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## FARM PRODUCTION REGIONS



## ABSTRACT

Based on data in the 1966 Pesticide and General Farm Survey, a comparison of labor-use practices of different types and sizes of farms showed family workers were still the major source of farm manpower in 1966. Over half the farms with sales under $\$ 2,500$ used only family labor, yet only 6 percent of the large-scale farms operated with just family labor. Farmers hiring labor used more family labor than farmers not hiring labor. When hiring, operators of small farms mostly used seasonal labor. Seasonal hired help were used more in the Pacific and Southern Regions, with their most significant contribution on large vegetable and fruit and nut farms. Regular hired workers were the major source of hired manpower for large-scale farms and for most of the dairy and livestock operations in the Northern Regions. Total hours of labor used and proportion that was hired also varied by farm type. A tobacco farmer used 3,625 hours of labor, with only 18 percent of it hired, while a vegetable farmex used 7,600 hours, with 63 percent of it hired.
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Cover photographs are (1) top-fann operator using plow-plant rig; (2) bottom left--hired seasonal labor harvesting grapes; and (3) bottom right--hired seasonal labor picking beans.
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## HIGHLIGHTS

Family workers were still the major source of farm manpower in 1966. The importance of family farm labor varied by farm size and type and by geographic location. While over half the small farms (sales under $\$ 2,500$ ) relied on family labor, only 6 percent of the large-scale farms operated with just family labor. The operator and his family put in as few as l, 500 hours a year on a cotton farm in the Southeast, while the average family on a dairy farm in the Northeast or Lake States worked about 5,500 hours during the year.

Farmers hiring labor also used more family labor than those farmers not hiring labor. Farms using only family labor were generally smaller in total acreage and had fewer acres of labor-intensive crops. This occurred for almost every size-group and type of farm.

Source of hired labor varied among the different sizes and types of farms. When hiring, operators of small farms almost always used seasorial help. Yet these workers made their most significant contribution on large vegetable and fruit and nut farms. Seasonal hired help was used more in the Pacific and Southern Regions than elsewhere. In contrast, regular hired workers were the major source of hired manpower for largescale farms and for most of the dairy and livestock operations in the Northern Regions.

In the farming sector, the amount of labor used during the year varies greatly. Annual hours of labor not only vary among farm types, but also among similar sizes and types of farms in different geographic areas. While a tobacco farmer used only 3,625 hours of labor, with 18 percent of it hired, a vegetable farmer used 7,600 hours, with 63 percent of it hired. Northeastern dairy farms used 7,429 hours, with only a fourth of it hired, whereas southeastern dairy farms averaged over 12, 200 hours and hired two-thirds of the labor. Regular hired workers averaged a hefty 3,855 hours of work during the year on vegetable farms in the Racific Region, whereas similar workers in the Northeast averaged only 2,100 hours, and in some other regions, much less.

FAMILY AND HIRED LABOR USED ON U.S.FARMS IN 1966

## By

Walter E. Sellers Jr., Labor Economist Farm Production Economics Division

Production Resources Branch

## INTRODUCTION

Migration from fams and low unemployment in the total labor force characterized the $1960^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$. By mid-decade, some concern was expressed as to where the farmer was acquiring his labor inputs. Did he still use mostly family labor? What was the contribution of hired labor on farms? Did the source of labor vary among different sizes and types of farms? Was the farm family supplying as much labor in the South as in the North or West? Was the number of hours used to operate a small farm low enough to permit the operator to hold a nonfarm job to supplement his low farm income?

Data obtained on 1966 farming operations were analyzed in an attempt to answer these questions. Although the data relate to 1966 operations, the findings and relationships continue to be valid. Later data of similar detail are not available and are not expected to be available in the near future.

The primary focus of the report was to determine how much variation existed in labor-use practices among different sizes and types of farms. Another objective was to compare farms relying solely on family labor with fams hiring labor. The findings will be useful in the formulation and evaluation of labor policy and legislation; and to public and private research fims and to university scholars in the analysis of an important farm input.

For 1966, farms of all sizes were studied. The large number of small farms so influenced the all-farm data that averages had Iimited meaning. Thus, small fams are excluded from some of the text tables. However, data on all farms are included in the appendix tables for comparison. Farms with sales under $\$ 5,000$ are important for some purposes because they comprise almost half of all U.S. farms (table 1). But, in 1966, they produced only 7 percent of all farm products sold and hired only 4 percent of the regular labor (hours) and 12 percent of the seasonal labor (hours) used on surveyed farms.

Data in this report are based on information obtained in the 1966 Pesticide and General Farm Survey. The methodology used in the survey is discussed in appendix $B$ and the distribution of farms is compared with that from other sources. Appendix $C$ gives survey definitions. As the estimates are based on sample data, they are subject to sampling variability. They may differ somewhat from the results that would have been obtained from another sample or from a complete census using the same

Table l.-Number of farms and sales of farm products, and percentage distribution of each by value of farm products sold, 48 States, 1966


1/ Nursery, greenhouse, and forest products.
schedules, instructions, and interviewers. The results are also subject to errors of response.

HUMAN RESOURCES USED ON ALL FARMS
Effects of Farm Size on Labor Demand
In 1966, family labor was heavily relied on to operate most farms. On those with sales under $\$ 10,000$, the farm operator and his family hirer very little labor, as they did over 90 percent of the work (table 2). Seasonal workers provided most of the hired help on these farms, usually only during peak seasons. Many of these smaller operators did not hire any labor. Many operators who did so probably had off-farm employment; they preferred to substitute lower priced hired help for their time so they could work in nonfarm employment at higher wages.

In contrast, farms with sales of $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ used over 8,400 hours of labor in 1966, with the family supplying just over half this amount. Operators and hired help supplied about an equal proportion of the labor. The largest operations-those with sales of $\$ 100,000$ and over-used nearly 17,800 hours of labor. The operator and his family could supply only a small proportion- -26 percent. Seasonal workers supplied the same percentage of total labor as the operator did. However, the mainstay of these largest farming operations was the regular workers. They provided 52 percent of the labor supply.


The family labor contribution differed by the value of farm products sold. The operator provided about 68 percent of all labor on the smaller farms (sales under $\$ 5,000$ ) but only 22 percent on the largest farms (sales of $\$ 100,000$ and over) (table 2). The wife supplied about 12 percent of the labor on farms under $\$ 10,000$ in sales, but only 1 percent on the largest farms. On the smaller farms, the other members of the family were counted on to supply slightly more labor than was the operator's wife, but on the large-scale fanns, other family members also supplied only a small amount of the hours used (about 3 percent). Even so, the family on the large-scale farm worked more than three times as many hours as did the family on the small farm.

Size of farm operation had a positive effect on the need for hired labor. In 1966, the demand ranged from less than one-third of a man-year on small farms to over 5 man-years on the largest farms. Regular hired workers were seldom used to supply labor on farms with sales under $\$ 5,000$. Not enough work or income was available to support a regular full-time hired hand on most small farms.

Seasonal workers were important; on the smaller farms, they were the major source of hired labor, although they provided oniy a small proportion of the total labor used on these farms. They worked over 3, 900 hours on the largest farms.

## Regional Variation in Labor Demand

The average hours of labor worked on a farm varied considerably by region, mainly because of the type of farming and the manpower resources.

Almost twice as many hours of labor were used to operate a farm in the Mountain Region as in the Appalachian and Southern Plains Regions (table 3). The Northeastern farms also used much labor, 62 percent more than did farms in the Appalachian Region. A great amount of the farming in the low labor-demand regions consists of small tobacco and cotton farms, whereas in the Northeast, dairy farms are large consumers of manpower.

The composition of the work force and the proportion of total manhours accounted for by each kind of worker varied by region. The operator and his family supplied nearly all the labor on farms in the Midwestern Regions (Corm Belt, Lake States, and Northern Plains), but only 55 percent of the work on farms in the Pacific Region. In the latter region, large vegetable farms used a considerable amount of labor. Family labor was also used less in the Southern Regions than in the Midwest.

The operator furnished just over two-fifths of the labor in the Pacific and Delta States Regions. But he did more than two-thirds of the work in the Northern Plains and the Corn Belt (table 3).

Both the wife and other unpaid family workers supplied more labor than was hired in the Midwestern Regions. This did not hold true for the other regions. A third of the farm labor in the Delta States and Mountain Regions and nearly half in the Pacific Region was bired, compared with about one-tenth in the Midwest.

Regular workers comprised the main source of hired labor in all the regions except the Pacific. There, seasonal hired labor worked 50 percent more hours than did the reguler hired workers.


When all farms-those hiring and those not hiring labor--were considered, hired workers were not the major source of labor in any region. However, when only those farms hiring labor were considered, the demand for hired workers was, of course, more pronounced.

## Effects of Farm Type on Labor Demand

As discussed earlier, size of farm and regional location have an impact on the total use of labor, as well as on the amount of hired versus unpaid family labor. Farm type also has an impact.

Certain types of fams, regardless of size, use more labor than do similarly sized farms of different types. The family can furnish only so much labor. If a farm produces a particular crop less adaptable to mechanization and new technologies and this crop requires a heavy infusion of labor either during seasonal peaks or year-round--it is logical to assume that hired labor will be needed. When the family supply of labor has reached its limit or a higher premium is placed on leisure or nonfarm work, labor must be hired.

Total labor input per farm by type varied from about 2,900 hours on other livestock farms to about 5,900 hours on vegetable farms. Dairy farms, other field crop farms, and fruit and nut farms also were heavy users of labor (table 4).

The source from which labor inputs were derived varied by farm type. The family supplied most of the labor inputs on three types of farms, but less than half on two types. Family labor was more extensively used on all kinds of livestock operations than on vegetable and fruit and nut farms. Livestock operations have a fairly constant need for labor throughout the year. They need only a small amount of seasonal labor; thus, the family is better able to furnish most of the labor. However, on vegetable and fruit and nut farms, there is a large demand for labor for a short period of time. The family is usually unable to meet the heavy demand and must hire much of the labor. In six types of farming operations, the operator provided more than 50 percent of the total labor-paid or unpaid. He did two-thirds of the work on cash grain and other livestock farms and over half on dairy farms, livestock ranches, general farms, and tobacco farms. Yet he was able to meet only a third of the total labor demand on vegetable and fruit and nut farms. The wife and other family members also did a lower proportion of the work on these two farm types than on any other kind of farm.

Hired help supplied a fifth of the labor input on all farm types. However, this varied from 14 percent on dairy and other livestock farms to about 55 percent on vegetable and fruit and nut farms. Although regular workers were relied on as the main source of hired help on eight types of farms, their contribution varied from 3 percent on tobacco farms to about a fourth on poultry fams and livestock ranches.

Seasonal workers did about as much of the work as any other kind of worker on fruit and nut farms and more than any other ( 42 percent), on vegetable farms. Tobacco farms were the only other type of operation using more seasonal than regular hired workers. Seasonal workers also did a good bit of work on cotton and other field crop farms. Their use on most livestock operations was very low, even less than that of the operator's wife. Overall, seasonal labor was more important on most farms in 1966 than it had been' 2 years earlier.


## FAMILY WORKERS

In the preceding section, labor on all farms and the effects of factors such as farm type, value of products sold, and regional location on labor practices were discussed. What were the labor inputs on farms relying solely on the family for labor? How did the farms using only family labor compare with the farms hiring some, or most, of their labor? Did the use of family members other than the operator make up for the labor input not hired? This section points out the similarities, as well as the variations, in labor needs on similar sizes and types of farms that differ in the practice of hiring or not hiring some of their labor inputs.

## Labor Input by Kind of Family Worker

## Operator

The proportion of total hours of labor supplied by different members of the family varied with the type and size of farm. On farms using only family labor, the operator supplied more than half the labor for every type and size of farm except tobacco farms with sales of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$. On thase, the operator furnished only 37 percent of the labor (app. table I). In general, operators of livestock ranches, cash grain, and other livestock farms did a larger proportion of the work than did operators of cther types of farms that relied solely on family labor.

There were even wider ranges among types of farms where some labor was hired. ilthough the proportion of total hours worked by the eperator varied among farm types, amnal hours of labor also varied, from 1,636 on cotton to 3,513 on dairy farms. On most types of farms having sales under $\$ 10,000$, operators supplied over half the labor input. On farms with sales of $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 20,000$, operators of all but tobacco, cotton, vegetable, and fruit and nut farms supplied over half the labor input. However, on large farms (sales over $\$ 40,000$ ), only operators of other livestock farms contributed over half the total labor needs. On other livestock farms with sales of $\$ 100,000$ and over, the operator furnished only a third of the labor. Most operators on these largest farms, regardless of type of operations, furnished only about a fifth of total labor needs. They put in much more time than did farmers with smaller operations, but in percentage terms, they did only a fraction of the work. Thus, the commitment oí an operator's energy and time to a farm enterprise is governed by a combination of size and type of operation.
Operator's Wife
The farm operator's wife often is a vital part of the farm work force. On farms hiring labor, wives averaged 397 hours annually. They worked the least hours on cash grain farms and the most on dairy farms. Overall, they supplied about 8 percent of total labor needs. They averaged more time on tobacco farms than on any other crop farm. For all sizes of farms, wives made a greater contribution on tobacco, poultry, and dairy farms.

On farms not hiring labor, wives did a greater proportion of the work than did their counterparts on farms hixing labor. Yet their hourly input was less. They averaged only 12 percent of the labor input on all Earms, but contributed as much as 24 percent on poultry farms. As on farms hiring labor, wives' major contribution on farms using only
family labor was on poultry, other types of fams, wives
dairy, and tobacco farms. However, on most efforts were negligible.

## Other Unpaid Family Workers

Unpaid family workers other than the operator and his wife were heavily relied on as a source of labor. The contribution varied considerably among farming operations. It also varied depending on whether labor was hired.

For farms not hiring labor, other unpaid family workers had the least annual hours of work on livestock ranches and the most on cotion and dairy farms. However, the major contribution by these workers was on tobacco farms with sales of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$. There, they did 49 percent of the work, averaging 2,736 hours during the year. This is the only instance where they worked more than the farm operator did. However', other family workers were important on cotton and vegetable farms with sales of $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 20,000$. They supplied 40 percent of the labor on the vegetable farms and 45 percent on the cotton farms. On all types of farms with sales over $\$ 10,000$, unpaid family workers were more valuable, in the proportion of labor supplied, to farmers not hiring than to those hiring labor.

For farms hiring labor, other unpaid family members worked about 15 percent of the anmul hours on smaller fams (sales under $\$ 10,000$ ), but did only 3 percent of the work on the largest farms. In hours, their greatest contribution was on farms with sales of $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 99,999$. Here, they averaged nearly 800 hours a year. Their annual hours of work also varied by the type of large farm. They worked the least on vegetable farms and the most on dairy farms. Other unpaid family workers usually supplied more labor than did the wife.

Thus, type and size of faming operation affect the overall use of family workers. The needs changed by farm type and by size of operation within each type.

## Labor Input by Farm Size

Farms vary considerably in their needs for labor because of size of operation. For farms not hiring labor, only abour 1,400 hours of labor were used on the smallest farms, compared with about 5,800 hours on the largest farms (table 5 and app. table 1).

In 1966, 38 percent of all farms hired no labor at any time. More than half ( 53 percent) of those with sales under $\$ 2,500$ relied on the family as the only source of labor. At the other end of the spectrum, only 6 percent of fams with sales of $\$ 100,000$ and over and 16 percent of those with sales of $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 100,000$ functioned with just family labor.

The average farm hiring labor used 69 percent more during the year than the average farm not hiring labor. The total hours worked on the smallest farms that used only family labor were about 14 percent less than on similarly sized farms that hired labor. The larger the farm, the grester the difference in labor usage. Large-scale operations hiring labor used over three times as much labor as did similarly sized nonhiring farms.



## Operator

The proportion of labor supplied by the operator is small compared with the amount needed to operate a large-scale fam. He supplied only a fifth of the labor on the largest farms hiring labor, compared with nearly two-thirds on the smallest farms (sales under $\$ 2,500$ ) (table 5). However, in actual hours, the operator of the large farm worked 3-1/2 times as many hours during the year as the small farm operator did. On farms with sales under $\$ 40,000$, the operator supplied over half the manhours.

For farms using only family labor, the operators, as individuals or partners, furnished the major proportion of manpower on every size of farm. Their contribution ranged from 68 to 82 percent of the total. In hours, operators, including partners, averaged 991 to 4,768 hours per farm. On the largest farms, operators not hiring labor supplied 1,000 more hours than did operators hiring labor.

## Other Family Workers

The proportion of labor supplied by the operator's wife varied by size of farm for farms hiring labor, as well as for those using only family labor. On small farms (sales under $\$ 10,000$ ) hiring labor, wives did 11 to 12 percent of the work, but they contributed only a small proportion of the labor--2 percent--on the largest farms. On farms not firing labor, except on farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$, wives did a greater proportion of the work than did wives on farms hiring labor.

Other family members' contributions also varied by size of farm for farms hiring and not hiring labor. Family workers supplied a greater proportion of work on farms not hiring than on farms hiring labor, at almost every sales level. In hours, family workers on the nonhiring largest farms worked about 800 hours, compared with 555 hours on the largest farms hiring labor.

How could one farm that used just family labor function with only 31 percent of the labor used to operate another farm that hired some labor--value of products sold being nearly equal? On farms not hiring labor, the operator and his family may be more productive; they may apply more highly mechanized practices, with more efficient use of time. However, study data do not permit answers to these questions.

Generally, farms not hiring labor were those small enough, in value of products sold and hours of labor used, for the family to readily do all the work. However, many large farms (sales over $\$ 40,000$ ) did not hire labor (table 6). These were mostly livestock farms requiring about the same labor input all year. Also, some large cash grain farms that could be highly mechanized used only family labor.

Land use practices also largely determined the need for hiring labor. For every type of farm, fams hiring labor were larger in area and usually had considerably more acreage in labor-intensive crops than did farms not hiring labor (app. tables 5 and 6).

Table 6.-Distribution of farms hiring labor, by value of farm products sold and type of farm,

$$
48 \text { States, } 1966
$$





Labor Input by Farm Type

## Farms Not Hiring Labor

The total labor input (in hours) for fams not hiring labor varied widely among types of farms. The average annual input of family labor on farms using only family labor was about 2,600 hours (app tabie 1). The range was from 1,682 hours on fruit and nut farms to 4,769 hours on dairy farms. General farming also took considerable family effort. Tobacco, cotton, and other field crop farms used above average labor inputs, while livestock ranches and poultry and other livestock farms were below average in labor requirements. On all farms not hiring labor, the operator supplied 52 to 81 percent of the labor-the least on cotton and tobacco farms, the most on livestock ranches.

Family ability to meet labor needs differed markedly by size of farm. A cash grain farm with sales under $\$ 2,500$ and with just family labor used only about 1,076 hours of total labor, whereas a cash grain farm with sales of $\$ 100,000$ and over and with only family labor used more than 11, 700 hours--nearly all of this furnished by the operator (app. table 1).

As will be show in more detail in the next section, hired labor was necessary on many types of farms. On several types with sales over $\$ 20,000$, farmers were unable to, or preferred not to, operate their farms with just family labor. For example, there were no tobacco, vegetable, or fruit and nut farms with sales over $\$ 20,000$ that did not hire some labor. Also, all other field crop farms with sales over $\$ 40,000$ used some hired labor. Few farms with sales of $\$ 100,000$ and over appeared able to function with only family labor. Many of the farms that did function with just family labor were those whose livestock products exceeded 50 percent of their sales.

Farms Hiring Labor
Total labor input on farms hiring labor ranged from 1, 654 hours on the smallest to over 18,500 hours on the largest operations. The family's portion varied from nearly 1,500 to over 4,654 hours-a sizable contribution (app. table 1).

Family input varied widely among farm types within each sales class. On small cash grain farms, the family worked 1,064 hours with the operator supplying nearly three-fourths of the labor. On the largest cash grain farms, the family supplied over 4,900 hours of labor, with the operator or partners furnishing most of it. There was a marked difference in labor usage on vegetable farms. The smallest of them used more than twice as much total and family labor as did similarly sized cash grain farms. The operator accounted for 61 percent of the labor on these vegetable farms. On the largest vegetable farms, over 40,700 hours of total labor were used. The operators supplied nearly all of the family labor input, but it amounted to only 10 percent of the total labor.

For farms with sales under $\$ 20,000$, dairy operations generally used more labor (both total and family) than did any other type of farm. The operator worked about 60 percent of the hours on these dajry farms. For most farms above $\$ 20,000$ in sales, dairy farms operated with an aboveaverage labor input for a farm of a given size, but used considerably less labor than did similarly sized vegetable, tobacco, and cotton farms.

Proportion of Farms Hiring Labor
When all farms were considered, a different pattern emerged than when small farms were excluded. For example, table 7 includes all farms in each region. Because of the large number of small farms in the South, fewer farmers in the Southeast and Delta States Regions hired labor than in all other regions except the Corn Belt. However, when the number of farms was restricted to those with sales of $\$ 5,000$ or more, farmers in the Delta States Region hired labor more often than did farmers in almost any other region. This study shows the same high percentage of Southern farms hiring labor that was found in 1964 in a study that excluded most small farms. $1 /$

With the inclusion of small farms, data indicate that more than 40 percent of the farms in the Corn Belt, Southeast, and Delta States Regions did not hire labor. However, on 1 y 28 percent of the Southern Plains farmers operated with just family labor.

Labor usage varied immensely among regions on those farms not hiring labor. Farmers in the Southern Plains used the least annual labor per farm and Lake Statesfarmers, the most. The low labor input in the Southern Plains is due to the heavy concentration of labor-extensive farms-other livestock farms and livestock ranches-in this area. These used very little labor during 1966. Northeast and Mountain Region farmers used a considerable amount of man-hours in their operations. Thus, only 38 percent of the farmers in the Northeast and 33 percent in the Mountain Region operated with only family labor. The long hours needed to operate dairy farms grossly affected the average annual hours worked per farm in these two areas. The Mountain Region also had some other field crop (potatoes and sugar beets) farmers who used large amounts of labor. In the Lake States and Northeast, the only ones other than dairy farmers to use much labor were poultry farmers. However, their labor inputs were much less than those of dairy farmers.

Many farmers in the Southeast and Delta States Regions did not hire labor, as their total manpower use was small compared with use in other regions. The large number of other livestock farms in these regions reduced the farm averages. Perhaps livestock farming is one of the few types of operations that permit many of these Southern farmers to have nonfarm employment. The 1,100 to 1,300 hours of operator time spent on these farms in 1966 would be equivalent to part-time farming and would permit holding a nonfarm job. Also, in the regions with heavy concentrations of other livestock farms, shifting a larger share of the operator's farmwork to other unpaid family workers made part-time nonfarm work possible.

## Manpower Use on Farms Hiring Labor

The average U.S. farm that hired labor used at least 4,406 hours of labor to operate. Some 62 percent of all farms had to, or found it expedient to, hire labor (table 7). The average farmer hiring labor, hi reit

ㄱ/ Sellers, W.E., and Eichers, T.E. Farm Labor Inputs, 1964. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Res. Serv., Stat. Bui. 438, June 1969.

about a quarter of his manpower needs. Total needs in hours were the lowest on Appalachian farms and the highest on farms in the Mountain Region. Although Appalachian fruit and nut, tobacco, and other field crop farms required considerable labor, the low inputs on other livestock farms brought this region's average down to that of the lowest man-hour inputs per farm.

In the Mountain Region, most study farms hiring labor were large poultry, vegetable, and dairy farms-high labor users. No type of farm averaged less than 4,000 hours of labor (app. table 4). In this region, operators and their family members were able to supply only 57 percent of labor needs. Thus, they were quite dependent on hired labor.

In the Northeast and Lake States, farmers hiring labor had labor needs similar to those of liarmers not hiring labor. Both regions had high inputs of labor, but the Northeast farmer hired more than did the Lake States farmer--32 percent, compared with 13 percent.

The operator did a greater percentage of the work in the Corn Belt, Northern Plains, and Lake States than did the operator in other regions. In each of the first two regions, the operator was able to furnish about two-thirds of the man-hours, and in the Lake States, 60 percent. Farm operators in the Pacific and Delta States Regions supplied a lower proportion of total labor than farmers did in other regions.

In general, farmers hiring labor still had to work more hours during the year than farmers relying on family labor. It is true that the operator relying on family labor furnished a greater proportion of labor, but the overall labor inputs for farms not hiring labor were on 1y about 59 percent of the man-hours used on farms hiring labor. One of the basic reasons for this is that farmers hiring labor have larger volumes of sales, or production, than do farmers relying strictly on family labor. Also, most farms relying solely on family labor are operations that (1) normally use labor over long periods of time with less seasonal peaking, such as livestock (other than poultry and dairy operations); or (2) are highly mechanized, such as cash grain farms. This pattern of man-hour use holds true fairly well for each region.

## HIRED WORKERS

Hired labor is an Achilles' heel of farmers-a small, but nevertheless vulnerable point of farming operations. Even if every farmer attempted optimal utility, or maximum efficiency, of his labor input, the demands would still vary by region, farm type, and size. However, many, if not most, farmers are operating at far from maximum efficiency--particularly in their use of labor. A farmer's demand for hired labor also depends on (1) his idiosyncrasies; (2) the value he places on leisure time; (3) the amount of lower priced labor he can hire as substitutes so he and his family can work in higher paying nonfarm employment; and (4) the availability of surplus manpower.

The amount of labor hired will depend on how much the operator and his family can contribute. The operator of the large farm generally puts in many more hours of work than does the operator of the small farm. But in total man-hours used on the large farms, hired man-hours far exceed those contributed by the family. Some types of faming operations require heavier amounts of labor; and thus, more labor is hired than on other types where the family can do most of the work. But just size and type do not detemine how much labor will be used. In some areas, entire
families follow an age-old custom of working in the fields, or in dairy barns. In contrast, in other areas wives seldom work in the fields or in barns. In some regions, geographic features prohibit large-scale operations and thus make mechanization uneconomical.

In the study, we did not find how much additional labor could have been demanded--nor did we know the available supply. The data show the amount of labor used on the farms in the survey.

## Hiring Practices by Farm Size

## Proportion of Farms Hiring Labor

What proportion of farms hired labor? The answex, of course, depends on the definition of a farm. For farms with sales over $\$ 5,000,73$ percent hired labor. Many of these did not hire much, but could they have functioned without hiring any labor?

Only 62 percent of all farms with sales of $\$ 50$ or more hired labor, and only 26 percent of total hours worked were hired. Less than half of the smallest farms hired any labor, and hired workers did only 10 percent of the work. However, there was a vast difference on the larger farms. About 94 percent of all farms with sales of $\$ 100,000$ and over hired labor, and 75 percent of the hours used were hired. For several types of farms, all operators hired some help, even on the medium-sized operations. A11 tobacco and fruit and nut farms with sales of $\$ 20,000$ and above hired labor. For farms with sales of $\$ 100,000$ and over, seven of 11 types hired labor. It is doubtful that most of these larger farms could function without hired labor.

## Amount of Labor and Kind of Hired Worker

The kind of worker the operator must hire differed by size of farm. Farms with sales under $\$ 10,000$ made little use of regular workers. Neithry the demand nor the returns on the operations were large enough, in most instances, to justify hiring full-time employees. Regular workers supplied less than 5 percent of the labor on these small farms (table 8). Seasonal workers were the mainstay of the small operator, as far as hired help was concerned, and provided about 67 percent of the hired hours.

The regular hired worker became an important source of labor on farms with sales of $\$ 40,000$ and over. The large farm operator is faced with all the problems inherent in maintaining an efficient, dependable work force. To keep a good employee, he must compete with the nonfarm industries who provide workers with attractive incentives. If he does not know how to attract, or keep regular employees, the operator must mechanize so his family or seasonal workers can handle the peak workload; or he must keep the farm small enough so that his family alone can operate it. About 84 percent of farms with sales of $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ hired labor, with nearly half of all hours hired. Regular hired help supplied a third of the hours on these farms.

Regular workers were even more important on the largest farms. Fifty three percent of the hours of labor used to operate this size of farm was supplied by regular workers. The largest farms depend heavily on both regular and seasonal hired help. Seasonal workers supplied almost as much of the manpower as did the farm family (app. table 3).

Table 8.--Annual hours of farmwork and percentage of total hours worked by family and hired labor on farms hiring labor, by value of farm products sold, 48 States, 1966


## Hiring Practices by Farm Type

Proportion of Farms Hiring Labor
Hiring practices not only differed among sizes of farms, but they varied widely within each size-group. The major factor is the type of farming. We noted previously that less than half the smallest farms ( $\$ 50$ to $\$ 2,499$ in sales) hired labor. However, the proportion varied from 31 percent of other field crop farms to 68 percent of cotton farms. Even at this size, over half the farmers on five types of farming operations hired some labor (table 6).

For all farm sizes, poultry and other livestock farms were least likely to hire labor. Just a little over half of these operators hired any workers. Fruit and nut, cotton, and tobacco farmers were most likely to do so. Eighty-four percent of the fruit and nut farmers hired labor, and about three-fourths of all cotton and tobacco farmers did. In I966, all fruit and nut farms with sales of $\$ 10,000$ and above used hired labor. At no level of operations did all cash grain, poultry, dairy, or other livestock farmers hire labor.

## Importance of Hired Labor

Just as labor imputs varied by type of farm, so did use of hired help. On tobacco farms, all hired workers supplied less than a fifth of
the manpower. Regular hired help was less important than seasoned help (table 9). However, on vegetable, fruit and nut, and other field crop operations, sizable labor inputs were necessary, peaking at harvesttime. Hired labor was quite important. About 63 percent of the hours needed annually to operatf a vegetable farm were hired. Vegetable farming relied most extensively on seasonal hired labor. Seasonal workers furnished nearly half of all hours. They were also important to the fruit and nut operations, where more seasonal than regular labor (in tems of hours) were hired. Other field crop farms used regular and seasonal labor in about equal proportions.

Hiring practices on dairy farms presented a different pattern from those on crop farms. Although many hours were required to operate the average dairy farm, hired labor was not as important as it was on the crop farm. In the regions where dairying is primarily located, more families on dairy farms participated in the work than families did on other types of farms. Demand for hired labor is usually quite stable on dairy farms; 84 percent of this labor is regular, or year-round. This stability is due to the constant, year-round activities required on dairy farms.

Table 9.--Annual hours of fammork and percentage of total hours worked by family and hired labor on farms hiring labor, by type of farm, 48 States, 1966


As noted earlier, hiring practices within a region are based on several factors, including size and type of farm. Another factor is the existing cultural traditions. Of these influences, type of farm is the most important in detemining how much total labor is needed. The amount needed, in turn, determines how much of it will be hixed.

Even given the same size and type of famming operations, operators in the South and West were more apt to hire labor than were operators in the Northeast and Midwest. In the South, 65 percent of all farmers hired labor in 1966. The proportion varied from 50 to 96 percent, depending on the size of operation. The amount of Iabor hired on Southern farms varied from 14 percent of total hours on the smallest farms to 83 percent on the large-scale operations (table 10).

In the Midwest, while nearly all the largest farms hired labor, only 58 percent of all farmers hired labor. Less than 10 percent of the labor was hired on Midwestern farms with sales under $\$ 20,000$. Even on the largest operations, on $1 y 62$ percent of the manpower was hired. Hours of hired work on Midwestern farms were less than a third of those in the West.

The hiring practices of Western Earmers resemble those of Southern farmers. In the West, 68 percent of all farmers hired some help. Although only about half the smallest famm hired labor, 98 percent of the largest farms did. The proportion of labor (in hours) hired in the west varied from 11 percent on the smallest farms to 81 percent on the largest (table 10).

## Hixing Practices by Farm Type

Within similar types of farms, there were some major regional differences in the proportion hiring labor. A greater proportion of Southern cash grain, tobacco, and dairy farms, and livestock ranches used hired labor than similar fams did in other regions. Other field crop, vegetable, and general farms in the West more often used hired labor than similar farms did in other regions. In all regions, fewer other livestock farms hired labor than diu other types of farms.

The percentage of total labor (in hours) hired also differed by type of farm within and among regions. Southern cash grain farmers hired 47 percent of their hours, while similar Midwestern farmers hired only 14 percent of their labor input. Nearly half the hours on Southern dairy Farms were hired, but only 10 percent of the hours needed to operate Midwestern dairy farms were hired. In the Northeast, vegetable and fruit and nut Earms relied on hired labor more than did any other types. Seventymine parcent of the iabor on vegetable farms was hired; 53 percent on fruit and nut Fams (table 1.1). In the Midwest, fruit and nut, and vegetable fams also hired most of their labor. Hired labor accounced for 90 percent of che man-hours on Nidwestern Iruit and nut farms; this far exceeded the hired labor used on Eruit and nut fams in other'regions.

Western cash grain and dairy famers hired less of their labor than did any other Western Eamers. However, Western poultry famers hired twice as much labor as poultry famers did in othur regions. One reason

$\frac{1}{3} /$ Includes only farms hiring labor.
닌 Northeast is as shown in the figure inside the front cover. South includes Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States regions; Midwest includes Lake States, Corn Belt, and Northern Plains Regions; and West includes Southern Plains, Mountain, and Pacific Regions.
is that most of the Western poultry farms exceeded $\$ 40,000$ in sales--they were large operations that normally hired a lot of labor. Other livem stock tarms, livestock ranches, and general farms in the west hired a much greater proportion of their labor needs than did similar farms in any other areas.

Appendix table l,--Annuat hours of family labor on farms hiring and not hiring labor, by value of farm products sold and type of farm, 48 States, 1966


Note: See footnotes at end of table. of farm products sold and type of farm, 48 States, 1966--Continued

| Value of farm products sold and type of farm | Farss hiring labor |  |  |  |  | Fams not hiring labor |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual hours of total labor | Annual hours of fabor | Percentage of total hours worked by-- |  |  | Annual <br> hours of <br> labor | Percentage of cotal hours worked by-- |  |  |
|  |  |  | Operato | Wi.fe | Other <br> family |  | Operato | Wife | other Exmily |
|  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Hrs. }}$ | Hrs. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Hzs. | Pct. | Pct. | Pet. |
| \$20,000-\$39,999: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,168 | 3,902 | 58 | 6 | 11 | 3,878 | 74 | 8 | 18 |
| Tobacco. | 9,416 | 4,579 3,783 | 32 | 7 | 10 | $1 /$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cotton Ofieidic.... | 8,116 | 3,783 3,860 | 40 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | 3,977 | 70 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 30 |
| vegetable...... | 9,864 | 3,444 | 27 | 6 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fruit and nut | 2,388 | 3,443 | 38 | 4 | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pouitry..... | 4,183 | 3,373 | 53 | 17 | 11 | 3,397 | 65 | 19 | 16 |
| Dairy $\because 1 . \ldots$.... | 7,581 | 5,951 | 55 | 9 | 14 | 6,517 | 64 | 13 | 23 |
| Ocher livescock, | 5,581 | 4,465 | 60 | 8 | 12 | 5,028 | 70 | 10 | 20 |
| Ifvestock ramahes General......... | 6,278 6,869 | 4,099 4,621 | 47 53 | 12 | 6 9 | 4,006 5,434 | 84 61 | 13 | 16 26 |
| All rypes | 6,357 | 4,654 | 54 | 8 | 11 | 4,944 | 68 | 11 | 21 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash grain... | 8,478 | 3,983 | 40 | 2 | 5 | 4,711 | 71 | 6 | 23 |
| Tobacco.... | 15,880 | 3,504 | 17 | 2 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cotton. | 17,893 | 3,029 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 4,471 | 91 | 0 | 9 |
| Other field crop | 10,466 | 4,557 | 35 | 3 | 6 | L | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vegetable..... | 18,316 | 4,154 | 21 | 1 | 1 | T/ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fruit and nut | 11,992 | 3,390 | 22 | 2 | 4 | I/ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poultry.... | 5,955 | 4,025 | 45 | 12 | 1.1 | 4,018 | 67 | 17 | 16 |
|  | 10,687 | 6,038 | 43 | 5 | 8 | 8,429 | 63 | 13 | 24 |
| Other livestock. Livestock ranche | 6,449 | 4,383 3,440 | 54 | 4 | 10 5 | 4,813 | 79 99 | ${ }^{6}$ | 19 |
| General. $\qquad$ | 10,558 | 4,460 | 33 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 5 | 5,883 | 77 | 12 | 11 |
| All types | 8,948 | 4,653 | 39 | 4 | 9 | 5,130 | 72 | 8 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash grain.... | 14,414 | 4,915 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Tobacco........ | 11,697 | 1,820 | 16 9 | 0 0 | 1 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | $\frac{21}{21}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 2/ |
| orher field crop. | 17,125 | 3,666 | 20 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 2/ | \%/ | $\frac{2}{21}$ |
| Vegetable..... | 40,713 | 4,281 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 2/ | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 2/ | , |
| Fritit and nut. | 36, 634 | 3,641 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2/ | $\frac{2}{21}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ |  |
| Poultry....... | 14, 365 | 4,041 | 22 | 4 | 2 | 5, ${ }^{5} 124$ | 34 | T6 | 20 |
| Dairy. ${ }^{\text {cher }}$ - |  |  | 24 | 1 | 1 | 3/9,219 | 99 | 1 | 0 |
| Other livestock. Livestock ranches | 12,410 | 5,154 | 33 <br> 24 | 2 | 7 | 4,351 | 73 21 | $2{ }^{4}$ | 23 |
| General. ${ }^{\text {a }}$...... | 26,050 | 4,317 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 27 | $\frac{2}{2} /$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ |
| Ald types. | 18,504 | 4,563 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 5,815 | 82 | 4 | 14 |
| All economic classes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash grain. | 3,813 | 2,857 | 60 | 6 | 9 | 2,461 | 78 | 8 | 14 |
| Tobacco.. | 3,625 | 2,954 | 51 | 12 | 18 | 2,861 | 57 | 15 | 28 |
| Cotton. | 4,304 | 2,712 | 38 | 6 | 19 | 2,785 | 52 | 15 | 33 |
| Ocher field crop. | 5,874 | 3,370 | 43 | 6 | 8 | 2,759 | 67 | 13 | 20 |
| Vegetable........ | 7,608 | 2,833 | 29 | 4 | 4 | 2,435 | 60 | 10 | 30 |
| Fruit and nut. | 5,309 | 2,247 | 33 | 6 | 3 | 1,682 | 66 | 14 | 20 |
| poultry.... | 5,025 | 3,050 | 42 | 12 | 7 | 2,263 | 65 | 24 | 11 |
|  | 6,388 3,648 | 5,153 | 55 | 12 | 14 | 4,769 | 67 | 14 | 19 |
| Livestack ranches | 4,318 | 2, 2,617 | 47 | 8 | 17 | 2,026 | 77 81 | 10 | 13 8 |
| General........... | 5,108 | 3,438 | 51 | 7 |  | 3,143 | 67 | 12 | 21 |
| All types | 4,406 | 3,250 | 54 | 8 | 12 | 2,613 | 71 | 12 | 17 |

If All of these farms hired some labor.
$\frac{1}{3} /$ All Earms above $\$ 100,000$ tn galeu hired some labor.
3/ Sonn farms had more than one operator.

Appendix table 2.-Amual hours of Eamily and hired labor on farms hiring labor, by value of farm products sold and type of farm, 48 States, 1966


Appendfx table 2.-Annual hours of family and hired labor on fams hiring labor, by value of farm products soid and type of farm, 48 States, 1966--Continued


Appendix table 3.-Annual hours of Eamily and hired labor on Eams hiring labor, by region and value of farm products sold, 48 States, 1966

| Region and value of farm products sold | Anvers 1 hours of family and hire laboc | \% Annual hours of labos by-- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Family workers |  |  | Hired workers |  |  |
|  |  | : Total | Opera | Other | Totai | Regul | Seasonal |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499. | 1,618 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4, 999 | 1, 3,152 | 1,478 | 1.149 1,792 | 329 1,274 | 140 86 | 71 | 69 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999.. | 4,951 | 4,378 | 3,163 | 1,274 | 86 573 |  | 86 |
| \$20,000-\$19,999 | 6,508 | 5,009 | 3,724 | 1.225 | . 573 | 294 | 279 |
| \$20,000-\$59,999 | 7,938 | 5,391 | 4,097 | 1,285 | 1,499 | 1,173 | 326 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999. | 10,629 | 4,331 | 3,938 | 1,294 893 | 2,547 | 1,891 | . 656 |
| \$100,000 and over | 23,827 | 4,109 | 3,610 | 893 499 | 19,718 | 8,921 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,659 \\ 10,797 \end{array}$ |
| All Northeast. | 6,00: | 4, 1.54 | 3,102 | 2,052 | 1,943 | 1,279 | 664 |
| Appalachian: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499. | 1,501 | 1,375 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 3,125 | 2,791 | 1,036 | 339 949 | 126 | 36 | 90 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999 | 4,115 | 3,678 | 1,842 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 949 } \\ 1,238 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 334 437 | 125 | 209 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 5,893 | 4,150 | 2,740 | 1,238 | 1.437 | 73 | 364 |
| \$20,000- $\$ 39,999$ | 7,601 | 4,171 | 3,231 | 1,380 940 | 1,743 | +704 | 1,039 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999. | 11,969 | 3,768 | 3,359 | 940 409 | 3,430 | 1,937 | 1,493 |
| \$100,000 and over | 27,050 | 2,669 | 2,330 | 339 | 14,381 | 12,607 | 2,283 |
| All Appalachian. | 3,474 | 2,629 | 1,842 | 787 | 845 | 449 | 396 |
| Southeast: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499. | 2,090 | 1,794 | 1,180 | 614 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 2,992 | 2,224 | 1,514 | 710 | 2968 | 528 | 244 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999. | 5,217 | 4,296 | 2,515 | 781 | 921 | 169 | 515 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 5,906 | 3,813 | 2,797 | 1,016 | 2,093 | 1,081 | I, 012 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 6,078 | 3,091 | 2,554 | -,537 | 2,987 | 2,075 | 1,012 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999. | 9,717 | 3,145 | 2,525 | 620 | 6,572 | 4,277 | 2,295 |
| \$100,000 and ove | 23,758 | 4,314 | 3,977 | 337 | 19,444 | 13,412 | 6,032 |
| A12 Southeast. | 4,408 | 2,733 | 1,875 | 858 | 1,675 | 917 | 758 |
| Delta States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499. | 1,984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-59,999 | 2,798 | 2,327 | 1,376 | 977 | 338 | 35 | 303 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999 | 3,308 | 2,226 | 1,628 | 548 | 1,481 | 108 | 373 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 5,178 | 3,587 | 2,621 | 976 | 1,082 | 730 | 352 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999. | 6,803 | 3,839 | 2,951 | 888 | 1,591 | 850 | 741 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999.. | 10,945 | 3,378 | 2,851 | 888 546 | 2,964 7,567 | 2,012 | 2,952 |
| \$100,000 and over | 22,013 | 3,813 | 3,454 | 359 | 18,200 | 5,207 14,858 | 2,360 |
| All Delta States | 3.944 | 2,344 | 1,647 | 697 | 1,600 | 990 | 610 |
| Combelle: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499. | 1,459 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 2,254 | 2,155 | 1,740 | 353 415 | 89 99 | 35 | 54 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999 | 3,262 | 3,118 | 2,354 | 764 | 99 144 | 38 | +188 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 4,278 | 3,850 | 2,937 | 913 | 428 | 248 | 106 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 5,176 | 4,518 | 3,363 | 1,155 | 428 658 | 344 | 184 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999. | 6,388 | 4,507 | 3,570 | 1,937 | , 698 1,881 | 2,406 | 266 |
| \$100,000 and over. | 10,822 | 5,225 | 4,137 | 1,088 | 5,597 | 3,843 | 1.754 |
| All Corn Belt | 3,920 | 3,325 | 2.539 | 786 | 595 | 377 | 218 |
| Lake SLates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499. | 1,517 | 1,437 | 1,093 | 344 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999. | 3,493 | 3,384 | 2,319 | 1.065 | 180 | -- | 80 109 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999.. | 4,744 | 4,390 | 2,925 | 1,465 | 354 | 194 | 169 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999. | 5,915 | 5.359 | 3,614 | 1,745 | 556 | 387 | 160 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999. | 6,614 | 5,621 | 3,949 | 1, 1.672 | 596 993 | 387 | 169 278 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999.. | 8,267 | 5,672 | 3,874 | 1,793 | 2,595 | 1,966 | 278 629 |
| \$100,000 and over. | 15,719 | 3,773 | 3,452 | 2, 321 | 11,946 | 8,383 | 3, 5683 |
| All Lake States. | 4,999 | 4,369 | 2,994 | 1,375 | 630 | 417 | 213 |

Note: See footnote at end of table.

| Region and value of Earm products sold | Annual hours of Family and hired 1abor | Total |  | al hour <br> $s$ <br> Other 1 | $f$ labor $\qquad$ <br> $m \cdot 1$ | red wor <br> Regulait | easonal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northern Plains: | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499. | 1,934 | 1,867 | 1,490 | 377 | 67 | --- | 67 |
| \$2,500-\$4.999 | 3,505 | 3,205 | 2,235 | 970 | 300 | 262 | 38 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999 | 3,776 | 3,555 | 2,630 | 925 | 221 | 120 | 101 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 4,221 | 3,668 | 2,993 | 875 | 353 | 144 | 209 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 5,407 | 4,574 | 3,294 | 1,280 | 833 | 498 | 335 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999 | 6,583 | 4,754 | 3,629 | 1,125 | 1,829 | 1,282 | 547 |
| \$100,000 and ove | 10,630 | 5,193 | 4,073 | 1,120 | 5,437 | 4,105 | 1,332 |
| All Northern Plains | 4,457 | 3,826 | 2,860 | 966 | 631 | 394 | 237 |
| Southern Plajns: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499... | 1,523 | 1,439 | 1,061 | 378 | 84 | 32 | 52 |
| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 2,670 | 2,466 | 1,907 | 559 | 204 | 65 | 139 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999 | 3,907 | 3,136 | 2,509 | 628 | 771 | 499 | 272 |
| \$10,000-\$29,999 | 4,746 | 3,098 | 2,506 | 592 | 1,648 | I, 101 | 547 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 6,302 | 4,173 | 3,203 | 970 | 2,129 | 1,433 | 696 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999 | 8,081 | 3,569 | 2,889 | 680 | 4,512 | 3.692 | 820 |
| \$100,000 and ove | 15,264 | 3,346 | 2,630 | 716 | 11,918 | 10,324 | 1,594 |
| All Southern Plains | 3,576 | 2,566 | 1,998 | 568 | 1,010 | 716 | 294 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499. | 2,244 | 2,004 | 1,591 | 413 | 240 | 191 | 49 |
| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 3,600 | 2,979 | 2,470 | 509 | 621 | 443 | 178 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999. | 4,924 | 3,271 | 2,571 | 700 | 1,653 | 1,022 | 631 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 5,519 | 4,388 | 3,157 | 1,231 | $\frac{1}{7}, 131$ | 685 | 446 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999. | 8,017 | 5,1.35 | 3,698 | 1,437 | 2,882 | 2,076 | 806 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999. | 10,329 | 5,554 | 4,365 4,149 | 1,189 | 4,775 26,556 | 23,340 | 1,435 3,354 |
| \$ 100,000 and over | 31,807 | 5,251 | 4,149 | 1,102 | 26,556 | 23,202 | 3,354 |
| All Mountain | 7,191 | 4,090 | 3,098 | 992 | 3.101 | 2,368 | 733 |
| Pacific: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499... | I, 499 | I, 259 | 951 |  | 240 | 125 | 115 |
| \$2, 500-\$4, 999 | 2,110 | 1,732 | 1,411 | 321 | , 378 | 18 | + 360 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999. | 4,840 | 2,069 | 1,631 | 438 | 2,771 | 434 | 2,337 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 5,027 | 2,964 | 2, 465 | 499 | 2,063 | 639 | 1,424 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 7,018 | 3,593 | 2, 737 | 856 | 3,425 | 1,712 | 1,713 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999. | 10,546 | 4,112 | 3,196 | 916 | $\begin{array}{r}6,434 \\ \hline 0,755\end{array}$ | 2,966 | 10,468 |
| \$ 100,000 and over | 24,506 | 4,751 | 4,144 | 607 | 19,755 | 8,855 | 10,900 |
| All Pacific | 5,789 | 2,552 | 2,024 | 528 | 3,237 | I, 294 | 1,943 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 50-\$ 2,499 .$ | 1,654 | 2,490 | 1,086 | 404 | 164 | 42 | 122 |
| \$2,500-\$4,999. | 2,945 | 2,638 | 1, 852 | . 786 | 307 | 109 | 198 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999. | 4,136 | 3,569 | 2,524 | 1,045 | 567 | 220 | 347 |
| \$10,000-\$19, 999. | 5,151 | 4,198 | 3,065 | 1,133 | + 953 | + 107 | 427 |
| \$20,000-\$39.999. | 6,357 | 4,654 | 3,444 | 1,210 | 1,703 | 1, 107 | 596 1.343 |
| \$40,000-\$99, 999. | 18,548 | 4,684 4,563 | 3,496 3,791 | 1,188 | 4,264 13,941 | 2,921 9,749 | 1,343 4,192 |
| All 48 States | 4,406 | 3,250 | 2,365 | 885 | 1,156 | 699 | 457 |

1 Other Eamily includes wife and other unpaid family.

| Region and type of farm | Annual hours of Eamily and hired labor | : Annual hours of labor by-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Family workers |  |  |  | Hired workers |  |  |
|  |  | Totai | Operator | Wife | Ocher <br> famil | Total | Regulaz | Seasonal |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash grain. | 2,264 | 1,483 | 1,263 | 136 | 84 | 781 | 714 | 67 |
| Tobacco... | 3,779 | 3,221 | 2,334 | 583 | 304 | 558 | 247 | 311 |
| Cotton.......... | --- | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other field crop | 8,580 | 4.797 | 3,283 | 425 | 1,089 | 3,783 | 1,444 | 2,339 |
| Vegetabie.,...... | 12,863 | 2,660 | 2, 2 , 278 | 189 | - 93 | 10,203 | 2,104 | 8,099 |
| Fruit and nut | 6,157 | 2,895 | 2,265 | 562 | 168 | 3,262 | 2,819 | 2,443 |
| Poultry. | 5,385 | 3,451 | 2,607 | 510 | 334 | 1,934 | 1,820 | 2,114 |
| Dairy. .... | 7,429 | 5,472 | 4,032 | 652 | 789 | 1,957 | 1,777 | 180 |
| Other livestock. | 2,640 | 2,373 | 1,641 | 294 | 438 | 267 | 1.181 | 86 |
| General. | 5,045 | 3,660 | 3,247 | 151 | 262 | 1,385 | 840 | 545 |
| All types | 6,097 | 4,254 | 3,102 | 502 | 550 | 1,943 | 1,279 | 664 |
| Appalachian: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash grain. | 4,653 | 2,078 | 1.804 | 47 | 227 | 2,575 | 2,057 | 51.8 |
| Tobacco.. | 3,464 | 2,852 | 1,817 | 423 | 612 | -612 | 2, 119 | 493 |
| Cotton. | 3,279 | 2,516 | 1,827 | 217 | 472 | 763 | 513 | 250 |
| Other field cro | 4,271 | 2,606 | 1,881 | 267 | 458 | 1,665 | 1,009 | 566 |
| Vegetable.... | 1,478 | 1,194 | 891 | 101 | 202 | - 284 | 2,-- | 284 |
| Fruit and nut | 7,285 | 3,526 | 3,209 | 17 | 300 | 3,759 | 644 | 3,115 |
| Poultry | 4,065 S,484 | 3,219 | 2,199 | 410 | 610 | + 846 | 646 | 200 |
| Other investock | 2,402 | - | 1,569 | 544 125 | 627 180 | 1,702 | 1,467 | 235 |
| Livestock ranches. | 2,302 | 1,874 | 1,569 |  | 180 |  |  | 162 |
| General. | 5,105 | 3,187 | 2,535 | 276 | 376 | 1,918 | 1,307 | 611 |
| All types | 3,474 | 2,629 | 1,842 | 322 | 466 | 845 | 449 | 396 |
| Southeast: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash grain | 2,483 | 2,245 | 2,598 | 282 | 365 | 598 |  |  |
| Tobaceo. | 5,342 | 3,992 | 2,178 | 543 | 2,271 | 1,350 | 440 | 910 |
| Cotton. | 2,205 | 1,493 | 940 | 107 | - 446 | +712 | 229 | 483 |
| Other field crop | 4,381 | 2,804 | 2,221 | 167 | 416 | 1,377 | 671 | 706 |
| Vegetable.. | 5,596 | 3,369 | 1,982 | 512 | 875 | 2,227 | 746 | 1,481 |
| Fruit and nut | 8.058 | 2,397 | 2,273 | 116 | 8 | 5,661 | 3,245 | 2,416 |
| Poultry. | 4,542 | 2,824 | 1,905 | 695 | 224 | 1,718 | 1,613 | 2,405 |
| Dairy........ | 12,265 | 4,311 | 3.413 | 417 | 481 | 7,954 | 6,489 | 1,465 |
| Other livestock. | 3,067 | 1,997 | 1,757 | 63 | 177 | 1,070 | , 757 | 1,323 |
| Llvestock ranches | 2,767 | 1,887 | 1,598 | 124 | 165 | 1,880 | 535 | 345 |
| General.. | 5,427 | 3,466 | 2,296 | 227 | 953 | 1,961 | 1,038 | 923 |
| All types. | 4,408 | 2,733 | 1,875 | 269 | 589 | 1,675 | 917 | 758 |
| Delta States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash grair. | 6,162 | 2,863 | 2,341 | 99 | 423 |  |  |  |
| Tobacco. | 0 | , 0 | , 0 | 0 | $0$ | - 0 | 2,120 | 1, 0 |
| Cotton. | 4,880 | 3,116 | 1,503 | 324 | 1,289 | 1,764 | 981 | 783 |
| Other fieid crop | 5,966 | 5,398 | 3,080 | 1.720 | - 598 | - 568 | 0 | 568 |
| Vegetable... | 3,675 | 3,665 | 2,885 | - 0 | 780 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Frute and nut | ${ }^{0}$ | - 0 | 2, 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poultry... | 4.345 | 2,613 | 1,882 | 614 | 217 | 1,732 | 1,501 | 231 |
| Damry. . ........ | 5,742 | 3,984 | 2,624 | 672 | 688 | 1,758 | 1,461 | 297 |
| Other livestock. | 1,587 | 1,275 | 920 | 162 | 193 | - 312 | - 82 | 230 |
| Livestock ranches <br> General........... |  | - 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| General. | 4,712 | 2,867 | 2,118 | 529 | 220 | 1,845 | 1,089 | 756 |
| All types | 3,944 | 2,344 | 1,647 | 302 | 395 | 1,600 | 990 | 610 |
| Corn belt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash grain. | 3,251 | 2,703 | 2,159 | 223 | 322 | 548 | 352 | 196 |
| Tobaceo... | , 0 | 0 | , 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Cotton. | 3,893 | 1,040 | 1,040 | 0 | 0 | 2,853 | 1,303 | 玉. 550 |
| Other field crop. | 10,985 | 7,601 | 5,529 | 1,536 | 536 | 3,384 | 1,069 | 2,315 |
| Yegetable...... | 35,593 | 2,490 | 2,179 | + 236 | 75 | 3,101 | , 673 | 2,428 |
| Fruit and nut. | 35,767 | 1,667 | 857 | 810 | 0 | 34,100 | 14,525 | 19,575 |
| Poutrry.......... | 6,881 | 4,193 | 2.645 | 923 | 625 | 2.688 | 1,599 | 2,089 |
| Other ilivestock. | 5,496 3,863 | 4,818 3,403 | 3,340 2,609 | 710 | 768 | 678 460 | 497 | 181 |
| Livestock ranches | 3, 0 | - 0 | 2, 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 |
| General.. | 3,671 | 3,209 | 2,561 | 465 | 183 | 462 | 266 | 196 |
| AIl types | 3,920 | 3,325 | 2,539 | 357 | 429 | 595 | 377 | 218 |



Appendix table 5.-Acreage on farms hiring and not hiring labor, by value of farm products sold and selected crops, 48 States, 1966

| Value of farm products sold and selected crops | Average acreage per farm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hiring labor | Not hiring labor |
|  |  |  |
| \$50-\$2,499: |  |  |
| Totai acreage................ | 117 | 95 |
| Cultivated............. | 24 | 18 |
| нау................................. |  |  |
| Grain <br> Graln | 7 | 5 |
| Other........................... | 7 |  |
| Pasture,.......... | 56 | 44 |
| Other uncultivated....... | 36 | 32 |
| \$2,500-\$4,999: |  |  |
| Total acreage.............. | 216 | 168 |
| Cultivated..................... | 53 | 55 |
| Hay....... | 15 | 17 |
| Grain............... | 21 | 22 |
| 0ther.......................... | 15 | 15 |
| Pasture...... | 116 | 59 |
| Other uncultivated..... | 46 | 54 |
| \$5,000-\$9,999: |  |  |
| Total acreage. | 312 | 293 |
| Cultivated........ | 122 | 113 |
| Hay . ${ }^{\text {Gra }}$ | 26 | 25 |
| Grain. . . . . . . | 52 | 56 |
| other. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 43 | 29 |
| Pasture..... | 113 | 118 |
| Other uncultivated. | 76 | 62 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999: |  |  |
| Total acreage. | 444 | 374 |
| Cultivated.. | 186 | 158 |
| Hay....... . | 38 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crain..... } \\ & \text { Other. } \end{aligned}$ | 90 56 | 86 38 |
|  | 56 | 38 |
| Pasture........................... | 170 | 153 |
| Other uncultivated. | 88 | 63 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999: |  |  |
| Total acreage. | 764 | 425 |
| Cultivated.. | 281 | 234 |
| Hay..... | 53 | 33 |
| Grain............................ | 140 | 136 |
| Other......... | 87 | 64 |
| Pasture... | 34.1 | 121 |
| Other uncultivated. | 142 | 69 |

Appendix table 5.-Acreage on farms hiring and not hiring labor, by value of farm products sold and selected crops, 48 States, 1966--Contintied


| Type of farm and selected crops | Average acreage per famm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hiring labor | Not hiring labor |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Cash grain: |  |  |
| Total acreage. | 495 | 263 |
| Cultivated, | 280 | 172 |
| Grain. | 156 | 93 |
| Other. | 123 | 77 |
| Hay and pasture. | 110 | 43 |
| Other uncultivated... | 105 | 48 |
| Tobacco: |  |  |
| Total acreage.. | 94 | 72 |
| Cultivated. | 24 | 7 |
| Tobacco. | 3 | 1 |
| Cotton. | 1 | 0 |
| Grain. | 10 | 4 |
| Hay and pasture. | 30 | 25 |
| Other uncultivated.. | 39 | 39 |
|  |  | 39 |
| Cotton: |  |  |
| Total acreage. | 271 | 65 |
| Cultivated. | 141 | 27 |
| Cotton. | 51 | 10 |
| Grain. | 12 | 8 |
| Other.. | 78 | 7 |
| Hay and pasture. | 51 | 12 |
| Other uncultivated. | 78 | 25 |
| Other field crops: |  |  |
| Total acreage. | 283 | 135 |
| Cultirated. | 168 | 80 |
| Peanuts. | 26 | 7 |
| Soybeans | ¢ | 3 |
| Grain.. | 31 | 18 |
| other. | 81 | 50 |
| Hay and pasture. | 52 | 17 |
| Other uncultivated.. | 63 | 38 |
| Vegetabıe: |  |  |
| Total acreage. | 133 | 47 |
| Cultivated. | 100 | 20 |
| Grain. . . . . .... | 13 | 4 |
| All vegetables. | 29 | 5 |
| Tomatoes. | 6 | 0 |
| Beans. | 9 | 0 |
| Other vegetables. | 8 | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| Other cultivated.. | 56 | 10 |
| May and pasture. | 9 | 4 |
| Other uncultivated.. | 24 | 22 |

Appendix tabie 6.--Acreage on farms hiring and not hiring labor, by type of farm and selected crops, 48 States, 1966--Continued

| Type of farm and selected crops | Average acreage per farm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hiring labor | Not hiring Iabor |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Fruit and nut: |  |  |
| Total acreage.. | 109 | 42 |
| Cultivated. | 48 | 15 |
| Grain. | 2 | 2 |
| All fruit | 30 | 7 |
| Oranges. | 10 | 0 |
| Apples.. | 8 | 2 |
| Peaches | 3 | 1 |
| Other. | 9 | 3 |
| Other cultivated. | 44 | 12 |
| Hay and pasture. | 19 | 9 |
| Other uncultivated. | 42 | 18 |
| Poultry: |  |  |
| Total acreage. | 160 | 157 |
| Cultivated. | 43 | 25 |
| Grain. | 22 | 7 |
| other. | 21 | 10 |
| Hay and pasture. | 54 | 13 |
| Other uncultivated. | 62 | 24 |
|  |  |  |
| Dairy: |  |  |
| Total acreage. | 250 | 176 |
| Cultivated. | 120 | 85 |
| Hay... | 48 |  |
| Grain. | 58 | 39 |
| Other. | 13 | 8 |
| Pasture. | 70 | 49 |
| Other unculeivated. | 60 | 42 |
| Other livestock: |  |  |
| Total acreage.... | 466 | 203 |
| Cultivated. | 152 | 60 |
| Hay. | 41 | 16 |
| Grain. | 72 | 30 |
| Other.. | 37 | 12 |
| Pasture. | 218 | 90 |
| Other uncultivated.. | 95 | 53 |

Appendix table 6.--Acreage on farms hiring and not hiring labor, by type of farm and selected crops, 48 States, 1966--Continued

| Type of farm and selected crops | Average acreage per farm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hiring labor | Not hiring labor |
|  |  |  |
| Livestock ranches: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Tota1 acreage......... | 6,485 | 2,043 |
| Cultivated. | 106 | 20 |
| Hay...... | 59 | 19 |
| Grain. . . . . | 34 | 1 |
| 0ther.. | 12 | 0 |
| Pasture. | 6,179 | 2,015 |
| Other uncultivated.. | 199 | 7 |
| General: |  |  |
| Total acreage. | 385 | 172 |
| Cultivated. | 195 | 101 |
| Hay....... | 36 | 23 |
|  | 66 91 | 43 3 |
| Pasture. | 87 | 36 |
| Other uncultivated. | 102 | 34 |
|  |  |  |

Findings in the study are based on information obtained in the 1966 Pesticide and General Farm Survey, a nationwide survey made in 1967 and covering 1966 farming operations. About 9,600 farmers in 417 counties throughout the 48 contiguous States were enumerated.

The Standards and Research Division of the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Statistical Reporting Service (SRS) designed the nationwide sample from which famers were selected for interview. The Data Collection Branch, Survey and Data Division, SRS, assisted in developing the final format of the questionnaires and supervised the collection of data through its State statistical offices.

Farmers were selacted for interview on the basis of a stratified, random sample designed to represent all farms. A proportionately greater number of larger farms was included in the sample. Farms with sales of $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 39,999$ were sampled at four times the rate of those with sales less than $\$ 10,000$. Farms with sales of $\$ 40,000$ or more were sampled at twice the rate of those with sales of $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 39,999$. However, the following weighting factors were applied in the programing to put each economic class on a l-to-1 ratio. Data on farms with sales of:

Less than $\$ 10,000$ were multiplied by 4 $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 39,999$ were multiplied by 1 $\$ 40,000$ and over were multiplied by $1 / 2$

This weighting expanded the number of farms, making each class of farms representative.

For persons interested in comparing the data from the 1966 Pesticide and General Farm Survey with those of other surveys, see appendix tables 7-9. The distribution of farms and value of sales for the surveys are compared.

Only farms meeting the Census Bureau's definition of a farm are included in the labor tabulations. Through the adjusted expansion factor, labor information was obtained from 16, 249 farms.

For definitions used and States included in each of the farm production regions discussed in this report, see appendix $C$.

$\frac{1}{2}$ / 1964 Census of Agriculture, Vol. II, General Report. Bureau of the Census.
3/ 1966 estimates by U.S. Dept. Agr Dive, Econ. Res. Serv.

4' 1966 Pesticide and General Farm Survey. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Res. Serv., Farm Prod. Econ. Div., unpūblished.

5/ All farms included: commercial, part-time, part-retirement, and abnormal.

Appendix table 8.--Distribution of value of sales by economic class for three major surveys or estimates

| Economic class | 1964 <br> Census of Agriculture 1/ | 1966 <br> Pesticide and <br> General Farm <br> Survey 2/ | 1966 ESAD estimates based on census and SRS data 3/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent |  |
| Class VI (\$50-\$2,499) | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| Class V (\$2,500-\$4,999) | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.2 |
| Class IV (\$5,000-\$9,999). | 10.4 | 9.3 | 7.9 |
| Class III (\$10,000-\$19,999). | 18.7 | 14.4 | 16.7 |
| Class II (\$20,000-\$39,999) | 20.2 | 22.7 | 20.6 |
| Class I ( $\$ 40,000$ and over) | 42.5 | 46.4 | 48.5 |
| Al1 economic classes 4/ | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

I/ 1964 Census of Agriculture, Vo1. II, General Report, table 15 , col. 2. Bureau of the Census.

2/ 1966 Pesticide and General Farn Survey. U.S. Dept. Agr., unpubIished.
3/ Estimates by U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Res. Serv., Econ. and Statis Anai. Div.

4/ Total value of all' farm sales in 1964 Census of Agriculture was $\$ 35,294,000,000$; for farms in the 1966 Pesticide and General Farm Survey, $\$ 244,984,156$; and for ESAD 1966 estimates, $\$ 43,180,000,000$.

Appendix table 9.--Distribution of farms by type of farm in 1964 Census of Agriculture and 1966 survey

| e of farm | Farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Census of Agriculture $1 /$ | 1966 Pesticide andGeneral Farm Survey $2 /$All farms : Farms within survey : Labor data |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cash grain. | 16.7 | 19.8 | 16.1 |
| Tobacco. | 7.4 | 5.9 | 9.4 |
| Cotton. | 6.4 | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| Other field crop | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Vegetable. | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Fruit and nut | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Poultry. | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.0 |
| Dairy | 12.7 | 17.6 | 13.2 |
| Other livestock. | 27.9 | 32.2 | 32.9 |
| Livestock ranches | 3.4 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| General. | 9.0 | 5.6 | 5.3 |
| Miscellaneous. | 8.0 | 6.4 | 11.4 |
| AlI farm types 3/. | 99.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

I/ 1964 Census of Agriculture, Vol. II, General Report, table 15. Bureau of the Census.

2/ 1966 Pesticide and General Farm Survey. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Res. Serv., unpublished.

3/ AL1 farms included: commercial, part-time, part-retirement and abnormal farms.

Farmwork-includes time spent tending crops and livestock and performing overhead jobs such as constructing and repairing fences and farm buildings, maintaining and repairing machinery, and similar farm maintenance jobs. Note: Time spent planming and managing the farm operations is excluded. For example, faxm recordkeeping, attending educational or farm business meetings, making farm financial arrangements, and performing housework are not considered to be farmwork.

Regions-States incIuded in each of the 10 farm production regions are shown in the figure inside the front cover.

Economic Class--For the study, there are seven basic classes of sales groups with the same dollar ranges used by the Bureau of the Census in its Census of Agriculture.

Economic class
Class VI------
Class V--....-.
Class IV--....
Class LII--...
Class II------
Class I-------

## Gross sales

\$50-\$2,499
\$2,500-\$4,999
\$5,000-\$9,999
$\$ 10,000-\$ 19,999$
\$20,000-\$39,999
$\$ 40,000$ and over. Divided into two sectors:
a. $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999$
b. $\$ 100,000$ and over

Type of Farm as Defined in 1966 Survey

| Type of farm | Source of cash income |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (Products with sales value representing 50 percent or more of total value of all farm products sold.) |
| Cash grain....................... | Corn, sorghums, small grains, soybeans for beans, cowpeas for peas, dry field and seed beans, and peas. |
| Tobacco.......................... | Tobacco. |
| Cotton............................ | Cotton. |
| Other field crop................. | Peanuts, potatoes (Irish and sweet), sugarcane for sugar or sirup, sweet sorghums for sirup, broomcorn, popcom, sugar beets, mint, hops, and sugar beet seed. |
| Vegetable. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Vegetables. |
| Fruit and nut. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Berries, other small fruits, tree fruits, grapes, and nuts. |
| Poultry.......................... | Chickens, chicken eggs, turkeys, and other poultry products. |
| Dairy. | Milk and cream. The criterion of 50 percent of total sales was modified for dairy farms. A farm having value of sales of dairy products amounting to less than 50 percent of the total value of farm products sold was classified as a dairy farm, if: |
|  | (a) Milk and cream sold accounted for more than 30 percent of the total value of products sold; |
|  | (b) Milk cows represented 50 percent or more of tota1 cows; and |
|  | (c) The value of milk and cream sold plus the value of cattle and calves sold amounted to 50 percent or more of the total value of all farm products sold. |
| Livestock ranches | Farms in the 17 conterminous Western States, Louisiana, and Florida, were classified as livestock ranches if the sales of livestock, wool, and mohair represented 50 percent or more of the total value of farm products sold, and if pastureland or grazing land amounted to 100 or more acres and was 10 or more times the acreage of cropland harvested. |
| Livestock other than dairy and poultry............... | Cattle, calves, hogs, sheep, goats, wool, and mohair except farms in the 17 conterminous Western States, Louisiana, and Florida that qualified as livestock ranches. |
| General. | Field seed crops, hay, and silage. Also, a farm was classified as general if it had cash income from three or more sources and did not meet the criteria for any other type. |
| Miscellaneous. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Nursery and greenhouse products, forest products, mules horses, colts, and ponies. Also, all institutional farms and Indian reservations. |
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