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## SOURCE OF MATERIAL

The material in this report concerning methods of harvesting and storing the diffrent kinds of silage, methods of feeding silage, and preservatives used with grass silage in 1955 is based on information supplied by voluntary crop correspondents of the United States Department of Agriculture in February 1956. A mailed questionnaire was used. Of the more than 29,000 farms covered in the survey, operators of about 9,000 reported that they produced one or more kinds of silage. On these farms, around $1,600,000$ tons of silage was produced in 1955. This was more than 2 percent of the estimated United States total production of that year.

Reports from the crop correspondents and data from the Cencus of Agriculture were used in developing the material concerning the number of farms that produce silage and numbers of principal machines used for harvesting silage.

Results of earlier studies of methods of harvesting silage are included for comparative purposes. Statistical Bulletin No. 128, Harvesting the Silage Crops, was issued in 1953.
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## SILAGE FROM 1955 CROPS

Harvesting...Storing... Preserving

Albert P. Brodeil, Agricultural Economist<br>Farm Economics Research Division<br>Agricultural Research Service

Harold C. Phillips, Agricultural Statistician
Agricultural Estimates Division
Agricultural Marketing Service

## INTRODUCTION

Pioneex silage makexs used many different products in their silage, ard this tendency has continued. Harvesting silage in the early days was a time-consuming and laborious operation. The machines used and the methods of harvesting, storing, and feeding silage have steadily improved. Outstanding developments were the silo filler about 1876, the row-crop binder around 1890, and the modern field forage harvester around 1936. Other machines and techniques also have made important contributions to increased production of silage.

## PRODUCTION OF SILAGE AND NUMBER OF FARMS PRODUCING

In 1955, silage was produced on more than 600,000 farms. This exceeded the 1951 estimated number by about 20 percent. The total prow duction of about 73 million tons of silage in 1955 was more than a third larger than in 1951. Increases in production of silage and in mumber of farms producing it were pronounced in all areas, except the Lake States and the Northeastern States (table 1). Of the farms whose operators produced silage in 1955, about 79 percent produced only 1 kind of silage; about 20 percent produced 2 kinds; and 1 percent produced 3 or more kinds.

In both 1951 and 1955 , only corn silage was made on more than 60 percent of the farms where silage was produced. Corn silage was also produced on most farms where two or more kinds of silage were made. Of the farms whose operators reported making silage, about 11 percent in 1955 and 9 percent in 1951 reported making only grass silage. Sorghum silage was the only silage produced sn about 5 percent of the farms in both 1955 and 1951, but the percentage was much higher in the Northern Plains and the South. For the entire country, operators on about a fifth of the farms with silage produced 2 kinds. In the Northeast, of the operators who reported silage about 30 percent made 2 kinds. In the west, only about 10 percent of the silage farms were producing 2 or more kinds of silage.

## MACHINES FOR HARVESTING SILAGE

Estimates of numbers and distribution by States of the principal machines used for harvesting silage are shown in table 2. One of the most striking changes has been the decrease in row-crop binders. Numbers of binders were at a high level, possibly at a peak, in 1942. By 1951, the number of these machines had deciined by about 63 percent. There have since been fuxther declines. Row-crop binders are also used to harvest corn for grain, corn for fodder, and sorghum as bundle feed. Reduction in the numbers of these machines reflects the increased use of cormpickers, field forage harvesters, and grain combines.

It has been estimated that on January 1, 1951, there were 208,000 stationary silo fillers on farms. These machines were widely distributed throughout the country, but the Lake States, the Corn Beit, and the Northeastern States together had about 80 percent of the silo fillers. Since 1951, the use and probably the muber of stationary silo fillers have declined markedly.

Numbers and use of modern field forage harvesters, which were first developed around 1936 , have increased rapidly in recent years. From January 1 , 1950, to January 1 , 1956 , the estimated number of these harvesters increased from 81,000 to 225,000 , a gain of about 180 percent (table 2).

With different attachments, field forage harvesters can be used to harvest row crops for silage, grass silage as a standing crop or from the windrow, and hay from the windrow. They can be used also to harvest straw and other kinds of forage.

Field forage harvesters are widely distributed throughout the country, but they tend to be concentrated in States where production of silage is important. In 1956, the Lake States, the Corn Belt States, and the Northeastern States together had about 62 percent of the machines.

## CORN SILAGR

Although many crops and products are used in producing silage, in all years corn silage has been of first importance. Corn silage accounted for an estimated 73 percent of all silage produced in 1951 and for 74 percent of the 1955 silage. It was the only silage on 62 percent of the silage-produciag farms of 1955 (table 1). Corn silage was also produced on most farms whose operators reported two or more kinds of silage.

Production data for corn silage are available for each year beginning with the 1919 crop. Since 1951 , production of corn silage has increased materially and in 1956, it was the largest of record (table 3). Acreages harvested in both 1936 and 1934 , years when drought was widespread, were at high levels. In these years, however, the per acre yield
was low and production was about in line with production in other years of the late thirties.

Corn silage is produced in all parts of the country, but production is concentrated in areas where dairy farming is important. The Lake States usually account for more than a third of the total production of corn silage. The 1951-55 average acreage and production of corn and sorghum silage are shown, by States and groups of States, in table 4.

Per acre yields of corn harvested for silage in the Great Plains and most Southern States are substantially below the United States average. They are substantially above average in the Western States, where corn for silage is grown mainly under irrigation.

Important changes in methods of harvesting corn silage have occurred since 1943, when only 9 percent was harvested with field forage harvesters. These machines harvested 32 percent of the corn silage in 1948, 58 percent in 1951, and 84 percent in 1955 (table 5).

The method of harvesting corn silage is closely related to the tonnage harvested per farm. In all areas for each of the 3 years reported, the percentage of the total tonnage harvested with field forage harvesters increased with the tonnage of silage per fam (table 6).

Tower silos were used to store about 70 percent of the corn silage produced in 1955. But in the Lake States and the Northeastern States, 90 percent or more of the corn silage was stored in silos of this kind (table 7). Tower silos also accounted for the bulk of the corn silage in the Corn Belt and the Appalachian States.

Trench and bunker silos were used extensively to store corn silage in the West, most Southern States, the Northern Plains, and Missouri. Some corn silage was stored in temporary silos in all areas, but temporary silos accounted for enly about 7 percent of the silage. In North Dakota and South Dakota, a third or more of the corn silage was stored in temporary silos.

SORGHUM STEAGE
Sorghum was among the crops first used for silage. Annaal estimates of production are available beginning with the 1929 crop (table 3). Production of about 9.4 million tons in 1955 was the largest thus far reported. The bulk of this production occurred in Kansas, Texas, Missouri, and Oklahoma. Because of its drought-resisting qualities, sorghum is the leading silage crop in many areas of the Central and Southern Plains. Field forage harvesters were used to harvest 93 percent of the sorghum silage in 1955, compared with 84 percent in 1951 and 54 percent in 1948 (table 8). In each of these years, a higher percentage of sorghum silage than of corn silage was harvested with field forage harvesters.

In areas where sorghum silage is important, trench and bunker silos are used extensively, and 70 percent of the sorghum silage made in 1955 was stored in these silos. Twenty-three percent was stored in tower or upright silos, which were relatively important in the humid areas. Oniy 7 percent of all sorghum silage was stored in temporary silos in 1955. They were of above-average importance in the Northern Plains.

## GRASS SILAGE

Grass silage is made from hay crops, small grains, and pasture clippings. Alfalfa, the clovers, soybeans, cowpeas, vetch, sudan grass, all other grasses, and small grains are used either separately or in mixtures to produce grass silage. It is estimated that about 9.3 million tons of grass silage was produced in 1955. It is produced in all areas, but the Lake States, the Corn BeIt, and the Northeastern States produced about 80 percent of the total (table 10). Production is also important in the humid areas of Washington and Oregon.

In 1955, fie1d forage harvesters were used to harvest more than 90 percent of the grass silage. Less than 40 percent of the 1948 grass silage was harvested with these machines (table 10). About 1 percent of the 1955 grass silage was stored without chopping. No estimate of the tonnage stored without chopping is available for other years Stationary ensilage cutters were used to chop more than 60 percent of the 1948 grass silage. Only 6 percent of the 1955 crop was harvested with these machines.

Tower or upright silos were used to store about 75 percent of the 1955 grass silage. Use of these silos was above average in the Northeastern and Lake States. Trench and bunker silos were used to store 19 percent of all grass silage, but in the Northern Plains, the South, and the liest, they were used for about 40 percent of the silage (table 11). Temporary silos were used to store about 6 percent of the grass silage, but in the Northern Plains, they accounted for about a fourth of this silage.

## PRESERVATIVES FOR GRASS SILAGE

On more than a fourth of the farms reporting grass silage in 1955, all of the grass silage was treated with preservatives. In addition, 9 percent of the farmers treated a part of their silage. Of the total tonnage, about a third was treated with preservatives (table 12). Use of preservatives varied greatly in the different areas. In the Northeastern States, about half of the farmers who reported grass silage used preservative of some kind as compared with about a fourth of the farmers in the West and the Lake States.

Sodium metabisulfite was the only preservative used on about 45 percent of the fams on which preservatives were used (table 13). This preservative was relatively important in the Northeast, the plains States, and the South. Of the farmers who used sodium metabisulfite, about 6 percent used less than 5 pounds per ton of silage ireated; 32 percent used
from 5 to 7.4 pounds; 40 percent used from 7.5 to 9.9 pounds; and 22 percent used 10 pounds or more per ton. The average was 7.7 pounds per ton.

Molasses in either liquid, pellet, or dry form was the sole preservative used on about 23 percent of the farms. In the West, molasses alone was used on more than half of the farms on which preservatives were used. Of the fanmers who used molasses, about 22 percent used less than 25 pounds per ton of grass silage treated; 40 percent used from 25 to 49 pounds; 25 percent used from 50 to 74 pounds; and the remaining 13 pexcent used more than 75 pounds. An average of about 50 pounds of molasses was used per ton of grass silage treated.

Feed grains were the only preservative used with grass silage on about an eighth of the farms. These grains consisted chiefly of crushed and ground ear corn, ground oats, ground barley, mixed grains, and brewers* and distillers' grains. Use of feed grains as a preservative was most important in the Corn Belt and Lake States. An average of about 100 pounds of feed grain was used per ton of grass silage treated. About 15 percent of the farms used less than 50 pounds; 30 percent used from 50 to 99 pounds; 40 percent used from 100 to 199 pounds; and 15 percent used 200 pounds or more per ton of grass silage treated.

Chemicals other than sodium metabisulfite were used on about 4 percent of the farms. Kylage and sulphur dioxide were among the "other chemicals" reported.

In 1955, two or more preservatives were used for grass silage on about 10 percent of the farms. Use of feed grains and molasses was reported on an appreciable number of these farms.
"ALI OTHER" SILAGE
Many different products are used in producing "all other" silage. In most areas, the bulk of this silage is made from byproducts of canning and processing crops, especially sweet corn, green peas, and green beans. Other products used include sugar beet tops, byproducts of tree fruits, tomato pulp, ainond hulls, various kinds of vegetables, ear corn, weeds, and sunflowers. In addition to the tonnage of "all other" silage produced on farms, an important part of this silage is produced at canning and processing plants. Reports from crop correspondents indicate tiat in 1955 a small tonnage of sorghum silage was produced in States other than those shown in official estimates. The estimated production of sorghum silage in these States is included in the production of "all other" silage (table 14.)

Production of "all other" silage in 1955 is estimated at about 1,160,000 tons, with the Northeastern and Pacific Coast States together reporting more than half of the tonnage (table 14). About 38 percent of the tonnage was stored without chopping. Field forage harvesters were used for about half of the tonnage, and about 10 percent was chopped with stationary cutters.

Tower silos were used to store about 40 percent of the total tonnage (table 14). They were used for about 70 percent of the tonnage in the Northeastern States, but for only 8 percent of the tonnage in the Pacific Coast States. Trench and bunker silos together accounted for the storage of about 30 percent of "all other" silage in 1955. These silos were especially important in the West and the South. Temporary silos were used for about 30 percent of the 1955 tinnage. They were relatively importart in the Northern Plains, the Corn Belt, and the Lake States.

## HANDLING AND FEEDING SILAGE

Mechanical unloaders were used to remove about 4 percent of the silage stored in tower silos in 1955. About 65 percent of all silage was stored in silos of this kind. With the mechanical unloaders, the silage is removed either from the bottom of the silo or from the top of the stored silage. Use of mechanical unloaders was somewhat above average in the Corn Belt and Lake States.

About 6 percent of the silage stored in trench, bunker, and temporary silos in 1955 was self fed. Some of the bunker and trench silos are so constructed as to permit livestock to feed direct from the silo. Also, on some farms the Iivestock have direct access to the silage stored in temporaxy stacks or ricks. The percentage of silage stored in trench and temporary silos that was self fed was largest in the Lake and Northeastern States (table 15).

Tabie 1.- Silage: Estimated production and farms producing, by kinds of silage produced, by State groups, 1951 and 1955


[^0]Table 2.- liacyesting mathines: Numbers of principal mallines used for harvesting silage and forage, by States and State groups, specified years


Table 3.- Corn silage and sorghum silage: Acreage harvested, yield per acre, and production, United States, specified years

| Year | Corn silage |  |  | Sorghuni silage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : Acreage <br> 'harvested <br> : | Yield per acre |  | Acreage harvested: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { acre } \end{gathered}$ | :Production |
|  | : 1,000 |  | 1,000 | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |
|  | : acres | Tons | tons | acres | Tons | tons |
| 1919--- | 3,554 | 7.56 | 26,866 | --- | --- | --- |
| 1920--- | 3,682 | 7.60 | 27,996 | --- | --.- |  |
| 1921--- | 3,486 | 7.74 | 26,979 | --- | --- | --- |
| 1922--- | 3,663 | 7.53 | 27,568 | --- | --- | --- |
| 1923--- | 3,983 | 7.50 | 29,874 | --- | --- |  |
| 1924--- | 4,307 | 6.67 | 28,737 | --". | --- | --- |
| 1925--- | 3,681 | 7.97 | 29,343 | --- | --- | --- |
| 1926 | 4,350 | 7.01 | 30,493 | --- | --- | --- |
| 1927--- | 4,268 | 7.01 | 29,926 | --- | --- | --- |
| 1928--- | 3,985 | 7.53 | 30,000 | --- | --- | --- |
| 1929--- | 4,021 | 7.30 | 29,335 | 103 | 6.10 | 628 |
| 1930--- | 4,875 | 6.16 | 30,026 | 105 | 5.40 | 572 |
| 1931--- | 4,710 | 6.98 | 32,875 | 133 | 5.83 | 775 |
| 1932--- | 4,293 | 7.47 | 32,073 | 232 | 5.80 | 1,345 |
| 1933--- | 4,864 | 6.72 | 32,705 | 377 | 4.75 | 1,791 |
| 1934--- | 7,132 | 4.92 | 35,093 | 816 | 2.75 | 2,244 |
| 1935--- | 5,309 | 7.08 | 37,563 | 666 | 4.70 | 3,133 |
| 1936--- | 8,539 | 3.95 | 33,690 | 749 | 3.84 | 2,874 |
| 1937--- | 5,543 | 6.77 | 37,522 | 580 | 5.15 | 2,988 |
| 1938--- | 4,456 | 7.90 | 35,187 | 740 | 6.10 | 4,512 |
| 1939--- | 4,514 | 7.35 | 33,200 | 904 | 4.83 | 4,364 |
| 1940--- | 4,735 | 7.31 | 34,615 | 1,081 | 5.75 | 6,217 |
| 1941--- | 4,023 | 8.39 | 33,751 | 1,233 | 6.40 | 7,896 |
| 1942--- | 3,841 | 8.71 | 33,445 | 927 | 6.51 | 6,032 |
| 1943--- | 4,162 | 8.05 | 33,518 | 913 | 5.18 | 4,733 |
| 1944--* | 4,476 | 7.79 | 34,888 | 879 | 6.42 | 5,644 |
| 1945--- | 4,495 | 7.83 | 35,214 | 671 | 5.32 | 3,570 |
| 1946--- | 4,577 | 7.87 | 36,031 | 623 | 5.76 | 3,587 |
| 1947--- | 4,637 | 7.39 | 34,290 | 649 | 5.14 | 3,338 |
| 1948--- | 4,317 | 8.71 | 37,592 | 602 | 7.17 | 4,318 |
| 1949--- | 4,513 | 8.95 | 40,386 | 513 | 7.10 | 3,640 |
| 1950--- | 4,937 | 8.31 | 41,002 | 706 | 7.33 | 5,176 |
| 1951--- | 4,809 | 8.10 | 38,949 | 855 | 6.85 | 5,858 |
| 1952--- | 5,361 | 8.05 | 43,174 | 794 | 5.31 | 4,218 |
| 1953--- | 6,102 | 7.84 | 47,855 | 1,083 | 6.01 | 6,506 |
| 1954--- | 7,114 | 7.39 | 52,559 | 1,356 | 5.60 | 7,590 |
| 1955--- | 6,970 | 7.61 | 53,024 | 1,719 | 5.47 | 9,402 |
| 1956--- | $: 6,580$ | 8.30 | 54,606 | 1,438 | 6.04 | 8,691 |

Table 4, - Corn silage and sorghun silage: Acrenge harvested and yield per acre, by States, average 1951-55

| State | Total | : Corn for silage |  | Sorghum for silage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { corn } \\ \text { acreage } \\ \text { haryested } \end{gathered}$ | Acreage harvested | $\qquad$ | Acrage Garvested | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : Yield per } \\ & \text {; acre } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| : | 1,000 acres | 1,000 acres | Tons | 1,000 acres | Tons |
| Northeast: | \% 13.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Naine- | 13.4 | 11.2 | 9.9 | --- | --- |
| New Happshire--------- | 12.2 | 10.8 | 10.2 | --- | --- |
| Vermont---0----------- | 62.6 | 59.0 | 9.7 | - | --- |
| Massachusetts | 31.0 | 26.0 | 9.5 | --- | --- |
| fhode Isiand--a+-----* | 6.8 | 5.8 | 9.0 | --- | --- |
| Connecticut------..--- | 37.4 | 31.8 | 10.6 | --- | -- |
| New York-------------- | : 683.6 | 441.4 | 9.7 | --- | --- |
| New Jerseym-------->- | : 194.6 | 50.0 | 8.5 | --- | --. |
| Pennsylvania---------- | : 1.33.4.0 | 277.2 | 9.0 | --- | --- |
| Delaware--->-..-------- | 170.0 | 0.2 | 8.6 | --- | --- |
|  | 503.5 | 50.8 | 9.7 | --- | --- |
| Total-----------m- | 3,049.2 | 970.2 | 9.5 | $=$ | - |
| Corn Belt: | : |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio-----..-n+m-------- | 3,616.6 | 127.8 | 9.7 | --* | -7- |
| Indiara-------------- | : 4,722.8 | 91.6 | 9.7 | 2.0 | 10.5 |
|  | : 9,105.4 | 238.0 | 9.9 | 3.4 | 10.2 |
| Iowa- | 10,668.0 | 237.0 | 9.6 | 7.6 | 11.15 |
| Missouzi | -1,074.4 | 292.2 | 5.6 | 61.6 | 7.8 |
| Total-------------- | 32. 187.2 | 986.6 | 8.5 | 74.6 | 8.3 |
| Lake States: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Michigan- ------------ | 1,812.0 | 278.4 | 8.3 | --- | --- |
| Wisconsin-------...----- | : 2,556.8 | 993.6 | 9.3 | 8 | -0.0 |
| Mintesota------------- | $5 \times 494.0$ | 714.4 | 8.2 | . 8 | 9.0 |
| Total-..----------.- | $9,862.8$ | $1,986.4$ | 8.8 | . 8 | 9.0 |
| Northers P1ains: | : |  |  |  | 3.7 |
| North Dakota-m------- | 1,209.2 | 434.4 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 2.7 |
| South Dakota---------- | 3,940.6 | 248.8 | 4.9 | 16.6 | 4.1 |
| Nebraska | 6,798.4 | 316.0 | 4.6 | 42.8 | 5.6 |
| Xansas | 2,244,2 | 372.2 | 3.8 | 604.6 | 5.5 |
| Total | 1.1, 192.4 | 1,371.4 | 4.2 | 655.4 | 5.5 |
| Appalachian: $:$ - |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Virginia--------- | 200.4 | 16.2 | 9.9 | ---- | 7 - |
| Kentucky---->---.----- | 2,042.0 | 48.2 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 7.1 |
| Tennessee | 1,886.2 | 43.2 | 6.6 | 19.6 | 7.5 |
| Virginia------m------- | 924.4 | 93.2 | 9.3 | 4 | 8. |
| North Carolinil------- | 2,150,4 | 40.8 | 8.4 | 4.6 | 8.8 |
| Total------ | 3,203,4 | 241.6 | 8.5 | 28.4 | 7.7 |
| Southeast: $\quad 10.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Carolina-------- | 1,181.2 | 14.4 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 6.1 |
| Georgia | 2,949.4 | 18.4 | F. 0 | 8.0 | 6.1 |
|  | 600.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 | --- | 6. |
| AIabama---...-------- | 2, 375.11 | 10.6 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 6.9 |
| Total------------- | 7,106.4 | 49.4 | 5.8 | 18.8 | 6.3 |
| Delta States: $\quad$ P ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hississippi- | 1,686.2 | 20.-1 | 8.8 | 24.4 3.6 | 7.7 |
| Lohisiana------------ | 660.4 | 7.8 | 8.2 4.0 | 3.6 22.8 | 7.7 |
| Arkansas---.--------- | 78.4 .2 | 12.2 | 4.9 | 50.8 | 8.4 |
| Total | 3,1301. 8 | 45.4 | 5.8 | 50.8 | 8.4 |
| Southern Piains: : 40000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma---w--...----- | 570.8 | 36.4 | 4.1 | 106.0 | 4.7 |
| Texas-n-n-u-n----...... | 2,035.2 | 42.2 | 4.7 | 1676 | 4.6 |
| Total-----..---*.... | 2.606 .0 | 78.6 | 4 | 267.6 | 4.6 |
| Mountain: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montante | 161.2 | 36.0 | 5.4 | --- | --- |
| Idahto--w-o-n-e- --...- | 41.1 | 26.4 | 13.5 | -- | $\rightarrow-$ |
|  | 58.4 | 24.6 | S. 1 | --- | 6 |
| Coloratdo------------- | 157.6 | 142.8 | 9.2 | 22.0 | 4.6 |
| New Mexico-----n---- | 5.4 .4 | 7.17 | 4.0 | 9.8 | 6.6 |
| Arizon2-n-a-n-----.-- | 37.4 | 5.2 | 31.2 | 16.4 | 12.7 |
|  | 36.8 | 29.4 | 12.5 | -- | --- |
| Sie vida------mon-o---- | 3.2 | 3.2 | 11.9 | -- | $\cdots$ |
| Tbtal------------1. | 850. 4 | 274.6 | 9.5 | 48.2 | 7.8 |
| Pacific: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washingtinn-a--------*--m | 28.4 | 11.8 | 12.0 | --- | --* |
| Oregcin-------..--..--- | : 28,8 | 12.8 | 10.2 | -- | --- |
| Caiifornia------mun | 123.8 | 42.4 | 12.6 | 6.8 | 11.9 |
|  | 181.0 | 67.0 | 12.0 | 6.8 | 11,9. |
| United States------ | : $80,369.6$ | 6.071 .2 | 7.8 | $1.161,4$ | 5.8 |

rable 5.- Corn silage: Production, and percentage harvested with field forage harvesters and stationary cistters, by selected States, and by State groups, 1948, 1951, and 1955


Table 6.- Corn silage: Percentage harvested with field forage harvesters, by specified quantities harvested per farm, by State groups, 1948, 1951, and 1955


1/ Includes the Appalachian, Southeast and Delta States, and the Southern Plains.
(/) IncIudes the Mountain and Pacific States.

Table 7.- Corn silage: Production, and percentage stored by kind of silo, by selected States, and by State groups, 1955


Table 8.- Sorghum silage: Production and percentage harvested with field forage harvesters and stationary cutters, by selected States and State groups, 1948, 1951, and 1955

| State or State group | Production |  |  | Percentage harvested with - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Field | rage har | ers |  | Stat | onary cut | ers |
|  | : 1948 | 1951 | 1955 | 1948 | 1951 | 55 | : | 1948 | 1951 | 1955 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & : \text { tons } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | : Percent | Percent |  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Corn Belt: | : |  |  | 23 |  |  | $:$ | 77 | 20 | 8 |
| Missouri | 294 | 189 | 935 | 23 | 80 | 92 | : | 45 | 22 | 3 |
| Other States | 93 | 59 | 253. | : $\quad 55$ | $\frac{78}{80}$ | 93 | : | 69 |  | 7 |
| Total- | 387 | 248 | 1,188 | : 31 | 80 | 93 | : | 69 | 20 | 7 |
| Northern P1ains: | 72 |  |  | : 55 |  | 96 | : | 45 | 15 | 4 |
| Nebraska---------- | 72 | 99 | 312 | : $\quad 55$ | 85 85 | 96 | : | 40 | 15 | 5 |
| Kansas- | 2,728 | 4,148 | 3,436 | $:$ <br> $:$ | 85 78 | 95 | : | 58 | 22 | 5 |
| Other States--0.0- | 31 | 26 | -111 | $:-\frac{42}{60}$ | 85 | 95 | : | 40 | 15 | 5 |
| Total | 2,831 | 4,273 | 3,859 | : 60 | 85 | 95 | : |  |  |  |
| Appalachian=-------- | 52 | 84 | 439 | $: \quad 20$ | 55 | 74 | : | 80 | 45 | 26 |
| Southeast---mon-m- | 91 | 60 | 259 | : 6 | 70 | 76 | : | 94 | 30 | 24 |
| Delta States-------- | 148 | 104 | 971 | $: 7$ | 70 | 86 | : | 93 | 30 | 14 |
| Southern Plains: | : 06 |  |  | : 60 |  |  | : |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma---------- | 264 | 402 | 790 | 60 | 85 | 95 | : | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 3 |
| Texas--------...--- | 305 | 440 | 1,111 | : 60 | 85 | 97 | : |  |  |  |
| Total | 569 | 842 | 1,901 | $: 60$ | 85 | 96 | : | 40 | 15 | 4 |
| Mountain: | : |  |  | : 75 |  |  | : |  |  |  |
| Arizona----------- | 110 | 1.04 | 392 | 75 | 95 | 97 | : | 25 | 5 |  |
| Other States------ | 74 | 62 | 263 | $: 67$ | 93 | 95 | : | 33 | 7 | 5 |
| Total---------- | 184 | 166 | 655 | $: \quad 72$ | 94 | 96 | : | 28 | 6 | 4 |
| Minnesota | 16 | 15 | --- | 30 | 65 | --- | : | 70 | 35 | - |
| California---------- | 40 | 66 | 130 | 70 | 80 | 97 |  | 30 | 20 | 3 |
| United States- | : 4,318 | 5,858 | 9,402 | 54 | 84 | 93 | : | 46 | 16 | 7 |

Table 9.- Sorghum silage: Production, and percentage of crop stored by kind of silo, by selected States or State groups, 1955

| State or State group | Production | : | Percentage stored in - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $:$ | Tower <br> silo | :Trench, bunker: : and : pit silo : | Temporary silos |
|  | : 1,000 tons | : | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Corn Belt: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri | 935 | : | 25 | 70 | 5 |
| Other States | 253 | : | 61 | 31 | 8 |
| Total----------.. | 1, 188 | : | 32 | 62 | 6 |
|  | : | : |  |  |  |
| Northern Plains: |  | : |  |  |  |
| Nebraska---------- | 312 | : | 22 | 53 | 25 |
| Kansas------------ | 3,436 | : | 35 | 55 | 10 |
| Other Statesammem | 111 | : | 15 | 46 | 39 |
| Totall---------- | 3,859 | : | 33 | 55 | 12 |
| Appalachian--------- | $: 439$ | : | 44 | 51 | 5 |
|  | - - | : |  |  |  |
| Southeast----------- | 259 | : | 24 | 71 | 5 |
|  | : | : |  |  |  |
| DeIta States-------- | 971 | : | 8 | 87 | 5 |
|  | : | : |  |  |  |
| Southern Plains: | : | : |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma---------... | 790 | : | 5 | 92 | 3 |
| Texas-----------... | 12111 | : | 5 | 92 | 3 |
|  | 1,90,1 | : | 5 | 92 | 3 |
|  | : | : |  |  |  |
| Mountain: | : | : |  |  |  |
| Arizona-~--------- | 392 | : | 5 | 93 | 2 |
| Other States--w--- | 263 | : | 5 | 92 | 3 |
| Total-------..-- | 655 | : | 5 | 93 | 2 |
|  | : | : |  |  |  |
| California------- | 130 | : | 5 | 90 | 5 |
| United States- | $: 9,402$ | : | 23 | 70 | 7 |
|  | $: \quad 9,402$ | $:$ |  |  |  |

Table 10.- Grass silage: Production, and harvesting method, by State groups, 1948, 1951, and 1955


1/ Less than 0.5 percent.
2/ Includes Mountain and Pacific States.

Table 11.- Grass silage: Production, and percentage of crop stored in diffexent kinds of silos, by State groups, 1955


1/ Includes the Appalachian, Southeast and De1ta States, and the Southern Plains. (See tab̄1e 2.)

2/ Includes Mountain and Pacific States. (See tabie 2.)

Table 12.- Grass silage: Use of preservatives, by State groups, 1955

| State group | $: \quad$ Farms reporting - | Farms reporting - |  |  | Grass silage produced |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | No |  | of $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{e}-$ ive with - | : | Treated |
|  | : | preservative | Part of silage | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { : All of } \\ : & \text { silage } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}: & \text { Tota1 } \\ : & \end{array}$ | with preservative |
|  | : | Percent | Percent | Percent | 1,000 tons | Pexcent |
| Northeast-r-mm-n-m-n--n-m-n-- | : | 50 | 10 | 40 | 3,090 | 46 |
| Corn Beltro-nunn-n---n-m----- |  | 67 | 8 | 25 | 2,485 | 30 |
|  | . | 74 | 9 | 17 | 1,525 | 21 |
| West 1/------n-m-n-m---n-mom | : | 77 | 7 | 16 | 1,045 | 26 |
| A11 other States--m-m---n-m- |  | 70 | 9 | 21 | 1,190 | 30 |
|  | - | 6.5 | 9 | 26 | 9,335 | 33 |
|  | . |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Includes Mountain and Pacific States. (See table 2.)

Table 13.- Grass silage: Percentage of farms using preservatives, and kind used, by State groups, 1955


Table 14.-"All other"silage: Production, and methods of harvesting and storing, by State groups, 1955


Table 15.- Silage: Type of storage, and percentage fed by specified methods, by State groups, 1955

| State group | Silage stored in - |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tower silos |  | A11 other (trench, bunker, and temporary silos) |  |
|  | Total | : Handled with: : mechanical : : unloaders : | Total | Percentage that was se1f-fed |
|  | 1,000 tons | Percent | 12000 tons | percent |
| Northeast | 11,912 | 4.0 | 1,185 | 10.0 |
| Corn Beit | 8,192 | 5.0 | 4,082 | 2.0 |
| Lake States | 18,754 | 5.0 | 1,660 | 13.0 |
| Northern Plains----- | 3,735 | 3.0 | 8,755 | 6.0 |
| South $1 /$ | 2,852 | 3.0 | 4,824 | 6.0 |
| West $2 /$ | 1,118 | 3.0 | 5,853 | 4.0 |
| United States | 46,563 | 4.4 | 26,359 | 5.6 |
| 1/ Includes the App Plains. (See table 2 2/ Includes Mountai | achian, So and Pacifi | heast and DeIt <br> States (See ta | States, a e 2.) | Southern |
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[^0]:    $1 /$ See table 2 for States included.
    2/ Includes the Appalachian, Southeast and Delta States, and the Southern Plains. (See table 2.)
    3/ Includes Mountain and Pacific States. (See table 2.)

