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#### Abstract

This report presents State-level soybean production cost and return estimates for the 1990 production year, along with coefficients of variation for each cost item. Per-acre costs are highly variable among States due to differences in production practices, inputs, and type and size of machines used in soybean production. Total per-acre economic costs varied from $\$ 151$ in Mississippi to $\$ 258$ in Nebraska. Soybean yields varied significantly, from about 10 bushels in Georgia to 43 bushels per planted acre in Indiana: Methods used to develop the State-level production costs and returns for 1990 are the same as those used to develop regional and U.S. weighted averages published in the Economic Indicators of the Farm Sector: Costs of Production, 1991-Major Field Crops \& Livestock and Dairy. State-level estimates should be used for generai discussion only, because statistical reliability diminishes for estimates below the regional and U.S. level due to sample size. Coefficients of variation included in this report are an indicator of the statistical reliability of each estimate.
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# Soybeans: State-Level Production Costs, Characteristics, and Input Use,1990 

Mir B. Ali<br>William D. McBride


#### Abstract

Introduction Soybeans are an important cash crop in the United States, ranking second to corn in production value. In 1990 , soybean production value was $\$ 11$ biliion, compared with $\$ 18.2$ billion for corn.

In 1990, soybeans were planted on 57.8 million acres and harvested on about 56.5 million acres, both 5 percent below 1989. Production of soybeans in 1990 totaled 1.93 billion bushels, tess than 1 percent below 1989. The U.S. average yield of 34.1 bushels per havested acre was 1.8 bushels above the 1989 average yieid, and equaled the then record high of 1985. Yields were higher in 1990 than in 1989 in most soybean-producing States. Record high yields were reported in Michigan, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryiand, and New Jersey. In 1990, the top five States in terms of planted soybean acreage were Illinois, lowa, Minnesota, Indiana, and Missouri.


The 1990 soybean season started with late plantings due to extremely wet conditions. Crop development lagged 1 to 3 weeks behind normal as cool and wet weather prevailed. Arkansas, Missouri, and illinois were especially late in crop development (Crop Production, 1991). As fall set in, there were concerns over an early frost that could affect the final stages of crop development. However, warm, dry conditions in late September and October allowed the soybean crop to reach its yield potential throughout the northern regions.

The southern regions did not have a good growing season because of uneven rainfall distribution and frequent dry weather. Dryness and high temperatures during critical summer months, especially in August, drastically reduced soybean yields. In Georgia, nearly one-fourth of the soybean acreage was abandoned as dry weather and disease problems severely injured the soybean crop. Soybean yields in the Southeast were about 8 busheis per acre below normal.

This report summarizes the 1990 -production cost data for 14 soybean States. Production costs and returns along with coefficients of variation (C.V.) by State are given in tables 1 to 14 . Statistical reliability of the State-level soybean production cost estimates is summarized in table 15. Also included are selected farm characteristics and production practices (app, table 1), quantities of selected inputs (app. table 2), and average machine use in the production of soybeans (app. tables 3 - 16 ).

## Background

U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service (USDA, ERS) annualiy estimates production costs and returns of major fieid crops (USDA, ERS, 1994). The estimates are calculated on a per-planted-acre basis and include both operator and landlord costs and returns. Costs are included only for the acreage planted with the intention of being harvested for beans. ERS estimates exclude the direct effects of Government programs where possible so that policymakers may be informed as to
production costs and returns in the absence of programs. Exciusion of all effects of Government programs, such as indirect effects on input prices, is not possible.

Cost-of-production estimates reflect average production practices, yieids, and prices paid and received by farmers. Per-acre costs vary widely among farmers due to differences in inputs and type and size of machinery used. This variabiiity means that costs and returns for individual farmers may differ considerably from average estimates presented in this report. Consequently, users should understand the objectives and procedures of the ERS estimates. Also, note that while the differences between costs and returns determine the profitability of a given enterprise, they are not an adequate measure of the well-being of farms producing more than one commodity.

## Structure of Accounts

The State-ievel per-acre production cost estimates included in this report conform to the current ERS definitions and structure of accounts. Production cost and return estimates are presented in the form of a commodity account, which lists gross value of production, variable cash expenses, fixed cash expenses, economic costs, and two measures of returns.

Value of production is estimated by multiplying the harvest-period price times planted-acre yield. Harvest-period prices, rather than season-average prices, are used since using season-average prices reflects marketing factors like storage. Marketing is not a production cost, so storage costs are not inciuded. Harvest-period prices and yieids are specified at the State level. Payments from Government farm programs, such as deficiency and disaster payments, are excluded from gross value of production. Under the 1990 Farm Act, however, soybeans are not eligible for deficiency payments.

Variable cash expenses are those incurred only if production takes place. Expense items included in this category are seed, fertilizers, chemicals, custom operations and technical services, hired labor, fuel, electricity, lubrication, repairs, and purchased irrigation water.

Fixed expenses must be paid regardiess of whether or not a crop is produced. Fixed expenses include genera! farm overhead, taxes, insurance, and interest on loans. Overhead costs consist of expenses for utilities (excluding water and electricity for irrigation), farm shop and office equipment and supplies, accounting and legal fees, blanket insurance policies, fence maintenance and repairs, motor vehicie registration, chemicals applied to maintain farm roads and ditches, and any other general expenses attributable to the entire farm business.

Economic costs are long-term costs that reflect the production situation as if the operation fully owned all production inputs. An opportunity cost is calculated for all capital inputs and land, whether owned, rented, or financed. Economic costs include variable cash expenses, general farm overhead, taxes and insurance, capital replacement, an imputed cost of capital invested in the production process, unpaid labor, and land. Capital replacement cost represents a portion of the value of the machinery and equipment used up during the year in the production of a crop, plus an additional cost required to bring these items up to the same level of quality that they were at the beginning of the period.

Opportunity costs are imputed from values of capital, land, and unpaid labor in alternative uses. The cost of operating capital is the expense of carrying input expenses from the time they are used until harvest. ERS imputes this cost at the 6 -month U.S. Treasury bill rate, which was 7.47 percent. The cost of having capital invested in farm machinery and equipment (nonland capital) is measured using the iongrun rate of return to agricultural production assets from current income, which was 3.4 percent. ERS values land in cost-of-production accounts at its rental value. The land rental rates are a composite of share (valued at the harvest-period price) and cash rental rates for a particular crop, minus real estate taxes that aiready have been included in other taxes and the value of inputs supplied by the landlord. ERS imputes the value of unpaid labor (hired labor is a variable cash expense) at the wage rate for agricultural workers. Additional value of unpaid labor, such as for management and entrepreneurial skiil, is treated as a residual return.

Two returns are included in each account. Gross value of production less cash expenses is the net cash return that measures the shortrun cash-flow position. Gross value of production less economic costs is the residual returns to management and risk that measures the longrun position of the enterprise.

## Data Sources

Production cost estimates are based on information obtained from the Farm Costs and Returns Survey (FCRS). The FCRS is a multiframe, stratified survey conducted annually by ERS and USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service (USDA, NASS). Each year there are multiple versions of the FCRS: an in-depth, whole-farm version, and commodity cost-of-production (COP) versions. While all versions have questions about whole-farm expenses and income, each COP version gathers detailed information about input use, field operations, and production costs of a particular crop. Because of survey costs, USDA cannot undertake detailed surveys of every commodity each year. Thus, the FCRS covers each commodity about every 4 years. In nonsurvey years, production piactices and technology are assumed to remain constant with the survey year. Costs are updated with price and yield data from the whole-farm version of the FCRS, ERS, and INASS publications, and other data sources.

Soybean production data were collected on the 1990 FCRS completed during February and March 1991. The soybean version of the 1990 FCRS contained questions on the organization and financial structure of the entire farming operation, as welt as questions about production practices and operating expenses that were specific to the soybean enterprise. Twenty soybean-producing States were included in the 1990 FCR.S soybean sample. The 1990 FCRS represents 271,841 farms that planted soybeans on 43.6 million acres and produced 1.44 billion bushels. The primary intent of the survey was to generate U.S. and regional average cost of production estimates. Therefore, most nationaland regional-level estimates are statistically reliable. Appendix table 1 presents estimates for 14 soybean-producing States that have sufficient sample size to provide State-level estimates. Statistical reliability of these estimates is also examined.

## Estimation Procedures

Procedures used to derive an estimate for a particular component of costs or returns are constrained by avallable data. Four general approaches were used to estimate the production costs: direct costing, allocation of whole-farm costs, vaiuing of input quantities, and indirect costing (fig. 1).

Direct costing is achieved by simply summarizing survey responses to questions about the amount paid for each item on a particular crop. This method is best suited for estimating components of variable costs such as seed, fertilizers, chemicals, custom operations, hired labor, purchased irrigation water, and technical services.

Indirect costing involves the combination of survey information and engineering formulas. Detailed information is collected on the survey regarding the machinery complement used in production. The data collected include hours of machine use, acreage covered, type and size of machine, and type of fuel used. This information is used to support equations of technical relationships that describe fuel consumption, repair requirements, and replacement costs. Engineering formulas are modified to reflect technological advances as they occur.

Allocating whole-farm expenses occurs for inputs that are not specifically associated with production of a commodity. For example, expenses for overhead items, interest, taxes, and insurance cannot be directly attributed to the production of an individual farm commodity. Survey data on production, along with secondary price data, are used to determine each farm's total value of production. Expenses incurred by the whole farm for a particular input are then aliocated to an enterprise based on the enterprise's share of the operation's total value of production.

Valuing quantities of inputs requires survey data of the physical quantities of inputs used in production. This approach is used for unpaid labor. Costs are estimated by multiplying survey input quantities by State-level prices.

Components of economic costs including operating capital, nonland capitai, and land are estimated using a combination of these approaches. Operating capital cost is the sum of variable expenses times the 6 -month Treasury bill rate. Nonland capital is the average machinery value times the longrun rate of return to farm-sector assets. Land cost includes a combination of cash rental rates and landlords' net returns from share rental arrangements.

## 1990 Soybean Production Costs and Returns

At the U.S. level, per-acre soybean costs decreased slightly in 1990, mainly as a result of lower seed costs. A slight decrease in fertilizer prices was offset by a rise in fuel and chemical prices. At the U.S. level, 1990 total cash costs of producing soybeans were $\$ 106.90$ per acre (or $\$ 3.23$ per bushel) and total economic costs were $\$ 190.54$ per acre (or $\$ 5.76$ per bushel). For more details, refer to Economic Indicators of the Farm Sector: Costs of Production, 1991-Major Field Crops \& Livestock and Dairy.

Per-acre costs and returns varied significantly among States. Variations in yields were due in part to weather patterns. Temperature and moisture are especially critical factors in late summer for pod fillings. Yield variations together with differences in crop prices translate into fluctuations in gross and net returns. Variations in production costs among States are due to differences in tillage practices, quantities and prices of inputs, and several other production factors.

Soybean enterprise gross returns in 1990 ranged from $\$ 54.88$ to $\$ 252.27$ per planted acre. In most States, gross returns were large enough to cover total cash costs, except in Georgia and South Caroina where retums were unusually low because of poor yields. The highest gross returns were found for Indiana soybean farms due both to relatively higher yields and prices.

Major variable cash items associated with soybean production include seed, chemicais, and fuel. Together these costs comprised about 40 to 70 percent of the total variable cash costs. There was wide variation among States. Per-acre seed expense ranged from a low of $\$ 8.61$ in Arkansas to a high of $\$ 15.90$ in Ohio. Chemical expense per acre ranged from $\$ 13.95$ in Georgia to $\$ 24.76$ in Indiana. The highest fuet expense, at $\$ 18.82$ per acre, was estimated for Nebraska farms, because more acres of soybeans were irrigated than in other States. Missouri farms producing soybeans in 1990 had the lowest fuel cost at $\$ 7.02$ per acre.

Fertilizer was a major variable cost item for producers in the Southeastern States. Many more producers in the Southeast fertilized soybeans than in the North. South Carolina had the highest fertitizer expense at $\$ 35.07$ per acre, accounting for about one-third of variable cash costs. In contrast, northern producers most often planted soybeans after corn, which may have reduced fertilizer requirements. Per-acre fertilizer expense in the Northern States ranged from about $\$ 2$ to $\$ 12$, compared with $\$ 20$ to $\$ 35$ in the Southeast.

Kansas farms producing soybeans in 1990 had the lowest total variable cash costs among all States at $\$ 52.30$ per acre. Relatively low fertilizer and chemical costs accounted for much of the cost savings. South Carolina producers had the highest variable cash costs at $\$ 109.24$ per acre. Costs for fertilizers and bired labor were relatively high on South Carolina soybean operations.

Fixed cash costs ranged from $\$ 13.73$ to $\$ 47.32$ per planted acre. Soybean producers in Minnesota had the highest fixed cash costs, while the lowest fixed costs were estimated for South Carolina.

Total cash costs ranged from $\$ 79.32$ in South Dakota to $\$ 124.42$ per planted acre in Nebraska. Farms producing soybeans in Nebraska had the highest per-acre cash cost due to irrigation. Indiana had the highest returns less cash costs at $\$ 138.89$ per planted acre.

Total econornic costs ranged from \$151.46 per acre in Mississippi to $\$ 257.94$ per acre in Nebraska. Capital replacement and land costs in Nebraska were highest among all States. Estimated returns to management and risk were positive in most Northern States, including Illinois, Indiana, lowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and South Dakota. Among Southern States, only Arkansas had positive returns to management and risk.

## Statistical Reliability of Estimates

Production cost data presented in this report include an estimate of the coefficient of variation for each item. The coefficient of variation (C.V.) is a measure of relative dispersion indicating the variability of the estimated sample mean. It takes into account the variation in each cost item and also the variation in the expanded number of soybean farms estimated from the sample. The coefficient of variation is defined as the standard deviation of the estimate divided by its mean and expressed as a percentage of the estimate. In general, the smaller the C.V. the greater the reliability of the estimate. Note that survey results can also be influenced by nonsampling errors which are not measurable nor known. Nonsampling errors can be introduced by enumerators, respondents, or survey design. Efforts were made to minimize the effect of nonsampling error, consisting of the training of enumerators, review, edit of survey data, and analysis of data for comparability and consistency.

Constructing confidence intervals around the mean is a method for examining the precision of the estimate. For example, the mean total cash costs of producing soybeans in Indiana is $\$ 113.38$ per acre with a coefficient of variation of 4.63 . The 95 -percent confidence interval for this estimate is $\$ 103.09$ to $\$ 123.67$ per acre. We are 95 -percent confident that this interval contains the true population mean of total cash costs for producing an acre of soybeans in Indiana. Among all States, confidence intervals tended to have narrow ranges, and thus reliability of estimates improved as sample size increased (table 15).
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Figure 1
Approaches used to estimate the cost of production components

| Direct costing | Allocating whole-farm expenses | Valuing quantities of inputs | Indirect costing | Some combination of approaches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -Seed <br> - Fertilizers <br> - Chemicals <br> - Custom operations <br> - Hired labor <br> - Purchased irrigation water <br> - Technical services | - General farm overhead <br> - Interest <br> - Taxes and insurance | - Unpaid labor | - Fuel, lubrication, electricity <br> - Repairs <br> - Capital replacement | - Operating capital <br> - Other nonland capital <br> - Land |


| I tem | 1990 | c.v. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars | Percent |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 89.91 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 89.91 | na |
| Cash expenses: |  |  |
| Seed | 10.71 | 10.87 |
| Fertilizer | 20.24 | 12.63 |
| Chemicals | 14.51 | 14.67 |
| Custom operations | 1.15 | 37.99 |
| Fuel, lube, and electricity | 10.99 | 14.30 |
| Repairs | 8.38 | 14.69 |
| Hired labor | 3.89 | 33.07 |
| Purchased irrigation water | 0.00 | na |
| Total, variable cash expenses | 69.86 | 9.27 |
| General farm overhead | 5.85 | 21.11 |
| Taxes and insurance | 8.87 | 23.96 |
| interest | 2.62 | 33.61 |
| Total, fixed cash expenses | 17.34 | 9.52 |
| Total, cash expenses | 87.20 | 6.59 |
| Gross value of production less cash expenses | 2.71 | ne |
| Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) | 5.76 | กa |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 15.61 | 25.56 |
|  |  | $=$ |
| Table 1 b -Alabama: Soybean production economic costs and returns per planted acre with coefficients of variation, 1990 |  |  |
| Item | 1990 | c.V. |
|  | Dollars | Percent |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 89.91 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 89.91 | na |
| Economic (full-ownership) costs: |  |  |
| Variable cash expenses | 69.86 | 9.27 |
| General farm overhead | 5.85 | 21.11 |
| Taxes and insurance | 8.87 | 23.96 |
| Capital replacement | 16.87 | 17.11 |
| Operating capital | 2.61 | 9.27 |
| Other nonland capital | 10.45 | 25.33 |
| Land | 20.19 | 11.21 |
| Unpaid labor | 24.68 | 33.35 |
| Total, economic (fult-ownership) costs | 159.38 | 10.99 |
| Residual returns to management and risk | -69.47 | na |
| Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) Yield (bushels per planted acre) | $\begin{array}{r} 5.76 \\ 5.76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} n a \\ 25.56 \end{array}$ |


na $=$ Not applicable.

| Item | 1990 | c.V. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars | Percent |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 174.14 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 174.14 | na |
| Cash expenses: |  |  |
| Seed | 8.69 | 9.28 |
| Fertilizer | 7.92 | 30.50 |
| Chemicals | 16.15 | 16.73 |
| Custom operations | 1.74 | 42.42 |
| Fuel, lube, and electricity | 15.96 | 16.51 |
| Repairs | 11.46 | 12.14 |
| Hired labor | 8.56 | 27.49 |
| Purchased irrigation water | 0.00 | na |
| Total, variable cash expenses | 70.41 | 15.09 |
| General farm overhead | 6.26 | 22.16 |
| Taxes and insurance | 6.79 | 9.88 |
| Interest | 6.61 | 27.68 |
| Total, fixed cash expenses | 19.66 | 13.39 |
| Total, cash expenses | 90.07 | 13.95 |
| Gross value of production less cash expenses | 84.07 | na |
| ```Hervest-period price (dollars per bushel) Yield (bushels per planted acre)``` | $\begin{array}{r} 5.95 \\ 29.27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} n a \\ 8.57 \end{array}$ |

Table $2 b-$-Arkansas: Soybean production economic costs and returns per planted acre with coefficients of variation, 1990

Dollars Percent

Gross value of production:

| Soybeans | 174.14 | na |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Total, gross value of prociuction | 174.14 | na |

Economic (full-ownership) costs:

| Variable cash expenses | 70.41 | 15.09 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

General farm overhead $\quad 6.26 \quad 22.16$

| Taxes and insurance | 6.79 | 9.88 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Capital replacement | 27.51 | 12.65 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |


| Operating capital | 2.63 | 15.09 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Other nonland capital $\quad 11.80 \quad 9.54$
Land $\quad 35.07 \quad 13.59$

| Unpaid labor | 11.66 | 19.16 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |


| Total, economic (full-ownership) costs | 172.11 | 8.14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Residual returns to menagement and risk | 2.03 | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arvest-period price (dollars per bush |  |  |
| Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) Yield (bushels per planted acre) | $\begin{array}{r} 5.95 \\ 29.27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} n a \\ 8.57 \end{array}$ |


na $=$ Not applicable.

| Item | 1990 | c.V. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars | Percent |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 54.88 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 54.88 | na |
| Cash expenses: |  |  |
| Seed | 10.63 | 7.90 |
| Fertilizer | 20.31 | 16.16 |
| Chemicals | 13.95 | 11.00 |
| Custorn operations | 4.03 | 37.29 |
| Fuel, lube, and electricity | 11.54 | 12.12 |
| Repairs | 9.77 | 6.90 |
| Hired labor | 10.77 | 29.14 |
| Purchased irrigation water | 0.00 | ${ }_{10} \mathrm{na}$ |
| rotal, variable cash expenses | 81.00 | 10.03 |
| General farm overhead | 3.47 | 47.46 |
| Taxes and insurance | 9.04 | 12.24 |
| Interest | 8.52 | 48.35 |
| Total, fixed cash expenses | 21.02 | 27.90 |
| Total, cash expenses | 102.02 | 13.12 |
| Gross value of production less cash expenses | -47.14 | na |
|  |  |  |
| Marvest-period price (dollars per bushel) | 5.71 | 28.06 |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 9.61 | 28.06 |
| Table 3b-Georgia: Soybean production economic costs and returns per plarted acre with coefficients of variation, 1990 |  |  |
| 1 tern | 1990 | c.v. |
|  | Dollars | Percent |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 54.88 | กล |
| Total, gross value of production | 54.88 | กа |
| Economic (full-ownership) costs: |  |  |
| Variable cash expenses | 81.00 | 10.03 |
| General farm overhead | 3.47 | 47.46 |
| taxes and insurance | 9.04 | 12.24 |
| Capital replacement | 17.71 | 12.44 |
| Operating capital | 3.02 | 10.03 |
| other nonland capital | 8.49 | 14.73 |
| Land | 14.55 | 10.66 |
| Unpaid labor | 19.22 | 20.15 |
| Total, economic (full-ownership) costs | 156.50 | 8.54 |
| Residual returns to management and risk |  |  |
| Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) | 5.71 | na |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 9.61 | 28.06 |


na $=$ Not applicable.


Table 4b-Illinois: Soybean production economic costs and returns per planted acre



na $=$ Not appl icable.

Table 5a--Indiana: Soybean production cash costs and returts per planted acre


Table Sb-Indiana: Soybean production economic costs and returns per planted acre with coefficients of variation, 1990


|  | Dollars | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 252.27 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 252.27 | na |
| Economic (full-ownership) costs: |  |  |
| Variable cash expenses | 70.43 | 4.45 |
| General farm overhead | 10.49 | 11.65 |
| Taxes and insurance | 12.95 | 6.08 |
| Capital replacement | 19.29 | 2.30 |
| Operating capital | 2.63 | 4.45 |
| Other nonland capital | 9.73 | 7.37 |
| Land | 73.21 | 9.31 |
| Unpaid labor | $\{5.03$ | 91.05 |
| Total, economic (fult-ownership) costs | 213.75 | 3.65 |
| Residual returns to management and risk | 38.52 | na |
| Harvest-period price (dottars per bushel) | 5.91 | กa |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 42.69 | 2.84 |


na $=$ Not applicable.


Table 6b-Iowa: Soybean production economic costs and returns per planted acre with coefficients of variation, 1990

Item $\quad 1990$ C.V.

|  | Dotlars | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans |  |  |
| Total, gross value of production | $\begin{aligned} & 228.13 \\ & 228.13 \end{aligned}$ | na |
| Economic (full-ownership) costs: |  |  |
| Variable cash expenses |  |  |
| General farm overhead | 66.01 | 7.00 |
| Taxes and insurance | 9.73 | 18.91 |
| Capital replacement | 17.45 | 7.45 |
| Operating capital | 17.53 | 6.36 |
| Other nonland capital | 2.46 | 7.00 |
| Land | 9.40 | 19.98 |
| Unpaid labor | 64.67 | 8.26 |
| Total, economic (full-ownership) costs | 15.57 20281 | 22.24 |
| Residual returns to management and risk 2532 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Harvest-period price (dotlars per bushet) |  |  |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | $\begin{array}{r} 5.82 \\ 3970 \end{array}$ | na |
|  | $39.20$ | 5.94 |


 na $=$ Not applicable.


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \& Dollars \& Percent <br>
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Gross value of production:} <br>
\hline Soybeans \& 240.91 \& <br>
\hline Total, gross value of production \& 240.91 \& na <br>
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cash expenses:} <br>
\hline Seed \& 11.98 \& <br>
\hline Fertilizer \& 2.23 \& 41.14 <br>
\hline Chemicals \& 21.87 \& 5.69 <br>
\hline Custom operations \& 4.89 \& 36.54 <br>
\hline Fuel, lube, and electricity Repairs \& 8.76 \& 8.14 <br>
\hline Hired labor \& 9.05
4.25 \& 5.74 <br>
\hline Purchased irrigation water \& 4.25
0.00 \& 24.63

na <br>
\hline Total, variable cash expenses \& 63.03 \& 3.20 <br>
\hline General farm overhead \& 12.43 \& 9.31 <br>
\hline Texes and insurance \& 15.95 \& 7.92 <br>
\hline Interest fired cash \& 18.95 \& 14.90 <br>
\hline Total, fixed cash expenses \& 47.32 \& 7.83 <br>
\hline Total, cash expenses \& 110.35 \& 3.74 <br>
\hline Gross value of production less cash expenses \& 130.56 \& na <br>

\hline Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) Yield (bushels per planted acre) \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
5.76 \\
41.82
\end{array}
$$ \& na

1.75 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8b-Minnesota: Soybean production economic costs and returns per planted acre with coefficients of variation, 1990

| ! tem |  | 1990 | C.V. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

隹

|  | Dotlars | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 240.91 |  |
| Total, gross value of production | 240.91 | na |
| Economic (full-ownership) costs: |  |  |
| Variable cash expenses | 63.03 | 3.20 |
| General farm overhead | 12.43 | 9.31 |
| Taxes and insurance | 15.95 | 7.92 |
| Capital replacement | 18.61 | 5.73 |
| Operating capital | 2.35 | 3.20 |
| other nonland capital | 12.22 | 12.82 |
| Land | 62.04 | 4.62 |
| Unpaid labor | 19.48 | 13.04 |
| Total, economic (full-ownership) costs | 206.09 | 3.20 |
| Residual returns to management and risk | 34.81 | na |
| Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) | 5.76 |  |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 41.82 | 1.75 |

[^0]


|  | Dallars | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 108.33 |  |
| Total, gross value of production | 108.33 | na |
| Economic (full-ownership) costs: |  |  |
| Variable cash expenses | 72.41 | 6.79 |
| General farm overhead | 7.37 | 38.28 |
| Taxes and insurance | 7.67 | 14.71 |
| Capital replacement | 19.64 | 14.71 8.00 |
| Operating capital | 2.70 | 6.79 |
| Other nonland capital | 7.82 | 5.06 |
| Land | 25.41 | 9.75 |
| Unpaid labor | 8.45 | 26.87 |
| Total, economic (full-ownership) costs | 151.46 | 4.15 |
| Residua returns to management and risk -43.13 na |  |  |
| Harvest-period price (doldars per bushel) | 5.89 | กa |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 18.39 | 15.71 |

na $=$ Not applicable.

| 1 tem | 1990 | C.V. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars | Percent |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 177.92 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 177.92 | กа |
| Cash expenses: |  |  |
| Seed | 13.15 | 9.06 |
| Fertilizer | 6.21 | 29.12 |
| Chemicals | 19.99 | 6.67 |
| Custom operations | 7.30 | 36.83 |
| Fuel, lube, and electricity | 7.02 | 10.92 |
| Repairs | 7.06 | 9.95 |
| Hired labor | 4.07 | 38.13 |
| Purchased irrigation water | 0.00 | no |
| Total, variable cash expenses | 64.80 | 5.93 |
| General farm overkead | 6.00 | 16. 01 |
| Taxes and insurance | 8.49 | 10.11 |
| interest | 11.15 | 19.96 |
| Total, fixed cash expenses | 25.63 | 11.74 |
| Total, cash expenses | 90.44 | 5.07 |
| Gross value of production less cash expenses | 87.48 | na |
| Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) | 5.81 | na |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 30.62 | 5.12 |

Table 10b-Missouri: Soybean production economic costs and returns per planted acre with coefficients of variation, 1990


|  | Dollars | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 177.92 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 177.92 | no |
| Economic (full-ownership) costs: |  |  |
| Variable cash expenses | 64.80 | 5.93 |
| General farm overhead | 6.00 | 16.01 |
| raxes and insurance | 8.49 | 10.11 |
| Capital replacement | 15.55 | 10.48 |
| Operating capital | 2.42 | 5.93 |
| Other nonland capital | 7.69 | 14.80 |
| Land | 44.84 | 7.64 |
| Unpaid labor | 13.66 | 11.15 |
| Total, economic (full-ownership) costs | 163.45 | 3.99 |
| Residual returns to management and risk | 14.47 | na |
| Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) | 5.81 | na |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 30.62 | 5.12 |


| 1 tem | 1990 | c.v. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dolfars | Percent |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 214.61 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 214.61 | no |
| Cash expenses: |  |  |
| Seed | 14.27 | 7.07 |
| Fertilizer | 1.65 | 60.16 |
| Chemicals | 18.87 | 6.86 |
| custom operations | 3.72 | 32.14 |
| Fuel, lube, and electricity Repairs | 18.82 | 10.73 |
| Hired labor | 9.80 | 4.36 30.69 |
| Purchased irrigation water | 0.86 | 72.91 |
| Total, variable cash expenses | 78.41 | 4.93 |
| General farm overhead | 10.31 |  |
| Taxes and insurance | 19.76 | 5.59 |
| Interest | 15.94 | 21.13 |
| Total, fixed cash expenses | 46.01 | 8.56 |
| Total, cash expenses | 124.42 | 5.03 |
| Gross value of production less cash expenses | 90.20 | no |
| harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) Yield (bushels per planted acre) | $\begin{array}{r} 5.77 \\ 37.19 \end{array}$ | na 4.84 |

Table 11b--Nebraska: Soybean production economic costs and returns per planted acre with coefficients of variation, 1990


|  | Dollars | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 214.61 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 214.61 | na |
| Economic (full-ownership) costs: |  |  |
| Variable cash expenses | 78.41 | 4.93 |
| General farm overhead | 10.31 | 17.32 |
| Taxes and insurance | 19.76 | 5.59 |
| Capital replacement | 33.88 | 8.18 |
| Operating capital | 2.92 | 4.93 |
| Other nonland capital | 12.62 | 8.01 |
| Land | 75.25 | 6.06 |
| Unpaid labor | 24.78 | 19.72 |
| Total, economic (full-ownership) costs | 257.94 | 3.52 |
| Residual returns to management and risk | -43.33 | na |
| Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) |  |  |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 37.19 | 4.84 |


na $=$ Not applicable.


Table 12b-Ohio: Soybean production economic costs and returns per planted acre with coefficients of variation, 1990


|  | Dollars | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 205.74 |  |
| Total, gross value of production | 205.74 | na |
| Economic (full-ownership) costs: |  |  |
| Variable cash expenses | 77.34 | 4.29 |
| General farm overhead | 10.85 | 4.29 18.37 |
| Taxes and insurance | 16.51 | 11.72 |
| Capital replacement | 17.81 | 6.34 |
| Operating capital | 2.88 | 4.29 |
| land | 8.45 | 4.86 |
| Unpaid labor | 55.17 | 13.50 |
| Yotal, economic (full-ownership) costs | 27.37 216.38 | 19.18 6.66 |
| Residual returns to management and risk | -10.64 | na |
| Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) |  |  |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 34.93 | na 6.23 |

na $=$ Not applicable.

| Item | 1990 | c.v. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doltars | Percent |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 95.94 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 95.94 | na |
| Cash expenses: |  |  |
| Seed | 7.25 | 8.36 |
| fertilizer | 35.07 | 12.54 |
| Chemicals | 21.35 | 22.17 |
| Custom operations | 0.81 | 73.20 |
| Fuel, lube, and electricity | 11.99 | 5.19 |
| Repairs | 10.55 | 1.74 |
| Hired labor | 22.02 | 24.14 |
| Purchased irrigation water | 0.00 | na |
| Total, variable cash expenses | 109.04 | 5.48 |
| General farm overhead | 4.83 | 28.97 |
| Taxes and insurance | 6.10 | 11.36 |
| interest | 2.80 | 60.14 |
| Total, fixed cash expenses | 13.73 | 24.96 |
| Total, cash expenses | 122.77 | 3.79 |
| Gross value of production less cash expenses | -26.84 | na |
| harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) Yield (bushels per planted acre) | $\begin{array}{r} 5.68 \\ 16.89 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} n a \\ 7.47 \end{array}$ |

Table l3b-South Carolina: Soybean production econamic costs and returns per planted acre with coefficients of variation, 1990



|  | Dollars | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross value of production: |  |  |
| Soybeans | 95.94 | na |
| Total, gross value of production | 95.94 | na |
| Economic (futl-ownership) costs: |  |  |
| Variable cash expenses | 109.04 | 5.48 |
| General farm overhead | 4.83 | 28.97 |
| Taxes and insurance | 6.10 | 11.36 |
| Capital replacement | 20.17 | 1.97 |
| Operating capital | 4.07 | 5.48 |
| Other nonland capital | 8.81 | 3.50 |
| Land | 5.68 | 40.90 |
| Unpaid labor | 6.14 | 35.42 |
| Total, economic (futt-ownership) costs | 164.86 | 3.36 |
| Residual returns to management and risk | -68.92 | na |
| Harvest-period price (dollars per bushel) | 5.68 | na |
| Yield (bushels per planted acre) | 16.89 | 7.47 |


na $=$ Not applicabte.


Table 15--Statistical reliability of soybean production cost estimates, by State, 1990

| State | Samplesize | 95 percent confidence interval |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cash costs |  |  | Economic costs |  |  |
|  |  | Lower bound | Mean | Upper bound | Lower bound | Mean | Upper bound |
|  |  | Dollars per planted acre |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama | 33 | 75.94 | 87.20 | 98.46 | 141.86 | 159.38 | 176.90 |
| Arkansas | 33 | 65.44 | 90.07 | 114.70 | 144.65 | 172.11 | 199.57 |
| Georgia | 33 | 75.79 | 102.02 | 128.25 | 130.30 | 156.50 | 182.70 |
| lllinois | 84 | 103.34 | 112.35 | 121.36 | 186.81 | 201.80 | 216.79 |
| Indiana | 54 | 103.09 | 113.38 | 123.67 | 198.46 | 213.75 | 229.04 |
| Sowa | 70 | 96.94 | 109.21 | 121.48 | 179.04 | 202.81 | 226.58 |
| Kansas | 39 | 62.04 | $8!.62$ | 101.20 | 132.45 | 156.97 | 181.49 |
| Minnesota | 70 | 102.26 | 110.35 | 118.44 | 193.16 | 206.09 | 219.02 |
| Mississippi | 48 | 85.28 | 95.19 | 105.10 | 139.14 | 151.46 | 163.78 |
| Missouri | 55 | 81.45 | 90.44 | 99.43 | 150.67 | 163.45 | 176.23 |
| Nebraska | 37 | 112.15 | 124.42 | 136.69 | 240.14 | 257.94 | 275.74 |
| ohio | 48 | 109.54 | 120.52 | 131.50 | 188.13 | 216.38 | 244.63 |
| South Carolina | 37 | 113.65 | 122.77 | 131.89 | 154.00 | 164.86 | 175.72 |
| South Dakota | 35 | 70.78 | 79.32 | 87.86 | 138.41 | 160.82 | 182.23 |

Appendix table 1-Characteristics of FCRS soybean farms, by State, 1990


Appendix table 1--Characteristics of FCRS soybean farms, by State, 1990 .- Continued

| Item | Unit | Minnesota | Mississippi | Missouri | Nebraska | Ohio | South Caralina | South Oakota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Share of soybean-FCRS: 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample size | number | 70 | 48 | 55 | 37 | 48 | 37 | 35 |
| All soybean farms | percent | 12 | * | 11 | 5 | 9 | * | 5 |
| Total soybean product | ion percent | 12 | * | 7 | 3 | 7 | * | 5 |
| Acreage and yields: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total operated acreag | acres | 520 | 952 | 416 | 674 | 375 | 1,297 | 861 |
| Soybean acres planted | acres | 132 | 440 | 108 | 88 | 128 | 307 | 191 |
| Soybean acres harvest | ed acres | 132 | 436 | 105 | 88 | 128 | 300 | 189 |
| Soybean yield | actual bu/ac | 42 | 18 | 31 | 37 | 35 | 17 | 31 |
| Soybean yield | normal bu/ac | 41 | 27 | 33 | 39 | 39 | 24 | 32 |
| Soybean acreage-tenure: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent owned | percent of acres | 46 | 13 | 42 | 47 | 24 | 38 | 25 |
| Percent cash rented | percent of acres | 41 | 60 | 7 | 10 | 47 | 60 | 35 |
| Percent share rented | percent of acres | 12 | 27 | 50 | 43 | 20 | * | 40 |
| Percent free rented | percent of acres | * | 0 | * | 0 | 9 | * | 0 |
| Soybean acreage-use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigated | percent of acres | * | 10 | * | 45 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Dryland | percent of acres | 100 | 90 | 99 | 55 | 100 | 100 | 94 |
| Double-cropped | percent of acres | * | 26 | 9 | 0 | * | 16 | 0 |
| No-till | percent of acres | * | * | 7 | * | 16 | * | 7 |
| Crop previously on soybean acres: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barley | percent of farms | 9 | * | 0 | * | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Corn | percent of farms | 70 | * | 60 | 79 | 67 | 29 | 80 |
| Oats | percent of farms | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * |
| Rye | percent of farms | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sorghum | percent of farms | * | * | 11 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Soybeans | percent of farms | 4 | 73 | 20 | 14 | 11 | 44 | 0 |
| Wheat | percent of farms | * | 7 | 7 | * | * | * | 12 |
| Rice | percent of farms | 0 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cotton | percent of farms | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| Peanuts | percent of farms | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All hay | percent of farms | 0 | 0 | * | 0 | * | 0 | 0 |
| Other | percent of farms | 0 | 11 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fallow | percent of farms | 0 | , | * | 0 | 9 | * | 0 |

* $=$ Indicates less than 5 percent.

Note: Data may not add due to rounding.

Appendix table 2--Input use of FCRS soybean farms, by State, 1990

| Item | Unit | Alabama | Arkansas | Georgia | Illinois | Indiana | Iowa | Kansas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate-total | ibs/acre | 56 | 69 | 55 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 63 |
| Acres reseeded | percent |  | 9 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 8 |
| Homegrown seed | percent | 5 | 24 | 27 | 32 | 12 | 6 | 26 |
| Purchased seed | percent | 95 | 76 | 73 | 68 | 88 | 94 | 74 |
| Fertilizer use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any fertilizer | percent of farms | 98 | 45 | 86 | 41 | 47 | 11 |  |
| Nitrogen | percent of farms | 61 | 27 | 82 | 16 | 27 | 17 7 | 8 |
| Phosphorus | percent of farms | 96 | 42 | 86 | 35 | 36 | 7 | 8 |
| Potassium | percent of farms | 98 | 42 | 86 | 40 | 40 | 8 | 2 |
| Fertilizer use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen | (bs/acre | 8 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 12 |  |
| Phosphorus | lbs/acre | 41 | 31 | 44 | 41 | 44 | 18 | 41 |
| Potassium | lbs/acre | 54 | 44 | 85 | 86 | 47 | 60 | $\stackrel{4}{7}$ |
| Chemical use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any chemicals | percent of farms | 84 | 98 | 76 | 96 | 100 | 100 |  |
| Merbicides | percent of farms | 82 | 98 | 76 | 96 | 100 | 700 | 81 |
| Insecticides | percent of farms | 6 | * | 20 | - | 0 | 10 | 81 0 |
| Herbicide treatments | times-over | 1.44 | 1.71 | 1.45 | 1.55 | 1.34 | 1.74 | 0.88 |
| Custom operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any custom operations | percent of farms | 22 | 10 | 18 | 23 | 23 | 32 | 17 |
| Land prep/cultivation | percent of farms | * |  | * | 2 | 0 | 32 0 | * |
| $P$ lanting | percent of farms | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | 0 |  | 7 |
| Fert/chem application | percent of farms | * | 8 | 9 | 13 | 22 | 16 | 8 |
| Technical services | percent of farms | 0 | * | 0 |  | , | 1 |  |
| Harvesting | percent of farms | 18 | $\pm$ | 8 | 9 | 11 | 17 | 12 |
| Fuel use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diesel | gallons per acre | 6.75 | 9.19 | 6.84 | 4.10 | 4.49 | 4.35 |  |
| Gasotine | gallons per acre | 4.27 | 4.18 | 3.40 | 2.72 | 2.95 | 4.35 2.77 | 3.98 3.95 |
| LP gas 1000 | gallons per acre | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 3.98 0.00 |
| Natural gas 1000 | cubic feet per acre | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 |
| Electricity kilo | watt hours per acre | 0.00 | 6.81 | 21.83 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 1.98 |
| Labor use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unpaid labor | hours per acre | 4.76 | 2.32 | 3.70 | 2.82 | 2.48 | 2.85 | 4.43 |
| See footnotes at end of table. Continued-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix table 2--Input use of FCRS soybean farms, by state, 1990 -- Continued

| Item | Unit | Minnesota | Mississippi | Missouri | Nebraska | Ohio | South Carolina | south Dakota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate-total | Ibs/acre | 64 | 55 | 58 | 62 | 81 | 41 | 60 |
| Acres reseeded | percent | * | 5 | * | 7 | 7 | , | 0 |
| Homegrowh seed | percent | 26 | 18 | 13 | 21 | 15 | 47 | 36 |
| Purchased seed | percent | 74 | 82 | 87 | 79 | 85 | 53 | 64 |
| Fertilizer use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any fertilizer | percent of farms | 26 | 15 | 13 | 20 | 76 | 91 | 33 |
| Nitrogen | percent of farms | 24 | 11 | 6 | 16 | 53 | 49 | 23 |
| Phosphorus | percent of farms | 26 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 67 | 90 | 33 |
| Potassium | percent of farms | 26 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 76 | 91 | 18 |
| Fertilizer use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen | lbs/acre | 10 | 19 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Phosphorus | lbs/acre | 19 | 44 | 33 | 22 | 25 | 40 | 20 |
| Potassium | lbs/acre | 31 | 70 | 50 | 5 | 56 | 84 | 7 |
| Chemical use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any chemicals | percent of farms | 99 | 88 | 91 | 93 | 100 | 92 | 96 |
| Herbicides | percent of farms | 99 | 88 | 91 | 93 | 89 | 92 | 96 |
| Insecticides | percent of faras | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | 12 | 9 | 0 |
| Herbicide treatments | times-over | 1.88 | 2.50 | 1.26 | 1.35 | 0.99 | 2.63 | 1.39 |
| Custom operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any custorn operations | percent of farms | 64 | 57 | 55 | 35 | 41 | 24 | 29 |
| tand prep/cultivation | percent of farms | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * | 0 | 0 |
| Planting | percent of farms | 5 | * | 39 | * | * | * | 0 |
| Fert/chem application | percent of tarms | 48 | 29 | 8 | 19 | 34 | 12 | 12 |
| Technical services | percent of farms | 13 | * | * | * | * | * | 14 |
| Harvesting | percent of farms | 41 | 52 | 47 | 22 | 32 | 19 | 12 |
| Fuel use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diesel | gallons per acre | 5.68 | 7.44 | 3.84 | 9.63 | 4.41 | 8.54 | 4.85 |
| Gasoline | gallons per acre | 3.03 | 2.52 | 2.97 | 4.09 | 3.60 | 2.55 | 2.76 |
| LP gas | gations per acre | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 4.96 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.12 |
| Natural gas 1000 | cubic feet per acre | e 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Electricity kilo | watt hours per acre | e 0.21 | 4.30 | 0.00 | 50.44 | 0.82 | 0.08 | 18.93 |
| Labor use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unpaid labor | hours per acre | 3.48 | 1.68 | 2.50 | 4.26 | 4.55 | 1.18 | 2.37 |

Note: Data may not add due to rounding.

Appendix table 3-Alabama soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1890

| Machinery | Times-over | Width | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Feet | Horseponer |
| Chisel plow | 0.44 | 11 | 122 |
| Deep-ripper, subsoiler | 0.01 | 10 | 158 |
| Disk plow | 0.15 | 11 | 83 |
| Moldboard plow, regular | 0.13 | 5 | 120 |
| Disk chisel (mulch tiller) | 0.09 | 14 | 145 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.64 | 14 | 128 |
| Offset disk, light duty | 0.01 | 7 | 45 |
| One-way disk | 0.19 | 12 | 61 |
| Tandem disk, plowing | 0.05 | 9 | 96 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 0.77 | 19 | 143 |
| Field cultivator | 0.94 | 13 | 86 |
| Furrow-out cultivator | 0.02 | 10 | 155 |
| Rotary hoe | 0.01 | 10 | 59 |
| Row cultivator | 0.29 | 13 | 97 |
| Rolling cultivator | 0.01 | 10 | 75 |
| Multi-weeder | 0.05 | 17 | 100 |
| Landall, do-all | 0.08 | 14 | 100 |
| Fertilizer attachment | 0.11 | 8 | 155 |
| Dry fertilizer applicator | 0.03 | 10 | 93 |
| Chemical applicator attachment | 0.14 | 21 | 76 |
| Chemical applicator, self-propelled | 0.02 | 40 | -- |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.46 | 18 | 85 |
| Chemical applicator, trailer | 0.01 | 20 | 70 |
| Drill, lister | 0.19 | 8 | 80 |
| Drill, no-till, min-till | 0.06 | 12 | 105 |
| Drill, plain, disc (grain) | 0.03 | 13 | 62 |
| Drill, press, disc, hoe | 0.03 | 14 | 143 |
| Planter, regular | 0.64 | 12 | 95 |
| Planter, air delivery | 0.05 | 20 | 150 |
| Combine, self-propelled, hillside | 0.02 | 9 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelied, 2WD | 0.60 | 14 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4WD | 0.07 | 15 | -- |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-- = Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width = Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over = Yotal acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

| Machinery | Times-over | Width | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Feet | Horsepower |
| Chisel plow | 0.18 | 15 | 145 |
| Disk plow | 0.20 | 24 | 195 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.05 | 27 | 208 |
| Single disk | 0.01 | 21 | 150 |
| Tandem disk, plowing | 0.11 | 25 | 186 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 1.81 | 21 | 166 |
| Field cultivator | 0.56 | 25 | 176 |
| Furrow-out cultivator | 0.06 | 20 | 137 |
| Rotary hoe | 0.01 | 14 | 70 |
| Row cultivator | 0.40 | 17 | 126 |
| Field conditioner (scratcher) | 0.29 | 23 | 157 |
| Finishing harrow | 0.06 | 30 | 300 |
| Culti-packer (pulverizer) | 0.01 | 24 | 150 |
| Roller-packer attachment | 0.03 | 30 | 180 |
| Roller-packer flat rolter | 0.04 | 24 | 132 |
| Landall, do-al! | 0.65 | 22 | 163 |
| Fertilizer attachment | 0.12 | 40 | 128 |
| Fertilizer spreader, self-propelled | 0.01 | 40 | -- |
| Dry fertilizer spreader | 0.03 | 39 | 110 |
| Aerial chemical application | 0.05 | 30 | -- |
| Chemical applicator attachment | 0.08 | 28 | 151 |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.56 | 23 | 118 |
| Broadcast seeder | 0.01 | 17 | 75 |
| Drill, no-till, min-till | 0.03 | 12 | 165 |
| Drill, plain, disc (grain) | 0.27 | 17 | 128 |
| Drill, press, disc, hoe | 0.21 | 23 | 143 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.19 | 23 | 141 |
| Planter, regular | 0.37 | 18 | 127 |
| Combine, self-propelled, 2WD | 0.82 | 17 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4WD | 0.17 | 21 | -- |
| Levee-plow-disc | 0.05 | 8 | 178 |
| Float | 0.16 | 15 | 162 |
| Land plane-leveller | 0.30 | 16 | 191 |
| Grain wagon | 0.23 | 23 | 152 |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-- = Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width $=$ Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

Appendix table 5--Georgia soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1990

| Machinery | Times-over | Width | Tractor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Feet | Horsepower |
| Chisel plow | 0.17 | 11 | 120 |
| Deep ripper, subsoiler | 0.12 | 10 | 127 |
| Disk plow | 0.06 | 5 | 120 |
| Moldboard plow, regular | 0.10 | 5 | 54 |
| Moldboard plow, two-way | 0.04 | 7 | 135 |
| Subsoil chisel plow | 0.02 | 5 | 70 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.11 | 14 | 96 |
| One-way disk | 0.19 | 26 | 70 |
| Single disk | 0.04 | 9 | 53 |
| Tandem disk, plowing | 0.25 | 17 | 113 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 1.20 | 16 | 129 |
| Field cultivator | 1.46 | 11 | 100 |
| Furrow-out cultivator | 0.02 | 10 | 95 |
| Rotary hoe | 0.01 | 10 | 70 |
| Row cultivator | 0.46 | 9 | 93 |
| Rolling cultivator | 0.05 | 10 | 69 |
| Field conditioner (scratcher) | 0.02 | 14 | 130 |
| Finishing harrow | 0.14 | 20 | 145 |
| Flex-tine harrow (coil) | 0.02 | 13 | 85 |
| Multi-weeder | 0.13 | 11 | 107 |
| Bedder shaper | 0.02 | 10 | 125 |
| Bedder (disk) | 0.01 | 10 | 130 |
| Subsoiler-bedder, hipper, ripper | 0.04 | 13 | 145 |
| Fertilizer attachment | 0.48 | 11 | -- |
| Fertilizer spreader | 0.16 | 31 | 100 |
| Chemical applicator, self-propelled | 0.17 | 25 | -2 |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.47 | 24 | 106 |
| Bed-shaper planter | 0.34 | 11 | 130 |
| Lister-bedder planter | 0.05 | 13 | 158 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.07 | 11 | 122 |
| Planter, regular | 0.59 | 11 | 101 |
| Combine, self-propelled, hillside | 0.07 | 13 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, 2wl | 0.42 | 13 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4wD | 0.04 | 14 | -- |
|  |  |  |  |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-- = Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width = Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ lotal acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

Appendix table 6-Illinois soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1990

| Mach inery | Times-over | Width | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Feet | Horsepower |
| Chisel plow | 0.27 | 14 | 210 |
| Disk plor | 0.02 | 15 | 150 |
| Moldboard plow, regular | 0.08 | 8 | 137 |
| Subsoil chisel plow | 0.01 | 14 | 190 |
| Disk chisel (mulch tiller) | 0.10 | 14 | 172 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.10 | 19 | 144 |
| Single disk | 5.02 | 24 | 220 |
| Tandem disk, plowing | 0.17 | 21 | 154 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 0.63 | 23 | 160 |
| Field cultivator | 0.58 | 26 | 171 |
| Rotary hoe | 0.08 | 23 | 126 |
| Row cultivator | 0.48 | 19 | 124 |
| Rolling cultivator | 0.05 | 16 | 109 |
| Field conditioner (scratcher) | 0.01 | 20 | 105 |
| Finishing harrow | C.03 | 23 | 115 |
| Flex-tine harrow (coil) | 4.06 | 22 | 130 |
| Culti-mulcher (roller) | 0.01 | 15 | -- |
| Spike-tooth harrow | 0.02 | 18 | 117 |
| Cutti-packer (pulverizer) | 0.02 | 15 | 147 |
| Roller-packer attachment | 0.15 | 25 | -- |
| Landall, do-all | 0.10 | 23 | 226 |
| Mulch treader | 0.05 | 23 | 210 |
| Fertibizer spreader, truck | 0.05 | 40 | -- |
| Dry fertilizer applicator | 0.01 | 33 | 185 |
| Liquid fertilizer applicator | 0.01 | 23 | 135 |
| Dry fertilizer spreader, trailer | 0.03 | 42 | 111 |
| Chemical applicator attachment | 0.08 | 29 | 168 |
| Chemical applicator, self-propelled | 0.03 | 47 | -- |
| Chemical applicator, self-propelled | 0.03 | 38 | -- |
| Chemical applicator, truck | 0.02 | 45 | -- |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.22 | 30 | 133 |
| Chemical applicator, trailer | 0.25 | 44 | 132 |
| Drill, no-till, min-till | 0.19 | 17 | 138 |
| Drill, plain, disc (grain) | 0.07 | 17 | 144 |
| Dribl, press, disc, hoe | 0.01 | 24 | 160 |
| Lister-bedder planter | 0.01 | 15 | 160 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.10 | 22 | 132 |
| Planter, regular | 0.54 | 21 | 108 |
| Planter, air delivery | 0.09 | 20 | 123 |
| Combine, self-propelled, hillside | 0.02 | 15 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, ZWD | 0.47 | 17 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4WD | 0.48 | 18 | -- |
| Rotary mower | 0.01 | 12 | 120 |
| Mower, drum-disc | 0.01 | 15 | 160 |
| Grain wagon | 0.32 | 19 | 125 |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custon field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-- = Indicates machines are self-powered or pulted by truck.
Width = Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

Appendix table 7--Indiana soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1990

| Machinery | Times-over | Width | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Fect | Morsepower |
| Chisel plow | 0.18 | 13 | 184 |
| Coulter-chised plow | 0.02 | 9 | 180 |
| Disk plow | 0.02 | 17 | 275 |
| Moldboard plow, regular | 0.21 | 9 | 158 |
| Disk chisel (mulch tiller) | 0.24 | 15 | 192 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.10 | 18 | 130 |
| One-way disk | 0.03 | 30 | 240 |
| Single disk | 0.01 | 22 | 90 |
| Tandem disk, plowing | 0.13 | 10 | 144 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 0.47 | 19 | 143 |
| Field cultivator | 0.04 | 22 | 170 |
| Field cultivator | 0.69 | 23 | 165 |
| Rotary hoe | 0.07 | 27 | 117 |
| Row cultivator | 0.63 | 21 | 129 |
| Rolling cultivator | 0.02 | 15 | 103 |
| Field conditioner (scratcher) | 0.03 | 21 | 140 |
| Finishing harrow | 0.12 | 28 | 180 |
| Flex-tine harrow (coil) | 0.03 | 21 | 135 |
| Culti-mulcher (roller) | 0.11 | 15 | 134 |
| Culti-packer (pulverizer) | 0.02 | 17 | 125 |
| Landall, do-ail | 0.04 | 20 | 202 |
| Roterra | 0.01 | 15 | 115 |
| Fertilizer attachment | 0.26 | 20 | 160 |
| Liquid fertilizer applicator | 0.01 | 45 | 110 |
| Anhydrous applicator, trailer | 0.02 | 30 | 275 |
| Dry fertilizer spreader, trailer | 0.03 | 40 | 94 |
| Chemical attachment | 0.49 | 24 | 123 |
| Chemical applicator, self-propelled | 0.11 | 60 | 123 |
| Chemical applicator, truck | 0.07 | 45 | - - |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.16 | 31 | 109 |
| Chemical applicator, trailer | 0.17 | 37 | 104 |
| Drill, air deliver | 0.03 | 17 | 80 |
| Drill, no-till, min-till | 0.12 | 20 | 119 |
| Drill, plain, dise (grain) | 0.06 | 18 | 114 |
| Drill, press, disc, hoe | 0.10 | 16 | 89 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.14 | 19 | 120 |
| Planter, regular | 0.54 | 21 | 120 |
| Planter, air delivery | 0.07 | 17 | 119 |
| Combine, self-propelled, hillside | 0.02 | 15 |  |
| combine, self-propelled, 2WD | 0.75 | 17 | - |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4WD | 0.21 | 15 | -- |
| Grain wagon | 0.13 | 28 | 122 |
| Shredder, rotary | 0.03 | 15 | 155 |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custon field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-- = lndicates mechines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width $=$ Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

Appendix table 8--I owa soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1990

| Machinery | Times-over | Width | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Feet | Horsepower |
| Chisel plow | 0.29 | 12 | 158 |
| Coulter-chisel plow | 0.02 | 9 | 123 |
| Deep ripper, subsoiler | 0.02 | 16 | 263 |
| Moldboard plow, regular | 0.12 | 7 | 147 |
| Stubble-mulch plow | 0.04 | 10 | 110 |
| Disk chisel (mul ch tiller) | 0.12 | 15 | 211 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.06 | 21 | 143 |
| Single disk | 0.03 | 23 | 175 |
| Tandem disk, plowing | 0.34 | 20 | 153 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 0.40 | 21 | 145 |
| Field eultivator | 0.01 | 12 | 84 |
| Field cultivator | 0.70 | 28 | 172 |
| Rotary hoe | 0.15 | 22 | 117 |
| Row cultivator | 0.65 | 18 | 116 |
| Rolling cultivator | 0.01 | 20 | 140 |
| Field conditioner (scratcher) | 0.03 | 30 | 143 |
| Spike-tooth harrow | 0.02 | 20 | 63 |
| Springtooth harrow | 0.03 | 23 | 129 |
| Subsoiler-bedder, hipper, ripper | 0.01 | 28 | 84 |
| Dry fertilizer applicator | 0.01 | 40 | 215 |
| Dry fertilizer spreader, trailer | 0.02 | 38 | 145 |
| Chemical applicator attachment | 0.37 | 26 | 123 |
| Atv/motorcycle | 0.01 | 20 | -- |
| Chemical applicator, self-propelled | 0.03 | 35 | -- |
| Chemical applitator, tractor | 0.12 | 29 | 132 |
| Chemical applicator, trailer | 0.16 | 35 | 107 |
| Drill, air deliver | 0.01 | 30 | 190 |
| Drill, no-till, min-till | 0.31 | 17 | 120 |
| Drill, plain, disc (grain) | 0.02 | 15 | 121 |
| Drili, press, disc, hoe | 0.02 | 20 | 160 |
| Bed-shaper planter | 0.01 | 20 | 140 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.04 | 13 | 104 |
| Planter, regular | 0.48 | 19 | 120 |
| Planter, air delivery | 0.12 | 25 | 120 |
| Combine, self-propelled, hillside | 0.01 | 18 | -. |
| Combine, self-propelled, 2WD | 0.60 | 17 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4wo | 0.30 | 17 | -- |
| Mower, flail | 0.01 | 15 | 140 |
| Grain wagon | 0.39 | 30 | 122 |
| Shredder, ratary | 0.01 | 14 | 85 |
| Stalk shredder | 0.08 | 14 | 138 |

Hote: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-. = indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width = Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.
Appendix table 9--Kansas soybeans:
acre, 1990

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or putted by tractors of different size (horsepower).
= Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
the strudicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

Appendix table 10-Minnesota soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1990

| Machinery - | Times-over | Width | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Humber | Feet | Horseponer |
| Chisel plow | 0.30 | 15 | \%90 |
| Coulter-chisel plow | 0.11 | 7 | 152 |
| Deep ripper, subsoiler | 0.03 | 19 | 298 |
| Moldboard plow, regular | 0.26 | 7 | 143 |
| Stubble-mutch plow | 0.02 | 12 | 175 |
| Subsoil chisel plow | 0.07 | 19 | 313 |
| Disk chisel (mulch tiller) | 0.03 | 14 | 211 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.14 | 14 | 92 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 0.32 | 19 | 138 |
| Field cultivator | 1.20 | 29 | 183 |
| Rotary hoe | 0.11 | 23 | 115 |
| Row cultivator | 0.74 | 19 | 108 |
| Rolling cultivator | 0.21 | 20 | 109 |
| Field conditioner (scratcher) | 0.01 | 24 | 170 |
| Finishing harrow | 0.01 | 32 | 200 |
| Flex-tine harrow (coil) | 0.07 | 25 | 92 |
| Multi-weeder | 0.29 | 35 | 204 |
| Springtooth harrow | 0.02 | 16 | 100 |
| Culti-packer (pulverizer) | 0.01 | 20 | 150 |
| Dry fertilizer applicator | 0.06 | 30 | 73 |
| Anhydrous applicator, trailer mounted | d 0.01 | 28 | 170 |
| Chemical applicator attachment | 0.16 | 32 | 119 |
| Chemical applicator, self-propelled | 0.10 | 50 | -- |
| Chemical applicator, small truck | 0.06 | 47 | -- |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.25 | 31 | 111 |
| Chemical applicator, trailer | 0.31 | 35 | 79 |
| Drill, air deliver | 0.01 | 28 | 135 |
| Drill, plain, disc (grain) | 0.01 | 20 | 88 |
| Drill, press, disc, hoe | 0.21 | 23 | 129 |
| Bed-shaper planter | 0.06 | 22 | 127 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.04 | 20 | 123 |
| Planter, regular | 0.51 | 21 | 110 |
| Planter, air delivery | 0.15 | 22 | 119 |
| Combine, self-propelled, hillside | 0.04 | 22 | 1 |
| Combine, self-propetled, ZWD | 0.76 | 19 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4WD | 0.07 | 19 | -- |
| Mower, flail | 0.02 | 15 | 140 |
| frontend loader | 0.04 | 6 | 108 |
| No-attachment | 0.02 | 18 | 165 |
| Grain wagon | 0.14 | 21 | 81 |
| Hay wagon | 0.02 | 7 | 128 |
| Rock picker | 0.03 | 6 | 89 |
| Shredder, flail | 0.03 | 16 | 105 |
| Stalk shredder | 0.20 | 15 | 119 |
| No-attachment | 0.01 | 25 | 100 |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
$\because=$ Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width = indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine,
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

Appendix table 1 --Mississippi soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1990

| Machinery | Times-over | Width | Iractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numper | Feet | Borseponer |
| Chisel plow | 0.20 | 14 | 151 |
| Disk plow | 0.20 | 18 | 124 |
| Subsoil chisel plow | 0.14 | 8 | 165 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.06 | 24 | 209 |
| Offset disk, light duty | 0.02 | 25 | 170 |
| Ont-way disk | 0.02 | 21 | 195 |
| Single disk | 0.02 | 17 | 143 |
| Tandem disk, plowing | 0.58 | 20 | 153 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 0.92 | 21 | 148 |
| Field cultivator | 1.21 | 24 | 152 |
| Furrow-out cultivator | 0.02 | 12 | 95 |
| Rotary hoe | 0.13 | 20 | 198 |
| Row cultivator | 0.54 | 18 | 137 |
| Rolling cultivator | 0.02 | 20 | 160 |
| Field conditioner (scratcher) | 0.06 | 23 | 153 |
| Finishing harrow | 0.04 | 20 | 139 |
| Rail, pipe, log, plank | 0.22 | 19 | 113 |
| Springtooth harrow | 0.01 | 20 | 130 |
| Bedder (disk) | 0.03 | 18 | 150 |
| Rotler-packer flat roller | 0.03 | 25 | 135 |
| Landall, do-all | 0.36 | 18 | 130 |
| Fertilizer spreader, self-propelled | 0.01 | 60 | .- |
| Fertilizer spreader, truck | 0.04 | 29 | -- |
| Ory fertilizer spreader, trailer | 0.01 | 30 | 140 |
| Chemical applicator attachment | 0.30 | 20 | 143 |
| chemical applicator, self-propelled | 0.16 | 46 | -- |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.73 | 27 | 114 |
| Drill, no-till, min-till | 0.01 | 21 | 161 |
| Orill, plain, disc (grain) | 0.12 | 15 | 123 |
| Orill, press, disc, hoe | 0.02 | 21 | 180 |
| Bed-shaper planter | 0.26 | 18 | 109 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.02 | 30 | 165 |
| Planter, regular | 0.48 | 18 | 140 |
| Planter, air delivery | 0.13 | 50 | 166 |
| Combine, self-propelled, hillside | 0.01 | 28 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, 2wD | 0.44 | 20 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4WD | 0.26 | 19 | -- |
| Backhoe | 0.02 | 8 | -- |
| Ditcher (vee or rotary) | 0.18 | 2 | 116 |
| Land plane-leveller | 0.07 | 20 | 120 |
| Grain wagon | 0.14 | 28 | 109 |
| No-attachment | 0.03 | 37 | . |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Macnines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulted by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-- = Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Hidth = indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, whith is not necessarity the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for (and forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: $\$ 990$ Farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

Appendix table 12--missouri soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1990

| Machinery | Times-over | Width | 7ractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Feet | yorsepower |
| Chisel plon | 0.32 | 13 | 153 |
| Deep ripper, subsoiler | 0.03 | 15 | 230 |
| Disk plow | 0.03 | 24 | 165 |
| Motdboard plow, regular | 0.03 | 6 | 109 |
| Stubble-mulch plow | 0.0 ? | 18 | 90 |
| Offset disk, light duty | 0.05 | 15 | 120 |
| Single disk | 0.06 | 18 | 120 |
| Tander disk, plowing | 0.04 | 18 | 147 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 1.08 | 18 | 132 |
| Field cultivator | 0.73 | 22 | 140 |
| Row cultivator Rolling cultivator | 0.41 | 17 | 95 |
| Roting cultivator ${ }^{\text {Rield conditioner (scratcher) }}$ | 0.01 | 15 | 96 |
| Rail, pipe, log, plank | 0.01 0.01 | 12 | 110 |
| Culti-packer (putverizer) | 0.01 | 14 | 130 |
| Landall, do-all | 0.10 | 16 | 127 |
| Mulch treader | 0.04 | 14 | 135 |
| Dry fertilizer applicator | 0.01 | 60 | 90 |
| Liquid fertilizer applicator | 0.01 | 30 | 95 |
| Dry fertilizer spreader, trailer | 0.10 | 55 | 138 |
| Chemical applicator attachment | 0.16 | 24 | 120 |
| Chemical applicator, self-propelled | 0.01 | 60 | 12 |
| Chemical applicator, smell truck | 0.02 | 30 | -- |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.48 | 22 | 97 |
| Chemical applicator, trailer | 0.11 | 28 | 73 |
| Orill, plain, dise (grain) | 0.17 | 23 | 123 |
| Orill, press, disc, hoe | 0.04 | 21 | 146 |
| Lister-bedder planter | 0.01 | 15 | 95 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.13 | 16 | 97 |
| Planter, regular | 0.52 | 15 | 80 |
| Planter, air delivery | 0.06 | 15 | 110 |
| Combine, self-propelled, 2WD | 0.51 | 14 | 110 |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4WD | 0.25 | 26 | - |
| Grain wagon | 0.10 | 19 | 129 |
| Shredder, rotary | 0.02 | 14 | 100 |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-- =Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width = Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, tevee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter orain machines

Source: 1990 farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

Appendix table 13--Nebraska soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1990

| Machinery | Times-over | width | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Feet | Horsepower |
| Chiset plow | 0.07 | 12 | 133 |
| Deep ripper, subsoiler | 0.02 | 10 | 140 |
| Moldboard plow, two-way | 0.03 | 7 | 220 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.03 | 13 | 155 |
| Offset disk, light duty | 0.05 | 15 | 125 |
| One-way disk | 0.02 | 21 | 175 |
| Single disk | 0.01 | 15 | 110 |
| Tandem disk, plowing | 0.10 | 20 | 139 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 0.95 | 19 | 129 |
| Field cultivator | 0.67 | 24 | 145 |
| Furrow-out cultivator | 0.21 | 20 | 148 |
| Rotery hoe | 0.13 | 17 | 107 |
| Row cultivator | 0.78 | 15 | 119 |
| Rolling cultivator | 0.04 | 18 | 183 |
| Finishing harrow | 0.07 | 34 | 150 |
| Spike-tooth harrow | 0.03 | 24 | 77 |
| Bedder (disk) | 0.01 | 28 | 110 |
| Fertilizer applicator attachment | 0.09 | 14 | 1 |
| Chemical applicator attachment | 0.32 | 21 | 148 |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.19 | 22 | 140 |
| Chemical applicator, trailer | 0.08 | 38 | 124 |
| Orill, plain, disc (grain) | 0.04 | 24 | 150 |
| Drill, press, disc, hae | 0.07 | 12 | 110 |
| Bed-shaper planter | 0.01 | 10 | 110 |
| Lister-bedder planter | 0.01 | 10 | 80 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.22 | 23 | 149 |
| Planter, regular | 0.45 | 16 | 109 |
| Planter, air delivery | 0.21 | 22 | 113 |
| Combine, self-propelled, hillside | 0.09 | 16 |  |
| Combine, self-propelled, 2WD | 0.73 | 15 | -- |
| Ditcher (vee or rotary) | 0.01 | 15 | 130 |
| Corrugator | 0.07 | 10 | 110 |
| No-attachment | 0.08 | 17 | 90 |
| Grain wagon | 0.08 | 32 | 136 |
| Shredder, rotary | 0.07 0.02 | 18 | 135 |
| stalk shredder | 0.11 | 13 | 133 |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-- = Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width = Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

Appendix table 14--Ohio soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1990

| Machinery | Times-over | Width | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Feet | Horsepower |
| Chisel plow | 0.36 | 11 | 169 |
| Coulter-chisel plow | 0.01 | 19 | 270 |
| Moldboard plow, regular | 0.36 | 7 | 135 |
| Disk chisel (mulch tiller) | 0.02 | 13 | 153 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.17 | 17 | 103 |
| One-way disk | 0.00 | 18 | 130 |
| Tandem disk, plowing | 0.03 | 9 | 61 |
| Tandem disk, regular | 0.12 | 15 | 99 |
| Field cultivator | 1.03 | 21 | 156 |
| Rotary hoe | 0.06 | 19 | 101 |
| Row cultivator | 0.42 | 19 | 103 |
| Rolling cultivator | 0.20 | 10 | 74 |
| Finishing harrow | 0.14 | 15 | 130 |
| Culti-mulcher (roller) | 0.07 | 13 | 121 |
| Spike-tooth harrow | 0.02 | 14 | 83 |
| Springtooth harrow | 0.02 | 13 | 115 |
| Culti-packer (pulverizer) | 0.18 | 20 | 160 |
| Roller-packer attachment | 0.02 | 15 | 100 |
| Landall, do-all | 0.06 | 24 | 238 |
| Fertilizer applicator attachment | 0.09 | 16 | 23 |
| Dry fertilizer applicator | 0.01 | 50 | 120 |
| Dry fertilizer spreader, trailer | 0.07 | 41 | 101 |
| Chemical applicator attachment | 0.08 | 23 | -- |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.26 | 29 | 119 |
| Chemical applicator, trailer | 0.27 | 31 | 74 |
| Drill, no-till, mintill | 0.01 | 10 | 127 |
| Drill, plain, disc (grain) | 0.12 | 17 | 73 |
| Drill, press, disc, hoe | 0.18 | 15 | 119 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.28 | 17 | 105 |
| Planter, regular | 0.41 | 17 | 104 |
| Planter, air delivery | 0.02 | 18 | 85 |
| Combine, self-propelled, 2WD | 0.65 | 17 |  |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4wD | 0.21 | 25 | -- |
| Rotary mower | 0.02 | 20 | 150 |
| Backhoe | 0.01 | 4 | 90 |
| Grain wagon | 0.21 | 31 | 100 |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-- = Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width = Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, whicin is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.

Appendix table 15--South Carolina soybeans: Average machinery use per planted acre, 1990

| Machinery | Times-over | Width | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Feet | Horsepower |
| Chisel plow | 0.07 | 14 | 146 |
| Deep ripper, subsoiler | 0.03 | 14 | 350 |
| Disk plow | 0.05 | 22 | 153 |
| Noldboard plow, regular | 0.02 | 10 | 68 |
| Subsoil chisel plow | 0.56 | 26 | 175 |
| Offset disk, heavy duty | 0.62 | 16 | 131 |
| offset disk, light duty | 0.02 | 14 | 119 |
| Single disk | 0.01 | 10 | 305 |
| Tandem disk, plowing | 0.65 | 19 | 957 |
| Tanden disk, regular | 0.58 | 17 | 143 |
| field cultivator | 0.25 | 16 | 111 |
| furrow-out cultivator | 0.01 | 20 | 95 |
| Rotary hoe | 0.01 | 13 | 198 |
| Row cultivator | 0.15 | 13 | 99 |
| Rolling cultivator | 1.03 | 13 | 111 |
| Fietd conditioner (scratcher) | 0.01 | 14 | 110 |
| Finishing harrow | 0.03 | 17 | 120 |
| Spike-tooth harrow | 0.01 | 20 | 100 |
| Bedder (disk) | 0.01 | 10 | 180 |
| Subsoiler-bedder, hipper, ripper | 0.62 | 17 | 178 |
| Landall, do-all | 0.01 | 10 | 190 |
| Fertilizer applicator attachment | 0.04 | 11 | 125 |
| Fertilizer spreader, truck | 0.03 | 45 | -- |
| Anhydrous applicator | 0.63 | 18 | 207 |
| Dry fertilizer spreader | 0.02 | 20 | 111 |
| Liquid fertilizer applicator | 0.01 | 20 | 80 |
| Dry fertilzer spreader, trailer | 0.01 | 30 | 85 |
| Chemical applicator attachment | 0.06 | 13 | 116 |
| Chemical applicator, self-propelled | 0.58 | 29 | .. |
| Chemical applicator, tractor | 0.71 | 17 | 131 |
| Chemical applicator, trailer | 0.09 | 20 | 94 |
| Bed-shaper planter | 0.07 | 12 | 141 |
| Lister-bedder planter | 0.03 | 15 | 198 |
| Planter, no-till | 0.05 | 12 | 168 |
| Planter, regular | 0.88 | 12 | 112 |
| Planter, air delivery | 0.01 | 10 | 80 |
| Combine, self-propelled, 2wD | 0.85 | 14 | -- |
| Combine, self-propelled, 4WD | 0.09 | 17 | -- |

Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
-- = Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width = Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily the structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.

Source: 1990 Farm Costs and Returns Survey, USDA.


Note: Machine operations listed are not in sequence.
Machines used in custom field operations are excluded.
Machines are repeated because they are different in size or pulled by tractors of different size (horsepower).
$--=$ Indicates machines are self-powered or pulled by truck.
Width = Indicates the swath or width of the area covered by the machine, which is not necessarily structural width of the machine.
Times-over $=$ Total acres covered in an operation divided by planted acres of the crop. Note that hours per acre given for land forming equipment such as backhoe, disk border maker, ditcher, ditch closer, levee plow disk, rear-mounted blade, and quarter drain machines.
Source: 1990 Farm costs and Returns Survey, USDA.


# Rankings of States and Commodities by Farm Cash Receipts 

Cattle and calves, dairy products, corn, soybeans, and hogs were the leading U.S. agricultural commodities (in terms of cash receipts) in 1992. The top three commodities had the same ranking as in 1991, while soybeans and hogs traded places. The leading States for the top five commodities were:

- Cattle and calves: Texas, Nebraska, Kansas, Colorado, and Oklahoma.
- Dairy products: Wisconsin, California, New York, Pennsyivania, and Minnesota.
- Corn: llinois, lowa, Nebraska, Indiana, and Minnesota.
- Scybeans: Illinois, lowa, indiana, Minnesota, and Missouri.
- Hogs: lowa, llinois, Minnesota, Nebraska, and indiana.

A new report by USDA's Economic Research Service, Fainking of States and Commodities by Cash Receipts, 1992, presents two types of ranking information: (1) the 25 leading commodities for each State and the Nation, ranked according to the estimated value of receipts; and (2) the ranking of States by receipts from each of the 25 leading U.S. commodities and by several major commodity groups.
U.S. net farm income rose 21 percent in 1992 to $\$ 48.6$ billion. Cash receipts from sales of crops were up $\$ 2.9$ billion and farmers added another $\$ 2.8$ billion worth of crops to inventories for future sale or onfarm use as feed or seed. Cash receipts from livestock and livestock products were down slightly.

## California the Most Diverse Agricultural Producer, Vermont the Least

In 12 States, over 50 percent of receipts were from sales of a single commodity, indicating a high degree of dependence on the production and market conditions for
that commodity. In 10 States, a single livestock commodity accounted for more than half of the State's total agricultural receipts:

Cattle and calves: Wyoming ( 70 percent of total receipts), Colorado ( 63 percent), Kansas ( 58 percent), Nevada ( 55 percent), Oklahoma ( 53 percent), Nebraska ( 53 percent).

Dairy: Vermont ( 76 percent), Wisconsin ( 57 percent), New York ( 52 percent).

Broilers: Delaware ( 63 percent).
Alaska and Rhode Island had a single crop commodity (greenhouse/nursery) that accounted for more than 50 percent of total receipts.

Seven States had sufficient diversitication in their agricultural production such that the leading commodity accounted for less than 20 percent of total receipts. The States and the two leading commodities (by percent of total receipts) are: California--dairy (14) and greenhouse (10); South Carolina-tobacco (16) and broilers (12); Oregon--cattle (16) and greenhouse (15); Virginia-cattle (16) and broilers (15); Florida--oranges (18) and greenhouse (17); Minnesota--dairy (18) and corn (17); and Ohio-soybeans (19) and corn (19).

## To Order This Report...

The information presented here is excerpted from Ranking of States and Commodities by Cash Receipts, 1992, SB-871, by Roger P. Strickland, Cheryl J. Steele, and Robert P. Williams. Cost is $\$ 12.00$.

Dial 1-800-999-6779 (toll free in the United States and Canada).

Add 25 percent to foreign addresses (including Canada). Charge to VISA or MasterCard. Or send a check (made payable to ERS-NASS) to:

ERS-NASS
341 Victory Drive
Herndon, VA 22070.


# Characteristics, Production Costs Compared For U.S. Wheat Producers 

Producing a bushol of wheat cost U.S. farmers an average of $\$ 2.07$ in variable cash expenses in 1989. Individual farm costs ranged from less than $\$ 1.37$ to more than $\$ 3.49$ per bushel. Wheat acreage, yields, and regional differences among producers influenced wheat production costs. These findings are drawn from a recently published report by USDA's Economic Research Service, Characteristics and Production Costs of U.S. Wheat Farms, 1989.

Differences in regional production practices and adverse weather conditions were major influences on production costs and yields. Dry weather and warm temperatures reduced already low subsoil moisture levels throughout the Plains in 1989, resulting in lower wheat yields. Low snowfall and low temperatures caused freaze damage in some parts of the Central and Southern Plains region ( $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{KS}, \mathrm{NE}, \mathrm{OK}$, and TX ), resulting in that region's accounting for 64 percent of all farms in the high-cost group. Since high-cost wheat farms were more diversified than low-cost farms, wheat

Cumulative distribution of wheat variable cash expenses, 1989
About 52 percent of FCRS wheat farms had variable cash expenses at or below the average cost of $\$ 2.07$ per bushel, while 65 percent of the total wheat harvest was produced at or below the average variable cash expense.

contributed less to their total farm income. Low-cost producers were concentrated in the North-Central (IL, IN, MO, NY, OH, and PA) and Northern Plains regions (ND, SD, MN, MT, and WY). Other wheat production regions included the Southeast ( $\mathrm{AL}, \mathrm{AR}, \mathrm{GA}, \mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{MS}, \mathrm{NC}, \mathrm{SC}$, and VA) and the Pacific (AZ, CA, ID, NM, OR, and WA).

Although there was close to a 7 -percent decline in winter wheat production in 1989, the decline was more than offset by increased production of spring and durum wheat, increasing total wheat production by nearly 12 percent for the year. About a fourth of the winter wheat acreage planted was not harvested in 1989, compared with less than 19 percent for all wheat classes. Data for this study are from the 1989 Farm Costs and Returns Survey (FCRS) of U.S. wheat farms. Responses represented 189,877 farms producing 1.27 billion bushels of wheat on about 51.8 million acres ( 62 percent of U.S. wheat production and 68 percent of planted acreage).

## To Order This Report...

The information presented here is excerpted from Characteristics and Production Costs of U.S. Wheat Farms, 1989 (AlB-683), by Dargan Glaze. The cost is $\$ 9.00$ per copy.

To order, dial 1-800-999-6779 (toll free in the United States and Canada) and ask for the report by title. Please have your Visa or MasterCard ready.

Please add 25 percent to foreign addresses (including Canada). Or send a check (made payable to ERS-NASS) to:

```
ERS-NASS
341 Victory Drive
Herndon, VA 22070.
```

We'll fill your order by first-class mail.
U.S. Department of Agriculture

Economic Research Service
1301 New York Ave., NW.
Washington, DC 20005-4788
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