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Abstract

The study examines the effects of Common Agricultural Program (CAP) assistance on the potential job creation in rural areas of Poland using the FADN annual observations from 2004 to 2009. Positive effects on hired paid labor (the measure of job creation) are associated with crop and LFA subsidies, two types of targeted subsidies, and decoupled payments available to all farms. The programs appear to have the expected result and the positive effects on job creation may help to slow the depopulation of rural areas. However, within agriculture, horticultural farms outperform all other types in terms of hired paid labor, while the primary agricultural area of Wielkopolska-Śląsk also spends more on hire paid labor than other regions. Future analysis with disaggregated data is necessary to better measure the CAP effects on hired paid labor implying job creation.
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Introduction

The current provisions of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) end in 2013 and the new budget of the European Union (EU) and CAP revisions have been a subject to vigorous debate (Rude, J. 2008; Atkin, 2011; EC, 2011; Gocht et al., 2013)]. The previous set of CAP rules shifted the emphasis to rural area development and, to some extent, away from the farm assistance program. The issue of rural development has been an important topic because the concentration of land in new EU member states led to fewer farms and limits rural employment. The recognition acknowledges that more than 50% of the EU population lives in rural areas. In nearly every EU country, there are regions that are relatively less developed or lag in development as compared no to other areas in the country, and are characterized by indicators with values less than the EU average.

The lack of jobs has been associated with migration and rural depopulation has been a continuous phenomenon in many areas of the EU including some regions in Poland (Klepacka and Klepacki, 2011; Klepacki and Klepacka, 2011). Three eastern provinces of Poland have been indentified among most lagging in development in the EU and form the most eastern border of the EU (Pietrzak et al., 2012; Polska wieś 2012;)]. The regions have been losing population and it appears that the process accelerated after Poland's accession to the EU and the liberalization of the labor flow. However, the rural development programs and subsidies to farm production that Polish farmers received after the country became the EU member could be expected to lead to
job creation slowing the depopulation tendencies and such expectations are consistent with the stated goals of the European Commission (EC, 2006).

In Poland, the percentage of labor employed in agriculture has been steadily declining in the past two decades, the farm size has been increasing and many areas have been losing population. The average farm size increased from 7.6 ha in 1995 to 8.0 ha in 2000, and reached 9.8 ha in 2010 (Mały Rocznik Statystyczny Polski 2003; 2011). This paper examines the link between the change in hired on-farm employment and the size and type of subsidies to farms and rural areas provided in the form of direct payments or under the “second pillar” of the CAP. The paper uses the data collected under the EU-wide Farm Accountancy Data Network (FADN) for the period from 2004 to 2009.

Under the FADN, farms are selected from six economic size categories as determined in the FADN (for details about categories see, for example, Polski FADN, 2010) and about 12,100 participating farms are grouped in four geographical regions in Poland, i.e., Mazowsze i Podlasie, Pomorze i Mazury, Małopolska i Pogórze, and Wielkopolska i Śląsk. The first three of those regions are particularly vulnerable to the loss of population, especially in rural areas. The classification is based on the existing administrative division (Kowalski et al., 2011) and individual administrative districts (called Voivodships) are grouped according to their geographical proximity rather than similarities in agricultural endowment, farm size, or farm commercial output. Moreover, the Mazowsze i Podlasie and Małopolska i Pogórze include three regions classified among the least developed in the whole EU (these are Voivodships od Podlasie, Lubelskie and Podkarpackie) (Instytut Ekonomiki Rolnictwa i Gospodarki Zynwosciowej, 2013).
In Poland, many view farm employment as the method of maintaining jobs in rural areas and preventing the unemployment figures from additional increase (Bląd, 2010; Cyrek, 2006). The number of employed in agriculture is subject to debate and affected by the changing methodology of estimating agricultural employment (GUS, p. 181, 2007; GUS, pp 46-47, 2011). Although progress has been made in lowering agricultural employment and the economic growth has been steady in (Florkowski, 2013), the official unemployment rate has remained above 8% in the last few years, and has reached 14.2% in January 2013 (GUS, 2013) as the EU economic growth remains sluggish. The rural population is less dependent on agriculture for jobs, but non-farm jobs are limited, especially in certain areas (Klepacka, 2012).

The purpose of this study is to examine the capacity of highly specialized farms to create on-farm jobs, while accounting for various programs involving payments and subsidies received under CAP. Specifically, the study focuses on dairy and horticultural (specialized) farms as two types of farms that tend to require more labor than, for example, farms oriented towards grain production. Regionally, dairy and horticultural farms are concentrated in highly developed and less developed areas in Poland. Wielkopolskie Voivodship is an example of highly developed area having both dairy and horticultural farms, whereas Podlaskie Voivodship is less developed but has a very strong dairy sector, while Lubelskie Voivodship, also less developed, has a strong horticultural sector. The former has one of the lowest unemployment rates in the country, while the latter two have above average unemployment rates. Results identify what factors including CAP program contribute to job creation on the two farm types that can plausibly be expected to need labor.

On-farm job creation and the depopulation of rural areas
Job creation is important especially in several regions of Poland, where the unemployment rate exceeds 15% and is much above the national average. Among the regions are some of those specializing in dairy production because of the suitable natural conditions such as Podlaskie and Podkarpackie Voivodships (Polska wieś 2012). In addition, the number of new job market entrants increases currently as the new generation begins to reach the working age. Some experts expect the farm employment to increase as a result of new generation born in the 1990s entering the job market (Poczta, 2012). Should such trend occur, it will support the treatment of agriculture as the labor ‘holding tank’ (Błąd, 2010). Because jobs are scarce in the country as well as in other EU countries due to the economic slowdown (OECD, 2013), job migration will decrease will contribute less to depopulation of rural areas (Wojewódzki Urząd Pracy w Olsztynie, 2009).

The goals of the CAP and the EC can be viewed as contradictory. On one hand, direct payments are intended to maintain and create jobs, but the decoupled payments can be expected to lower the farm employment because they are no longer tied to production (Petrick and Zier, 2011). In Poland, agriculture was the primary source of income for 14.1% in 2009, a relatively small share of households, but it was 11.7% in the first year of full EU membership, i.e., in 2005 (Kowalski et al., 2011). The tendency suggests the continuing relevance of agriculture as an employer (Poczta, 2012; Polska wieś 2012).

Labor on Polish farms is still relatively less equipped with capital and land (Kowalski et al., 2011; Poczta, 2012). The average farm size increases, but slowly. However, the accession to the EU accelerated the concentration production and production scale, among others, on dairy farms. The observation reported by Kowalski et al. (2011) is supported by Barnes et al. (2011) who examined the technical efficiency of dairy farms among all EU member countries.
According to their results, Polish dairy sector placed quite high. Polish horticultural farms have been acutely aware of labor costs and total costs because they were never receiving direct subsidies from the government under the centrally planned economic system (Sobczyński, 2009; Sobczyński and Stefko, 2011). Indeed, viewed as a private production entity, they had to compete on cost efficiency in the price-controlled environment. Yet due to the nature of horticultural production, they tend to employ more hired labor than traditional farms focused on conventional crop or livestock production.

The amount of hired-labor employed on a farm is a function of several categories of subsidies to agricultural production and rural area development including subsidies to crop production, livestock production, on-farm investment and decoupled payments. In addition to on-farm activities, farmers receive compensatory payments for farming in areas with below average soil quality (LEF, less favorable area), subsidies for undertaking agro-environmental activities and general rural development subsidies (Poczta and Siemiński, 2008; Rude, 2008; Marks-Bielska and Babuchowska, 2010; Sobczyński, 2010; Babuchowska and Marks-Bielska, 2011). The latter do not have a clear ex ante effect on on-farm job creation because they could add jobs, but also create rural jobs outside farming. Farmers also qualify for investment subsidies. Although on-farm investment leads, in general, to productivity increase resulting from substitution of labor by capital, the realization of investment actual may create jobs, and, if the investment involves production expansion (e.g., dairy production), it may add jobs in the long run.

The depopulation measure accounts for the primary and secondary agricultural areas. The primary area includes Wielkopolskie Voivodship and several neighboring areas as defined in the FADN for Poland. The other areas are considered secondary agricultural areas because of the
generally lower quality of production conditions although in some forms of specialized production, the farms in the secondary areas match those in the primary areas. For example, dairy farms in the northeastern areas are as productive as in the primary central-western region. However, the secondary areas are effectively loosing population, especially farm population. A dummy variable is applied to account for regions loosing population.

The selection of specific variables includes the dependent variable is the annual work unit of hired labor as defined by the FADN (Polski FADN, 2010). The explanatory variables include the decoupled payments; subsidies to investment, livestock production, crop production, agro-environmental activities, less favorable areas (LFA), and to support rural development. Following previous studies (Petrick and Zier, 2011), the value of total rural subsidies subsidies has been lagged one year because such subsidies may have a delayed effect on job creation.

**Data**

The FDNA data are publicly available in the aggregated form for all EU countries. The data are mean values of specific measures for each farm category. The farm type categories include specialized farms (including horticultural farms), crop farms, dairy farms, livestock (other than dairy), mixed crop and livestock, and mixed with livestock (TF13 crop farms (COP), TF20 horticultural farms, TF 41 dairy farms, TF50 grain-fed livestock, TF60 mixed crop, TF70 mixed crop and livestock, TF80 mixed livestock). The set of measures for each farm type includes several dozen variables, including measures of labor, financial performance, input and output measures, subsidy types, but only a set needed for the estimation of the empirical relationships was selected for this study.

The construction of four FADN regions in Poland is based on administrative division of the country. The adjacent Voivodship (provinces) were clustered into single regions despite
substantial differences in the quality and quantity of agricultural resources and agricultural
traditions in farming. The clustering may obstruct a clear view of the effects of specific
measures. The potentially different effects may apply to the significance of migration measures.
For example, the Mazowsze i Podlasie region consists of the depopulating Podlaskie Voivodship
and the population gaining Mazowieckie, while the migration flows from Podlaskie in multiple
directions, including areas outside the specified region.

A total of 144 observations are used for the period from 2004 to 2009. The change in the
format of data reporting for FADN resulted in the disruption of the observation series. However,
the used series captures the first few years of Poland's full membership in the EU and its direct
effects on agricultural sector on one hand, and the indirect effect on labor market on the other.
The indirect effect resulted from the liberalization of labor flow and ability of Polish citizens to
compete on domestic labor markets of the majority of EU member countries. The unobstructed
labor movement was not affected by the subsequent global financial crisis that was not fully
apparent until 2009, which is the last year of the series used in the current study. The format of
calculating some of the performance measures were changed in 2010 causing a discontinuity in
the series.

Results

A single equation model is estimated using the OLS with heteroscedasticity consistent
errors. The functional form of the equation is log-linear because the primary interest is in the
change in the hired farm employment attributable to the CAP programs and the depopulation
effect. The overall goodness-of-fit measures suggest a good fit, the F value of 65.34 with 127 df
and the adjusted R-squared of 0.8717.
Table 1 shows the results in three sets of variables, i.e., various subsidies, farm type, and the FADN region. Among the explanatory variables accounting for the average amount of various subsidies with the statistically significant and positive effect on the creation of additional jobs, as measured by paid hired labor, are the total subsidies to crop production and to farming in areas with unfavorable conditions. It is possible that farmers receiving direct payments tend to hire labor because the additional payments encourage field production and require assistance during harvest. Such jobs although seasonal, provide some opportunities in rural areas.

It appears that, consistent with the intent, subsides for the less favorable agricultural areas have meaningfully contributed to farm job creation supporting the expectations of the program administrators. Less favorable areas tend to have a relatively higher production costs (EC, 2008; Sobczyński, 2012) and the subsidies likely evened the farm income between the less favorable and normal agricultural areas leading to increased use of hired labor in the former.

However, the effect of investment subsidies suggests the substitution of capital by labor because it leads to fewer additional on-farm jobs. The result is consistent with the observed level of capital and its quality on many Polish farms and often mentioned low labor productivity (Kowalski et al., 2011). Moreover, the farm investment improves the farm family labor used classified as unpaid, while causes shedding of paid labor. Therefore, any effect of investment subsidies with regard to job creation is to non-farm labor during the investment period, and because of the annual observations used in the current study it has not been captured in the estimated relationship.

Among farm types, all effects are statistically significant, but negative when measured against the omitted type (Table 1). As compared to “specialist horticultural” farms, other farm types exert a negative influence on hired paid labor; especially large effects are associated with
“dairy” and “mixed livestock farms.” Horticultural production tends to be much more labor-intensive than conventional agricultural production (Sobczyński and Stefko, 2011) and the horticultural farms include also those engaged to any extent in organic production. Paid hired labor is common on horticultural farms and is not limited to seasonal employment, especially in large fruit or ornamental plant farms.

The effect of farm location in a region suffering from depopulation has a negative influence on hired paid labor as compared to the core agricultural region of Wielkopolska-Śląsk. The effect was particularly large, in terms of the coefficient magnitude, if a farm is located in Mazowieckie-Podlaskie-Łódzkie region. The result is not surprising because, for example, Podlaskie belongs to regions considered most underdeveloped in the EU and has been losing population (Ministerstwo Rozwoju Rolnictwa, 2010), while Łódzkie has not been a strong agricultural area. Mazowieckie, which surrounds the agglomeration of Warsaw has been known for its horticultural production, but included in the large FADN region, the effect was likely outweighed by the two areas loosing population. Also, farms located in Małopolskie-Pogórze have been less likely to spend on hired paid labor than Wielkopolska-Śląsk and this result has been expected. The region is characterized by fragmented farms and the smallest average farm size among all regions of Poland.

**Concluding comments**

Overall, the effects of the CAP program have a mixed effect on the job creation on Polish farms. Crop and LFA subsidies lead to an increase in hired paid labor as do the decoupled payments benefiting all farms. The positive effect in areas where the jobs matter most, which is in the less favorable agricultural areas, is a desirable effect. However, to what extent that program can stop or even reverse the depopulation will require additional analysis using further
disaggregated data. Access to such data has been restricted, requires effort and is time consuming.

Farm type and regional effects have been negative as compared to the benchmarks, the omitted farm type (horticulture) and the leading agricultural area of Wielkopolska-Śląsk. The effects are not a surprise because horticultural farms tend to be labor intensive, while the core agricultural area, characterized by highly efficient and capital-intensive agriculture, is the most productive and capable of paying hired labor.

The near future will provide a picture of the level and form of subsidies under CAP. Poland was among the top four recipients of allocations from the EU budget. The farm sector clearly benefitted from the direct and indirect payments, while Polish agriculture has become more competitive as reflected in the surplus in agricultural trade since joining the EU in 2004 (EC. 2011; Gocht et al., 2013).
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Table 1. Results for the job creation equation estimated using the OLS with heteroskedasticity consistent errors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. error</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Pr&gt;t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.5407</td>
<td>0.1068</td>
<td>5.06a</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments subsidies</td>
<td>-0.0010</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>0.0244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop subsidies</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>2.86a</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock subsidies</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.8810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental subsidies</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>0.1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFA subsidies</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>3.15a</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural subsidies</td>
<td>-0.0000</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>0.6295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoupled payments</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>3.35a</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy farm</td>
<td>-4.1747</td>
<td>0.2038</td>
<td>-20.49a</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock farm</td>
<td>-2.2464</td>
<td>0.2144</td>
<td>-10.47a</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-cereal crop farm</td>
<td>-2.5988</td>
<td>0.1796</td>
<td>-14.47a</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed livestock farm</td>
<td>-4.3297</td>
<td>0.1649</td>
<td>-26.25a</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed farm</td>
<td>-3.4657</td>
<td>0.1667</td>
<td>-20.79a</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomorze-Mazury</td>
<td>-0.2926</td>
<td>0.1167</td>
<td>-2.51a</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MazowszePodlasie</td>
<td>-0.7610</td>
<td>0.1176</td>
<td>-6.47a</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malopolska-Pogorze</td>
<td>-0.4532</td>
<td>0.1627</td>
<td>-2.78a</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a Significant at $\alpha=0.01$.
b Lagged one year.