings amounted to 21.4 per cent of the total open commitments in all futures (one side only) at the close of the market that day and to 32.6 per cent of the total of open commitments in the 1926 December wheat future, which also carried most of the current hedges.

While the market holdings of traders C, D, and E appear small beside those of traders A and B, they were far from small when compared with the market positions of hundreds of averaged-sized traders.

⁴See footnote 2.


Trader C reached a maximum position, in all futures combined, of 4,875,000 bushels short on May 21, trader D reached a maximum of 2,950,000 long on June 26, while trader E reached a maximum of 2,200,000 long on July 20.



h

Reference should be made also to the combined position of these five traders compared with the total open commitments for the market. For all futures combined they averaged for the entire period, April 30 to December 31, 3.3 per cent of the total open com-

mitments on the long side of the market and 10.5 per cent of the total on the short side. For the December future only they averaged for the long side of 1.6 per cent of the total open commitments and 12 per cent of the total for the short side. On individual days the com-



bined position of the five, of course, amounted to considerably larger fractions of the total, the largest percentages being on June 3 and from September 2 to September 10, inclusive, days on which the proportion of the aggregate of the short positions amounted to over 20 per cent

of the total. There were no days on which their aggregate long commitments amounted to as much as 20 per cent of the total open commitments.

NET TRADES OF FIVE LEADING SPECULATORS

In the preceding section the market positions of the five leading speculators were given and compared with the price. The block plan of presentation was used so that one might compare not only the course of trading throughout the period with the course of prices but also the changes taking place on each individual day. In this section a tabulation and classification is made of these individualday changes in market position with a comparison of the corre-sponding price changes from day to day.

The change in the net position of a trader from the close of trading on one day to the close of trading the following day constitutes the net trade of that trader during the latter day. To illustrate: At the close of the market on July 31, trader A was long 990,000 bushels in the 1926 September future and long 1,240,000 bushels in the 1926 December future. This is shown in Table 2 of the Appendix. At the close of the market on the following trading day, August 2, this trader was short 1,610,000 bushels in the 1926 September future and remained long 1,240,000 bushels in the 1926 December future. His net trades for August 2 were, therefore, sales aggregating 2,600,000 bushels in the September luture, with nothing for the December.

A net trade on a single day, such as the illustration of the sale of 2,600,000 bushels, is of course not a sale made at one particular time within the day but instead is likely to consist of sales (or in some instances the net of purchases and sales) made at different times during the day.

The net trades may thus be obtained for each day, by futures and by traders, or be combined for all futures and for all traders. Similarly the amount the futures price moved to correspond to the net trade is the difference between the closing price of one day and the closing price the following day, called the net change in price.

Date	Trader	1926 Jul	y future	1926 Se futi	ptember are	1923 De Int		Net pur- chases of	Net price.	
		Net pur- chases or sales 1	Net price change '	Net pur- chases or sales	Net price change '	Net pur- chases or sales 1	Net price change 1	sales, all futures com- bined *	(domi- nant futurs) •	
June 1 Do Net	A B	1,000 bush, -600 +950 -350	Centa	1,000 bush.	Cenis	1,000 bush.	Cents	1,000 bush.	Cents	
June 2 June 3 Do		+510 -500 -3,600	-115					+510	-11/2	
Do Net	Ð	+735 -3,365	+134					-3, 365	+1%	

TABLE 4.—The days on which five speculative traders made purchases or sales to a
net amount of 500,000 bushels or more in wheat futures, together with the net change
in futures prices, from June 1, to December 31, 1926

¹ The plus sign (+) indicates a purchase and the minus sign (-) a sale. ¹ The plus sign (+) indicates an increase and the minus sign (-) a decrease in the futures price from the close of the day increase in the close of the day shown. ² Whenever the net trading in a single future was less than 500,000 bushels, such quantity was not included to the rest increase of the day increase and included.

in the net transaction of all futures combined. "The net price change used for each date applies to the future with the largest open interest.

24 TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

۱

TABLE 4.—The days on which five speculative traders made purchases or sales to a net amount of 500,000 bushels or more in wheat futures, together with the net change in future prices, from June 1, to December 31, 1926—Continued

			y luture	1926 Se fut	ptember ure	1928 Do fut	ecomber uro	Net pur- cliases or	Net price change
Date	Trader	Nat pur- chasos or sales	Net prico change	t price Net pur- chases or sales Net price change		Net pur- chases or sales	Net price change	sales, all futures com- bined	(domi- nant future)
June 4 Do	A	1,000 bush. +1,500 -500	Cents	1,000 bush,	Cents	1,000 bush.	Cents	1,000 bush.	Cents
Net		+1,000	+1/5					+1,000	+₩
June 5 Do Do	A B C	+500 +2,500 +3,100							
Do Net	D	-695 +5,405	+2%	+550 +560	+2			+5,985	+234
June 7 Do Do		+1,300 +1,000 -2,455		+ 1, 525 +2, 000	•				
N st		-155	+%	+3, 525	+135			+3, 525	+1%
June 9 Do	C D	-1,400 +520							
Net	• • • • • • • • •	-880	-%					-880	-%
June 10 Do	O E D	1, 100 500 +-950		+500					
Nat		-650	-21/2	+500	-1%				
June 12 Do Do	A C E	+500 -3,695 +600							
Do Nøt	D	+705 -1,890	-1%	·				-1,890	-134
June 15	л	+1,030	+11/4				•	+1,030	+11/4
June 16 · Do Net	A O	+1,100	+3%	500 500	0			+000	+1/8
June 22 June 25	A B		-78	-990	0				!/s 354
June 28.	Ď	2, 500 1, 700	3					i, 700	-2
June 30 Du Net	Č			-1,200 +1,690 +490					
July 1 Do	A O	+2, 200		+1,200 -1,500					
Do Net.	E	+2, 200	+2%	+500 +200	+21/4			+2, 200	+214
July 6 Do	A B	+1, 200				+1,000			
Net	·····	+1, 200	+-258	-600		+1,000	+254	+2, 200	+23/8
July 10	C E			-900	76			-900	-36
July 13 Do Do	A C E	+590	·····	+000 +1,200 +1,200 +3,300	•••••				
Net	•	+590	+43%	+3, 300	+6			+3, 890	+6
July 14 Do Net	A B			+800		800			
July 15	c	+705		+800	+%	800		+705	_
July 16		+700	-1/2	-1-705				+/45	-1/2
Do Net	C E	+700	+133	+795 +500 +1, 295	+2%			+1, 995	+21%
		;							

c

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE ~~25

TABLE 4.—The days on which five speculative traders made purchases or sales to a net amount of 500,000 bushels or more in wheat futures, together with the net change in juture prices, from June 1, to December 31, 1926—Continued

		1926 Jul	y future	1028 Sej futi	stember Ire	1926 De fut	cember urð		Net price change
Date	Trader	Net pur- chases or sales	Net price change	Net pur- chases or sales	Net price change	Net pur- chases or sales		sales, all i futures com- bined	(doni- nant future)
July 29. July 21.	ç	1,009 bush, +525	Cents —334	1,009 bush.	Cents —14	1,000 bush.	Cents	1,090 bush. +525 -585	Cents -215 -34
July 22 Do Do Do Do Net	A B C E			-2,625 +900 -2,475 -800 -5,000	-17g				-17.6
July 24 Do Do Net	B C E			-510 -1,409 -1,916	+134	+500	+1%	-1, 410	+134
July 26 July 27 July 28	B B B			-900	+¥		-136	-900 -003	+2/4 -75
Do Do Net	B C E		t	-1,315 +500 -2,815	-34	-+700		-2,815	-5%
July 29 Do Net July 31	B B			+1,500 +1,500 -600	+33	+700	+25⁄8	+2,200	+334
		1027 MI	ıy luture						
Aug. 2 Do Net	A B		·····	$ \begin{array}{c} -2,600 \\ -1,000 \\ -3,600 \end{array} $		1, 100 1, 100	-3%	-4,700	-41/8
Aug. 3. Do Net	A B A			-1, 195 -1, 700 -2, 895 -600				-2,895	
Do Net	A B A B			-600 -1,200 +1,200	¥			-1, 200	-3%
Do Net Aug. 11 Do				+500 +1,700 +925 -1,600	+13/	<u>.</u>		+1,700	+11/8
Net Aug. 12 Do Net	B E			-75 -1,000 -1,000		-500		-1,500	1
Aug. 14 Aug. 16	A A			-550 -1, 100	+114	-2,900	-1%	-1,000 -550 -4,000	+11/2
Aug. 17 Do	А В 			1, 095 1, 095	-11/4	<u></u>	-1%		-1%
Aug. 18 Aug. 10 Aug. 20 Aug. 21 Aug. 24 Aug. 26	A B A A B			-1, 100 +2, 620 +500 +500	$+1\frac{1}{2}$ $+2\frac{1}{2}$ $-2\frac{1}{2}$	-1,500 500 +700 +700 -500	+1 +14 -14 -14 -14 -14 -14	$\begin{array}{r} -2,600 \\ -500 \\ +3,320 \\ +1,200 \\ +500 \\ -500 \end{array}$	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
	\$		·	!	⊨	<u> </u>			

99542°-28---4

₽

26 TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

TABLE 4.—The days on which five speculative traders made purchases or sales to a net amount of 500,000 bushels or more in wheat futures, together with the net change in future prices, from June 1, to December 51, 1926—Continued

			y luture	1028 Se (ut	ptember ure		ecombes ure	Not pur- chases or	Net price change
Date	7`rader	Net pur- cluses or salos	Net price change	Net pur- chases or sales	Net price change	Net pur- chases or sales	Net price change	sales, all futures com- bined	(domi- nast future)
Aug. 27	AB	1,000 bush,	Cents	1,000 bush.	Cents	1,000 bush. -4,100	Cents	1,000 bush.	Cents
Net							-136	5, 850	-1%
Aug. 25.	A B	******	**	+500		-1, 360			
Net				+2,600 +3,100	-14	-2, 0.0 -3, 410	-11/4		
Aug. 30	A B					-1.220			
Net				+2,500 +2,500	+12		+35		
Aug. 31 Do	A B			- r r mar - 1 -745		-745 -1,600			<u>_</u>
Net.	<u>یر</u> 			+745	-11/4	-1,600 -2.345	-%	-1, 500	-%
Sept. 1	A					-700		-700	+1
, Sept 2	A B			•					
Do Net	в				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-900 -1,610	-234	-1,610	-234
Sept. 3	B B					-500	+ ⁷ i +11/4	-500	+';
Sept. 3 Sept. 9 Sept 11	8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			+1,000 +7,250	$\begin{pmatrix} +1/4 \\ +2/3 \end{pmatrix}$	+1,000 +7,250	+11/2 +23/8
Sept. 14	A B		<u></u>			+5,300 +2,000			
De De	B C	•••••				-600			••
Net						+6,700	+2%	+6,700	+2%
Sept. 17. Sept. 17. Sept. 20.	A A B					+1,700 -1,100 +1,500	+156 -254 +34	+1,700 -1,100 +1,500	+15% -214 +3%
Sept. 21	A					+1.600			
Dø Dø	A B C					-1,000			
Not						+100			
8ept. 22 Do	A B	+1,000				+600			
Net		+1,000	+1/3			+1;00	-14	+1,600	-1/4
Bept. 28	в					+800	+%	+\$00	+%
Bept. 20 Do	A B		•-•			+1,500 +500	·•		
Do Net	C					$+500 \\ +500 \\ +1,500$	+2	+1,500	+2
Sept. 30	A E					+1,745			
Do Net	E					\$00 -+945	-14	+945	-14
Oct. 4	в					-1,000	-11/2	1,000	-11/2
Oct. 8 Do	Å	-700							
Do Net	C	-700	-155			+895 +805	-1%		
Oct. 11	B		· · · · · · · · · · · ·			+1,000	-/6		
Do Net	0				******	-1,000			
Oct. 14	B. O					1 200			
Do Net	Ō					-000			
Oct. 16	в					+500			
Do Not	B C	+\$00 +\$00	+11/8			-500	 +1¼	+800	+11/4
						¥			

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE =27

TABLE 4.—The days on which five speculative traders made purchases or sales to a net amount of 500,000 bushels or more in wheat futures, together with the net change in future prices, from June 1, to December S1, 1926—Continued

,

		1927 Maj	y future	1926 Ser fu't	pteurber Ire	1926 De futi		Net pur- cluses or sales, all	Net price change
Date	Trader	Net pur- chases or sales	Net price change	Net pur- chases or suice	Net price change	Net pur- chases or sales	Net price changa	tutores com- bined	(donti- nant future)
Oct. 19	AB	1,000 bush.	Cents	1,000 bush.	Cents	1,000 bush. +600 +500	Cents	1,000 bush.	Cents
Do Net	<u> </u>	+500 +500	+173			+500 +1,600	+135	+2,100	+176
Oct. 22 1)0	ĥ					-500 -820 -1, 120	+2%	-1, 120	+255
Oct. 25	в		=			-2,000	-156	-2,000	-11/1
Oct. 28 Do	A B C					-500 -1,500 -500 -2,500	}\$	-2,500	-1%
Net	B C D	-1,500				-1,500 +2,000			
Do Do Net	Ď	-1,500	- 23,4			-600 -100	-21/5	t, 500	2%
Nov. 1	BCD	-700				-1,200 -2,300			
Do Net		-500 -1,200	- ''			-3, 500	-%	4, 700	-%
Nov. 4	. А			 	. <u>{</u>	-+700	+1	+700	+1
Nov. 5 Do	- S	+500	+14			-545 -545	 +4		
Net Nov. 8 Do	Å	+20				-500 +1.350			
Net						+880	+1%	+-880	+1%
Nov. 10 Do Net	A C D					+875 645 +730	-154	+730	¥
Nov. 12	. A B C	500 500				500			
Do Net		-1,000	3			-1,100	-3	-2,100	-3
Nov. 13 Nov. 15	ά :	500	-121	/	-	-625	+34	-800 625	-1% +%
Nov. 16 Do Net	B		-14		•	+600 +600			
Nov. 17 Do	- B	500 -1,600 -2,100	 						
Net Nov. 19	 2 &	2, 100			-		 	-2, 100	-:4
Do Net		- 500				+950 +950	-254		
Nov. 22	. D	050	+15			+775		-950	+1%.
Nov. 23 Do Net	B		-13	1		+900 +1,735	-14	+1,735	-11/8
Nov. 24	-ļ ç	-1, 265		ś		+1, 125	+1}		
Nev. 27 Nov. 29	- 0 B	+1,600	-1 -};	í <u></u>		-2,500	0	+1,600 -3,000	1

28 TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF A GRICULTURE

TABLE 4.—The days on which five speculative traders made purchases or sales to a net amount of 500,000 bushels or more in wheat futures, together with the net change in future prices, from June 1, to December 31, 1926—Continued

		1927 Ma	iy future		ptember		ocember	Net pur-	[
Date	Trader		Net price change	Net pur- chases or sales	Net price	Net pur- chases or sales	Net price change	clusses or sales, all futures com- bined	Net price change (domi- papt future)
Nov. 30	B D	1,000 bush. —1,000	Cents	I,000 bush,	Cents	1,000 bush, -1,000	Cents	1,000 bush.	Cents
Do Net		1,000	-1			+1,370 +370		-1,000	
Dec. 1. Do Net.	C D	1, 450 1, 010 2, 460	+1\$4					2, 460	+1%
Dec. 2 Do Net	B D	+2,000 -600 +1,400	+135					+1,400	+1%
Dec. 3 Do Not	Å	-500 + 550 + 550 + 50							
Dec. 4. Do	в С	+1,000							
Dec. 6 Dec. 7	р С	+500 +1,280 +900	-14 -14					+500 +1,280 +900	
Dec. 8 Do	в С	-500 +500 +500							
Dec. 10 Do	C D	+1,550 +2,015 +3,565						·	
Dec. 11 Dec. 13 Dec. 14	A C A	-700 -\$50 -\$00	<u> </u>					+3, 505 -700 -850 -800	-1% -% +%
Dec, 15 Do Net	B C	+500 -1,410 -010	411 ₂					-010	
Dec. 16.	C D	+625 -610	-1 -1					+625 -610	-1 -1 -1/2
Dec, 18. Do Net.	с Б	-1,000 +845 -155					 	-850	+-%
Dec. 21. Do Not	B C	+1,500							
Dec. 32 Dec. 27 Dec. 28	B B C	+1,000 +500 +500 +1,000	+235 -112 +35 -275					+1,000 +500 +500 +1,000	+234 -134 +36 -234

Table 4 has been prepared to show the principal net trades of the five leading speculators for the period from June 1 to December 31, 1926. In Department Bulletin 1479 a similar compilation (p. 22) was made covering the period from April 18, 1925, to and including May 29, 1926. In the earlier report ⁶ a similar table (p. 61) was

See footnote 2.

given covering the period from January 2 to April 18, 1925. Together these three tables cover a period of two years—1925 and 1926.

In the preparation of Table 4 only the net trades of 500,000 bushels or more of each of the five leading traders were included. These were entered by futures and by days, and whether they were purchases or sales was indicaed. They were then combined by futures for each day and the net change in price of the appropriate future entered opposite the net trade. Finally, the futures were combined by days and brought to the right-hand column with the net price change in the dominant future set opposite whenever the net trade amounted to 500,000 bushels or more.

These five speculators traded to a net amount of at least 500,000 bushels on 111 of the 176 trading days of this period. They made 222 net trades during the period, 34 of which were in the 1926 July future, 54 in the 1926 September, 86 in the 1926 December, and 48 in the 1927 May. Classified by traders, A made 62 of the 222 trades, B 64, C 58, D 26, and E 12.

Tables 5 and 6 summarize the results of Table 4 in comparing the degree of correspondence between the net trades, whether purchases or sales, and the net price changes, whether increases or decreases. These two tables present an enumeration of the days on which the price and net of purchases and sales moved in the same direction. Table 5 giving the results by futures, and Table 6 by all futures combined.

Both tables show that, as the size of the net trade for these five traders increased, the proportion of days on which the price moved concurrently with the trading also increased. Both tables show that when the net trade amounted to 2,000,000 bushels or more for one trading day, prices and net trades moved in the same direction on over 80 per cent of the days. When the net trade amounted to 3,000,000 bushels or more the concurrent days amounted to 77 per cent when considered by futures and to 87 per cent when all futures are combined. Above the 4,000,000-bushel limit, both tables show a similarity in movement of 100 per cent.

Table 7 is a summary table, all futures combined, for each of the three periods and combines the results in a column of totals. The results are very similar to those of Table 6. Trades which reached a limit of 2,000,000 bushels moved in the same direction with the price on S2 per cent of the days, and for trades of larger size the degree of concurrency is still greater. This compilation covers a period of two years and includes all futures and the largest speculators in the market. It is believed, therefore, to be sufficiently comprehensive to assure reliable results. Summarized briefly, the data show: (1) That the larger the net purchase or sale made by the leading speculators in the market and made within the limits of one trading day, the greater the degree of certainty that the price will move in the same direction; (2) that when these net trades reach an amount of 2,000,000 bushels or more the probability is 4 to 1 that the net trade and the price will move in the same direction-if a purchase, upward; if a sale, downward.

30 TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

 TABLE 5.—Number of days on which the net of individual purchases and sales of 500,000 bushels or over and the futures price moved in the same direction, for wheat, for five large speculators, by futures, from June 1 to December 31, 1926

Net of purchases and sales (bushels)	Num- ber	anc Sale	Number of days when price and act of purchases and sales moved in the same direction					Percentage of days when price and net of purchases and sales moved in the same direction			
	of days	1926 July	1926 Sap- tom- ber	1926 De- cent- ber	1027 May	Tolaj	1926 July	1926 Sep- tem- ber	1926 De- cem- ber	1927 May	Total
500,000 or over 1,000,000 or over 2,000,000 or over 3,000,000 or over 4,000,000 or over 4,000,000 or over 0,000,000 or over 7,000,000 or over	130 65 27 13 5 2 1	13 9 3 1 1	20 15 8 4 1 1	32 22 10 5 3 2 1	21 \$ 1 	88 54 22 10 5 7 1	76 90 75 50 100 100	71 \$3 \$9 100 100	65 85 01 100 100 100 100 100	64 57 33	08 79 81 77 100 100 100 100

⁴ For two not trades in the 1926 September future and for one in the 1926 December future, as shown in Table 4, the price made no not change. These trades are not included in the summary table above.

TABLE 6.—Number of days on which the net of individual purchases and sales of 500,000 bushels or over und the futures price moved in the same direction, for wheat, for five large speculators, all futures combined, from June 1 to December 31, 1926

Net of purchases and sales (bushels)	Number of days	Days when price and net of pur- chases and sales moved in same direction		Net of purchases and sales (bushels)	Number of days	Days when price and net of pur- chases and sales moved in same direction	
		Number	Per cent			Number	Per cent
506.000 or over 1,000,001 or over 2,000,000 or over 3,000,000 or over	56	04 44 24 13	68 79 88 87	4,000,000 cf over 5,000,000 cf over 6,000,000 cf over 7,000,000 cf over	8 5 2 1	8 5 2 1	100 100 100 100

TABLE 7.—Number of days on which the net of individual purchases and sales of 500,000 bushels or over and the futures price moved in the same direction, for wheat, for leading speculators, all futures ' combined, from January 2, 1925, to December 31, 1926

	Number of days	Number of days when price and net of purchases and sales moved in same direction					
Net of purchases and sales (bushels)		Jan. 2 to Apr. 15, 1925	Apr. 18, 1925 to May 29, 1926	June I to Dec. 31, 1926	Te Number	Per cent	
500,000 or over	251 125 64 38 23 11 5 3	37 26 37 11 7 4	156 119 62 31 19 12 12 8 4 3 1	64 44 13 8 5 2 1	257 189 103 55 34 21 10 5 3 1	69 75 82 86 89 91 91 100 100	

¹ For the study covering the period Jan. 2 to Apr. 18, 1925, only the 1925 May future was used. For this particular period of the year, however, practically all of the large individual trades were in the May future.

DAYS OF IMPORTANT PRICE CHANGES AND LARGE NET TRADES

The period covered by this bulletin was on the whole considerably less spectacular than the periods analyzed in the two earlier reports. This fact was mentioned in an earlier section where figures were presented showing a smaller average daily volume of trading, a smaller average of open commitments, and a smaller average daily range in price during 1926 than during 1925.

The lesser activity in the latter half of 1926 is also reflected somewhat in the number, though not in the size, of large trades made by leading speculators. During the first of the three periods, January 2 to April 18, 1925, there were net trades of 2,000,000 bushels or over on 25 per cent of the trading days, treating the operations of the leading speculators as a group. During the second period, April 19, 1925, to May 29, 1926, 2,000,000-bushel-or-over trades were made on 22 per cent of the days. During the last period, June 1 to December 31, 1926, the 2,000,000-bushel-or-over net trades of the group of leading speculators were made on only 16 per cent of the days.

While the number of large trades was fewer the size of each was as large as in the earlier periods. On certain of the days of this last period, particular trades—the operations of these five traders being considered as a group—were unusually large. Table S shows the days on which the combined trading of the five feading speculators amounted to 2,000,000 bushels or more. The details of the trading on each of these days—i. e., the transactions of the individual traders and the amounts each bought or sold and in what future—can be found in Table 4.

Date	Net of pur- chases and salos, all futures combined ¹	Net price change (dominant future) ¹	Date	Net of pur- chases and sales, all futures combined ¹	Net price change (dominaat future) ¹
June 3	+5,895 +3,525 -2,500 +2,200 +3,890 -5,000 -2,315 +2,200 -4,700 -1,805	Cents 1122352 + 122352 + 122352 + 12245 + 1 - 1335255 + 1 - 13355 + 1 - 12255 + 1 - 12255 + 1 - 12555 + 1 - 125555 + 1 - 125555 + 1 - 125555 + 1 - 1255555 + 1 - 1255555 + 1 - 12555555555555555555555555555555555	Aug. 18. Aug. 20. Aug. 27. Sept. 11. Sept. 14. Oct. 19. Oct. 25. Oct. 28. Nov. 1. Nov. 12. Nov. 12. Nov. 12.	+3,320 -5,050 +7,250 +6,700 +2,100 -2,500 -4,700 -2,100 -2,100 -3,100 -3,100 -3,000	Cents +1 +1 +1 +1 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2 +2

TABLE S.—Days on which the combined net of the purchases and sales of five leading speculators amounted to 2,000,000 bushels or more in all wheat futures, together with the net change in future prices, from June 1, to December 31, 1926

¹ The plus sign (+) is used to indicate net purchases and the minus sign (-) net sales for the day. ² The plus sign (+) is used to indicate an advance and the minus sign (-) a decline in the price of the dominant future.

Table 8 records 28 individual days, showing the net amount bought or sold on each of these days by the five leading traders of this period and the amount of net price movement upward or downward. It will be observed that on one of these 28 days, September 11, a purchase to a net amount of 7,250,000 bushels was made. This net amount was bought by one trader and in one future. Table 8 also shows one net trade of 6,700,000 bushels made on September 14. This amount is a net of purchases by two traders of 5,300,000 bushels and 2,000,000 bushels and one sale of 600,000 bushels, all in the 1926 December future. There are also three days on which the 5,000,000-bushel limit was reached, the amount on two days being net sales and that on one day a net purchase. In all of the largest transactions the price moved in the same direction as the trade. Considering all of the dates as a group, the price moved in the same direction as the net trade on 24 of the 28 days.

While individual net trades were large during this period and show consistent direct relation to daily net price changes, the amount of the price movement per million bushess of sales or purchase was somewhat smaller than in former periods. During the first period, from January 2 to April 18, 1925, the net price change per million bushels bought or sold by leading traders on days on which the net amounted to at least 2,000,000 bushels averaged 1.76 cents. That is, during this period if a minimum of 2,000,000 bushels was bought or sold within the limits of one trading day, the corresponding average price change was 1.76 cents per 1,000,000 bushels bought or sold. For the period April 19, 1925, to May 29, 1926, if 2,000,000 or more bushels was bought or sold, the net change in price averaged 0.73 cent per 1,000,000 bushels bought or sold. During this last period, June 1 to December 31, 1926, the average change in price amounted to only 0.54 cent for each 1,000,000 bushels bought or sold.

These results indicate in a quantitative way a fact generally knownnamely, that the degree to which the market responds to heavy buying or selling depends upon the condition of the market at the time. Judged from the change in market conditions from the early months of 1925 to the close of 1926, these results indicate that when prices are high, when the volume of trading is unusually large, and when market news is featured and the public is heavily in the market, prices fluctuate more widely in response to large-scale trading than during periods of relatively low prices, small volume of trading, and a general lack of public participation.

During the period from June 1 to December 31, 1926, there were six days on which the net change in the price of the dominant future amounted to 3 cents or more. On only four of these six days were there large trades; on the other two days, June 23 and August 11, net price changes of 31/4 and 33/4 cents, respectively, occurred. On four days during this period net trades of over 2,000,000 bushels occurred, and the price movement was opposite to that of the net These facts are reviewed to call attention to the price movetrade. ments which are in no measure accounted for by large-scale speculative trades. In some cases unusual and wholly unexpected market news influencing trading results in a decided price change; in others, unusual trading by scalpers, spreaders, or hedgers brings about a decided change. Relative to the major price changes directly related to the market operations of leading speculators, these exceptional cases are decidedly in the minority and no attempt has been made to cover them.

No reference has been made in this study to the influence of market news upon price. Market writers account for price movements almost wholly from the news of the day—items about growing conditions, shipments, supplies, domestic consumption, exports, etc. To what extent these items explain price movements for the day it is difficult to say. To make an analysis of any value, one would need to give consideration to the exact time each item of market information reached the trading floor and observe its effect on price. An analysis of this kind, however, would involve an amount of work much beyond the limits of this study.

Undoubtedly, for certain days the explanation of price movements would be found largely in particular news items of importance; on other days the operations of leading speculators would reinforce the news of the day; on still other days the buying or selling of small traders would be in line with the important market information. In any event, the outstanding fact remains that the large-scale trading of leading speculators directly relates to price to a marked degree, and without this heavy concentrated trading price changes would be more gradual and move more nearly in line with fundamental market information.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In earlier investigations made by the Grain Futures Administration, as reported in Senate Document No. 135, entitled, "Fluctuations in Wheat Futures," and Department Bulletin 1479, entitled, "Speculative Transactions in the 1926 May Wheat Future," it was pointed out that large speculative operations represent an element of grave danger and are a constant hazard in the market, the force of which may move prices far out of line and, temporarily at least, destroy the hedging value of the futures market. Reference was also made to the desirability of a limitation of some kind on the size of lines and especially on the extent of buying or selling within a day for purely speculative purposes by individual traders.

The results of the analysis presented in this study concerning the operations of various groups of traders in their individual and combined effect upon wheat prices fully confirm the conclusions reached in the two earlier investigations. These three reports taken together cover a period of two years, the first extending from January 2 to April 18, 1925; the second from April 19, 1925, to May 29, 1926, and the third from June 1 to and including December 31, 1926.

Within this two-year period are included months of erratic and highly uncertain wheat prices and months of medium price fluctuations, seasons of large volume of trading and seasons of moderate volume, seasons of large open commitments and seasons of small open commitments, days of individual trading of immense proportions and days of only small individual trades. It is a period, therefore, affording opportunity for making a fair and representative study of the more important phases of market activity.

In each of these three studies the method of approach was the same. Traders were classified into groups according to the character of their trading—large-scale speculators, small and mediumsized speculators, hedgers, commission-house accounts, scalpers, etc. The trading and market position of each group was then obtained by combining the individual accounts. Finally the trading and changes in not position of each group were compared with the fluctuations in wheat prices to determine to what extent, if at all, the market operations of each group were directly or inversely related to price movements. Each of these studies has been limited to an analysis of the trading and changes in market position from day to day, compared with changes in price; that is, each day has been treated as a unit and no attempt has been made to analyze comprehensively intraday movements.

In relation to the interday problem, the group of traders of direct influence as a price factor is the large-scale speculative class, and of this class those speculators whose market position reached 2,000,000 bushels or more are of outstanding importance. Each of these studies shows that the small and medium-sized speculators, taken as a group, were generally buyers when the leading speculators were sellers, and sellers when the leading speculators were sellers, and sellers when the leading speculators were buyers. Thus their combined trading and day-to-day changes in market position were inversely related to price. Of the other classes of traders, the hedging group is by far the most important. For the two-year period as a whole this group revealed no significant relation to price, although for the last period, June I to December 31, 1926, the transactions of the hedging group show an appreciable inverse correlation.

In particular, the results of the present study not only confirm earlier conclusions but strengthen them as well. In addition to a study of one particular future, a parallel analysis combining all wheat futures has been made. In doing this, the complete position of the trader was obtained which is particularly essential for days on which spreading or switching operations occurred.

The transactions of three groups of traders have been analyzed in this bulletin. The first group consists of the 42 largest speculators operating on the Chicago Board of Trade during the period covered by the study. These 42 traders comprise all of the speculators whose market position reached 500,000 bushels or over in any one future at any time during this period. This group is therefore not only representative but comprehensive.

The second group consists of the customers of 15 clearing firms of the Chicago Board of Trade. These 15 firms were selected from the clearing firms ' of the Chicago Board of Trade, their business being representative of the small and medium-sized speculative traders. None of the 15 firms are directly connected with the cash-grain business, none include the trading of the leading speculators among their accounts, but each is known to have a clientele of small or medium-sized traders. The customers of these 15 firms carried an average of 39 per cent of the total contracts open on the long side of the market and 30 per cent of the total of all contracts open on the short side. These percentages are large enough to be representative of this class.

The third group includes as far as could be ascertained, all of the hadging accounts on the Chicago Board of Trade which at any time during the period reached a position of 500,000 bushels or more. There were 22 of these accounts representing milling companies, elevator companies, and grain shippers, both those shipping to the interior and those exporting. Just how much of the total hedging position for this period is included in this group was not definitely determined. Judging from previous studies and the fraction of the total

⁷ The number of cleating firms varies from time to time but usually ranges between 130 and 140.

of open commitments included in this group, it probably constitutes 50 per cent of the total hedging position.

The trading operations of each of the three groups just described were compared with the price for the 1926 December wheat future and for all futures combined. This consisted in comparing the combined net position of each group at the close of the market each day with the closing price of the appropriate future. This comparison revealed a pronounced direct relationship between the trading of the group of large speculators and the price. This relationship when measured statistically showed a direct correlation of +0.71.

The second group consisting of the small-trader customers of 15 clearing firms when compared with price revealed an equally striking relationship, but inverse in character, the correlation coefficient being -0.83. In other words, on days on which the price advanced, this group sold more than it bought; and on days on which the price declined it bought more than it sold. Likewise, the group of 22 hedging accounts also showed an inverse relationship, although not as pronounced as with the group of traders represented by the 15 clear ing firms. This inverse relationship was occasioned in part by heavy buying of hedges by milling interests as prices declined, their buying being occasioned by unusual forward sales of flour, especially during August and September, 1926.

The positive relationship between the trading of the group of 42 large speculators and the futures price prompted further analysis of the transactions of this group. It was found that five of the 42 each accumulated a long or short position in the market of over 2,000,000 bushels at some time during the period June 1 to December 31, 1926. One of the 5 reached a maximum position of over 12,000,000 bushels short and another a position of over 10,000,000 bushels short. It was further found that the combined trading of these 5 largest speculators accounted almost entirely for changes in the position of the 42, the transactions of the 5 being directly related to price to the extent of a positive correlation of +0.72. The individuals comprising the remaining 37 of this group traded at irregualr intervals, but as a class their trading showed no significant relation to price movements.

By uniting the trading of these five leading speculators, a single figure representing the combined net trade for the group of five was obtained for each day. These daily net trades are simply the difference between the net position of the group from the close of one day to the close of the following day, and they were therefore compared with the net change in the appropriate futures price for the corresponding days.

There were in all 94 days of a total of 176 on which this group traded to a net amount of 500,000 bushels or more. On 64 of these 94 days, or 68 per cent, the price moved in the same direction as the net trade of the group—i. e., if a purchase, upward; if a sale, downward. The net trading of the five amounted to 1,000,000 bushels or more on 56 days; on 44 of these days, or 79 per cent, the price moved in the same direction as their net trades. There were 28 days on which the net amount traded was 2,000,000 bushels or over and on 24 of these days, or 86 per cent, the price moved in the same direction as the net trade. There were five trades which exceeded 5,000,000 bushels during the day and in each case the futures price moved in the same direction as the net trade.

These facts are presented in Table 7 (p. 30) and are shown in parallel columns with the results of similar comparisons made in the two earlier studies. Each of the three studies shows substantially the same proportion of days on which the price moved concurrently with the net trade. For all days on which the net trade amounted to 500,000 bushels or over, the price and the net of purchases and sales moved in the same direction two times out of three. For days on which the net trade amounted to 1,000,000 bushels or more the price and the net of purchases and sales moved in the same direction three times out of four. Similarly, when the net trades were 2,000,000 bushels or more the price and the net of purchases and sales moved in the same direction four times out of five.

The results of this study and the results of the two detailed investigations preceding it lead to the conclusion that without the accumulation of long or short "lines" of millions of bushels by a very few leading speculators, the major swings in price would not have been so large. Particularly pronounced is the relation of price to the market position of the leading speculators on days on which net purchases or sales of large proportions occurred. It is by no means a coincidence that the price and net trade moved in the same direction on 24 out of 28 of these individual days on which the net trading of the five leading speculators aggregated 2,000,000 bushels or more.

In the two previous studies relating to the trading operations of the leading speculators it was suggested that some limitation should be placed on the quantity of futures, either long or short, that a single trader may be permitted to acquire, together with a limitation on the quantity that a single trader may buy or sell in one trading day for purely speculative purposes. This suggestion is again made. Preferably such a rule should be established and enforced by the exchanges themselves. Were this done, it is believed a greater degree of price stability would be attained. Price movements up or down would be more gradual and to a larger extent more in response to fundamental supply and demand factors. This is desirable both for the farmer and for the grain trade generally.

APPENDIX

TABLE 1.—The aggregate long, the aggregate short, and the combined net position of 42 speculative traders, 15 clearing firms, and 22 hedging accounts, logether with the total open commitments of the market, for the 1926 December wheat future and for all wheat futures combined, by days, from April 30 to December 31, 1926

	Total of mitn	oen com- nents			42	speculati	ve trader:	; 1	- 1		15 cleari	ng firms, comb	all wheat	futures	22 hed	ging accor futures co	ints, all inbined	wheat
Date	1926 De-		1	926 Decer	nber whe	st	All v	vheat fut	ures comb	ined				n i shini Na shi				
2000	cember wheat (long or	All wheat futures (long or short)	Aggr	egnte	Net p	osition	Aggr	egate	Net p	sition	Aggr	egate	Net p	osition	Aggr	egate	Net p	osition
	short)	SHOLD	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short
Apr. 30 May 1 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 17 18 19 20 21 22 24 22 5 26 27 28 29	505 532 691 025 601 058 1,442 1,351 1,510 1,555 1,631 1,056 1,631 1,056 1,631 1,058 2,067 2,115 2,258 2,255 2,331 2,356	93, 182 92, 422 91, 509 87, 195 87, 078 85, 746 85, 315 85, 596 86, 180 88, 904 88, 474 89, 745 88, 883 90, 059 88, 791 89, 072 85, 194 84, 289 83, 282 82, 788 83, 282 83, 282 84, 783 85, 194 84, 289 85, 791 85, 194 85, 194 85, 289 85, 792 85, 194 85, 293 85, 29					$\begin{array}{c} 3,080\\ 3,190\\ 2,410\\ 3,150\\ 3,085\\ 5,305\\ 5,225\\ 3,215\\ 3,3180\\ 4,890\\ 5,305\\ 5,225\\ 3,215\\ 3,215\\ 3,215\\ 3,215\\ 3,205\\ 4,010\\ 3,955\\ 4,010\\ 3,955\\ 4,200\\ 4,340\\ 4,320\\ 4,320\\ 4,320\\ 4,320\\ 4,320\\ 4,320\\ 5,55\\ 5,530\\ 5,550\\ 5,530\\ 5,550\\ 5,530\\ 5,$	8, 200 9, 200 11, 160 9, 650 12, 015 9, 915 10, 215 10, 215 10, 215 11, 615 14, 550 14, 551 16, 700 16, 350 17, 645 19, 125 16, 070 16, 270 14, 520 11, 505 12, 115 15, 950 13, 430		5, 720 6, 010 5, 910 8, 750 6, 835 6, 835 6, 835 7, 030 11, \$25 11, \$2	$\begin{array}{c} 22,402\\ 21,311\\ 22,352\\ 21,929\\ 21,516\\ 22,039\\ 21,516\\ 22,039\\ 22,039\\ 22,039\\ 22,039\\ 22,039\\ 25,705\\ 25,826\\ 25,705\\ 25,826\\ 26,956\\ 26,9$	19, 063 19, 327 19, 406 19, 102 19, 080 19, 065 19, 103 19, 675 10, 119 10, 519 10, 519 10, 721 10, 100 18, 602 17, 877 18, 952 18, 965 18, 965 18, 965 18, 965 18, 965 18, 965 18, 965 12, 328 22, 308 22, 705 23, 379 23, 338	$\begin{array}{c} 3, 339\\ 1, 984\\ 2, 851\\ 3, 250\\ 2, 840\\ 1, 513\\ 2, 334\\ 2, 485\\ 2, 064\\ 6, 605\\ 7, 134\\ 8, 071\\ 8, 078\\ 8, 172\\ 4, 68\\ 1, 7, 531\\ 8, 028\\ 7, 540\\ 8, 172\\ 4, 68\\ 1, 72\\ 1, 982\\ 1, 620\\ 1, 255\\ 3, 798\\ 3, 336\\ 2, 931\\ \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 1,986\\ 1,986\\ 1,881\\ 1,921\\ 1,990\\ 2,695\\ 2,593\\ 2,573\\ 2,573\\ 2,574\\ 2,422\\ 4,422\\ 2,475\\ 2,289\\ 2,475\\ 2,289\\ 2,475\\ 2,289\\ 2,410\\ 2,402\\ 2,012\\ 2,402\\ 2,012\\ 2,$		$\begin{matrix} 1, 986\\ 1, 981\\ 1, 982\\ 1, 982\\ 1, 990\\ 2, 605\\ 2, 605\\ 2, 606\\ 2, 573\\ 2, 544\\ 1, 911\\ 1, 972\\ 1, 972\\ 1, 976\\ 1, 787\\ 1, 795\\ 1, 788\\ 1, 787\\ 1, 792\\ 1, 782\\ 1, 196\\ 1, 223\\ 1, 966\\ 1, 232\\ 1, 966\\ 1, 510\\ 1, 232\\ 1, 926\\ 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, $

[In thousands of bushels-i. e., 000 omitted]

¹ For the 1926 December wheat future, only 32 of the 42 traded.

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE

TABLE 1.—The aggregate long, the aggregate short, and the combined net position of 42 speculative traders, 15 clearing firms, and 22 hedging accounts, together with the total open commitments of the market, for the 1926 December wheat future and for all wheat futures combined, by days, from April 30 to December 31, 1926—Continued

		en com- nents			42	speculati	ve traders	I			15 cleari	ng firms, comb	all wheat	futures	22 hedi	ting accou	ints, all inbined	wheat
Date	1926 De-		1	926 Decer	nber whea	it	All v	vheat fut	ures comb	ined					: 			
Daw	cember wheat (long or	All wheat future (long or	Aggr	egate	Net po	osition	Aggre	egate	Net p	osition	Aggr	egate	Net p	osition	Aggr	egate	Net p	osition
	short)	short)	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short
June 1 2 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 0 10 11 12 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 21 22 23 24 4 25 28 29 3 July 1 2 2 2 3 24 3 24 25 29 3 3 24 29 29 3 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 642\\ 2, 606\\ 3, 152\\ 2, 3, 339\\ 4, 239\\ 5, 102\\ 5, 320\\ 5, 835\\ 6, 357\\ 6, 357\\ 6, 924\\ 7, 043\\ 7, 117\\ 7, 620\\ 7, 652\\ 7, 037\\ 7, 652\\ 7, 037\\ 8, 070\\ 8, 061\\ 8, 586\\ 8, 989\\ 9, 028\\ 8, 989\\ 9, 028\\ 8, 989\\ 9, 028\\ 9, 028\\ 9, 028\\ 9, 028\\ 12, 102\\ 12, 946\\ 12, 654\\ 14, 446\end{array}$	76, 147 77, 333 80, 707 81, 009 79, 913 81, 830 85, 601 87, 350 87, 559 87, 662 87, 559 87, 662 87, 559 87, 662 87, 559 87, 662 87, 559 87, 662 87, 559 87, 662 86, 654 86, 618 86, 654 86, 654 86, 654 86, 654 85, 777 85, 307 86, 610 85, 777 85, 307 85, 954 85, 955 85, 95		710 710 710 725 725 750 1,000 1,100 1,100 1,175 1,175 1,150 1,150 1,150 1,150 1,200 1,200 1,200 1,200 1,400 1,400 1,415 1,415 1,415	90 70 90 	710 710 710 625 625 650 900 1,000 1,000 550 550 550 550 550 550 550 740 550 740 550 740 740 740 1550 2550 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 74	6, 780 6, 770 7, 915 8, 150 9, 480 11, 600 13, 990 15, 210 15, 210 15, 210 15, 210 15, 205 15, 960 15, 805 15, 675 15, 595 15, 595	$\begin{array}{c} 16,405\\ 15,050\\ 19,210\\ 17,805\\ 13,505\\ 11,780\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 11,720\\ 13,515\\ 13,410\\ 13,515\\ 13,400\\ 13,515\\ 14,225\\ 14,225\\ 14,225\\ 14,285\\ 14,850\\ 10,510\\ 0,615\\ 8,705\\ \end{array}$	2,045 1,480 2,335 2,045 1,480 2,335 2,405 1,755 1,610 1,420	9, 625 8, 280 11, 205 9, 745 4, 025 1, 040 685 1, 040 	26, 979 27, 901 28, 240 28, 546 28, 557 29, 059 30, 233 31, 520 31, 572 33, 113 32, 113 32, 113 32, 113 32, 113 33, 113 32, 113 33, 113 32, 113 33, 113 32, 039 32, 440 32, 440 31, 440	23, 951 23, 609 24, 703 24, 334 26, 963 27, 871 27, 813 27, 814 27, 814 27, 613 27, 017 27, 834 29, 933 20, 021 28, 114 27, 717 28, 091 27, 192 25, 960 27, 011 27, 492 28, 809 28, 809 28, 809	$\begin{array}{c} 3,028\\ 4,292\\ 5,534\\ 5,013\\ 1,553\\ 705\\ 2,423\\ 3,205\\ 5,063\\ 4,644\\ 3,738\\ 2,715\\ 3,437\\ 4,692\\ 4,592\\ 5,246\\ 5,831\\ 6,996\\ 6,480\\ 6,227\\ 5,246\\ 5,831\\ 6,996\\ 6,480\\ 6,223\\ 3,642\\ 3,360\\ 3,350\\ \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 770\\ 1, 445\\ 1, 478\\ 1, 478\\ 1, 577\\ 1, 561\\ 1, 550\\ 1, 560\\ 1, 550\\ 1, 550\\ 1, 555\\ 2, 487\\ 3, 557\\ 3, 355\\ 3, 439\\ 3, 740\\ 3, 557\\ 3, 355\\ 3, 439\\ 3, 740\\ 3, 557\\ 3, 936\\ 4, 761\\ 4, 062\\ 2, 997\\ 3, 660\\ 4, 569\\ 5, 930\\ 6, 328\\ 5, 930\\ 6, 930\\ 5, 930\\ 6, 930\\ 5, 930\\ 6, 930\\ 5, 930\\ 6,$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,959\\ 2,050\\ 1,894\\ 1,933\\ 2,002\\ 1,931\\ 2,065\\ 2,265\\ 2,265\\ 2,255\\ 2,255\\ 2,257\\ 2,340\\ 2,368\\ 3,401\\ 2,368\\ 3,401\\ 2,368\\ 3,401\\ 2,368\\ 3,401\\ 2,368\\ 3,659\\ 3,659\\ 3,658\\ 3,618\\ 3,258\\ 2,261\\ 2,361\\ 2,361\\ 3,618\\ 3,258\\ 3,618\\ 3,258\\ 3,$		1, 219 (35 396 455 514 454 454 494 491 (32 632 620 775 275

[In thousands of bushels—i. e., 000 omitted]

TECHNICAL BUILLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	88, 041 87, 013 87, 527 86, 131 97, 132 88, 998 88, 382 88, 685 87, 914 86, 057 86, 797 86, 797 86, 797 86, 797 86, 797 86, 637 86, 433 85, 634 87, 254 85, 133 85, 654 85, 133 85, 654 85, 133 85, 654 85, 133 85, 654 85, 133 85, 545 90, 184 92, 512 92, 886 94, 857 97, 857 97, 813 96, 015 95, 546 94, 857 97, 857 97, 813 98, 644 98, 993 101, 159 102, 180 103, 997 103, 951 101, 656 101, 858 101, 432 102, 034 102, 744 103, 511 105, 032 105, 108	$\begin{array}{c} 2,090\\ 1,905\\ 1,400\\ 1,400\\ 1,590\\ 2,230\\ 2,430\\ 2,230\\ 2,$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 515\\ 1, 615\\ 1, 575\\ 2, 220\\ 1, 875\\ 2, 005\\ 1, 575\\ 2, 005\\ 1, 515\\ 1, 515\\ 1, 515\\ 1, 515\\ 1, 515\\ 1, 515\\ 1, 515\\ 1, 515\\ 1, 515\\ 1, 515\\ 1, 295\\ 1, 100\\ 2, 310\\$	575 350 490 175 225 225 915 236 2035 725 915 236 2,035 2,035 2,035 2,035 2,035 2,035 2,035 2,035 2,035 2,035 2,035 2,035 3,195 2,040 3,195 2,983 3,100 70	$\begin{array}{c} 12,250\\ 12,275\\ 11,57\\ 000\\ 10,710\\ 14,170\\ 14,020\\ 15,845\\ 16,195\\ 15,985\\ 16,595\\ 11,545\\ 11,305\\ 11,545\\ 11,305\\ 11,545\\ 11,305\\ 11,545\\ 11,305\\ 11,545\\ 11,305\\ 11,545\\ 11,305\\ 11,545\\ 11,305\\ 11,545\\ 12,125\\ 0,975\\ $	8, 780 8, 580 8, 405 7, 950 7, 660 6, 455 6, 670 5, 975 6, 670 5, 975 5, 975 6, 675 5, 975 5, 385 5,	2, 175 2, 760 6, 320 6, 510 7, 135 9, 390 9, 675 10, 320 9, 960 9, 905 4, 990		$\begin{array}{c} 32, 240\\ 31, 551\\ 31, 154\\ 30, 951\\ 31, 025\\ 30, 309\\ 30, 638\\ 29, 973\\ 28, 679\\ 29, 339\\ 22, 5679\\ 29, 342\\ 32, 346\\ 31, 822\\ 32, 734\\ 32, 734\\ 32, 734\\ 32, 734\\ 31, 822\\ 32, 734\\ 31, 822\\ 32, 734\\ 31, 825\\ 33, 801\\ 31, 835\\ 33, 801\\ 33, 805\\ 33, 801\\ 34, 805\\ 35, 206\\ 33, 805\\ 35, 206\\ 33, 805\\ 35, 206\\ 33, 805\\ 35, 206\\ 33, 805\\ 35, 206\\ 33, 805\\ 35, 206\\ 33, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, 805\\ 34, $	$\begin{array}{c} 30,105\\ 29,883\\ 29,506\\ 33,242\\ 29,551\\ 30,242\\ 29,851\\ 30,242\\ 29,851\\ 30,242\\ 29,851\\ 30,264\\ 33,028\\ 29,273\\ 29,2741\\ 30,285\\ 29,273\\ 29,2741\\ 30,285\\ 29,273\\ 29,273\\ 29,275\\ 20,275\\ 20,$	2, 135 1, 668 1, 648 2, 615 3, 681 225 225 225 2, 615 2, 651 2, 655 2, 615 2, 255 2, 615 2, 255 2, 615 2, 2, 051 2, 055 2, 055 2	 $\begin{array}{c} 8,273\\ 10,067\\ 10,251\\ 8,961\\ 8,607\\ 9,040\\ 8,506\\ 8,511\\ 8,290\\ 7,865\\ 7,9040\\ 8,506\\ 8,511\\ 8,290\\ 7,865\\ 7,900\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 8,610\\ 9,299\\ 9,337\\ 9,759\\ 10,999\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3.347} \\ \textbf{4,765} \\ \textbf{4,632} \\ \textbf{4,982} \\ \textbf{4,901} \\ \textbf{5,365} \\ \textbf{5,559} \\ \textbf{5,559} \\ \textbf{5,579} \\ 5,$	4, 926 5, 302 5, 612 4, 669 4, 669 4, 669 2, 906 2, 664 1, 945 1, 415 1, 415	905 905 1, 130 1, 808 2, 480 1, 951 1, 829 1, 273 1, 724 1, 741 2, 192 2, 444 2, 245 1, 480 946 977 977 551 593 1, 195 	
	56, 342 59, 584								18,735	42, 701		12,363	 17, 304	8,990	8,314		

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE

Aug.

TABLE 1.—The aggregate long, the aggregate short, and the combined net position of 42 speculative traders, 15 clearing firms, and 22 hedging accounts, together with the total open commitments of the market, for the 1926 December wheat future and for all wheat futures combined, by days, from April 30 to December 31, 1926—Continued

[In thousands of bushels—i. e., 000 omitted]

		en com- nents			42	speculati	ve traders	5			15 cleari	ng firms, comt		futures	22 hed	ging accou	ints, all	wheat
Date	1926 De-		- 19	26 Decen	nber whea	t	™All v	vheat fut	ures comb	ined		come						
Date	cember wheat (long or	All wheat futures (long or short)	Aggr	egate	Net po	sition	Aggro	egate	Net p	osition	Aggre	egate	Net p	osition	Aggr	egate	Net p	osition
	short)		Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short
Sept. 1 2 3 4 4 5 10 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 24 25 27 7 88 20 00 00 12 21 22 23 30 00 00 12 21 22 23 30 20 21 22 23 30 20 21 22 23 30 20 21 21 22 23 30 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	63, 527 64, 824 65, 713 66, 904 67, 949 69, 553 69, 037 69, 627 66, 361 67, 872 64, 424 64, 458 64, 458 64, 458 64, 458 64, 456 64, 458 65, 698 64, 456 65, 698 64, 456 65, 698 64, 456 65, 698 65, 698 64, 456 65, 698 65, 698 64, 495 65, 698 64, 495 62, 615 62, 628 64, 495 64, 49	103, 912 105, 605 104, 800 105, 314 104, 267 106, 111 105, 602 103, 008 104, 682 100, 797 100, 467 98, 422 100, 043 100, 101 101, 527 101, 710 101, 280 100, 682 101, 059 101, 283 100, 844 100, 989 96, 225 95, 617	2, 265 2, 265 2, 165 2, 2, 25 2, 450 3, 2, 255 2, 450 2, 4, 450 2,	25, 160 27, 310 27, 845 27, 940 27, 985 27, 940 20, 025 13, 745 11, 850 13, 745 11, 850 13, 670 13, 670 13, 670 13, 670 10, 525 9, 960 9, 640 9, 915 9, 945 9, 915 9, 946 9, 915 9, 946 9, 915 9, 946 9, 915 9, 946 9, 915 9, 946 9, 946 9, 915 9, 946 9, 915 9, 946 9, 915 9, 946 9, 915 9, 946 9, 946 9, 945 9, 946 9, 906 9, 906		22, 925 25, 045 25, 680 25, 775 25, 820 25, 770 24, 465 24, 400 17, 380 11, 580 11, 580 11, 585 11, 505 11, 505 7, 250 7, 505 7, 505 7, 465 6, 370 2, 555 2, 660	5, 050 4, 945 4, 405 4, 430 4, 430 4, 430 4, 437 3, 940 4, 220 4, 220 4, 920 4, 920 6, 520 6, 5520 6, 5520 7, 1650 8, 405 8,	26, 500 29, 270 29, 805 23, 900 29, 945 22, 860 22, 860 21, 860 21, 855 15, 505 15, 505 15, 505 15, 505 14, 920 14, 920 15, 390 12, 405 11, 020 10, 340 10, 295 10, 295 10, 295 10, 295 10, 205 10, 205 20, 20		21, 550 24, 323 25, 400 25, 515 25, 555 24, 185 24, 185 16, 905 17, 915 10, 835 10, 835 10, 805 8, 110 10, 470 5, 880 5, 850 5, 800 5,	43, 028 43, 075 42, 717 43, 269 42, 499 43, 718 43, 188 43, 188 43, 188 43, 188 43, 188 43, 188 44, 431 44, 280 42, 972 42, 130 42, 972 42, 130 42, 972 42, 130 43, 567 43, 881 44, 431 43, 280 44, 744 44, 744 41, 724 40, 741 30, 737 35, 753 38, 853 38, 853 38, 853 38, 853	2,286 21,035 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 28,732 29,732 20,	13, 742 14, 040 13, 985 14, 042 12, 575 12, 461 12, 575 12, 461 12, 303 11, 038 12, 303 12, 303 12, 303 12, 357 12, 412 12, 015 8, 018 9, 561 10, 652 9, 538 8, 183 8, 183 8, 183 8, 183 8, 183 8, 184 8, 184 14, 194 12, 357 12, 412 12, 015 12, 015 14, 0		17, 221 17, 602 17, 420 18, 163 18, 018 18, 262 18, 657 18, 657 17, 954 17, 954 17, 651 17, 651 17, 651 17, 651 17, 651 17, 651	7, 599 6, 048 6, 250 5, 533 5, 923 5, 896 6, 024 6, 037 6, 024 6, 024 6, 024 6, 026 6, 280 6, 280 6, 280 6, 280 6, 280 6, 280 6, 280 6, 280 6, 280 7, 013 7, 588 7, 901 8, 011 7, 808	$\begin{array}{c} 9, 622\\ 11, 554\\ 11, 176\\ 12, 630\\ 12, 005\\ 12, 434\\ 12, 933\\ 12, 412\\ 412, 933\\ 12, 412\\ 12, 489\\ 11, 875\\ 12, 208\\ 11, 875\\ 12, 208\\ 11, 875\\ 12, 208\\ 11, 875\\ 12, 986\\ 12, 424\\ 11, 238\\ 10, 457\\ 12, 986\\ 10, 457\\ 10, 559\\ 10, 457\\ 9, 874\\ 9, 507\\ 9, 860\\ 10, 016\\ 11, 031\\ \end{array}$	

TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

5 [62, 174	98, 942 [2,860 1	8, 660]	5.800 1	7,610 (9,270	1,660	39, 840 1	30, 825	9,015	18, 368	7,410	10,958 [2.
6	62, 210	99, 796	2, 610	9,020	5.410	7,450	8.630	1, 180	40, 428	31, 268	9,160	18, 589	7, 743			MAJ
7	62, 532	100, 719	2,610	7.630	5,020	7,450	8, 240	790	41,073	32, 325	8,748	18, 801	8, 437		******	<u> </u>
8	63,852	102,677	2,775	7,925	5, 150	7,850	9, 235	1,385	42, 594	32,037	10, 557	19, 175	8, 427		*****	OR
9	64,075	103, 169	2,810	7,835	5,025	7,850	9, 145	1, 295	42,270	32, 354	9,916	19, 209	9,227			
11	63, 192	102, 284	4, 470	7,485	3,015	9, 530	8,635 845		41, 218	32, 033	9, 185	18,944	9,071	9,873	*******	TRANS
13	63, 105	102,013	4, 535	7,485	2,950	9, 510	8,685 825		41,078	-31, 670	9,408	18, 837	8,820			Ħ
14	61, 326	100, 584	5, 055	7, 135	2,080	9,055			39, 803	32, 830	6, 973	18, 389	8, 036		******	1
15	61,009	100, 498	4, 645	7,000	2,645	7,625	8,930	1, 305	40, 152	32,468	7, 684	18, 178 17, 723	8, 615 8, 417			.Z.
16	61, 085	100, 709	5, 350	7, 240	1,890	9,130	9,040 90		39, 333	33, 212			8,315		*******	CO.
18	60, 169	99, 358	4, 745	7, 150	2,405	8,600		· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	38, 532	32, 134	6, 398	17, 451 16, 789	8, 472		******	ACTION
19	58, 418	97, 765	5, 480	5,800	320	9,835			37,678	31, 983 31, 742	5, 695	16,868	8, 393		******	<u>.</u>
20	58,812	97, 975	4,950	5, 580	630 960	9,305			37,959	32, 252	5, 545	16, 367	8,018			
21	58,785	08, 171	5,150	6,110		9,605 9,365		******	37,452	33, 331	4, 121	15, 379	8,097	7, 282	******	Ö
22	57, 724	98, 903	4,600	6,930	2 330 845	10, 250			37, 371	32, 598	4,773	15,086	8,076			Ż
23	57,006	98,011	5, 410	6, 255	2,450	8,840			40,053	31, 534	8, 519	14, 844	8, 188			0
25	56, 894	98, 441	4,000	6,450	3, 550	9, 500			40,000	32,073	8,836	14, 746	8, 137		*******	H
26	56,773	99,912	4,150	7,700	3,950	9,410	9,000 410		41, 214	32, 424	8,700	14, 535	8,067			Z
28	57, 258 57, 140	101,703 103,149	3,850	7,800	7,570	6,995	10,070	3, 075	41,749	32, 488	9, 261	14, 634	8, 172			
29	56,921	103, 149	1,000	8, 195	7, 195	7.080	9, 305	2,315	42, 319	32,609	9,710	14, 543	8,082		*******	
30	57.384	104, 345	1,000	8, 260	7,200	6, 485	9,960	3, 475	44, 185	31, 877	12, 308	14,698	7,483		******	THE
ίον. 1	58, 244	106, 240	i, 100	11, 840	10, 740	5,430	14, 240	8,810	45, 744	31, 497	14, 247	14, 783	7.814			e
3	58, 679	108, 705	600	11,940	11, 340	5, 395	14, 840	9,445	46, 256	32, 429	13,827	14,766	7, 893			<u>م</u> سو
4	57, 768	108, 259	coo	11,060	10, 460	4. 975	13,960	8, 985	46,001	32, 870	13, 131	14, 578	7,864	6,714		92
5	56, 506	107, 667	925	11, 110	10, 185	6, 165	14, 510	8, 345	45,004	33, 456	11.518	14,857	7,570	7,287		20
6	56,027	107,611	1,400	10, 855	9,455	6, 640	14, 255	7,615	45,033	33, 503	11, 530	14, 784	7, 481			
8	54, 881	105.544	1,400	9,940	8,510	6, 690	12,840	6, 150	43,837	34, 500	9, 337	13, 959	7,340	6, 619		
9	54, 547	106, 382	1,400	9, 795	8, 395	6,805	12,695	5,890	44, 105	34,005	10, 100	13, 937	7,370			· (전 ·
10	51,786	107,652	1,500	9, 355	7,855	6, 945	12, 255	5, 310	45, 785	33, 025	12,760	14, 548	6, 811			8
12	55, 502	109,969	1,500	10, 780	9, 280	7, 215	15,080	7,865	46, 562	31, 331	15, 231	14,657	7,320			
13	54, 300	109, 254	1,300	11, 265	9,965	6,410	16, 610	10, 200	47, 437	30, 517	16,920	15, 345 15, 289	7,029 7,184			181
15	53, 603	109, 611	1,400	10,920	9, 520	6, 330	16, 380	10,050	47,622	31,074	16, 548	15, 289	6, 715			DECEMBER
16	52,977	110, 963	1,850	11,280	9,430	6, 155	17, 240	11,085	48, 429 48, 573	31, 452 30, 618		15,779	6, 791			101
17	50, 537	110, 677	1,500	11, 190	9, 630 9, 665	3,905	18, 745	14,840 15,985	47, 265	29,766	17,955	16, 444	6, 807			
18	50,092 48,912	111, 199 115, 265	2, 155	11,820	9,510	4,140	20, 125	17,720	49,474	29,564	19, 910	17, 384	6, 524			WHEAT
19 20	48, 512	115, 205	1,870	11, 615	9,080	3, 905	21, 215	17, 340	48, 522	28, 569	19, 953	17, 494	6, 591			1
20	44, 359	111, 842	2,070	9,740	7,670	3, 105	19,965	16,860	46, 479	29,046	17, 433	17, 554	6, 714			
23	39.084	108, 601	2, 125	8,005	5,880	2,765	18, 300	15, 535	46, 691	30, 675	16,016	16, 957	6,640			
24	37, 286	109, 153	2,415	6,965	4, 550	3, 230	18, 390	15, 160	45, 687	31, 091	14, 596	16,727	6, 598	10, 129		Б.
26	35, 501	108, 528	2,515	6,700	4, 185	3, 155	18, 410	15, 255	45,027	30, 808	14, 219	17, 217	6, 987	10,230		
27	33, 934	107, 642	1, 775	6, 350	4, 575	2, 515	16, 745	14, 230	45, 209	30,062	15, 237	17,300	7,045	10,255		
29	30, 898	106, 518	1,675	4,095	2,420	2,215	14, 985	12,770	44.256	30, 598	13.658	17, 136	7, 164	9,972		q
30	24, 450	102,738	1. 610			2,240	14,660	12,420	43, 589	29, 259	14, 330	17,099	6, 913	10, 186		E
Dec. 1	19,802	99, 510	1, 710	1, 325 385		2,250	16,080	13, 830	42, 707	28, 647	14,060	15, 725	5, 367	10, 358		9
2	17,786	97, 634	1,710	1,090 620		2,300	14, 630	12, 330	40, 677	28, 210	12,467	14, 578	5,626	8,952		2
3	16, 118	97, 194	1, 575	1,155 420 .		2, 315	14, 515	12, 200	40, 354	27, 773	12, 581	14, 428	5, 829			- E
4	15, 534	95, 603	1, 725	1, 155 570 -		2, 495	13,095	10,600	38, 844	27,867	10,977	14, 683	5,952			
6	14, 416	94, 824	1, 525	1,060 465 -		3, 050	11, 595	8, 545 1	39, 072	27, 992	11, 080	14, 255	5, 971	8, 294 1		

No

De

TABLE 1.—The aggregate long, the aggregate short, and the combined net position of 42 speculative traders, 15 clearing firms, and 22 hedging accounts, together with the total open commitments of the market, for the 1926 December wheat future and for all wheat futures combined, by days, from April 30 to December 31, 1926—Continued

	Total or mitn	oen com- nents			4	2 speculati	ve trader	8			15 clear	ing firms, couil	all whea	t futures	22 hed	ging accou	ints, all	wheat
Date	1926 De- cember	All wheat futures		926 Decen			••••		ures comb									
	wheat (long or short)	(long or short)	Aggr	egate Short	Long	Short	Aggr Long	Short	Long	osition Short	Long	egate Short	Long	osition Short	Long	egate Short	Long	Short
Dec. 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 31 24 27 28 29 30 30	12, 468 10, 719 10, 145 9, 605 9, 343 0, 064 8, 905 7, 1652 7, 355 6, 390 5, 158 4, 580 4, 154 4, 154 4, 154 4, 154 4, 154 4, 154 4, 154 4, 578 4, 578 5, 578 4, 578 5, 57	91, 195 80, 313 80, 549 93, 080 93, 080 95, 559 97, 609 96, 107 97, 663 97, 669 97, 66	1, 505 1, 400 1, 530 1, 380 1, 330 1, 375 1, 465 1, 475 880 880 885 855 850 900 900 875 846 846 645 645 0	850 715 305 305 220 220 220 220 220 220 220 220 220 2	655 775 1, 020 1, 075 1, 020 1, 125 1, 125 1, 020 1, 105 1, 125 1, 125 1, 180 1, 105 1, 105 355 355 355 350 300 800 710 705 530 800 710 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90		3, 145 3, 125 3, 155 4, 760 5, 250 5, 720 6, 100 5, 700 6, 100 6, 070 6, 070 6, 070 6, 070 6, 070 6, 200 7, 310 7, 225 6, 355	10, 445 10, 010 9, 830 11, 555 12, 345 13, 125 15, 180 14, 115 14, 220 14, 175 15, 235 13, 685 12, 570 12, 150 12, 150 12, 150 12, 150 12, 150 11, 880 11, 875 11, 940		7, 300 6, 885 6, 675 6, 700 7, 385 8, 375 8, 375 8, 375 8, 375 8, 500 8, 675 8, 675 6, 470 6, 455 6, 470 6, 455 5, 585	37, 563 36, 485 37, 029 38, 780 39, 622 40, 642 40, 641 40, 032 40, 164 40, 141 39, 008 36, 702 38, 019 38, 403 38, 403 38, 403 38, 403 38, 405 36, 579 36, 579 36, 579 36, 579 36, 579 36, 165	26, 389 25, 632 25, 597 25, 135 25, 215 25, 25, 508 25, 678 25, 678 25, 678 25, 678 25, 668 25, 060 24, 960 24, 960 24, 960 24, 963 24, 635 25, 068 24, 903 24, 635 24, 638 24, 638 24, 635 24, 638 24, 638 25, 638 26, 63826, 638 26, 638 26, 638 26	$\begin{array}{c} 11, 174\\ 10, 553\\ 11, 432\\ 13, 595\\ 13, 565\\ 14, 114\\ 15, 303\\ 14, 276\\ 14, 578\\ 14, 473\\ 14, 209\\ 11, 450\\ 12, 951\\ 13, 317\\ 13, 252\\ 2, 507\\ 14, 161\\ 13, 903\\ 13, 075\\ 14, 155\\ \end{array}$		13, 857 13, 630 13, 679 13, 604 13, 505 13, 381 13, 525 13, 324 13, 525 13, 324 13, 525 13, 324 13, 525 14, 505 10, 819 10, 478 10, 305 10, 233 10, 642 10, 654 10, 652	5, 832 5, 810 5, 777 5, 784 5, 854 5, 960 6, 028 5, 497 5, 504 5, 497 5, 504 5, 504 5, 503 5, 760 5, 817 5, 715 5, 715 5, 715 5, 803 6, 154 6, 056	$\begin{array}{c} 8,024\\7,811\\7,902\\7,855\\7,651\\7,651\\7,502\\7,407\\7,502\\7,407\\6,524\\6,305\\5,059\\4,649\\4,548\\4,548\\4,548\\4,146\\4,505\\4,616\end{array}$	

[In thousands of bushels—i. e., 000 omitted]

TABLE 2.- The net position in wheat futures of five leading traders, by futures

[In thousands of hushels-i. e., 000 omitted]

		Trad	er A‡			Trade	er Is i			Trud	erCı	
Date	1926 July	1928 Sep- tember	1020 De- cember	1927 May	1926 July	1926 Sep- tember	1926 De- cember	1927 May	1920 July	1928 Spe- tember	1926 De- cember	1927 May
		·							i			
Apr. 30 May 1	-5,200				~100		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-2,500	+700 +700		
3	-5,200				-2,400	f			-510	+700		
4	· - 6 300				-2,000 -2,000	·			-1,510	+200 +200	`	
ĝ	-8,100				-1,200				-1.515	+200 +200		
5 0 7 8 10	-6,300 -6,300				+2,400				-3,115	+200	1	
10	-5,300				+2,500 +3,000	'	•		(3, 115 3, 615	+200		
11	-6.900				-2,000				-2, 115			
13 14	-6, 900 -6, 900 -6, 900								2.715 3,500			
15 17	H EP #3.	• • • • • • •			-3, 3(4)	·		. 	-3, 550 -2, 555 -3, 055			
18 20	-6, 900				3, 800				-3,055			
20 20	-6,900				-3, S00				-3, 775		•••••	
20 21 22 24 25	-7, 500								-4, 875			
24	0, KAU								-4, \$75	· · · · · · · · ·		
25 26	-6,600 -6,600				1700 +700			;	-1, 910 -1, 910			
1867 X	·S_S(Y);				+:00				-2,910 +590			
29	-6,900 -19,200 -10,800				+209 +300	•			+90			
Jane (-10, 800				+1,150		1		+90			
3	-10, 800 -11, 300 -9, 800 -9, 800				1+2.250	· • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·]	-3,510			
45	9, SXX 1 - 9, 3001				: +2,500 : +3,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1-3, 710 1 -650			
7	1 - 8,000	+1,523			+5,000	ŀ		i	-610	+2.00 +2.300		
5	-7, 900 -7, 900 -7, 900 -7, 400 -0, 370	+1,525)		+3,000			{	-1,010	+2,30		
10		+1, 525	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		+3,000				-2,110 -2,110	+2,800 +2,800	4 	
12	-7,400	+1, 525 +1, 525 +1, 525			+5,000				-5, 805	+2,500		
14 15	-6, 270	+1, 525	1		+5,000				-5,660	+2,500		
16 17	5,170	+1, 525 +1, 530 +1, 530	431] +30]		+5,000 +5,000	···	{		15, 660 5, 660	+2.001 +2.001		•••••
18	-1 970	- 4- 1- 6-10	+30		+5.000				- 5, 560	+2,00		
19 21	-4, 970) - 1, 770) - 1, 770	+1,640 +1,830	+300 +300		+5.000	*			·	1+2,000 1+2,000	•	
22		+1, 530 +510 +510	+300 +300		+3,000				-5, 460	ומים ליידבו		
11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	-1,770 -4,770 -1,570 -1,560	+*40 +610	4 300		+5,000				-5.460	+2,000	ļ	
23	-1,579	+640	- 100	1]			-5, 250	1+2,000 1+2,000		
33	4, 260 4, 260	+640 +640	i))))							L-2 MM	£ .	
30	1, 260 2, 000	- 560							-5, 305	+3.691		
July 1 2	-2,000	+640 +840	-100			·			-5.505	+2,190 +1,800 +1,800		
6 7 8	535 535	+1,140	-200			,	+1,000		5, 505	+1,800		
ś	· _ 275/	+1, 540 +1, 740	-200				+ + 1,000	·	-5,505 -5,505 -5,505 -5,505 -5,505 -5,505	+1,500 +1,200		
9 10	-205	+1,740	F —160 8 —160	i I			+1,000 +1,000 +1,000 +1,000 +1,000 +1,000 +1,000			+1,000 +1,000		
12 13	-295 -130	+1.940	- 100	ļ			+1,000	· · · · · · · · · ·	-4, 750	+1,000 +1,3H		
14		+2,840 +3,640	r +40				· +200	****		+2, 561 +2, 2,0		
15 16		12 630	1. 4.330		• • • • • • • • • •		+200	·	-8,375	+1,090 +2,755		·
17	1	+3, 640 +3, 640 +3, 640	-840						-2, 675	+2,855		
19 20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+3,640 +3,765 +3,765) +810 - +810				· +400	·	2, 195 1, 670	+2, 200 +1, 090 +2, 784 +2, 785 +2, 740 +2, 460 +1, 875		
21		43, 765	+810	• · · • • • • •	· · · · · · · · ·		+100		1-1,530	+1,875		·

r

¹ The plus (+) sign indicates a long position and the minus (-) sign a short position for the future shown.

.

and for all futures combined, by days, from April 30 to December 31, 1926

A

Ą.

H

.

[In thousands of bushels--I. c., 000 omitted]

		Trada	r Di	·· ·· ·		'Trade	ir E I		Trade E, ni	rs A, B future	, C, E s com), and binod	19 Dece trade	mber
Date	1926 July	1926 Sep- tem-	1926 De- cem-	1927 May	1926 July	1926 Sep- tember	1920 De- cam-	1927 May	Aggr	egata	N	let	B, C D con	, and ibined et
 		ber	ber i		} 		ber		Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short
Apr. 30 May 1	† 				+600				1, 300	7, SOO		6, 500		
3	+425								1, 300 1, 125,	7, 700 S, 110		6,400 6,985		
	+520 +1,305	• • • • • • •							720 1,505	-10,210		9,490		
0 7	+1,325				ļ				1, 525	9,115		7, 590		
í s	+1,150 +1,150								3,750	8,915 9,415		6, Sda 5, 665	· • • • • • •	·
10	+4, 650 -15, 1150								4, 150	9,415 9,015		5, 265 5, 765		
1 12	+1,815		- 640						1, 815	11,655		0,840		646
13	+ 910 + 035	-30	640						1,910	12, 285 13, 970		10,376		840 640
15	- 1,900 +1,905	-30 -130							1,000	14, 420 14, 025		12,520		640
18	+2,005	-130	$1 \rightarrow 640$						2,005	14, 525		12,120 12,520	· · · · · ·	640
19	+2,035 +2,620 +2,620 +2,620 +2,020	-255 -255				<u>.</u>	•••		2,620	15, 470 13, 170	(12,850 10,550 		710 710
1 22	+2,620 +2,620	-255	-710						11111	13, 370		10, 750	· • • • • • •	710
21 25	+1,015	-285	710						: 1.9.5	12,670 9,505		10,030		710
25	+1,490 +705	285	710						2, 190 1, 605	9, 505 9, 505		7,315		710 710
1353	1.12 975	- 900	-710						1 - 2 - 4753	12,720		10,245		710
1 23	+2,120	-310 -310	: -710		+ 100				2,910	10,920		\$,010 \$,410		710
June 1	-4-2 + 120		-710		+500	·			4, 160	11, S20 12, 120	·	ີ່ວ່ານາຄ		710
1 3	+2, 640 +3, 365 +3, 350	-610	-710		$\frac{1}{1+500}$				4,970 6,115	16, 130		7,150		710
1 5	+3,350 +2,055	595			j				5, 85D 7, 655	14, 815		\$,965	·	710 675
1 7	(1+200)	-330	-675				,		9, 115	9, 005	110			675
	+520				-300				9,215 9,345	8,920 10,230	295	\$85	·	675
10	+1.470	-370	700		500	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			10,705	11, 880	í	1,085		700
11	+1,340 +2,015	-775	775		[200	1	· · · · · · · · · · ·		10, 665 11, 370 11, 370	11, 905 14, 955		1, 330 3, 585		700 775
14	+2,013 +1,670	-175	1 -775		-200 +200	1		• • • • • • •	11,370 10,895	13, 780 13, 105	*	2,410		775
} 10	+1,715 +1,605	sũ	-775	i . . .	+600	+200			11, 615	11, 655		19	1	475
17 18	+1,605 +1,960	*****	-775		+600 +600				11, 395	11, 405	75	10		475
10	+2,190 +2,400	60	-775	****	-300			· · · · · ·	11,430	11,265	105		·	475
22	+2.450		-775						10.620	11,005		385		475
23	+2,650 +2,660		-775		1	{		••••	10, 790	11,005	(215 205		475 475
24 25	+2,895 +2,950		-775		•••••				3, 535	10, 705		5,178		875
888	+1.250		-775 -775						5, 590 3, 890	10, 005		5,405 6,805		875 875
20	+ \$65		-790 -790	• • • • • •	. .		· • • • • • • • •	· ·	3, 505	10, 615		; 7,110		\$90 890
July 1	+505		-790			+500			4, 195]	8,455		0,460 4,200		890
26	+865 +865					+500			4, 035 5, 595	8, 130 7, 030		4.035		\$90
8	+ \$65		-790 -790						6, 395 5, 995	7,030		635 735	10	
ŧ 9	+ 65		790						5, 96	6, 600		1,065	50	
10	+865					+100			4, 895 5, 295	6, 235 5, 830		1,390		
1 13	+835 +865		-790 -790		ļ	+1, 300		ļ	8,635	4,950	3, 685	• • • • • • •	250	
14	+865		- 790					i	8, 535 8, 535	4, \$70	4.370			160 150
18	1 - ees 1 - 865		-790 -790			1-1 000		! 	9,930	3, 465 3, 465	6,465	· · · - · - ·	1	50
10	+505		-790			+2,100			10, 285	2, 085 2, 460	7,300		150	
20	+\$65)790 790		*	+2,200 +2,200 +2,200		اد میں دانا میں دی م	10,230	2,400	7,770 7,325		150 150	
1 1111														

^t The plus (+) sign indicates a long position and the minus (-) sign a short position for the future shown.

46

TABLE 2.- The net position in wheat futures of five leading traders, by futures and

1927

May

Trader A Trader B Trader C Date 1926 1926 1936 De-1028 1926 1927 1028 July 1920 1926 1927 May Sep-tember De-cember 1926 Sep-tember Spe- De-tamber cember July May July cember July 22 33 24 37 37 39 39 +1,140+1,140 +1,140 +1,140 +1,140 +1,140 +1,140 +1,140 +990 +990 +990 ÷540 +510 +900 +000 +900 +900 +100+100+600+100+100+100+100+100+1001, 05, -- 600 -- 720 ------935 -935 -750 +540 ----1, 230 1, 705 1, 315 1100 +510 -310 2,000 +50 +510 1,240 30 4 -500 31 2 3 3 ++, 240++, 240 ++, $\begin{array}{c} -1,100\\ -2,2,500\\ -4,400\\ -4,400\\ -4,400\\ -4,400\\ -5,10$ $\begin{array}{c} + 1.5300\\ - 1.55305\\ - 1.53345\\ - 1.53345\\ - 1.53345\\ - 1.53345\\ - 1.53345\\ - 1.53345\\ - 1.5220\\ -$ Aug. 2.000 1.000 1.000 = 45679 000 _ 0112131481751923123252223311 _ 000 100 900 900 -1 $\begin{array}{r} -1,900 \\ -1,900 \\ -1,900 \\ -2,400 \\ -2,400 \\ -2,900 \\ -2,900 \\ -2,900 \\ -2,900 \\ -2,900 \\ -2,900 \\ -2,900 \\ -2,900 \\ -2,900 \\ -3,400 \end{array}$ 1. $\begin{array}{c} -1, 650 \\ -3, 160 \\ -4, 600 \\ -4, 600 \\ -3, 200 \\ -3, 200 \\ -3, 200 \\ -3, 010 \\ -3, 010 \\ -2, 210 \\ -3, 010 \\$ 1, 600 $\begin{array}{r} -2,000\\ -3,400\\ -4,050\\ -6,100\\ -7,050\\ -8,650\\ -5,650\end{array}$ -- --_ 200 -10,935200 ----- -Sept. -11,635 200 ----

{in thousands of bushels-i. e., 000 omitted}

	• • •			5 a.m.'s	* · · ·	000		Q4 1406			I		
	23		*	$\{-12\}$			I	-9.550	1		•		
	- 3			-12,3	11.5	-200	(*** *****	10 040					
	- 7			1				- 10, 050	·				
	۰.			-12.3	245	-200	1	-10,250	i		1		
	7	1		-12,	915	-200		10, 10,					
				, ·	-10	-200		-10, 250			I.		
	- 8	1		-12.1	545	- 200		-10,250					
	្អ						*******	- 10, 200					
				- 12, 1	219	-200	1	-9,250				\$ i	
	10			-12	545	200							
	n			1 16'						+			
			{ -	-12,	24.2	200		-2,000				-	
	13	·		-12.4	5.15	-200;	1						
	14	1		1 1213				-2,000		· · · · · · · · · · ·			
				-7.1	2101	-200.			1			-600	
	15	ł		-7.5	0.45	anat			,				
			*******	1 - 6 -	640				!			i —600	
	18			-5.4	545	-200							
	17			—a, i		1000						600	
				— 0, I	нә	-200,			F			-600	
	18	·		-6.0	6451	-200							
	20	•									/****	600	
	40			-6,6	11.7	-200		+1,500				680	
	21	L	i	-5.6	155	-200							
	22							+ 500				-1, 100	
	<u> </u>			1 -4.4	1451	-200			+1,000			-1,100	
	23	ł			115.	-200:		1 100					
	24							+500	+1,000				1
	- 24	********	t	-64	145i	-200		1500	+1,000			1 100	
	25	1	1	-4.4	110	-2005		1100				-1,100	
	25 27							+500	+1,000			-1,100	
	- 16		l		SH 51	-2006			+1,000			-1,100 -1,100	
	25					BGA		1.000	- 1, UUU			-1, 100	
	***			—4, f	маі	-200		+ I. 300	+1.000			_1_100	
	29			-3,1	45	-200		+1,800					
	30					200		T1.000	T 1, U.U	·		-1,600	
~ .		*******		(-1,4				+2,000	+1.000			-1,900	
Oct.	- 1 -			-1,4	trini	-200		10,000				-1,000	
	- ñ							+2,000	+1,000	· • • • • • •		-1,900	
	÷	********		-1.4	EUUL	-200		+2,000	4.1 000			-1,000	
	- 4			-1.4	tinn!	-200						-1,000	
	÷.						*	+1,000	+1, UNI)			-2,200	
	3	*******		-1.4	inoi.	500			1.000				
	- 0			- i, i	inni	500						-2,200	
					100-	- X.U		+1,000	+1.000			-1.730	1
	1			-1,4	100	- 500:		+1,000	11 (199)			1 400	
	8					1 1000	********	T1,000	T 14 0000			— I, 730i	
			·		UC.	-1,200		+1,000	+1.000		1	-835	
	0			1 -1 -1	Đ01	-1, 200		+1,000			i		
	11				200	a provide the second second		T1,000	7,000				
		·	*	_ − I , 4	i uu	-1,200		+2,000	± 1.000	- 1		— I, 825]	
	13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I	-1.4	ioni.	-1, 200		t -1 (YM)	1 1 (14)41			0	
							*******	+2,000	17 S I UUU			-1.825	
									-				

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE

for all futures combined, by days, from April 30 to December 31, 1926-Continued

[In thousands of bushels-I. c., 000 omitted]

		Trade	r D			Trade	τE		Trade Е, аl	rs A, B	, C, I s comi), and bined	trude	mber rs A.
Dato	1020 July	1926 Sap- tem-	1926 De- cem-	1927 May	1928 July	1028 Sep- tember	1926 170- cem-	1927 May	Aggro	gate	N	let.	B, C. D con	and iblued at
		ber	get.				ber		Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short
July ?2 21	+\$65		790			+1, 100				2, 445 2, 445 2, 085	2, 500 2, 500 1, 000			150
21	+865		700 700			+1,400			4, 945	2, 140	1,000		350	150
26	+885		-790 790						4,015	-3.245	500		350	60
5588	+700		-700 -500			+300 +800			$\frac{2}{3}, \frac{880}{130}$	2,500	620		140	
29	+ 375		-375			41,000	·· ·		3, 705 3, 505	875	2,830	,	905	
31	4-175		-175	l		+1,000			0.39	175 100			1,340	
Aug. 2 3						+1,000 +1,000 +1,000		.	0.5			2, 450 5, 365	240 240	
1 4					••••	÷1,000			2,240	7, COS 8, 205		5,903	210	
56				1		-+1,009	· · · · · • •		2, 240 2, 240 2, 240 2, 210 2, 240	8, 205 8, 805 8, 745		6, 565 6, 505	240 240	
1 1 7		1	•'			+1,000	•		2, 240 2, 240 2, 240 2, 240 2, 240 2, 240	8,945	ŧ 	I 6. 765	1 240	
1 1		ļ	ļ	ļ		-1-1,000			2,240	8, 145	 	6, 705 5, 005	240 240	
10		}	<u>}</u>			+1,000 +1,000			2,210	7, 245 7, 720		5,480		100
32				·			<u> </u>		1,240			6,980		660 680
i3 14							[1,240	5, 220 5, 770 11, 530		6,980 7,530		660
1 18	1									11, 530		11,530	···-··	3, 560
17						'	i			14, 625 17, 225		17, 225		5, 500 7, 060
19										17.725		17, 725		7,500
20		1						1 ::::		14, 405 13, 205		14,405		5 0, 860 6, 160
23		1	ļ							13,205		13, 205		6, 160
24				·						12,455		12, 155		5,910
26										13, 155		13, 155		6,610
20 21 23 25 25 26 27 26 27 30										18, 205		18, 205 18, 515		11, 660
30									{	18, 185		18, 185		17, 240
Sept, I					******					19, 985 20, 485		10,055 20,485		10,585 20,285
1 2					- • •			[20, 485 22, 095 22, 595		22, 095 22, 595	+	21, 895 22, 305
3	1:							1		22,595 22,695	[22, 69:		22, 495
4 7 8 9								ļ. .	*****	22, 695 22, 695 22, 695 22, 995		22,695		22,495 22,795
9		<u></u>		1						1 91 6835	(21, 095		21, 795
1 10							····			21,905 14,745		21, 995		21, 795
		1	1							14, 745		1 14, 745		14, 545
14			ļ						·	8,045	¦	8, 647 8, 613		7,845
10		į							ļ	6, 345	"("	0,345		6, 145
10								· ·		7, 445		7, 443		17 945
18	1			l		1		1	1,500	7, 445 7, 445 0, 345		5, 945		7, 246 5, 745
21							+-100		900			5,445		5,645
22			1				! +800		2,300 2,300 2,300 2,300 2,300 3,100	5,745	+	8, 445		5,045
24	1	·				·	+800		2,300	5, 745		3, 445		5,045
27							+800	1	2,300	5, 94,		3, 645		5, 245
90 21 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20							+800	1	3,100	5, 945		2, 845		2.945
							1	1	3,000	3,600		500		1,300
Oct. 1									3,000 3,000	1 3 3 6	<u>اا</u>	500		1,300
1 4		1							2.000	1 3.800	j	1,300)	1 2,000
1 1		•		.{	· · · · · ·	.{		• • • • • •	2,000	4, 100)ŧ	2 100		2,600
						<u> </u>			- 2,000	1 3,630	1	1, 630	F	2 130
	1			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·]·····			2,000	1 3.499		1,438	1	1,235
1 11	}								3,000 3,000	4,422		1,42	5	1, 225
13					J			.(,	, 3,000	4,422		i 1,423	M	1,225

Ц

١

TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

TABLE 2.—The net position in wheat futures of five leading traders, by futures and

[In thousands of bushels—i. e., 000 omitted]

		Trader A					Trad	ler B	Trader C				
Da	te	1926 July	1926 Sep- tember	1928 De- cember	1027 May	1026 July	1926 Spe- tember	1926 De- cember	1927 May	1926 Juty	1926 Spe- tember	1026 De- ceta ber	1027 May
Oct.	14	· · ··· · ·		-1,400	-1, 200			+2,500			- -	-2, 425	
	15			-1,400	-1,200			+2,500	+1,000 +1,000 +1,000 +1,000 +1,000			-2, 425 -2, 925 -2, 925 -2, 925 -2, 425 -2, 425 -2, 925 -2, 425 -2, 925	+200
	16 18			-1,400	-1,200 -1,200			+3,000	-11,000			-2,925	+\$00
	10	;			-1 200			1 +3,000 +3,000	+1,000			-2,925	+800
	20			-800	-1,200 -1,200 -1,200 -1,200 -1,200			1+3,500					+1,300
	21 22	********		-800	-1,200			+3.500	+1,000			-2,425	4-1, 300
	3	´			-1,200		• • • • • • • • •	+3,500	+1,000		!	-2,925	+1,300
	25			-800	-1,200			1 4-3, 500 1 4-1 500	-+1.000	·		[-2, 925]	+1,300
	20			500	-1,200			+1.500	+1.000			-2.025	+1.300
	27 23			-\$00	-1,200			+1,500	+1,000 +1,000 +1,000 +1,000			-2,025	+1,300 +1,300 +1,300 +1,300 +1,300 +1,300 +1,300
	20	[-1,300 -1,300	$-1,200 \\ -1,200$		· • • • • • • •	i	+1,000			-3, 425	+1,300 +1,300 +1,300
	30			-1,300	-1,200								+1,300 +1,300 +1,300 +1,300
Nov,	1			[-1, 600]	-1,200			-1,500 -2,700 -3,000	(-1.200)		1	-3.725	41,300
	3			— 1. COU	1,400j			-3,000	- I, 500	5	1	-3 775	+1,300
	4 5	*****		- 900 - 700		• •		-3,000	-1,500		!	-1, 075	+1, 300
	в			-600	-1,400		[. .	-3,000 -3,000	-1,500			$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 725 \\ -2 & 725 \end{bmatrix}$	± 1.500
	8							- 5, 000	-1,500			-3.475	+1,300 +1,300 +1,300 +1,300
	ូម បេ	****		-765				-3, 600				-3,475	-1,500
	12			- 65 - 65	-1,400 -1,900			-3,000				-2, 800	+1,800
	13			-65			• • • • • • • • •	-3,500 -3,500	-2,000 -2,000			-3,400 -3,450	-1,500 +1,500 +1,500 +1,000
	15			-65	t_000i			-3, 500	-2.000				1 - I INN'I
	16		+++	+535 +235 +235	-1,900			-3.500	-2,000 -2,500			-3, 350	+1.000
	17 18			+235	2, 300			-3, 500	-3,000		-	-3,550	-600
	19			+235	-2.800			3, 500 3, 500 3, 500	-3,000 -3,000		****	1_3,550 	-600
	20			65	-3,200			-3,500	-3,000			-3,550 -2,600 -2,600	-000
	22			65	-3,200			-3,500	-3,000			1-2.600	-1,050
	23 24	• • • • • • • • •		-65 -65	-3,200 -3,550	••		-3.500	-3,000	••••		- 1, \$25	-1.240
	26			-65	-3, 580			-3,500 -3,500	3,000 3,000			-700	-2,505 -2,660
	27			∣ —05 _i	-3, 580 3, 780 3, 780			-3,500 -1,000	-3,000		<u> </u>	-420	-1,060
	:29 30			-65				l — 1, 000	-3.500	• •			-L 060
Dec.	1		•••••	+35 +335i	-3.950 -4.230				-4, 500 -4, 500	·		-325 -235	-755
D (0,	23	***		+335					-2,500		:	-230	-2,205
				-65					-2,500			+200	2—1.965i
	6	******		-65	-4, 730 -4, 730 -4, 730 -4, 730 -4, 730 -4, 730 -4, 930				-1,500			+200	-2,405
	7			-65 -65	-4, 530				-1,500 -1,500			+200	-2, 365
	- 8			-100	-1,730				-2.000			+230	-665
	8			-100	-1.030				-2,000			+230	-665
	10 11		•••••		-4,830 -5,530				-2,000 -2,000			i ⊥oan	
	13					•••••			-2,000 -2,000	•		+230	+1,110 +260
	14				-6,730!				-2.000			+230 +230 +260 +260 +360	+630
	15				-0, 730				-1,500			+360	-780
	16 17				-1,750				-1,500			+360	- 1550
	18				-0, 730 -6, 730 -6, 730				-1,500 -1,500	•••••		+360 +360	— 1,55 — 1,555
	28228322288				-6, 530				-1,500			+360	-1,515
	21				-6.730				l í F			+360 +360	-2, 015
	22				-6,305		• • •		+500 +500 +500			+370	-1.545
	24			<u> </u>	-6,305				+500			+370 +370	1, 545 1, 545
	27				-6, 305							+370	1, 545
	28				-9, 405				+1,000			+360	- 545
	29	******			-6,405		• · • · · · · - •		+1,000		•••••	+230	-500
	30				-6,605	******			+1,000 +1,000 +1,000 +1,000 +1,000	**		+200	500 500
					-, 1				1,000				

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE 49

for all futures combined, by days, from April 30 to December 31, 1926-Continued

(In thousands of bushels—i, e., 000 omitted)

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Trac	ier D			Trade	τE		Trade E, al	rs A. It I future	, C, I s com), and bined	19 Doco trade	mber
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Dato		Sep-	De-			Sep-	Da-		Aggre	egato	7	let	B, C D con	, and abined
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			ber							Long	Short	Long	Short	Long	Short
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									• • •	3, 500 3, 700	5, 025 5, 025		1, 525		1 1 195
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10									4, 500	5, 525		725		1 1, 325
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15							• • • • • • • •		4, 500	5, 525		725		1.325
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	20			••••				+ 300	•••••	5,800	4, 425	1,375	******	275	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21							+500		0,300					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22							+ 100		6, 610	5, 980	630			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21			-1,085	+355	. .	*** ***			6,685	5, 900	605			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26			-510	435			1 - 1:00		4,455		·	1 780	****	2,970
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27			-1.225	+383					4, 185					3, 450
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	28	 .								2 685	7, 190		4, 505		5,999
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	20		· • · *						• I	2,785		• • • • •			6, 225
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										2,185	19 080	• • • • - •		}	6,325
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3			-1.980	+360										30 355
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				l—1, 825	+180					1,750	12, 700		10, 920		9,800
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		•••••		-2.370						2.520	12 (9,				9,795
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-2, 503						2, 520	12, 590			[9,690
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				11,000	+770					2,570	11, 230				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				-1,745	i +770					2, 570	10, 510		7,040		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				-2,070	+840					2.640	12, 935		10, 295	 	0.035
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		5		-1.000	+313			. 		1, 545			10,830		8,775
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16			- <u>-</u>	-1-545					2 380	13, 705		31, 335		1 9,400
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	}···-		-2.835	+1035			•		1 290	15, 785		14, 405		9,650
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18		• • • • • •	-3, 530	+12.05	}- -			· · · · · -	1, 530					10, 345
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20						*****		• • • • •	1,530					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				-3, 170	-1-345						16, 585		16, 160	[0 335
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23			-9, 210	15						15, 655		15,055		7,600
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	• • • • • • • • •								75		*		[6, 560
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27			-2, 310	-70										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20			-2,405	65							****	12,205		3 590
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30										10, 595		10, 470		1,325
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 Dec. 1	(•••••]			{····-	{ 	• • • • • • • •	• • • • •	335	13, 125		12,790		935
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	****											11,405		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4			-1,035	-1200					200	11, 025		10, 885		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u></u>	<i>-</i> `									0, 010		9,410		505
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ś								 	610 575			7,580		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	j D			-250	+15					215	7,945	•-•	7,700		1 120
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				250	-2000					1, 115	9,080		7,965		20
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				- 255	-2005		••			1,340			8,510		25
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			******										9,920	80	j
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15			-220	-2390					360			11.200		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										360	10, 965		10, 205	140	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$;	-185	-2970				• • • • • •				11, 180		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20				[-2125]				(*****i				11, 765		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21				-1055					360	10, 100		10,940		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22				(-1235	j				870			8, 265	370	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	l			-1-35				• • • • •	870			8, 385		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27				- 1530					1 376		******	8,175		
29 135 -1770 1 -1 1 <td< td=""><td>28</td><td>;</td><td></td><td> 135</td><td>-1770</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1, 360</td><td>\$ 855</td><td></td><td>7,495</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	28	;		135	-1770					1, 360	\$ 855		7,495		
31 1,200 8,810 7,610 65 31 1,700 1,700 1,000 8,875 7,876	29									1, 230	8, \$10		7,580	95	
······································				-135						1,200		*****	7,610	65	
		· · · · · ·							*****	s, 000)	0,040		1,010		

:

•

ġ,

TECHNICAL BULLETIN 79, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE

TABLE 3—The opening, high, low, and closing prices of the 1926 December wheat future with the daily range and the net change in price, by days, from May 5 to December 31, 1926.

[In cents per bushel]

					[11	Cents	s her ous	nelj				
Date	Opening	· Iligh	Low	Closing 1	Range for the day	Net change from close of previous day	Date	Ojxening	Digh	Low.	Closing ¹	Range for the day Net change from close of previous day
21 225 229 3 4 5 7 3 8 9 112 4 5 7 8 9 112 7 114 7 114 7 115 7 114 7 1	1344 1344 1344 1344 1345 1344 1345 1355 1345 1355 1345 1355 1345 1355	137 1-21 137 1-21 137 1-21 137 1-21 135 1 135 1 135 1 135 2 135 2 137 2 136 2 137 2 136 2 137 2 136 2 137	135354344353334445454 245554344333334443533338380033303377777735883354454 24555434278784445454 24555434278784445454 2477858344787878787878787878787878787878787878			1+1-1-1-1-+++++++-+	24 25 25 25 25 28 30 1 24 5 6 7 8 9 11		$\begin{array}{c} 1395(1)\\ 1373(1)\\ 1383(1)\\ 1405(1)\\ 1405(1)\\ 1405(1)\\ 1405(1)\\ 142\\ 1415(1)\\ 1384(1)\\ $		372-24	$ \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array} \\ & \bigg \\ \\ & \bigg \\ & \bigg \\ & \bigg \\ \\ \\ & \bigg \\ \\ & \bigg \\ \\ \\ \\$
L d - torie	and the second second	3 A		····/*********************************		20 1	20	174-74		io 70 I	2071-72	1781 - 74

٠

 $\mathfrak{b} = \operatorname{price}$ asked and $\mathfrak{d} = \operatorname{price}$ bid at the close of the market.

MAJOR TRANSACTIONS IN THE 1926 DECEMBER WHEAT FUTURE 51

TABLE 3.—The opening, high, low, and closing prices of the 1926 December wheat future with the daily range and the net change in price, by days, from May 5 to December 31, 1926—Continued [In cents per bushel]

Net change from close of previous day day Net clunge from close of previous day for the the ē pening Opening Closing Closing Rangu I Range 늵 Date Date High High Low <u>0</u> 1 ŀ $\overline{\circ}$ 11234 144-14 142-14 1442-5 14334-14 14334-14 2444 14234-75 175 334 175 298 --** + 23 5 --7 5 $\begin{array}{c} {}^{+1}$ 13012-12 13526-24 13566-24 14066-24 1406-24 1406-24 1406-24 1406-24 1406-24 1400-24 1400-24 1 21 22 Nov. 27 13642 1355 1341 Oct. Not preserve a la company 136 í 130-3-53 1355-34 136-54 136-54 1385-55 138 14535-15 136¹/₁ 135³/₄ 10 0 -12248 23 -18 1341 1411-7 30 13334 1344 13035 13334 1334 1335 1394 13755 14975 135 1415 14074 14074 1385 13975 138 1415 14074 14074 1385 13975 13874 13354 130 13354 130 *-7's $23_8 - 13_8$ $13_8 - 13_8$ $13_8 - 43_8$ $13_8 - 41_4$ $21_4 - 1_8$ $13_2 - 23_8$ 25 Dec. 122 $\begin{array}{c} 14354 - 45\\ 14354 - 42\\ 14254 - 5\\ 14254 - 5\\ 14254 - 7\\ 14254 - 7\\ 14254 - 5\\ 14$ 143-14 1424 - 7 s 143 - 1 s 1427 s - 3 1424 - 7 s ----26 27 ļ ä 25 4 138 140¹ 2-34 140³ 4-1 140³ 8-1 130³ 6 130³ 6 130³ 6 137³ 8 137 138 4 žõ 8 7 ļ $\begin{array}{c} 11_{2} - 2_{3} \\ 23_{3} - 2_{2} \\ 14_{3} \\ 2_{1} \\ 2_{1} \\ + 1_{3} \\ 2_{1} \\ 2_{1} \\ + 1_{3} \\ 2_{1} \\ + 1_{4} \\ 1_{2} \\ + 1_{4} \\ 1_{4} \\ + 1_{4} \\ 1_{4} \\ 1_{4} \\ - 1_{4} \\ 2_{4} \\ - 1_{4}$ 14044.78 14045.12 13049-44 13049-44 13044-39 14044-39 141-32 14044-78 14044-78 30 Nov, 1 $\begin{array}{c} 140 \cdot i_{2} \\ 139 \cdot i_{4} \\ 139^{-1} i_{4} \\ 139^{-1} i_{4} \\ 140^{2} y^{-1} \\ 142^{1} i_{4} \\ -3^{2} i_{4} \\ 141^{2} i_{2} \\ -3^{2} i_{4} \\ 139^{1} \\ 2^{-2} i_{4} \\ 137^{-1} i_{4} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 2^{-1} i_{4} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\ 135^{-1} \\ 12^{-1} \\$ Ś 3 ø iộ L 1% 4 5 1 $\begin{array}{c} 1117_{\pm} 140_{\pm} \\ 1431_{\pm} 1417_{\pm} \\ 112_{\pm} 1417_{\pm} \\ 112_{\pm} 1401_{\pm} \\ 132_{\pm} 1401_{\pm} \\ 1331_{\pm} 1351_{\pm} \\ 1331_{\pm} 1351_{\pm} \\ 1331_{\pm} 1351_{\pm} \\ 1335_{\pm} 1331_{\pm} \\ 1335_{\pm} 1323_{\pm} \\ 1335_{\pm} 1333_{\pm} \\ 1335_{\pm} 1335_{\pm} \\ 133$ 140³4-73 142-14 14173-2 254 13 6 $\begin{array}{c} 1(35)(4) & 130 \\ (138)(4) & 137(5)(6) \\ (138)(4) & 137(5)(6) \\ (138)(2) & 137(5)(6) \\ (138)(2) & 137(5)(6) \\ (138)(2) & 137(5)(6) \\ (138)(2) & 137(5)(6) \\ (138)(2) & 137(6) \\ (138$ 89 H +1-1-1-1112 $\begin{array}{c} 11_{41} & -1_{41} \\ 13_{4} & -1_{42} \\ 21_{42} & -1_{42} \\ 14_{4} & -15_{42} \\ 11_{42} & -13_{42} \\ 11_{42} & -13_{42} \\ 13_{43} & -1_{44} \\ 11_{43} & +1_{44} \\ 11_{43} & +1_{44} \end{array}$ 15 16 14044-1 ю 1383 s 138-1 s 1373 - 1.9 36 12 13 17 1351, -35 1391, -35 1394, -15 1394, -15 1421, -15 1411, +1 1 15 139 13734 (19) 1364 (1) 1344 (1) 1344 (1) 1344 (1) 1813 1813 1919 $\frac{20}{21}$ 1394 +34+34325 18 14234-3% 141-1₅ 2222422 17 135-14 1351 5-35 13259 34 13259 34 1331 5-35 $11_9 + 1$ $31_9 - 21_1$ $13_5 + 3_9$ 1351, 43 133-15 18 140% -139¹ -- ¹ --139916 1401--56 1361--78 19 20 140 139 14114 1404 1 ş $\begin{array}{c} 103-18\\ 13385-44\\ 13355-44\\ 13512-58\\ 13448-12\\ 13515-6\\ 137-14\\ 137-14\end{array}$ + ŧ 140% 136¹ 2 137 135¹ 5 12323 1401,-16 22 2^{1} , $+1^{7}$ 5 248-112 2 +112 134 +119 i 23 1351 s-1 1311 - 38 13631 - 5 -34 +294 136 -7 1365 ł 136¹2 137¹2 13814 136¹/₂ 139¹/₂ 132 1385-5 132-5 * 38 3i 5

The high price for the life of the future was \$1.50½ on July 19, and the low price was \$1.32 on Dec. 31. The average closing price was \$1.38² , with an average daily price range of 1²₄ cents. The average daily net change in price from the previous day's close was approximately z_3 cent.

TABLE 4.—Average closing	price and net change in	price in each of the wheat futures
during its period of	dominance, ¹ from A pri	il 30 to December 31, 1926

	A verage closing price	Net		A verage pr	e closing ice	Net change		A verage pr	e closing let	Net change from
Date	1920 1920 (from close of pre- vious day	Date	1926 July fature	1926 Sep- tember future	from close of pre- vious day	Date	1926 July fature	1926 Sep- tember future	close of pre- vious duy
Apr. 30 May 1 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 17 19	134*1		May 20 21 22 25 20 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 3 4 4 5 7 5 9	13645 13655 135555 135555 135555 135555 135555 135555 135555 135555 135555 1355555 135555 135555 135555 1355555 1355555 135555 1355555 1355555 1355555 135555555 1355555555		21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5	June 10 June 10 11 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22 23 24 25 28 29	13914 14054 13834 14055 14055 14055 14055 14055 14055 14055 14055 14055 14055 14055 141555 141555 141555 141555 1415555 1415555 1415		$\begin{array}{c} -213334\\ -21334\\ -1110\\ +1110\\ +14334\\ +2334\\ +2334\\ +334\\ +334\\ +12\\ +334\\ +12\\ +334\\ +12\\ +334\\ +12\\ +33\\ +12\\ +23\\ +12\\ +33\\ +12\\ +23\\ +12\\ +33\\ +12\\ +23\\ +22\\ +23\\ +22\\ +23\\ +22\\ +22\\ +2$

[In cents per bushel]

ŧ.

¹ The period during which each future curried a larger volume of open commitments than any other future.

TABLE 4.—Average closing price and net change in price in each of the wheat futures during its period of dominance, from April 80 to December 31, 1926—Continued

		o closing leo	Net clange from			e closing ice	Net change from			closing ice	change
Date	1920 Decom- ber futuro	1026 Sep- tember futuro	closo of pre- vious day	Dato	1925 Decem- ber future	1927 May futuro	close of pre- vious day	Date	1928 Decom- ber futuro	1927 May luturo	from close of pre- vicus day
June 30 July 2 7 7 8 9 10 10 12 13 14 15 15 16 16 17 19 9 21 22 23 24 25 27 20 30 31 2 2 23 24 25 27 30 31 2 2 22 23 24 25 25 25 20 20 21 22 33 14 15 15 16 10 10 20 21 22 33 16 20 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	1394 1407 1 1394 4 1397 4 1397 4 1387 5 1394 5 1394 5 1384 5		$\begin{array}{c} + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + $	89900113145167782232323232323232323232323232323232323	13234 13244 133 131 131 131 131 131 131 131 135 135		t+±1-+-1++-1±1+1++++++++++++++++++++++++	Nov. 1 1 4 5 6 6 10 12 13 15 16 16 16 17 18 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	13736	13714 13714 13814 13914 13914 13914 13914 13814 13714 13814	1+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

[In cents per bushel]

ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE PROCURED FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS U.S.GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D. C. AT


10 CENTS PER COPY

52


4

.

ŀ



ST3HSN8



•

WITTIONS OF BUSHETS SOTD

composite of wheat-futures prices showing each h	where for the period during which it was relatively	iy the most important compared with

	620 C
	*

ared with the volume of trading and open commitments, all wheat futures combined, by days, for the period April 30 to December 31, 1926, Chicago Board of Trade 99542°-28 (Face p. 6.)

. .



.

ч.

.