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## PREFACE

Tn 1964, Congress authorized an expanded program of research on the use of pesticides in agriculture. To meet this need for information, the Economic Research Service undextook a nationwide sample survey of farms in early 1965 with questions pertaining to pesticides and general farm operations in 1.964. This survey included detailed data on labor inputs for most farm types, economic classes of farms, and farm production regions.

The Standards and Research Division of the Statistical Reporting Service designed the nationwide sample from which farmers were selected for interview. The Data Collection Branch of the Survey and Data Division of SRS assisted in developing the final format of the questionnaires and supervised the collection of data through their state Statistical offices throughout the country.

Publication of the results of the research was unavoidably delayed, but the 1964 findings and relationships are still valid. Such results do not change as rapidly as other types of information, such as costs, for example.
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## HIGHLIGHTS

Family workers, always a main source of farm labor, accounted for 70 percent of all hours worked on nearly 10,000 larger-than-average comercial farms surveyed on 1964 operations. Even so, two-thirds of these farms hired labor at some time during the year. As farm sales increased above $\$ 10,000$ a year, family labor as a percentage of the total labor declined rapidly.

Type of farm operation and region influenced hiring practices more than other factors. Labor-intensive Southern cotton and tobacco farms hired the most labor, although these farms were smaller than the U.S. average. Livestock (other than poultry and dairy) and Midwestern farms hired the least labor--only 12 percent of all hours worked on Midwestern farms were hired.

Fanmork weeks were long, compared with industry. Farmers in the sample who did not hire labor averaged 59 hours weekly; farmers who did, averaged 55 hours. Hired workers averaged 53 hours, but at every sales level, operators worked more hours than the individual hired worker. There was a wide spread around these averages, again depending on type of farm, region, and size of operation--from 40 hours on farms selling $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999 /$ year to 61 hours with sales of $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 39,999$. The operator's workweek generally did not increase beyond 64 hours, no matter how large the operation. Hired workers in three Southern regions worked fewer hours per week than workers in other regions.

Seasonality of farm labor needs ranged from 300 hours in February to 750 hours in Juiy--usually the peak month.

The lowest labor requirement per $\$ 100$ sales was on cash grain and general farms; the highest was on tobacco farms. Although hourly wage rates were lower in the South, the labor input cost per acre and per $\$ 100$ sales was highest.

## FARM PRODUCTION REGIONS
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## INTRODUCTION

Modern technology has eliminated the need for much human labor once needed in agriculture. In 1964, only 6 percent of the total U.S. labor force was engaged in agricultural production.

Recurring questions asked by labor policymakers and researchers include: What proportion of the Nation's farms must hire labor? What is the demand for hired workers? How does the amount of hired labor compare with family labor?

The information in this bulletin should help answer such questions. Some aspects of the farm labor situation discussed in this bulletin include: (1) the proportion of labor performed by the farm operator and his family, compared with hired labor; (2) seasonal demands for labor; (3) the relation of farm size to labor efficiency; (4) unit labor needs for different types of farms; and (5) the concentration of production and hired labor on certain groups of farms.

Findings in this report are based on information obtained in a nationwide survey enumerated in 1965 based on 1964 farm operations.

Usable questionnaires were obtained from 10,800 farmers in 417 counties throughout the 48 contiguous States. Labor information was obtained from 9,896 farms that specialized in farming operations other than fruit and nut, vegetable, or poultry production. Farmers were selected for interviews on the basis of a stratified random sample designed to represent larger commercial farms which accounted for 90 percent of farm products sold. The survey included farmers with annual gross sales of $\$ 5,000$ or more in all FPED, ERS production regions except the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions. In these regions, farms reporting gross sales of $\$ 2,500$ a year or more were included. Nearly 1 percent of all farmers in the classes described in the report were included in the sample. The States included in each of the ERS farm production regions discussed in this report are shown on the map, page vi.

While units included in the survey accounted for 90 percent of total agricultural production, only about half of all farms as defined by the census of agriculture were represented in the survey. The survey represents 1.5 million larger commercial farms. Information was not obtained for 640,000 smaller commercial farms, nor for the approximately 1.0 million nonconmercial farms. Uniess otherwise indicated, the data presented in this report apply only to the survey sample.

## SOURCES OF FARM LABOR

Farm labor is performed by the farm operator, by unpaid members of his family, and by hired workers. The operator and his family have historically provided most of the labor required in agricultural production. Findings in the survey indicated the farm operator and his family were still the major source of farm labor in 1964.

The farms surveyed required an average of 5,652 hours of labor during 1964 (table 1). The annual labor requirement averaged 3,819 hours for farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ a year (table 1 ), to 72,417 hours for farms with sales over $\$ 500,000$ (appendix table 18).

## Family Workers

In this report, family labor comprises that of the operator, his wife, and other unpaid family members. Although two-thirds of the farms surveyed used some hired labor during the year, unpaid family workers accounted for slightly over 70 percent of all labor hours needed. Of all the family and hired farmwork hours, operators accounted for 49 percent, farm wives for 7 percent, and other family members for 16 percent (table 1).

On smaller farms ( $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 19,999$ sales) the family contributed over 80 percent of the labor. As farms increased sales above $\$ 20,000$, the proportion of total labor contributed by the operator and his family decreased rapidly to only 6 percent for farms with over a half million dollars of sales (fig. 1).

Table 1.--Total hours worked per year by all workers, percentage of hours contributed by family and hired workers, and percentage of farms using hired labor by value of farm products sold, 48 State survey, 1964 I/


1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms excluded.
(Includes farms using hired labor and those not using hired labor,
$\underline{3} /$ Appalachian. Southeast, and Delta States Regions only.


Figure 1

Farm operators accounted for about half of the hours worked on farms included in the survey. Operators contributed about 4 percent of the total work hours on farms with sales over $\$ 500,000$ a year, and nearly 60 percent on farms with sales of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 19,999$ a year.

Participation by wives and other family members diminished as the volume of sales per farm increased. Farm wives contributed 2 percent of the hours worked on the larger farms and 12 percent on the smallest farms; other family members contributed from 9 percent on the larger farms to 19 percent on the smallest farms.

Even on farms using hired labor, family workers performed most of the farnwork. They accounted for 61 percent of the hours worked on these farms (table 2). On livestock farms (other than dairy and poultry) which used hired labor, family workers put in 74 percent of ali farmwork hours. However, family workers accounted for only 38 percent of the work hours for cotton farms which hired labor.

Farm operators contributed the largest share of total labor on miscellaneous livestock farms and the least on cotton farms. Wives of dairy and tobacco farmers accounted for a larger share of the farmwork than wives of other types of farmers. Wives did the least farmwork on farms specializing in cotton and miscellaneous field crops. The contribution by other family members was most important on tobacco farms and least important on cotton farms.

Table 2.--Percentage of total annual hours of farmwork contributed by family and hired workers on farms which hired labor, by type of farm, 48 state survey, 1964 1/


1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms excluded.
2/ Includes farms with farm product sales of $\$ 5,000$ a year or more in all regions except the South. Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions include farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ or more.

## Hired Workers

As farms increase in size and become more specialized, the family is less able to do all the farmwork; a majority of the farmers surveyed relied on some hired work during 1964.

## Size and Type of Farm: Effect on Hired Labor

Of the farmers surveyed, 65 percent reported hiring some labor in 1964 (table 3). The proportion of farms hiring labor was lowest in the $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$ sales class. Farms with $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ sales were more likely to use hired labor mainly because they represented cotton, tobacco, and other laborintensive enterprises. With this exception, the larger the farm, the more likely hired labor was used. All farms with sales of more than $\$ 500,000$ a year used some hired labor (appendix table 18). There were a few farms with sales of $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 499 ; 999$ a year that relied solely on family labor.

Dairy, cash grain, and livestock farms were the least likely to use hired labor. Only 57 percent of the dairy farms used hired workers (table 3). Tobacco, cotton, and other field crop farms were most likely to use hired labor. Over 90 percent of these farms used some hired labor in 1964.

Table 3.--Percentage of farms using hired labor by value of farm products sold, and by type of farm, 48 State stirvey, 1964 1/


1/ Fruit and nut, begetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
2/ Includes farms in the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions only.

3/ Insufficient farms in this category to determine a percentage.

Although most of the large farms, regardless of farm type, hired some help, the proportion of small farms hiring labor varied considerably by type. Neariy all of the other field crop farms (sales of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$ ) hired labor, but less than 50 percent of similar size livestock ranches, cash grain, and dairy farms hired labor.

Regardless of farm size, most cotton and tobacco farms hired labor during 1964. For the United States, over 80 percent of the smallest cotton and tobacco farms (those with sales of $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ ) hired labor, and nearly 200 percent of such farms with sales of more than $\$ 20,000$ used hired help that year.

## Regular and Seasonal Hired Workers

Although 65 percent of the farmers surveyed used hired labor, hired workers accounted for only 28 percent of the hours worked on all farms. On farms which hired labor, hired workers accounted for 14 percent of the hours worked on farms with sales of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$ a year to 63 percent on farms with sales over $\$ 40,000$ (table 4).

Regular hired workers accounted for 6 percent of the total hours on small farms and 51 percent on farms with sales over $\$ 40,000$. Seasonal hired workers did a slightly greater proportion of the work on small farms than they did on the larger farms--14 and 12 percent.

Table 4.--Percentage of total hours of farm labor contributed by family and hired workers, for all farms by value of farm products sold, 48 state survey, 1964 I/


1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms excluded.
2/ Average for all farms surveyed, including farms hiring labor and those not hiring labor.

3/ Includes farms in the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions only.

Hired workers provided 59 percent of the work hours on cotton farms, but only 17 percent on livestock farms (other than dairy) and livestock ranches (table 5).

The contribution of regular hired workers ranged from 13 percent of total hours on tobacco and other livestock farms to 31 percent on cotton farms. Seasonal workers, like regular workers, were also most important on cotton farms, where they accounted for 28 percent of all hours worked. On dairy and livestock farms, seasonal workers accounted for only a small percentage of the total labor input, reflecting the year-round demand for labor on such farms.

Regional Differences in Use of Hired Labor
Southern farmers were more ifkely to hire labor than farmers in other regions (fig. 2). Midwestern farmers used the least inired lebor in terms of proportion of farms using hired labor and in proportion of work performed by hired workers (fig. 3).

Of the 9,896 farms surveyed, 5,384 or 54 percent were in the Midwest, 25 percent in the South, 14 percent in the West, and 7 percent in the Northeast (table 6).

Table 5.--fercentage of total annual hours of farm labor contributed by family and regular and seasonal hired workers, for all farms, by type of farm, 48 State survey, 1964 1/


1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
$2 /$ Average for all farms surveyed, including farms hiring labor and those not hiring labor.

3/ Includes farms with farm product sales of $\$ 5,000$ a year or more in all areas except the South. Appalachiar, Southeast, and Delta States Regions include farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ or more.

# PERCEMT OF FARMS HIRING LABOR <br> South Compared po Non-South and U.S., 1964 



Figure 2


Figure 3

Table 6.--Farms included in survey and farms using hired labor, by regions, 48 State survey, 1964 1/

| Region 3/ | Total $:$ <br> number : Distribution: <br> of farms : of farms <br> surveyed  <br> $2 /$  |  | Farms hiring labor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | stribut of farm | Percent of far in regi |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Percent |
| Nor theast | 634 | 7 | 423 | 6 | 67 |
| South | 2,471 | 25 | 2,172 | 34 | 88 |
| Midwest | 5,384 | 54 | 2,780 | 43 | 52 |
| West | 1,407 | 14 | 1,082 | 17 | 77 |
| 48 States | 9,896 | 100 | 6,457 | 100 | 65 |

1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms axe excluded.
2/ Includes farms with farm product sales of $\$ 5,000$ a year or more in all regions except the South. Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions include farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ or more.

3/ Areas are defined in appendix.

Of farms surveyed, 6,457 hired some labor in 1964. Eighty-eight percent of the Southern farmers hired labor compared with 52 percent of those in the Midwest. However, Southern farms accounted for only 34 percent of U.S. farms hiring labor, while the Midwest accounted for 43 percent of the total. Thus, the South accounted for only 25 percent of all farms in the survey, but 34 percent of the farms hiring labor. On the other hand, the Midwest accounted for 54 percent of all farms, but only 43 percent of those hiring labor.

Total hours of farmwork amounted to about 56 million hours on the farms surveyed. Hired workers accounted for about 28 percent of all the hours required.

Southern and Western farmers hired workers for 46 and 45 percent of all the hours required, while Midwestern farmers hired workers for only 12 percent of the work hours (table 7).

In the South, even the smallest farmers hired a considerable amount of work. Hired workers on Southern farms with sales of only $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ accounted for 20 percent of the labor input. They accounted for 27 percent of the hours on the $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$ farms in the South, compared with 5 percent in the Midwest; and 42 percent on Southern farms with sales of $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 19,999$, compared with only 7 percent in the Midwest. Farms in the South with sales up to $\$ 100,000$ generally used much more hired labor than those in other regions. Above this level, most labor was hired in all regions.

Table 7.--Percentage of farms hiring labor and labor input for all farms by region and by value of farm products sold, 48 State survey, 1964 1/

$\frac{1}{2} /$ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
2/ Areas are defined in appendix.
3/ Average for all farms surveyed, including farms hiring labor and those not hiring labor.
4/ Includes farms in the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions only.

The higher proportion of work performed by Southern hired workers was not entirely or even primarily attributable to the types of farming operations predominant there. Faxms of almost every type in the South used proportionately more fired labor than other areas. For example, 61 percent of the lakor required on Southern cash grain farms was perfomed by hired workers, compared with 13 percent in the Midwest and 45 percent in the West (table 8). On Southern livestock farms (other than dairy farms or ranches), 38 percent of the work was performed by hired workers, compared with 17 percent in the Northeast and 13 percent in the Midwest.

Several types of Western farms hired about as much labor as their Southern counterparts. Dairy farms in the West used more hired labor than those in the South. Only Northeastern farms specializing in other field crops hired less than similar farms in the Midwest.

Several related causal factors could help explain the greater use of hired labor in the South: (1) Farms there are generally smaller and less likely to be mechanized, (2) there has been a general oversupply of labor, partly because of the high birth rates, partly because of changes in cotton technology and shifts in cotton production to other areas, and partly because modern industry cannot utilize much of the available unskilled labor, and (3) hired labor probably is necessary even on small fams because of specialized crops which have sharp seasonal work peaks.

The high use of hired labor in the West can be attributed in part to the proportionately greater number of larger farms in that area.

## FARM WORKWEEK

Workers on farms surveyed put in a long average workweek in 1964 compared with industry. Farm operators who relied solely on family help averaged 59 hours a week (table 9). Those who used hired labor worked 55 hours. Thus, farm operators who relied entirely on family labor did not work many more hours a week than farmers who hired labor. This was true for farms of nearly all sizes. Regular hired workers averaged 53 hours a week.

## Operators and Fawily Workers

Operators of farms using hired labor with sales of $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 39,999$ a year averaged 50 percent more hours a week than those with sales of $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ a year. Operators of farms in all sales groups over $\$ 40,000$ a year worked about the same number of hours a week as those with sales of $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 39,999$ a year. Although the operator's proportion of total hours was considerably less for larger than for smaller farms, all operators of farms averaged a longer workweek than the regular hired workers.

The workweek of the farm operators varied considerably in different sections of the country. In the Southeast, farmers who hired labor averaged 45 hours a week, compared with 70 hours in the Northeast (table 10). This difference is largely a function of type of farm, with dairy farming predominant in the Northeast and crop farming in the Southeast.

Table 8.--Percentage of farms hiring labor and labor input hired, for all farms by region and by type of farm, 48 State survey, 1964 1/

$1 /$ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
2/ Average for all farms surveyed including farms using hired labor and those not using hired labor.
3/ Regions are defined in appendix.
4/ Includes farms with farm product sales of $\$ 5,000$ a year or more in all regions except the South. Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions include farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ or more.

Table 9.-Average weekly hours of farmwork performed by family and hired workers, by value of farm products sold, 48 State survey, 1964 1/


1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
2) Hours per week for the weeks that the workers performed farmwork.
3) Includes farms in the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions only.

Table 10.-Average weekly hours of farmwork performed by family and hired workers on farms which hired labor, by production regions, 48 State survey, 1964 I/

| Production region | Average hours worked per worker by-- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Family workers |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regular } \\ & \text { hired } \\ & \text { workers } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | : | Total | operator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : Wife } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ---- | urs- |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |
| Nor theast- |  | 53 | 70 | 24 | 59 |
| Appalachian |  | 43 | 46 | 31 | 44 |
| Southeast- |  | 43 | 45 | 25 | 50 |
| Delta States |  | 44 | 47 | 28 | 52 |
| Corn Belt----- |  | 46 | 57 | 21 | 50 |
| Lake States- |  | 51 | 67 | 26 | 61. |
| Northern Plains- |  | 49 | 60 | 20 | 54 |
| Southern Plains- |  | 48 | 52 | 26 | 53 |
| Mountain-- |  | 54 | 61 | 22 | 60 |
| Pacific- |  | 51 | 60 | 28 | 65 |
| 48 States 2/- |  | 47 | 55 | 25 | 53 |

$\frac{1}{2} /$ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are exciuded.
2/ Includes farms with farm product sales of $\$ 5,000$ a year or more in all except the South. Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions include farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ or more.

Farm wives averaged about 25 hours of farmwork a week (table 9). This was the same for farms using hired labor as for those not using hired labor. Generally, for farms employing hired labor, the larger the farm sales, the shorter the wife's farmwork week. For farms relying solely on family labor, the wife's fanmork week was not greatly affected by differences in farm size with sales under $\$ 100,000$. Wives of farmers who hired labor averaged only 20 hours of farmwork a week in the Northern Plains, but they worked 28 hours a week in the Delta and Pacific Regions, and 31 hours a week in the Appalachian Region.

Other family members averaged 43 hours of farnwork a week on farms that used hired labor. The average workweek for other family members on farms using hired labor was about the same for all farms with sales of less than $\$ 40,000$ a year. Above this sales level, other family members' weekly hours did increase, but individually, they never worked as many hours as the farm operator. For farms relying solely on family help, other family members generally put in a longer workweek as the farm size increased.

## Hired Labor

Farmworkers hired on a regular basis averaged 53 hours a week. The workweek for regular hired workers ranged from 34 hours on farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ a year to about 58 hours on farms with sales over $\$ 40,000$ a
year. Regionally, the hired farm employees' workweek ranged from 44 hours in the Appalachian to 65 hours in the Pacific Region.

## Number of Weeks Worked

The length of the workweek overstates the annual contribution of farm wives, other family members, and hired workers. While these people worked a reasonably long week, they did not perform any farmwork during many weeks of the year (table 11). Farm operators, on the other hand, worked nearly every week during the year, averaging 49 weeks of farmwork a year, whether they used hired labor or not. However, farm wives averaged only 14 weeks of farmork for farms hiring labor and 19 weeks on farms not hiring labor. Other family members averaged 17 weeks of farmwork on farms hiring labor and 29 weeks on farms not hiring labor, Regular hired workers averaged 30 weeks a year.

The number of weeks worked during the year by the operator, farm wives, and other family members did not differ greatly by size of farm. However, the largest farms used over 1,000 weeks of regular hired workers' time, compared with 8 weeks on the smallest farms.

## SEASONAL VARTATION IN FARM LABOR

The need for farm labor varies greatly during the year, due mainly to the seasonality of crop production and harvesting. Average total monthly family and hired labor requirements for all farms surveyed ranged from over 300 hours in February to about 750 hours in July (fig. 4). Figures 5 and 6 illustrate the seasonal labor distribution for small and large farms.

Total hours of work performed per month by all workers on the smallest farms ranged from 131 to 519 hours (table 12). On the largest farms, the monthiy labor requirement ranged from 4,775 to 8,408 hours. In relative terms, the smallest farms used 4 times as much labor in the peak month as in the low month, and the largest farms used only 1.75 times as much labor in the high versus the low month.

## Operator and Family Workers

Farms with sales of less than $\$ 20,000$ a year demanded more of the operator's time in July than in any other month. Work duriug May demanded more of the operator's time on farms with sales of $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 499,999$. September was the high labor month for operators of farms with sales over $\$ 500,000$.

The peak monthiy labor input for the operator averaged 271 hours and ranged from 199 hours on the smallest $t a m s$ to 295 on farms with sales of $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 39,999$. Operators of farms larger than this worked fewer hours in the peak month than those of smaller farms.

Hours worked by operators in the low month went up continually as farm size increased, ranging from 90 hours on the smallest farms to 190 hours on the largest farms. Thus, operators of the largest farms averaged over twice as many hours as the operators of the smallest farms in the low labor month. On the other hand, in the highest labor month, large farm operators put in half again as many hours as the small farm operators.

Table 11.--Average number of weeks of farmwork performed during the year by family and hired workers, by value of farm products sold, 48 State survey, 1964 1/


1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
2/ Includes farms in the Appalachian, Southeast, and De1ta States Regions only.


Figure 4


Figure 5


Figure 6

Table 12.--Hours worked per month on the farm in the high and low labor months, by farm operators, farm wives, other family members, and hired workers, for farms which hired labor, by value of farm products sold, 48 state survey, $19641 /$

| Value of farm products sold | Hours worked per month by--2/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All workers : Operator |  |  |  | Wife |  | Other family members |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All hired } \\ \text { workers } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | High month | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | High month | Low month | High month | Low month | High month | Lew <br> month | High month | Low month |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999 3 | $4 / 519$ | 131 | 199 | 90 | $4 / 56$ | 8 | 105 | 16 | 4/189 | 16 |
| \$5,000-\$9,99 | 555 | 208 | 252 | 134 | 48 | 18 | 95 | 24 | 160 | 30 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 657 | 266 | 288 | 162 | 46 | 20 | 102 | 29 | 21.9 | 54 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 828 | 365 | 5/295 | 178 | 32 | 15 | 106 | 42 | 394 | 129 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999 | 1,192 | 523 | 5/290 | 182 | 18 | 7 | 120 | 48 | 766 | 285 |
| \$100,000-\$499, | 6/2,521 | 1,189 | 5/280 | 194 | 6/12 | 6 | 119 | 50 | 6/2,116 | 938 |
| \$500,000 and o | 8,408 | 4,775 | $4 / 239$ | 190 | 20 | 19 | $\underline{7 / 138}$ | 102 | 4/8,025 | 4,463 |
| All groups | 745 | 308 | 271 | 154 | 41. | 16 | 103 | 32 | 328 | 105 |

1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
2/ July was the high or tied for high labor month and February was the low month, unless footnoted otherwise.

```
    3/ Includes farms in the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions only.
    4) High month was September.
    5/ High month was May.
    6/ High month was June.
    \(\bar{I} /\) High month was August.
```

Thus, the operators of larger farms are more fully employed on the farm throughout the year than the small farm operator. The latter, however, may have had more off-farm employment.

Form wives did most of their farnwork in July, when they averaged 41 hours. Farmwork performed by the wife decreased steadily as farm size increased, from 56 hours a month on the smallest farms to 12 hours on farms with sales of $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 499,999$ a year. Generally, wives did very littie farmwork during any month on farms with sales of more than $\$ 40,000$ a year.

The farmwork performed by other family members varied more during the year than that for the farm operator or his wife. Other family members worked more chan 3 times as many hours in the high month as the low month. The farm operator's wife worked over twice as many hours in the high as in the low month. During the slack season on farms with less than $\$ 10,000$ sales, other family members worked about as many hours per month as the hired workers, but during the months of peak labor demand, regular hired workers did many more hours work per month. On farms with sales over $\$ 5,000$, hired workers averaged more hours even in the low labor month than the other family members.

## Hired Labor

The greatest demand for regular hired workers occurred on most farms in July. However, the smallest and largest farms in terms of sales required more hired work during September. On farms of all sizes, hired workers averaged fewer hours in February. Hired labor in the peak month ranged from 160 hours on the $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$ sales group to over 8,000 hours on the largest farms.

Work hours for hired workers varied more during the year than for any of the family members. The demand for hired labor was subject to the wides. seasonal variation on the smallest farms. These farms used 12 times as mich hired labor in the peak month as they did in the low labor month, while the largest farms used only 80 percent more hours.

Operators with sales under $\$ 20,000$ contributed more hours of work-even in peak months-than their hired workers. On farms with sales of $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 39,999$, the operator hired more labor than he put in, in most months. Hired workers put in more hours than operators, even in the low labor months, on farms with sales over $\$ 40,000$.

Labor requirements increased steadily from February to July--100 percent for the family and 50 percent for regular hired labor (table 13). The demand for seasonal labor increased much more during this period--from 4 hours in February to 174 hours in July, or from 1 to 23 percent of the total labor input.

The peaks of labor input and the composition of that labor are not only affected by region and farm size (based on value of sales) but also by type of farm. The kind of worker used for seasonal labor depends to a great extent upon the type of farm. Figure 7 shows the seasonal farm labor pattern for cash grain farms. Seasonal hired labor rises sharply, peaking in June. On dairy farms, peak seasonal demand for labor utilizes family labor and some seasonal hired help. The regular hired labor does not change with the seasons (fig. 8).

Table 13.-~Monthly hours worked on farms which hired labor and distribution between family and hired workers, 48 State survey, 1964 l//

| Month 2/ | Hours of farmwork |  |  |  | Percentage of hours by-- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Hired |  |  | Hired |  |  |
|  |  | Family | Regular | easona | Family | Regular | Seasonal |
|  | Hours | Hours | Hours | Hours | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| January | 327 | 21.4 | 106 | 7 | 66 | 32 | 2 |
| February | 308 | 203 | 101 | 4 | 66 | 33 | 1 |
| March | 380 | 249 | 122 | 9 | 66 | 32 | 2 |
| April | 474 | 308 | 136 | 30 | 65 | 29 | 6 |
| May----------: | 610 | 366 | 149 | 95 | 60 | 24 | 16 |
| June--------: | 713 | 406 | 151 | 156 | 57 | 21 | 22 |
| July | 74.5 | 417 | 152 | 176 | 56 | 20 | 24 |
| August-------: | 685 | 393 | 146 | 146 | 58 | 21 | 21 |
| September---: | 616 | 361 | 144 | 111 | 59 | 23 | 18 |
| October--.---: | 571. | 346 | 140 | 85 | 61 | 24 | 15 |
| November-----: | 447 | 283 | 125 | 39 | 63 | 28 | 9 |
| December--.--: | 355 | 233 | 110 | 12 | 66 | 31 | 3 |
| Total | 6,232 | 3,780 | 1,584 | 868 | 61 | 25 | 1.4 |

1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
2/ For detail on hours worked per month by economic class, see appendix table 20.


Figure 7


Figure 8

However, on tobacco farms between June and September there is a sharp increase in use of hired labor, particularly seasonal help. Although there are seasonal increases in both family and regular hired help, most of the tobacco farms' seasonal increase comes from temporary hired workers employed to harvest, barn, and prepare tobacco for market (fig. 9).

## LABOR EFFICIENCY

While technological innovations have greatly reduced the need for labor in farm production, labor is still a major farm input. Thus, labor efficiency continues to concern farm operators.

An efficient farmer or any other manager seeks to maximize returns to capital and labor. Labor efficiency can be measured in several ways. In this report, efficiency is measured in tems of labor input per acre of cropland and per $\$ 100$ of gross sales.

## Labor Input Per Acre of Cropland

One method of improving efficiency under certain conditions is to increase the scale of operation. Increases or decreases in input costs associated with differences in farm size are an indication of returns to scale. An increase in the labor required per acre associated with smaller farm size suggests labor disutility and less mechanization or a lower capital investment-to-labor ratio on the smaller farms.


Figure 9

Among the farms surveyed, those with the highest total labor used per acre were tobacco, dairy, and cotton farms. Hired labor used per acre was highest on tobacco, cotton, and other field crop farms.

Total labor per acre went down for most types of farms as farm size increased, indicating that there may have been considerable inefficiency in the use of farm equipment, especially on small farms (table 14). The smallest farms required more than seven times as many hours of labor per acre as the largest farms. On the other hand, hours of hired labor per acre generally remained the same or increased with farm size for most types of farms as sales went above $\$ 5,000$ a year, reflecting the tendency for large farms to depend relatively more on hired labor. Farms with sales less than $\$ 5,000$ a year generally used more hired labor per acre than any larger farms. When the surveyed farms were grouped by type, some differences appeared. For cash grain farms, total man-hours required to produce an acre of crops decreased from 39 hours for the smallest (Southern) farms to 9 hours for farms with sales of $\$ 20,000$ a year or more (table 14). Also, hired labor required per acre was highest on cash grain farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ and least for farms with sales of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 19,999$ a year.

Hours of labor per acre required on tobacco farms was high at all sales levels, reflecting inability to mechanize tobacco farming. Total labor per acre on tobacco farms with sales over $\$ 40,000$ a year was only two-thirds the labor used per acre on farms with sales less than $\$ 5,000$ a year.

Use of hired labor per acre for most types of farms increased with value of sales, yet all commercial farms remained constant up to $\$ 40,000$ and over. The high use of hired labor on small farms ( $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ ) indicates that these are primarily part-time fams. The operator has a nonfarm job and hires much of his farmwork done.

On cotton farms, man-hours per acre decreased as farm size increased. At 24 hours per acre, labor requirements per acre for hired help was highest for farms in the $\$ 5,000$ and under group--double the requirements for farms with $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 19,999$, and somewhat higher than the largest farms. Most labor on cotton farms with sales over $\$ 20,000$ was hired.

There was a very low ratio of hired man-hours per acre on livestock ranches and it did not change as size of farms increased. Other livestock farms also had a low hired labor per acre ratio and low manpower requirements per $\$ 100$ of gross sales. There was a downward trend in total man-hour requirements from the smallest farm size to the largest. The same number of hired man-hours per acre was required on the small farms as on the large farms. However, the wage bill was much less per $\$ 100$ sales on the large farms. Thus, the gross returns per hour of hired labor was about 5 times greater for the large other livestock farms (appendix table 21).

When dairy farms were classified by size in terms of gross sales, total hours per acre fluctuated between 35 and 45 hours. However, hired labor requirements increased with increasing farm size. Consequently, the dairy farm wage bill per $\$ 100$ sales went up rapfdly as farm size increased.

Table 14.--Hours worked per acre of cropland on farms which hired labor, by value of farm products sold, and type of farm, 48 State survey, 1964 I/


[^0]
## Labor Input Per $\$ 100$ Gross Sales

The results of this survey tend to confirm, in the farming sector of the economy, the classical economic concept of returns to scale. As farms increased in size, the hours of labor required per $\$ 100$ sales decreased rapidly. On farms that used hired workers, the labor input decreased from an average of 80 hours per $\$ 100$ sales for farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ a year to 14 hours for farms with sales of over $\$ 40,000$ a year (table 15).

While the labor input requirement was less for larger farms of all types, returns to scale were most evident for livestock ranches where large-scale operation is essential to labor efficiency. Livestock ranches with sales of over $\$ 40,000$ a year required about one-tenth as much labor per $\$ 100$ sales as ranches with sales of $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ a year.

Returns to scale should be reflected on farms which have taken advantage of technological innovation, However, farms specializing in cotton, where many technological advances have occurred, showed less improvement in labor efficiency than most other farm types as size of farms increased. On the other hand, livestock ranches and other livestock farms, where technological advances have been fewer, substantial gains in labor efficiency occurred with each increase in farm size.

Table 15.--Hours worked per $\$ 100$ gross sales on farms which hired labor, by value of farm products sold, and type of farm, 48 state survey, 1964 I/


[^1]Labor requirements per $\$ 100$ sales were highest for tobacco farms, and returns to scale were least evident on these fams. The high labor requirement is due to the need for much hand labor in tobacco production. Tobacco is harvested in much the same way as it has always been. However, there is considerable research and development underway on equipment for harvesting certain varieties which should drastically reduce the labor requirements.

Gross receipts for cotton farms with $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 5,000$ sales a year amounted to less than $\$ 1$ per hour worked. For every $\$ 100$ of gross sales, a small Southern cotton farmer used about 26 hours of hired labor, 42 hours of his own time and over 36 hours of unpaid family help-a total of 104 hours. With a labor input of 104 hours per $\$ 100$ gross sales, the farm operator and his family receive little compensation for their work after production expenses have been paid. Farmers operating under such conditions would finu it difficult to pay hired help a competitive wage rate.

## CONCENTRATION OF LABOR

Many economists have noted for some time the great concentration of agricultural production among a few large farms, which, in turn, use most of the hired labor. Due to the small sample of farms on which this study is based, and because labor questions on two of the most labor-intensive types of farming (fruits and nuts, and vegetables) were excluded, the results are not as pronounced as those shown in the 1964 Census of Agriculture special survey. $1 /$ However, even the data in this study indicate a concentration of workers on a relatively small number of farms which account for the major proportion of production value. About 26 percent of the farms produced 57 percent of the commodities sold. These farms required 64 percent of the total man-hours of hired labor and utilized 74 percent of the man-hours of regular hired labor (table 16).

This concentration of labor is primarily on large farm enterprises with sales over $\$ 20,000$. Farms with sales less than $\$ 10,000$ comprised 39 percent of the farms, but only used one-sixth of the total hired man-hours and accounted for only one-sixth of gross farm sales.

1/ The 1964 Census of Agriculture special labor survey indicated 7.3 percent of the farms paid 80 percent of the wage bill and accounted for 42 percent of farm sales.

Table 16.-Number of farms, man-hours of hired labor, and value of farm products sold by economic class, 48 State survey, 1964


1/ Data exclude fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms. Had those farms been included, the data would show a much greater percentage of hired labor on relatively few large farms.
$2 /$ Data on value of sales acquired from only 8,870 farms. Therefore, number of farms and man-hours of labor are somewhat lower than if total farms surveyed had been included, and as shown previously.

3/ Includes farms in the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions only.

APPENDIX A, STATISTICAL TABLES

Appendix table l7.-Annusl hours worked and percentage contributed by family and hired workers on



## Cotton

| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 4,408 | 70 | 40 | 9 | 21 | 30 | 3 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$5,000-\$9,999-------------- | 5,014 | 65 | 44 | 5 | 16 | 35 | 8 | 27 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 6,025 | 52 | 40 | 3 | 9 | 48 | 24 | 24 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999------.-.-.-: | 9,784 | 32 | 27 | 1 | 4 | 68 | 31 | 37 |
| \$40,000 and over-----.-.-.-: | 21,552 | 15 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 85 | 53 | 32 |
| AII cotton farms- | 8,325 | 38 | 28 | 2 | 8 | 62 | 32 | 30 |

Tobacco

| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 3,901 | 79 | 48 | 12 | 19 | 21 | 8 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$5,000-\$9,999--------------: | 5,202 | 73 | 42 | 12 | 19 | 27 | 8 | 19 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999-----------: | 7,063 | 56 | 32 | 8 | 16 | 44 | 16 | 28 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999- | 10,890 | 33 | 24 | 8 | 11 | 67 | 26 | 41 |
| \$40,000 and over | 12,815 | 21 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 79 | 50 | 29 |
| All tobacco farme | 5,757 | 62 | 37 | 9 | 16 | 38 | 14 | 24 |

Other fleid crops

| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 5,161 | 61 | 36 | 4 | 22 | 38 | 15 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$5,000-\$9,999 | 4,676 | 73 | 42 | 12 | 19 | 27 | 8 | 19 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 6,648 | 56 | 32 | 8 | 16 | 44 | 16 | 28 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 7,793 | 33 | 22 | 3 | 8 | 67 | 26 | 41 |
| \$40,000 and over | 9,823 | 21 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 79 | 50 | 29 |
| All other field crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| farms | 6.583 | 53 | 39 | 2 | 12 | 47 | 23 | 24 |

## Dsiry

| \$2,500-\$4,999 | 3,860 | 94 | 63 | 20 | $1 \pm$ | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$5,000-\$9,999 | 5,629 | 83 | 55 | 14 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 4 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 6,351 | 79 | 55 | 10 | 14 | 21 | 17 | 4 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999------------: | 8,452 | 64 | 43 | 6 | 14 | 36 | 32 | 4 |
| \$40,000 and over | 13,687 | 36 | 25 | 2 | 9 | 64 | 59 | 5 |
| All dairy farma | 7,234 | 69 | 47 | 9 | 13 | 31 | 27 | 4 |

Appendix table 17.--Annual hours worked and percentage contributed by family and hired workers on farms which hired labor, by type of farm and value of farm products sold, 48 State survey, 1964 1/--Continued


1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
2/ Includes farms with farm sales of $\$ 5,000$ or more in all regions except the South. Appalachian, Southeast, and Delte States Regions include farms with salea of $\$ 2,500$ or more.

Appendix table 18,--Annusi hours worked and percentage contributed by family and hired workers on farms hiring labor, by regions and by value of farm products sold, 48 State aurvey, $1964 \underline{1 /}$


Appendix table 18.--Annual hours worked and percentage contributed by family and hired workers on faime hiring labor, by regions and by value of farm producte sold, 48 State survey, 1964 1/--Con.


Appendix table 18,--Annust hours worked and percentage contributed by family and hired workers on farms hiring labor, by regtons and by value of farm products sold, 48 state survey, 1964 1/-Con.


1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
$\underline{2} /$ Inciudea farma with farm product ales of $\$ 5,000$ a year or more in all regions except the South. Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions inciude farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ or more.

Appendix table 19.--Hours worked per week by family and hired workers by region and value of farm products sold on farms which hired labor, 48 state survey, 1964 I/

| Region and value of farm products sold | A11 | mily work Operstor | Wife | Regular hired workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999- | - | --- | --- | -- |
| \$5,000-\$9,999-- | 53 | 64 | 35 | 49 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 49 | 70 | 22 | 58 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999-$ | 57 | 73 | 23 | 59 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999-$ | 60 | 75 | $18$ | 63 |
| $\$ 100,000-\$ 499,999 .$ | 60 | 75 | 6 | 61 |
| $\$ 500,000$ and never- | --- | --- | --- | -- |
| Northeast, all classes | 53 | 70 | 24 | 59 |
| Appalachian |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999- | 38 | 40 | 29 | 34 |
| $\$ 5,000-\$ 9,999-$ | 43 | 46 | 32 | 42 |
| $\$ 10,000-\$ 19,999-$ | 46 | 49 | 32 | 43 |
| $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999-$ | 49 | 52 | 27 | 47 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999-$ | 50 | 50 | 31 | 45 |
| \$100,000-\$499,999. | 52 | 52 | - | 42 |
| \$500,000 and over | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Appalachian, all classes | 43 | 46 | 31 | 44 |
| Southeast |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999--- | 30 | 37 | 27 | 35 |
| $\$ 5,000-\$ 9,999 \ldots$ | 43 | 45 | 25 | 39 |
| $\$ 10,000-\$ 19,999-$ | 46 | 49 | 22 | 51 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999- | 46 | 50 | 19 | 52 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999-$ | 52 | 54 | --- | 51 |
| \$100,000-\$499,999 | 48 | 55 |  | 60 |
| \$500,000 and over | 49 | 72 | 27 | 55 |
| Southeast, all clasaes | 43 | 45 | 25 | 50 |
| Delta States |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999-- | 38 | 42 | 25 | 36 |
| $\$ 5,000-\$ 9,999-$ | 41 | 44 | 31 | 37 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999- | 46 | 49 | 29 | 43 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999- | 50 | 52 | 24 | 48 |
| $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999-$ | 55 | 53 | -- | 63 |
| \$100,000-\$499,999 | 59 | 53 | --- | 51 |
| \$500.000 and over | 57 | 57 | --- | 55 |
| Delta States, all class | 44 | 47 | 28 | 52 |

Appendix table 19.--Hours worked per week by family and hired workers by region and value of farm products sold on farms which hired labor, 48 State survey, $1964 \underline{1} /-$ Con.

| Region and value of farm products sold | Family workers |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regular } \\ \text { hired } \\ \text { workers } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Al1 | Operator | Wife |  |
| Corn Belt |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999 | --- | -- | -* | --- |
| \$5,000-\$9,999- | 41 | 49 | 21 | 28 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 45 | 56 | 20 | 46 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 49 | 63 | 21 | 50 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999- | 51 | 61 | 21 | 54 |
| \$100,000-\$499,999 | 54 | 61 | 18 | 57 |
| \$500,000 and over | 88 | 78 | 18 | 62 |
| Corn Belt, all classes | 46 | 57 | 21 | 50 |
| Lake States |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999- | --- | --- | - | --- |
| \$5,000-\$9,999 | 49 | 27 | 27 | 50 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 50 | 26 | 26 | 61 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 55 | 23 | 23 | 66 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999- | 54 | 17 | L 7 | 61 |
| \$100,000-\$499,999 | 52 | --- | --- | 82 |
| \$500,000 and over | - | --- | --- |  |
| Lake States, all clas | 51 | 26 | 26 | 61. |
| Northern Plains |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999- | - | -- | -- | --- |
| \$5,000-\$9,999- | 47 | 20 | 20 | 47 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 49 | 20 | 20 | 52 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 49 | 20 | 20 | 50 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999 | 54 | 17 | 17 | 59 |
| \$100,000-\$499,999 | 61 | 28 | 28 | 62 |
| \$500,000 and over | 38 | 4 | 4 | 55 |
| Northern Plains, all cla | 49 | 20 | 20 | 54 |
| Southern Plains |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,999- | --- | --- | --- | -- |
| \$5,000-\$9,999- | 39 | 24 | 24 | 44 |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 42 | 26 | 26 | 52 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 44 | 31 | 31 | 53 |
| \$40,000-\$99,999 | 55 | 20 | 20 | 56 |
| \$100,000-\$499,999 | 31 | 21 | 21 | 56 |
| \$500,000 and over | 41 | -- | --- | 39 |
| Southern Plains, all cla | 48 | 26 | 26 | 53 |

Appendix table 19.--Hours worked per week by family and hired workers by region and value of farm products sold on farms which hired labor, 48 State survey, $19641 /-$ Con.


1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
2/ Includes farms in the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions only.

Appendix table 20.--Monthly hours worked by family and hired workers on farms which hired labor, by value of farm products sold, 48 State survey, 1964 l/


Appendix table 20.-~Monthly hours worked by family and hired workers on farms which hired labor, by value of farm products sold, 48 State survey, 1964 2/--Continued


Appendix table 20.--Monthly hours worked by family and hired workers on farms which hired labar, by value of farm products sold; 48 State survey, 1964 1/--Continued

| Value of farm products sold and month | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { hours } \\ \underline{2} / \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Family workers |  |  |  | : Hired workers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total :Operator: Wife : Other: Total :Regular:Seasonal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500,000 and ovex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January-- | 5,124 | 335 | 204 | 20 | 109 | 4,789 | 4,789 | --- |
| February- | 4,775 | 312 | 190 | 19 | 102 | 4,463 | 4,463 | --- |
| March-- | 5,231 | 344 | 210 | 20 | 113 | 4,887 | 4,887 | -_- |
| April- | : 5,131 | 342 | 211 | 20 | 110 | 4,789 | 4,788 | --- |
| May-- | 5,964 | 372 | 232 | 20 | 119 | 5,592 | 5,009 | 583 |
| June- | : 6,010 | 382 | 227 | 20 | 135 | 5,728 | 4,895 | 833 |
| July- | 6,200 | 379 | 226 | 20 | 131 | 5,821. | 5,003 | 818 |
| August-m | 5,598 | 388 | 230 | 20 | 1.38 | 5,209 | 4,895 | 314 |
| September | 8,408 | 382 | 239 | 20 | 122 | 8,025 | 4,914 | 3,111 |
| October- | 8,129 | 375 | 229 | 20 | 125 | 7,753 | 4,912 | 2,842 |
| November | 6,705 | 355 | 218 | 20 | 116 | 6,349 | 4,794 | 1,555 |
| December | 5,137 | 341 | 207 | 20 | 113 | 4,795 | 4:795 | 1,-m* |
| Total for 1964 | 72,417 | 4,312 | 2,628 | 245 | 1,439 | 68,105 | 58,150 | 9,955 |
| AlL sales groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Januery | 327 | 214 | 163 | 17 | 33 | 113 | 106 | 7 |
| February- | 308 | 203 | 154 | 16 | 32 | 105 | 101 | 4 |
| March--- | 380 | 249 | 192 | 18 | 38 | 131 | 122 | 9 |
| April- | 474 | 308 | 234 | 26 | 47 | 166 | 136 | 30 |
| May--- | 609 | 365 | 267 | 36 | 61 | 244 | 149 | 95 |
| June-- | 712 | 405 | 268 | 39 | 97 | 307 | 151 | 156 |
| $\text { Ju1.y }=-$ | 745 | 417 | 271 | 41 | 103 | 328 | 152 | 176 |
| August--- | 684 | 393 | 256 | 39 | 97 | 291 | 146 | 145 |
| September | 615 | 360 | 257 | 38 | 64 | 253 | 142 | 111 |
| OctoberNovember | 571 447 | 346 283 | 252 | 37 27 | 56 | 225 | 140 | 85 |
| November December | 447 355 | 283 | 212 | 27 | 43 | 163 | 124 | 39 |
| December | 355 | 232 | 175 | 20 | 36 | 122 | 110 | 12 |
| Total for 1964 | 6,232 | 3,779 | 2,707 | 359 | 712 | 2,452 | 1,584 | 868 |

1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
2) Due to rounding componentre may not add to total figure.

3/ Includes farma in the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions only.

Appendix table 21.--Houra worked per $\$ 100$ of farm sales on farms which hired labor, by type of farm and value of farm products sold, 48 State survey, 1964 I/


Appendix table 2l.--Hours worked per $\$ 100$ of farm sales on farme which hired labor, by type of farm and value of fariz products sold, 48 State survey, $1964 \underline{1} /-$-Continued


1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded,
2/ Includes Eams with Eara product sales of $\$ 5,000$ a year or more in all regions except the South. Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions include farms with sales of $\$ 2,500$ or more.

## APPENDIX B, DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Farnwork--Includes time spent tending crops and livestock, overhead jobs such as constructing and repairing fences and faxm buildings, maintaining and repairing machinery, and similar farm-maintenance jobs. Note: Time for planning and managing the farm operations is excluded. Examples: Farm recordkeeping, attending educational or farm business meetings, making farm financial arrangements, and housework are not considered farmwork.

Region--States included in each of the 10 farm production regions are as follows:

| Northeast | Corn Belt |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maine | Ohio |
| New Hampshire | Indiana |
| Vermont | Illinois |
| Massachusetts | Iowa |
| Rhode Island | Missouri |
| Connecticut |  |
| New York | Northern Plains |
| New Jersey | North Dakota |
| Pennsylvania | South Dakota |
| Delaware | Nebraska |
| Maryland | Kansas |
|  |  |
| Appalachian | Southern plains |
| Virginia |  |
| West Virginia | Oklahoma |
| North Carolina | Texas |
| Kentucky |  |
| Tennessee | Mountain |
|  |  |
| Southeast | Montana |
|  | Idaho |
| South Carolina | Wyoming |
| Georgia | Colorado |
| Florida | New Mexico |
| Alabama | Arizona |
| Lake States | Utah |
| Michigan | Nevada |
| Wisconsin | Pacific |
| Minnesota |  |
| Delta States | Washington |
| Mississippi | Oregon |
| Arkansas | California |
| Louisiana |  |

Economic Class--For this study, there are 5 basic classes of sales groups with the same dollar ranges as used by the Census Bureau in its quinquennial census of agriculture surveys.

Gross sales

\$ 5,000-\$ 9,999
\$10,000-\$19,999
$\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$
$\$ 40,000$ and over--Divided into 3 sectors:
(a) $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999$
(b) $\$ 100,000-\$ 499,999$
(c) $\$ 500,000$ and over

Type of farm as defined in this survey, source of cash income (products with, sales value representing $50 \%$ or more of total value of all farm products sold):

Cash grain--Corn, sorghum, small grains, soybeans for beans, cowpeas for peas, dry field and seed beans and peas.

Tobacco--Tobacco.
Cotton--Cotton.
Other field crop--Peanuts, potatoes (Irish and sweet), sugarcane for sugar or sirup, sweet sorghums for sirup, broomcorn, popcorn, sugar beets, mint, hops, and sugar beet seed.

## Vegetable--Vegetables.

Fruit and nut--Berries, cther small fruits, tree fruits, grapes, and nuts.
Poultry--Chickens, chicken eggs, turkeys, and other poultry products.
Dairy--Milk and cream. The criterion of 50 percent of total sales was modified in the case of dairy farms. A farm having value of sales of dairy products amounting to less than 50 percent of the total value of farm products sold was classified as a dairy farm, if--(a) Milk and cream sold accounted for more than 30 percent of the total value of products sold, (b) Milk cows represented 50 percent or more of total cows, and (c) The value of milk and cream sold plus the value of cattle and calves sold amounted to 50 percent or more of the total value of all farm products sold.

Livestock other than dairy and poultry-Cattle, calves, hogs, sheep, goats, wool, and mohair except for farms in the 17 Western States, Louisiana, and Florida that qualified as livestock ranches.

Livestock ranches--Farms in the 17 conterminous Western States, Louisiana, and Florida were classified as livestock ranches if the sales of livestock, wool, and mohair represented 50 percent or more of the total value of farm products sold and if pastureland or grazing land amounted to 100 or more acres and was 10 or more times the acreage of cropland harvested.

General--Field seed crops, hay, silage. A farm was classified as general also if it had cash income from three or more sources and did not meet the criteria for any other type.

Miscellaneous--Nursery and greenhouse products, forest products, mules, horses, colts, and ponies. Also all institutional farms and Indian reservations.

Katorion.
(c) updata



[^0]:    1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
    2/ Includes farms in the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions on1y.

    3/ Data not available for livestock ranches at this sales level.

[^1]:    1/ Fruit and nut, vegetable, and poultry farms are excluded.
    $\underline{\underline{2}}$ / Includes farms in the Appalachian, Southeast, and Delta States Regions only.

