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## Introduction

There is evidence that food prices are higher at small food stores, potentially contributing to disparities in access to healthful food, especially in urban areas ${ }^{12,3,3}$

Meanwhile, recent policy initiatives seek to partner with smal food stores to improve the selection of more healthful foods in urban neighborhoods.
There is a need to systematically measure differences in food availability and price between small and large food stores ${ }^{4}$.


Research Objectives

- Provide empirical evidence of differences in food availability and prices between small and large food retailers in the Boston metropolitan area


## research through

 sampledic research design ensuring representativeness of sampled geographic areas, retailers, and food items, - novel methods for handling variability in food item - availabilty and missing price information; use of price information on the lowest priced product andthe product with the most shelf space the product whe most sherf space.


## Method

Adopted method used by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) to measure food prices, with modifications to make
methods more accessible to individuals and community groups with limited time and financial resources.

PSU areas: 40 out of 464 census tracts sampled using probability proportional to population size.
Stores: matching sample of triplets ( 1 supermarket, 2 small retailers) within 1 mile of population-weighted tract centroid to represent the food retail environment in each PSU.

Food items: adopted BLS methods to generate a set of 49 food items that are representative of US food spending and consumption patterns and provide sufficient coverage and detail on important categories of food at home spending, without making data collection excessively burdensome.

Results: Food Item Availability



## Conclusion and Discussion

For most food items, availability was significantly lower at small stores compared to large stores. Seven items (low fat mik, orange juice, gumdrop candy, salad dressing, potato
chips, regular col across both types of retailers
The average price of the product with the lowest unit price and the produce with the most shelf space was consistently
higher at small stores. A few food items (low fat mikk resh higher at small stores. A few food tiems (low fat mik, fresh
$100 \%$ orange iuice, and fresh eggs) served as loss-leaders small stores and were priced competitively with large stores.

The price difference between small and large stores may be attributed in part to differences in package size options. Large stores offer a wider range of package size options, including family or bulk size packaging that may be cheaper on a per unit basis.

The methods and analysis developed in this study could be used to assess the impact of a healthy store initiative on the vailability and price of healthful food options like fresh fruits and vegetables at neighborhood stores.
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