

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.







•

Terms of Trade for Developing Countries A Commodity and Regional Analysis

(U.S.) Economics and Statistics Service Washington, DC

# Nov 80

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service



	L HERVEL HU.	i <b>2</b> .	1. Bacialant's Assaults Als
PAGE	FAER-161	1	
The and Bubtile			120957
TERMS OF TRADE FOR DE	WELOPING COUNTRIES:	A COMMODITY AND	November 1980
REGIONAL ANALYSIS			<b>4</b>
·			
			E. Performing Organization Rept. No
athy L. Jabara			FAER-161
International Reenand	an Division		19. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
Reconcered Statiat	ton Service		
L.S. Department of As	riculture		II. Contract(C) or Grant(G) No.
Washington, B.C. 202	150		(C)
			(6)
Sponsoring Organization Name and	l Address		12. Type of Report & Period Countral
Some as how Q			
Name an Jul 7			14.
and the second			
*			
Abstract (Limit: 200 wank)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(exporters of cocoa, programs therefore (1 value of developing c	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh	ade for higher inc oil, and minerals mal Economic Order it be more successf	ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate
(exporters of cocoa, rograms therefore (1 value of developing c only on specific comm eveloping countries,	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc oil, and minerals onal Economic Order of be more successf ountries or both, b	ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
(exporters of cocoa, programs therefore (1 value of developing c only on specific comm ieveloping countries,	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc oil, and minerals nal Economic Order at be more successf puntries or both, b	ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
(exporters of cocoa, programs therefore (1 value of developing c only on specific comm ieveloping countries,	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc a oil, and minerals mal Economic Order at be more successf muntries or both, b	ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
(exporters of cocoa, programs therefore (1 value of developing c only on specific comm developing countries,	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc oil, and minerals mal Economic Order at be more successf puntries or both, b	ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
(exporters of cocoa, programs therefore (1 value of developing c only on specific comm developing countries,	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc a oil, and minerals anal Economic Order at be more successf puntries or both, b	ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
(exporters of cocoa, programs therefore (1 value of developing c only on specific comm ieveloping countries,	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc oil, and minerals nal Economic Order it be more successf puntries or both, b	ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
exporters of cocoa, rograms therefore (1 value of developing c only on specific comm eveloping countries,	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc oil, and minerals nal Economic Order at be more successf puntries or both, b	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Decoment Arebysis & Descriptors	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc oil, and minerals onal Economic Order it be more successf puntries or both, b	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Desument Analysis & Descriptors Ommerce	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc oil, and minerals mal Economic Order it be more successf puntries or both, b	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Desument Arelysis a. Descriptors Compared a trade Compared a trade Compared a trade	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc a oil, and minerals anal Economic Order at be more successf puntries or both, b	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Desenant Aretysis a Descriptors Compared Aretysis a Descriptors	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc oil, and minerals onal Economic Order it be more successf puntries or both, b	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Desument Aretysis a. Descriptors organized the second seco	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc a oil, and minerals anal Economic Order at be more successf puntries or both, b	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Desument Arelysis a. Descriptors on the principal of the	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc a oil, and minerals mal Economic Order it be more successf puntries or both, b	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Decoment Acetysis & Descriptors onmerce nternational trade eveloping countries alue of developing c only on specific comm eveloping countries, ommerce nternational trade eveloping countries nalyzing hertifiers/Open-Entot Terms erms ommodity	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ade for higher inc a oil, and minerals mal Economic Order it be more successf puntries or both, b	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Decompet Analysis a Descriptors on programs therefore (1 value of developing countries, eveloping countries, ommerce nternational trade eveloping countries nalyzing a Minitiers/Open-Endod Terms erms ommodity egional	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ame for higher inc a oil, and minerals anal Economic Order at be more successf puntries or both, b	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Desument Analysis a. Descriptors only on specific commission leveloping countries, Desument Analysis a. Descriptors comperce international trade developing countries inalyzing b. Maniflars/Open-Entot Terms erms commodity legional gricultural exports	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ams	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Desenances) deteriorated (exporters of cocoa, programs therefore (1 value of developing c only on specific comm leveloping countries, commerce international trade eveloping countries nalyzing Manufaces/OpenEndod Terms erms commodity egional gricultural exports	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately. Income Economic analysis Imported goods International progr New International E Order	ams conomic	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Desiminational trade Developing countries neveloping countries, Developing countries, Developing countries neveloping countries nalyzing Mentiles/OpenEntod Terms erms commodity legional gricultural exports ineral exports 500001 965-C	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately. Income Economic analysis Imported goods International progr New International E Order	ams conomic	Jute, tea, sisal, and ome developing countries ) improved. Internationa ) aimed at raising the ul if they concentrate ut not on all exports of
Decoment Analysis a. Descriptors Comment Analysis a. Descriptors Commerce International trade Developing countries Inalyzing b. MentMers/OpenEnted Terms Commodity Legional Spricultural exports Lineral exports Commodity Legional Spricultural exports Lineral exports Commodity Legional Spricultural exports Lineral exports Commodity Legional Spricultural exports Legional Spricultural exports Legional Spricultural exports Legional Spricultural exports Legional Spricultural exports Legional Spricultural exports Legional Spricultural exports Legional Spricultural exports Legional Spricultural exports Legional Analysis	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately. Income Economic analysis Imported goods International progr New International E Order	ams conomic	(Dis Speet)
Decoment Archysis a. Descriptors Commerce International trade Developing countries Analyzing A. MentMers/Open-Entot Terms Commodity Legional Spricultural exports ineral exports Commodity Legional Spricultural exports ineral exports Commodity Legional Spricultural exports Analyzing A. MentMers/Open-Entot Terms Commodity Legional Spricultural exports Commodity Legional Marineral exports Commodity Legional Marineral exports Commodity Legional Marineral exports Commodity Legional Marineral exports Commodity Legional Marineral exports Commodity Legional Marineral exports Commodity Legional Marineral exports Commodity Legional Marineral exports	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately. Income Economic analysis Imported goods International progr New International E Order able from: FORMATION SERVICE	ams conomic is. Security Cless	(This Report) 21. No. of Pages
Decompet Analysis a. Descriptors Composition of the second secon	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately.	ams conomic 22161 ams for security Clease 22161 ams for security Clease ams for security clease	(This Report) 21. No. of Pages (This Report) 22. Price
Decement Analysis a. Descriptors Competence International trade Developing countries Analyzing b. Mentiles/Open-Endot Terms Commodity Regional Agricultural exports fineral exports 5. COMMIT Field/Broup 05-C Availability Statement Availability ATIONAL TECHNICAL IN 5285 PORT ROYAL ROAD,	while the terms of tr coffee, fishmeal, palm ike the New Internatio ountries' exports migh odities or specific co indiscriminately. Income Economic analysis Imported goods International progr New International E Order Able from: FORMATION SERVICE SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA	ams conomic 22161 3. Security Class	(This Report) 21. No. of Pages (This Page) 22. Price

and the second secon

**~**~'

.

. .  and the second secon

· · · ·

e sur a

ч,

77

1867 p. 1

1.1

1

e.

(Formerly ICTIE=35) Department of Commerce

. . .

1971 Z

10000

0°

7

などの時間はなどのないで、ためのないないないが、ためたいためのないにはないである。

NAL AND ADDRESS

Sec.



Turms of Trade for Developing Countries: A Commodity And Regional Analysis by Cathy L. Jabara. International Economics Division; Economics and Statistics Service; U.S. Department of Agriculture. Foreign Agricultural Economic Report No. 161.

#### Abstract

ないないないないないであるというないなるないないである。

ないないないであるというないというでしょう

The terms of trade at which developing countries exchange their agricultural and mineral exports for imported goods vary by commodity and country. Terms of trade for the lowest income developing countries in Asia and Africa (exporters of jute, tea, sisal, and peanuts) deteriorated while the terms of trade for higher income developing countries (exporters of cocoa, coffee, fishmeal, paim oil, and minerals) improved. International programs therefore (like the New International Economic Order) aimed at raising the value of developing countries' exports might be more successful if they concentrate only on specific commodities or specific countries or both, but not on all exports of developing countries, indiscriminately.

Keywords: New International Economic Order; Commodity trade; Developing countries; International trade.

# Acknowledgments

The author thanks Robert L. Thompson and Vernon Roningen for their helpful comments, and Arthur Morey and Carol Stillwagon for their statistical and computer assistance.

Washington, D.C. 29250

i-4

# iii Terms Of Trade For Developing Countries: A Commodity and Regional Analysis Cathy L. Jabora Agricultural Economist Preceding page blank

1000

iv
Contents
Summary
Introduction 1
Importance Of Primary Commodity Trade In Developing Countries 2
Empirical Measurement Of International Terms Of Trade
Price And Quantity Trends, 1960-77    7      Price Trends    8      Quantity Trends    11      Shift In Supply Schedules Of Primary Products.    15
International Terms Of Trade Indexes, 1970-78
Conclusions
References
Appendix Table

14

ŝ

# Summary

International programs, like the United Nations' New International Economic Order, aimed at raising the value of developing countries' exports, might be more effective if they concentrated only on specific commodities, the foreign exchange earnings of specific countries, or both.

Such programs are based on the assumption that prices of commodity exports of developing countries tend to decline relative to those countries' imports, causing the developing countries' terms of trade to deteriorate. That assumption, however, seems not to reflect the real state of affairs.

The prices for developing countries' exports did not all move in the same direction between 1960 and 1977, primarily because of the diverse array of commodities exported by developing countries, with each commodity subject to different conditions of supply and demand. Many commodities increased their relative purchasing power relative to manufactured goods and other imports (wheat, beef, and maize, for example), while other commodity exports' purchasing power declined. Cocoa, coffee, sugar, fishmeal, and petroleum and other minerals increased their purchasing power between 1960 and 1977; tea, jute, cotton, and other fibers declined in purchasing power. As a result of different price movements, an analysis of indexes based on prices of specific commodities shows that the terms of trade for some developing countries improved while those of others worsened.

Those findings indicate that international programs that seek to raise the real values of exports of developing countries should concentrate on raising prices of specific commodities whose real prices have declined or on increasing the foreign exchange earnings power of the lowest income developing countries.

The disparity in price movements from 1970 to 1978 worsened the terms of trade for low-income developing countries (gross national product— GNP—of \$200 or less per capita) in Asia and Africa but enhanced the terms of trade for some middle-income (GNP of \$201-\$800 per capita) and high-income developing countries (GNP of \$801 and up per capita) in Asia, Africa, and Latin America, and for petroleum-exporting countries.

٧

# Introduction

The terms at which developing countries exchange their agricultural and mineral exports (primary commodities) for imports of manufactures from developed countries may have a longrun tendency to decline. This view, first argued by Prebiach (19, 20) and by Singer (22) asserts that low price and income elasticities of demand for raw materials, the market power of the developed countries, and technical progress in the developing countries tend to bias changes in international terms of trade in favor of the developed countries.<sup>1</sup> As a result, the developing countries, many of which depend on exports of primary commodities for foreign exchange earnings, grow slowly with the gains from trade going to the aiready well-off developed countries.

Acceptance of the Prebisch-Singer hypothesis as well as the success of the Organization of Petroleum-Exporting Countries (OPEC) in raising prices by cartel action have lead to proposals such as the New International Economic Order (NIEO), advanced through the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), to raise commodity prices in real terms (3, p. 147; 23, pp. 20-25; 24, 25). The major objectives of the NIEO include stabilization of international commodity markets and improvement in the real income that developing countries receive from commodity exports. These objectives are to be implemented by establishing international buffer stocks, creating a common fund to finance these stocks, implementing multilateral contracts, and arranging for improved compensatory financing to stabilize export earnings.<sup>2</sup>

Whether or not developing countries' terms of trade are actually declining is difficult to determine because of the problems in measuring changes in international terms of trade. In addition, most empirical studies refer to the net barter terms of trade, that is, the ratio of export to import prices. An improvement in the terms of trade is assumed to make a country "better off" in terms of national income by providing the opportunity to obtain more imports for a given volume of exports. However, it is well known that a country may increase its productivity in the export sector, thereby decreasing its neg barter terms of trade, but still increase its real income.3 Thus it is difficult to infer the economic significance of the measured terms of trade changes. Another problem is that developing countries export different types of primary commodities as well as some

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Numbers in parentheses indicate references listed at the end of this report. <sup>2</sup>Behrman (I, p. 67) suggests that there are important potential gains for both producing and consuming countries from the proposed integrated program. For critiques of the NIEO proposals, see (5, 13). <sup>3</sup>Brandao (2) points out that changes in terms of trade can affect national

income through corresponding changes in interest rates which, in turn, affect investment demand.

manufactured goods. Developing countries also import primary products and different types of manufactures. Thus, it is difficult to infer international terms of trade changes from any aggregate analysis of primary products vs. manufactures.

Deteriorating terms of trade have implications for the ability of developing countries to finance agricultural imports, for U.S. food aid policies, and for the U.S. position in UNCTAD negotiations. In the ensuing pages, I examine the Prebiach-Singer hypothesis of deteriorating terms of trade for developing countries by analyzing trends in prices of primary commodities and industrial products and their impact on the international terms of trade of developing countries. I examined trends in the real prices and volumes of commodity exports of developing countries from 1960-77 and measured changes in international terms of trade for low-income, middleincome, high-income, and petroleum-exporting developing countries in Africa, Asia, and Latin America (see appendix table 1 for the countries in each income group and region). In contrast to other analyses, which aggregated over countries in order to examine trends in international terms of trade, (11, p. 8; 27, p. 35), I found no uniform pattern of terms of trade that applied to all developing countries: some improved their terms of trade while others' terms of trade womened. The reason for the disparity lay in the diversity of exports, all of which are subject to different market conditions. Such disparity will probably impede the effectiveness of any international trade programs that try to bolster the export prices of all developing countries, without discrimination. Programs that focus more on specific commodities or on specific countries will probably be more successful.

#### Importance Of Primary Commodity Trade In Developing Countries

22

Developing countries, it is argued, are particularly harmed by a decline in their terms of trade because of their greater dependence on exports of primary commodities, which have historically experienced weaker market conditions than the exports of developed countries (23, p. 18). Although primary production and trade are important to the economies of developing countries, the developing countries export a variety of primary products, many of which face different market conditions, and they import a variety of products as well (tables 1 and 2).

Production of primary commodities accounts for over 60 percent of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) earned in the developing countries with the lowest income (table 1). A large percentage of the income earned in producing such commodities was generated by exports (column 2 of

2

table 1), with the percentage of primary production exported ranging from 11 percent in the low-income Asian countries to 57 percent in the highincome Asian countries. Foreign exchange earnings from primary product exports represented over 80 percent of total merchandise trade, although this percentage varied by region. The relatively lower percentage of primary products in export trade for the low-income Asian countries reflects the importance of cotton and jute textiles in their exports.

Major primary commodity imports and exports of developing countries are shown in table 2. Peanuts and derivative products, cocos, and coffee are major exports of African countries, whereas the Latin American countries export bananas, cocoa, and coffee, and the Asian countries export cocoa, jute, rubber, and tea. Because of the diversity of primary commodity exports, commodity agreements for specific commodities will not affect the foreign exchange earnings of all developing countries. All the groups of developing countries also imported primary products, particularly wheat, rice, sugar, and maize, which are produced primarily by the developed countries. Import expenditures on primary products (including petroleum) in 1977 were at least 35 percent of total import expenditures for all re-

Region and income group <sup>2</sup>	Primary commodity production in gross domestic product	Primary production exported	Foreign exchange from primary products3
		Percent	
Africa:			
Low	60.1	25.4	09.4
Middle	57.4	43.1	94.4
High	50.0	44.0	82.4
Latin America;		0	93.1
Low	-	_	
Middle	49.7	76.0	
High	34.9	30,8	83,3
lie:		40.0	87.2
Low	81.1	44.0	
Middle		11.5	75.1
High		40,7	91.1
	55.4	56.8	86.7

Table 1 - Developing country primary commodity production and exports, by region and income group, 19771

Not applicable. As I defined "low income" (see below), Latin America had no low-income countries.

Includes oil-exporting countries.

T.

2income groups: 0-\$200 gross national product (GNP) per capita = low income; \$201-\$800 GNP per cepita = middle income; \$801 and up GNP per capita = high **Sparcentage of merchandise export eerninge**,

Sources: (8, 1978), World Bank Warld Development Report, 1979, (26), UNCTAD Basic Data on the Least Developed Countries, 1979.

Hegion and Income group	Major primary commodity exports	Major primary commodity (mports1	jmp pri	ort expenditure on imary commodities	Ratio of major primary
			Total	Petroleum products	export earnings
Africe:				Percent	
Low	Peanute, csanut oil, tea, cocos, coffes,	Wheat, rice, sugar	38.5	10.7	12.3
Middle	Copper, cottan Cooper, peanuts, peanut oil, tee phosphate rock,	Maize, beaf and veal, wheat, rice, sugar	34.5	10. <del>9</del>	25.3
High Latin America;2	cotton Suger, copper, tobacco, cotton	Wheat, rice, sugar, beaf and veal	41.5	9.3	9.1
	Cotton, coffee benense, tin	Wheat, rice, maize	39.6	9.6	4.3
Fign	Coffee, sugar, cocca, copper, bananes, cotton, soybeans, maize,	Witest, meize, rice, coffee, rubber, iron are	40.6	16.5	20.2
lela:	Iron ore				
Low	Jute, rubber, tea, fice, cotton	Wheat, rice, cotton	55.5	16.0	FAR
Middle	Coffee, Has, sugar, copra,	Wheat, suger, rice,	46.4	15.0	42.2
High	coconst oil Rubber, paim oil,	Wheat, rice, sugar,	42.1	136	76.4
streleum-exporting	()))	maize, cotton		10.0	61.7
countries <sup>3</sup>	Petroleum, rubber, cocos, bananas	Suger, wheat, rice, tea, rubber, beef	42.0	8,5	3.4

Q.

-2-

0

÷ .-

Ċ

<sup>1</sup>Excluding petroleum, <sup>2</sup>As I defined "low income," Latin America had no low-income countries, <sup>3</sup>See appendix table 1 for list of oilexporting countries, Sources: (8, 1978; 77, 1979; 26, 1979). ÷

gions and income levels. Low-income Asian countries spent over 50 percent of their export earnings from their major primary product exports on their major imported primary commodities (excluding petroleum). This indicates that their foreign exchange situation could be improved by international programs that lowered the prices of their primary commodity imports as well as by programs that raised the prices of their exports.

5

#### **Empirical Measurement Of International** Terms Of Trade

Although the proponents of the NIEO argue that the developing countries have experienced a longrun worsening of their terms of trade, their argument is not supported by empirical evidence. Kindleberger found no clear trend in the terms of trade of primary vs. manufactured goods and suggested that the large dispersion among countries and products in the price indexes made any aggregate analysis almost meaningless (12). Montgomery found improvement rather than deterioration in international terms of trade for primary products (17). Lipsey examined the terms of trade for the United States from 1879-1960 and found that, although there were large swings, no longrun trend emerged (16). Lipsey's analysis indicated that the U.S. terms of trade did increase steadily (hence the LDC's terms of trade deteriorated) from 1951-1960.<sup>4</sup>

Porter found a generally falling trend for 46 commodity prices between 1947 and 1962 (21), supporting the findings of Lipsey. Haberler pointed out, however, that the decline in primary prices during this period was not the beginning of a trend, but the U.S. reaction to high prices during the Korean War and massive U.S. stockpiling during and immediately after the war (10, p. 56). Porter found, contrary to the Prebisch thesis, that demand for primary products typically may be price inelastic or very income elastic, but not both. Thus, a fall in the price of a primary product, reducing the exporter's foreign exchange earnings, could be offset by increased demand for the product caused by economic growth in the rest of the world.

On the theoretical as well as the empirical front, Lewis argued that, in order to analyze the change in terms of trade between tropical products and manufactured goods, the problem must be broken into two ratios—the tropicals; wheat ratio and the wheat:manufactures ratio (15). The price of manufactures in developed countries, according to Lewis, is determined by the opportunity cost of resources in food so that an increase in product

<sup>4</sup>Lipsey's study also did not confirm the belief that other industrial countries had enjoyed large improvements in their terms of trade since the 1870's (16, p. 17).

()

tivity in food production in developed countries would increase the relative price of manufactures in terms of food and in terms of tropical products. The reason tropical countries experienced declines in net barter terms of trade in 1965, Lewis argued, was because the world price of wheat had risen less than the price of manufactures, due to increases in U.S. agricultural productivity. 1.

Aggregate international terms of trade statistics calculated by the World Bank show the terms of trade for all developing countries improving since the midsixties (11, p. 8). The World Bank terms of trade statistics are calculated as unit value indexes of exports from all developing countries divided by unit value indexes of imports. The terms of trade for developed countries and for petroleum-importing developing countries, however, exhibited mild parallel fluctuations during the same period. These results indicate the importance of petroleum in calculating aggregate terms of trade indexes and that all countries have suffered from the high petroleum prices set by OPEC.

Discussion of international terms of trade revolves around four concepts:

- -Net barter or commodity terms of trade, defined as the ratio of import to export prices.
- -Income terms of trade, defined as the product of the net barter terms of trade and the quantity of exports.
- -Gross barter terms of trade, defined as the ratio of the quantity of exports to the quantity of imports.
- -Double factoral terms of trade, defined as the ratio of the net barter terms of trade and the relative change in productivity in a country's export sector compared with the productivity in foreign industries that produce its imports.

Lippey argued that the double-factoral terms of trade should be used to measure the welfare impact of a change in the terms of trade because this concept measures the purchasing power per unit of input and, thus, takes into account changes in productivity (16). When "the terms of trade" are mentioned hereafter without further qualification, we are referring to the pet barter or commodity terms of trade.

Three measurement problems have been identified in measuring changes in international terms of trade: changes in the composition of exports and

Ŷ.

6

And the stand of the

imports, failure to account for quality changes, and the choice of an index to measure changes in the terms of trade (2, pp. 63-74). Changes in the composition of exports and imports affect the numerical value of the indexes used to summarize terms of trade behavior. Commodities that were not traded in the base year of the index may eventually become important whereas goods previously traded may become less important or disappear from trade. A fixed-weight index does not take into account changes in the mix of products traded. At the same time, a change in a current-weighted price index may represent nothing more than a change in the mix of products, with prices of individual commodities remaining constant. The choice of index determines whether the change in product mix is accounted for or not.

Terms of trade indexes that fail to account for quality changes in imports over time tend to understate the gains of primary product exporters. The quality of industrial goods tends to improve over time while the quality of primary products does not. Thus, increased prices of manufactured goods relative to primary products may reflect nothing more than quality changes.<sup>5</sup>

The selection of an index is related to the choice of base year as well as the choice of weights, which can create an upward or downward bias to the average change in prices that actually occurred. The choice of a base year with extremely high (or low) prices will create a downward (or upward) bias to all terms of trade changes. In addition, indexes based on beginning year weights tend to have an upward bias compared with price indexes based on current or end-year weights if the changes in prices and quantities are negatively correlated.

I accounted for the first and third problems by estimating price indexes with fixed weights (Laspeyres index) and variable weights (Passche index) and then comparing the results. The base years I chose were also assumed to represent "normal" trade years. I ignored the second problem because of difficulties in measuring quality changes over time. The question of price indexes is addressed again in a later section.

# Price and Quantity Trends, 1960-77

いたからいたいというというというというないでは、

I examined the volume traded as well as price trends for 33 commodities exported by developing countries and three groups of industrial products

<sup>5</sup>The problem of quality change and construction of price indexes has been treated by Griliches (9). This problem is usually ignored in constructing price indexes because of data problems.

imported by developing countries. Price changes can give rise to a volume change that offsets movements in price. For instance, a price rise caused by short supplies may sufficiently reduce the volume exported to offset the price gain. Similarly, a decline in net barter terms of trade, resulting in a larger increase in volume exported due to growth or productivity change in the exporting country, will increase the foreign exchange earnings supplied by the exported commodity. Thus, the impact of a change in terms of trade on the economy of a country also depends upon the accompanying changes in export volume.

# Price Trends

Table 3 provides annual average growth rates of unit values for the 33 export commodities and three groups of industrial products for two periods, 1960-1972 and 1960-77 (1977 being the most recent year in which data on mineral exports and manufactured goods imports are available), in nominal terms and defiated by the price indexes of manufactured goods imported by developing countries. The growth rate for each commodity is the ratio of the annual increase in price (estimated from a linear time trend) to the mean value of price over the respective periods. The products analyzed provided about 70 percent of the value of developing countries' exports from 1975-77 and 83 percent of developed country exports to developing countries (27, p. xxxii). I used unit values (total value of imports or exports divided by total quantity of imports or exports) instead of market prices because international market price quotations for some commodities may not accurately reflect the actual values realized by exporters or importers. Unit values for imports as well as exports are expressed free on board (f.o.b.). Consequently, the net barter terms of trade in table 3 are pure merchandise terms of trade and do not reflect changes in costs of transportation and insurance. I estimated trends for two periods in order to account for the abnosmally high prices during the commodity price boom of 1973-74.6 Unit value indexes for the industrial products are Paasche type, current-weighted, with 1970 as the base year (27).

All 33 primary commodities and the three groups of industrial products nominally increased in price from 1960-77. Ten primary commodities and the chemicals index declined in price over the 1960-72 period, which does not include the abnormally high commodity prices of the later period. Trends in export unit values, deflated by the three unit value indexes for industrial products, show that many of the commodities that increased in value in nominal terms actually declined in value in "real terms." Thirteen

<sup>6</sup>The base year chosen for the trends could impose upward or downward bias if the base year is an unusual year.

Commodity	Unit ve	ve trend	Unit value/ch	emicels trend	Unit velue/m	chinery trend	Linit union feature and	
	1980-72	1980-77	1960-72	1960-77	1960-72	1960-77	1950.72	1060.77
				Percen	t change			
Apricultural								
exports:								
Benenae	0.91*	2 77+						
Beef	742*	7 00+	V.10-	-1.20	-1.34	-2.96*	0	-0.68*
Cocoe	3.08*	7.00	.60*	3.25*	4.64*	1.69	.86*	4.13*
Coconut oil	46	4.000	.39*	4.57*	.81	2.74	0.51	5.23*
Coffee	2 12+	9.00*	1.25	.50	-1.53	-1.04	11	1.56
Conre	4,12	0.39"	.28*	3,93*	25	1.74	.01*	4 134
Conten	05	3.59	.08	53	-2.08	-1.79	0	50
Sishmani	1.02*	5.46*	1.77*	.99*	-1.44*	85	33	1 741
	0,23*	9.38	5.81 ·	4.80*	2.76*	3.21*	4 82*	5.00+
	,06	1.25	.85	-2.41*	-2.38	-4.33+		3.00
Linsed of	-2.32*	7.23*	-1.46*	1.92	-4.52*	20	00	-,22*
Meize	1.36*	6,18*	.20*	1.72*	_ 05		2,90-	3.29
Peim oli	59	5.60*	.16	93	-204*	UZ	0	2.55
Peenuts	2.14*	7.40*	2.87*	3.04*	- 44	01	-1.10	1,95
Peenut oll	.55	6.19*	1.29*	1.68*	-1.02+	1.21-	1.44	3.92*
Rice	1.66	7.40	.24	2.95	-1,80*	17	17	2.57*
Rubber	-5,75*	1.30	-4.81*	-3 13*	-,20	3.09	1.25	5.63
\$i <b>mi</b>	-5.5*	5.27*	-4 79*	-0.15 A£	-1.15	-D.15*	-6.37*	-2.50*
Scybeens	1.63*	7.48*	2 60*		~7.58*	-1.50	-6.14*	.04*
Suger	2.13*	8.51*	00	2.03*	51	.98	1.20	3.62*
Tee	-2.77	87	- 201	3.03*	39	2,23	.01	5.16*
			20*	-J.36*	-4.92*	-6.31	~	A 0.94

Table 3 - Unit value trends for 38 commodities, nominal and defleted, 1980-77

#### See footnote at end of table.

Continued-

9

Ś

0	Unit velo	le trend	Unit value/chemicals trend		trend Unit value/machinery trend		Unit value/d	others trand
Commodity	1980-72	1960-77	1960-72	1960-97	1980-72	1960-77	1960-72	1960-77
			· · · ·	Percen	it change 1			
Agricultural								
exports,								
continued:	,							
Timber	.26	5.31*	.86*	.84	-1.93*	-1.17*	38	1.40
Tobecco	.97	4.39*	1.71*	.13	-1.60*	-1,82*	.24	.69
Wheet	.36	5.77*	.10*	1.27*	-2.10*	44	0	2.32
Wool	-3.54*	2.38	-2.78*	-1.92*	-5.67*	-3.73*	-4.20*	-1.09
lineral exports:								-
Bauxite	2.06*	5.11*	3.00*	1.01	27	94*	1.41*	.85*
Copper	6.49*	.51*	7.03*	1.41	4.33*	.03	5.88*	2.26
Iron ore	-1.62*	2.58*	82*	-1.79*	-3.87*	-3.74*	-2.28*	-1.34*
Lead	3.33*	7.31*	4.01*	2.64*	1.07	1.08	2.72*	3.65*
Manganese ore	-3.74*	3.67*	-2.89*	-1.36	-5.93*	-3.31*	-4.41*	76
Petroleum	1.66*	14.92*	2.38*	9.62*	92*	3.22*	.94	10.58*
Phosphete roci	c –1.24	10.20*	4.89	4.82*	-2.46*	2.94	87*	6.29*
Tin	3.93*	8.18*	4.54*	3.29*	1.68	1.60*	3.34*	4.07*
Zinc	4.11*	10.91*	4.73*	5.85*	1.65	4.16*	3.48*	6.72*
Senufactured								• • • •
imports:								
Chemicals	78*	4.79*	~	-	-	-	_	-
Machinery	2.56*	7.63*		-	-	-	— .	-
Others	.66*	4.00*	-	-	-	÷	_	-

# Table 3 - Unit value trends for 36 commodities, nominal and defiated. 1960-77 (Continued)

- - Not applicable. \*Significantly different from zero at a 5-percent level of significance. \*Estimated from a linear trand. Sources: (11, 27).

÷

10

. .

1º

 $\odot$ 

.

commodities increased in value relative to industrial products: beef, cocoa, coffee, copper, flahmeal, lead, peanuts, petroleum, phosphate rock, rice, soybeans, tin, and zinc. All other primary commodities declined in value relative to industrial products. Table 3 shows that the developing countries whose imports were concentrated in machinery items suffered the largest decline in the real value of their exports. In 1977, 58 percent of total manufactured exports to developing countries were machinery items (27, p. xxxii).

11

In addition to the price changes of commodity exports compared with manufactures, import prices of staple commodities increased relative to the prices of several export commodities, namely, tea, jute, rubber, baname, copra, tobacco, and coconut oil during the 1960-77 period (table 4). Countries dependent on exports of these products, for example, the lowincome Asian countries, experienced a decline in the purchasing power of their exports relative to staple imports as well as a decline relative to manufactured imports. Any gains, therefore, received by suppliers of these staple commodities were at the expense of importers.

The largest average rates of growth in prices for all 36 commodities were for cocoa, coffee, fishmeal, rice, sugar, petroleum, zinc, and tin. The distribution of exports of these and other primary commodities among developing countries is shown in table 5. Commodities with the highest increase in prices benefitted mainly the high- and middle-income developing country groups. High coffee prices increased the export purchasing power of the Latin American countries and middle-income African countries that export coffee. Similarly, high cocoa prices raised the export purchasing power of the middle-income African and high-income Latin American countries that export cocoa. Petroleum, tin, and sugar are also exported mainly by the higher income developing countries.

Increases in rice prices between 1960 and 1977 largely affected the middleincome Asian countries that export rice (Thalland, for example) and, to some extent, the low-income Asian and high-income Latin American countries. It should also be noted that there is not much international trade in rice, compared with production, so that small variations in rice supplies tend to cause relatively larger price changes. Declining prices for tea, jute, and shal (1960-72) largely affected the low-income Asian and African exporters.

## Quantity Trends

こころして アラブアドレーション

Rising export volumes for commodities such as coccoa, coffee, maize, sugar, beef, bananas, soybeans, vegetable oils, rubber, tobacco, timber,

Export		eet	Ma	lize .	5	Jaker	······································			-
commodity	1960-72	1960-78	1960-72	1940.78	1960 10	40.00				lice
					1000-72	1900-70	1960-72	1980-78	1900-72	1940-7
Benenas Cocoa Coconut oli Caffie Copper <sup>2</sup> Copre Cotron Jute Palm ail Psenuts Psenuts Psenut ail Ptorofeum Photphate rock <sup>2</sup> Rubber Tee Tric <sup>2</sup>	1.23* 2.70* .88 2.24* 6.13* .12 1.24* .26 40 2.17* .73 1.23* -4.97 -8.11 -2.98*	-2.07* 6.12* -1.42 4.22* .58 -1.02 .08 -3.42* .60 2.99* 1.07 9.12* 3.41* -2.55 -3.62*	-0.46 1.33 87 7.48 5.21* -1.46 42 -1.86 -2.00* .60 90 .14 -1.66* -7.75* -4.69*	-3.12* 6.24* 22 4.38* .06 98 .12 -3.69* .57 3.11* 7.00 8.21 2.92* -2.61* -3.77*	Favcent -0.06 2.16 .04 .90 4.36* 62 37 -1.73 -2.00 .11 89 71 -2.30 7.61 61	change 1 -5.44* 1.80 -3.75* 05 -1.12 -4.40* -3.73 -5.53* -1.24 3.03* 6.30 5.42 -5.92*	2.72* 41 -2.52* -1.50 .29 -3.41* -2.69* -3.98* -3.98* -3.88* -1.55* -2.99* -8.63* -8.64* -9.47*	-2.21* 6.57* 08 4.54* -1.65 85 .98 3.98 3.98 3.98 3.24* 1.36 7.51* 2.09 -2.74*	-,74* 1.36 -1.10* .55 5.25* -1.84* -1.04 -3.44 -3.43* .57 -2.34* .09 -1.99* -10.08*	-3.00* 3.90 -1.89* 2.84 08 -2.23* -1.28* -1.28* -1.24* -1.11* .36 -1.50* 6.84* .99 -5.23*
Tobacco	1.20*	2.79* 27*	2.68* ∽.51	3.07* 28	1.87 ~.67	.58 ~-4.01*	-2.44		-4.98* 2.78*	-7.84*

Table 4 - Unit values of subscied primary exports defleted by unit values of imported staple products, 1980-78

State State State State State State

\*Significantly different from zero at a 5-percent level of significance. <sup>1</sup>Estimated from a linear trend. <sup>2</sup>Percent changes for 1960-77. Sources: (11, 8).

Commodity	<u></u>	Africa			the second s				
	Low	Middle	Minh		Asia		Letin .	America	
			interior i	Low	Middle	High	Middle	hill all	• _
Siaal Copra Rubber Jute Cocta Cocta Cocta Sananas Petroleum Patrol	25.88 3.00 .08 0 1.24 7.33 .93 0 .92 1.93 .84 3.76 3.44 3.76 0 9.26 11.97 3.67 .37 .25	21.50 1.16 3.74 0 63.67 21.32 3.39 6.90 4.38 1.65 26.92 9.17 47.18 .06 45.90 14.23 23.91 17.61 .65 1.90	0 0 0 0 0 0 7.10 0 .15 0 .33 0 5.50 1.01 0 .89 5.34 0	0 .09 4.68 81.76 .17 1.67 .05 0 1.06 52.92 0 .75 .47 0 0 0 83 840	Percent 0 286.50 34.22 12.68 6.51 7.77 4.30 17.07 21.71 1.22 6.37 .10 68.02 0 48.99 8.45 1.57 .03 11.53	0 36.54 52.43 .22 1.68 .15 .20 61.70 60.22 39.77 .72 .48 .02 2.83 0 3.23 .36 .96 9.07 4.80	1.12 .03 .01 0 2.31 24.28 36.60 .50 .07 14.93 2.50 .06 0 .04 0 0 0 .04 0 0 0 .02 7.39 2.04	riigh 47.78 .01 .03 22.23 26.74 35.84 5.50 .07 1.31 47.13 2.20 28.70 .01 66.28 .13 22.87 7.20 8.43 21.00	Total 97.2 96.4 96.2 94.2 91.0 88.8 86.8 86.8 82.7 82.5 78.4 75.0 73.7 72.2 66.8 83.8 853.8 56.9 56.2 49.7

Table 5 - Developing country share of world commodity exports by region and regional incom

Income levels are defined as follows: low income = 0.\$200 gross national product (GNP) per capita; middle income = \$201-\$800 GNP per capita; high income = \$801 and up GNP per capita, includes petrolaum-exporting countries. 21ncludes New Hebrides, Solomon Islands, Tonga. 31ncludes Gilbert Islands, New Caladonia, Pacific Islands, Western Sernoa. 41ncludes Naura, Christmas Islands, and Ocean Islands. 8numes: (R. 11, 20)

13

100

Ŷ.

and several minerals, accompanied by price increases (table 3), allowed countries dependent on their exports to increase their nominal export earnings from these commodities between 1960 and 1977 (table 6). Declines in export volume for rice and fishmeal partially offset their price gains. Exports of vegetable and animal fibers (jute, sisal, wool, and cotton) declined during the period due, in part, to competition from synthetic 1

TANK D - CXDORT TOURNE ONLY THURS, NO CONTINUET INTER TO THE	Table 6 —	Export volume	and values, 36	commodities,	1960-77
--	-----------	---------------	----------------	--------------	---------

	Vo	lume	Value		
Commodity	1960-72	1960-77	1960-72	1960-77	
		Percent	change 1		
Agricultural exports:					
Bananas	4.86 *	3.61*	8.60	7.07*	
Beef	4.24*	,69 °	7.52	7.39*	
Cocus	1.54*	.55	19.41*	12.41*	
Ceconut oil	6.61*	6.96*	19.90*	13.10*	
Coffee	1.49*	1.33*	17.82*	11.32*	
Cours	-3.56*	-4.22*	1.88	0	
Cotton	2.07*	21	6.95*	5.03*	
Fishmeal	-7.56	~.13	-1.64	3.54	
June	80*	-2.66*	···4.17*	2.25*	
Linseed off	-4,38*	~3.09*	12.68*	4.57*	
Maiza	7.31*	4.11*	11.01*	9.74	
Paim oil	5.40*	8.78*	25 <b>.88 *</b>	16.091	
Paemits	-3.65*	5.28 *	2.89	1.08	
Peanut oil	2.78*	2.07*	13.06*	8.70*	
Rice	-1.70*	83	16.78	12.00	
Bubber	2.93	3,45*	12.10*	7.119	
Sical	-1.04*	-3.52*	-4.56*	.20	
Soubeans	21.46*	21.41*	36.01*	23.05	
Sugar	2.30*	3.14*	19.43*	12.55	
Tee	1.70*	1.94*	8.44*	4,311	
Timber	9,43*	7,10*	10.43*	9,581	
Tobacco	3.16*	4,32*	14.17*	8.96	
Wheet	-2.36	.30	9.63 °	5.24	
Wool	-1.63	-2.64	4.29*	.74	
Aliparat evocrts:					
Rate ite	3.94*	3.56*	6.59	6.22	
Conter	3.20*	3,79*	2,46	5.87	
Licen Ore	7.48*	5.87*	6.37	6.43	
Lord	.39	-1,37*	2.61	3.24	
	3.82*	1.39*	4.11	2.90	
Restoletun	10.25*	7.94*	25.06*	17.49	
Puttoneore sock	03*	4.89*	15.74	11.94	
	1.82*	.69	6.25	6.11	
(0) 7355	2.84*	2.26*	13.81*	10.52	
	£ (V-7				
Chamicale	9.22*	8.88*	8.80*	13.12	
	7.20*	B.22 '	9.90*	13.65	
	5 38*	6.78*	6.50*	12.95	

\*Significantly different from zero at a 5-percent level of significance. \*Estimated from a linear trend. Sources: (11, 8, 27).

ないたいないたちなどのことが

fibers. The declines in exports of jute and wool, which are larger than the price increases of 1960-77, indicate a decline in export earnings over this period.

----)

# Shift in Supply Schedules of Primary Products

Ū.

The shifts in the supply curves for traded commodities implied by the estimated unit value and trade volume trends can be derived assuming the supply function is divisible into two parts, a long-term component and a short-term component (21).<sup>7</sup> The trend level of quantity supplied  $(S_i)$  is assumed equal to the trend level of the quantity traded  $(Q_i)$ . The trend level of quantity supplied can be written as a function of the trend level of own price and the trend level of the price of supply substitutes:

$$S_i = Q_i - f_i \left( P_i, P_i, t \right) \tag{1}$$

where the variables in the supply function  $(f_i)$  represent time (t), trend level of own price  $(P_i)$ , and the trend level of the price of close supply substitutes  $(P_s)$ . Time is included so that a rate of longrun shift of the supply curve for given prices can be calculated. Differentiating equation (1) with respect to time (t) yields

$$\hat{Q}_i - \alpha + \epsilon (\hat{P}_i - \hat{P}_i) \tag{2}$$

where  $\hat{Q}_i$ ,  $\hat{P}_i$ ,  $\hat{P}_s$  are estimated trend rates of change of the quantity of the *i*th product, of the commodity's own price, and price of supply substitutes, respectively,  $\epsilon$  is the supply price elasticity, and  $\alpha$  is a measure of the rate of longrun shift of the supply curve (see 21 for a derivation of this equation). At any given price trend and supply price elasticity,  $\alpha$  is proportional to the rate of downward or upward shift of the supply curve.<sup>8</sup> Thus  $\alpha$  is proportional to factors that shift the supply curve such as productivity change, government policies, etc.

Solving (2) for  $\alpha$  yields a relation between longrun rate of shift of supply ( $\alpha$ ) and the longrun supply price elasticity ( $\epsilon$ )

$$\alpha = \hat{Q}_i - \epsilon(\hat{P}_i - \hat{P}_i) \tag{3}$$

7Porter measured shifts in the supply curve of 46 primary commodities from 1947 to 1962 (21). 8 Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) is the partial derivative of the function  $f_i$  with respect to time divided by the trend level of quantity supplied ( $Q_i$ ).

I assume that, for all commodities, the own price and cross-price supply elasticities are equal. This is equivalent to the assumption that an equiproportional increase in  $\hat{P}_i$  and  $\hat{P}_s$  causes no change in supply. Estimates of  $\alpha$  for assumed  $\epsilon$  values of 1 and 2 are shown in table 7. These estimates are calculated on the assumption that  $\hat{P}_s$  is 5.77, the median percent price increase for the 33 primary commodities. The median price is used because of a lack of specific knowledge of all relevant substitutes. Where price trends of the relevant substitutes are in fact greater (or less) than the median, the value of  $\alpha$  will be blased downward (or upward). The use of the median, however, should prevent consistent bias over the 33 products.

1

S,

1 able 7 - Hate of shift i	n supply curves for 33 common	lities, 1960-77
----------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------

Commodity	Values of a		
	e = 1	e = 2	
	Parcen	t change	
Agriculturel exports:			
Soybeens	19 70	47.00	
Peim oil	ROE	17.98	
Rubber	7.03	9.12	
Coconut oli	7.94	12.39	
Timber	7 64	8.72	
Tee	6.74	8.02	
Bananas	6.54 6.64	12.14	
Tobecco	5.01	8.63	
Jute	104	7.08	
Peenut oli	1.00	0.38	
Woo)	1.00	1,23	
Sugar	.70	4.14	
Wheet	.40	-2.34	
Cotton	.30	.30	
Beef	- 10	.41	
Coffee	-,04	-1.77	
Cocos	-1.28	-3.91	
Copra	-2.20	-5.95	
Rice	-2.34	46	
Sisal	-2.01	-4.14	
Majza	-3.02	-2.52	
Fishmeet	-3.40	3.29	
Linsed oil	-3.74	-7.35	
Peenuts		-6.01	
lineral exports:	······································	-8.54	
Iron are	0.06		
Copper	5.00 0.07	12,25	
Bauxite	9.00 4.00	14.31	
Manganese	7.22	4.85	
Phosphate rock	3.48	5.59	
Petroleum	-1.01	-3.97	
Tin	-1.21	~10.36	
Zinc	-1./2	-4.13	
Land	-2.86	-8.02	
	-2.91	-4.45	

16

 $\{ f_{i} \}$ 

The implication of any other assumed supply price elasticity may be easily estimated since the relationship is linear.<sup>9</sup>

It is important to note in table 7 that commodities exported by developing countries with relatively large average percentage increases in prices from 1960-77 (sugar, coffee, cocca, fishmeal, beef, sisal, and rice-table 3) experienced upward (negative) rates of shift in their supply schedules as defined by the elasticities and estimated price and volume trends. This phenomenon can also be inferred from tables 8 and 6. Despite more rapid rates of price increase for these commodities, the quantities supplied generally increased at a slower pace which, for any supply price elasticity, implies a lower rate of shift in the supply curve compared with other commodities. The mean rates of price and quantity change for these seven commodities were 8 percent and 0.3 percent, respectively, from 1960 to 1977. Five mineral commodities (petroleum, phosphate rock, tin, lead, and zinc) also had negative estimated rates of shift in their supply schedules. The mean values of price and quantity changes for these commodities were 10 percent and 3 percent, respectively.

The largest positive rate of shift in supply, equal to 20 percent at  $\epsilon = 1$ and 18 percent at  $\epsilon = 2$ , was for soybeans. This result is due to the fact that, although soybean prices increased over the estimation period, the quantity supplied by developing countries (primarily Brazil) increased at a faster rate. Positive values of  $\alpha$  were also obtained for peanut, palm, and coconut oils, which compete with soybean oil as final products.<sup>10</sup> The mean values of changes in prices and quantities for these commodities were 6 percent and 10 percent, respectively.

Ĩ

Timber, tobacco, maize, wheat, and cotton also have positive values of  $\alpha$ , but lower increases in prices than the above commodities. The mean changes in prices and quantities for these commodities were 5.4 percent and 3.1 percent, respectively. Positive values of  $\alpha$  result because the price changes of these commodities are generally below the median price which, at any supply price elasticity, implies a positive rate of shift in the supply curve. Mean changes in prices and quantities for tea, jute, wool, rubber, and bananas were 1.6 percent and 0.8 percent, respectively.

<sup>10</sup> Negative values of  $\alpha$  for copra and peanuts could indicate a shift from export of the raw product to export of refined products, oil and meal. This is the case for Senegal, one of the larger exporters of peanuts and derivative products, which ceased to export peanuts in the early seventies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> If  $\alpha$  is assumed equal to zero, the estimate of  $\alpha$  is simply the trend rate of change of quantity. It can be argued that in the very long run, primary product supply elasticities tend to be much higher than those derived in the text. Elasticities of 1 and 2 were also used in (21). <sup>10</sup> Negative values of  $\alpha$  for come and peanuts could indicate a shift from

The foregoing results indicate that increases in prices of coccoa, coffee, rice, sugar, beef, sisal, and some minerals from 1960 to 1977 were associated with restrictions in supply of one form or another. These restrictions were due, in part, to government policies that managed supplies or to lower production. Five of these commodities, cocca, coffee, sugar, petroleum, and tin, were subject to international agreements of one form or another to control quantities traded during this period.<sup>11</sup>

Lower price increases for commodity exports such as jute, tea, bananas, rubber, and wool were associated with policies or events that increased supplies over time. This result could be due to productivity increases in the exporting countries or to government policies, which shifted the supply curve downward over time. To the extent that productivity increased, these exporters may be "better off" in that more imports could be purchased per unit of input employed in the production of the export commodity.<sup>12</sup> For other commodities, in which productivity did not increase, supply management policies that raise the export earnings of export commodities could be next the relevant developing countries. However, the extent to which this is possible depends upon the relevant market structures and demand elasticities of the commodities involved.<sup>13</sup>

# International Terms of Trade Indexes, 1970-78

Individual price changes analyzed in the previous section affect the terms of trade and the foreign exchange position of developing countries depending upon the weights associated with individual commodities in the external trade of each country. In this section I derived international terms of trade indexes for primary commodity exports and imports of developing countries disaggregated by region and income level. I first described the export price indexes calculated and the methodology for construction. I constructed import price indexes for industrial products by weighting the individual price indexes for chemicals, manufactures, and other products by shares in individual country trade (26, 27). I provided net barter terms of trade for developing countries' commodity exports in relation to import

porter during this period. <sup>13</sup>Brook, Grilli, and Waelbroeck suggested that price stabilization programs could benefit (in terms of income) exporters of cocoa, coffee, jute, wool, and wheat (4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Edwards argues that the ability of coffee-producing nations to maintain high prices is due to the oligopolistic nature of this market, dominated by a few large producing nations (7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>This explanation applies to soybeans as Brazil emerged as a major exporter during this period.

prices of manufactured goods, wheat, and petroleum as well as aggregate income terms of trade for each regional group of developing countries.

# **Export Price Indexes**

Export indexes presented in table 8 are Fisher's Ideal price and quantity indexes based on commodity export unit values of 35 commodities and nine groups of developing country groups. The 35 commodities include the 33 analyzed previously plus oilseed meals and jute textiles. Export price indexes for 1978 were estimated by using linear trends to estimate export unit values and quantities for mineral products. The indexes use 1970 as the base year. Paasche and Laspeyres indexes were an intermediate product in the computation of the Fisher indexes using the formula:<sup>14</sup>

$$FI = \sqrt{\frac{\sum P_n Q_o}{\sum P_o Q_o} \cdot \frac{\sum P_n Q_n}{\sum P_o Q_n}}$$
(4)

where  $P_o$  and  $Q_o$  are price and quantity in the base year,  $P_n$  is price in the given year,  $PI = \Sigma P_n Q_n / \Sigma P_o Q_n$  is the formula for the Paasche index, and  $LI = \Sigma P_n Q_o / \Sigma P_o Q_o$  is the formula for the Laspeyres index. The Fisher's Ideal index is preferred to the simple Laspeyres and Paasche indexes because it compensates for the opposing tendencies toward bias in the Laspeyres and Paasche approaches (see below) by averaging the two.<sup>15</sup>

Table 8 shows that the average of export prices for the middle-income and high-income African countries, and the Latin American countries increased more (on a 1970 base) than the average of export prices for the lower income countries in Asia and Africa. However, the quantity indexes indicate that relatively low increases in export prices for low-income African and middle-income Asian countries were offset by increasing export volumes (compared with 1970 volumes). Low-income Asian countries experienced declines in export volume, with the exception of two years, in addition to relatively smaller increases in export prices. This indicates that these countries' primary export earnings grew less than those of other developing countries. This result is probably due to concentration of these

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Quantity indexes are obtained by switching the subscripts on P and Q in each index.

is Fisher's Ideal index also satisifies the weak factor reversal test where  $P_{ij}Q_{ij} = P^iQ^i$ ,  $P_{ij}$  is the Fisher Ideal price index,  $Q_{ij}$  is the Fisher quantity index, and  $P^rQ^r$  equals total expenditure in time r.

Region and income group	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978 <sup>1</sup>
	Fisher price indexes (1970 = 100)							
ice:								
Low income	88.3	94.1	124.9	162.7	136.4	164 <i>.</i> 8	207.9	193.4
Middle income	92.8	95.0	129.1	195.8	181.4	204.3	263.3	275.A
High income	105.2	117.5	136.5	234.7	270.0	234.0	240.8	257.3
h:								
Low locoste	102.7	109.0	116.5	154.4	172.4	151.5	186.1	191.2
Middle income	85.3	84.1	129.3	196.2	152.9	141.7	152.1	165.1
High income	99.1	104.5	155.9	224.3	185.5	222.6	248.2	273,4
in America.		•			-			
Middle income	94.3	99.1	128.4	167.4	165.2	220 A	332.5	303.7
High income	96.2	107.0	148.7	194.3	187.7	201.9	232.2	229.0
roleum exporters	121.1	132.6	182.0	599.1	633.5	640.1	787.8	850.1
						1		

#### Table 8 — Fisher price and quantity indexes, 1970-78

60

Africa:								
Low income	88.3	94.1	124.9	162.7	136.4	164.B	207.9	193.4
Middle income	92.8	95.0	129.1	195 <i>.</i> 8	181.4	204.3	263.3	275.A
High income	105.2	117.5	136.5	234.7	270.0	234.0	240.8	257.3
Asia:								
Low income	102.7	109.0	116.5	154.4	172.4	151.5	186.1	191.2
Middle income	85,3	84.t	129.3	196.2	152.9	141.7	152.1	165.1
High income	99.1	104.5	155.9	224.3	185.5	222.6	248.2	273,4
Latin America:								
Middle income	94.3	99.1	128.4	167.4	165.2	220 <i>A</i>	332.5	303.7
High income	96.2	107.0	148.7	194.3	187.7	201.9	232.2	229.0
Petroleum exporters	121.1	132.6	182.0	<b>599.1</b>	633.5	640.1	787.8	850.1
·			Fisher	quantity	indexes	(1970 -	= 1 <i>00)</i>	
Africa:								
Low income	101.7	104.4	116.6	112.1	110.9	128.6	103 <i>A</i>	115.6
Middle income	91.5	100.6	103.3	99.9	93.5	110.5	90.7	87.9
High income	98.1	114.8	127.5	122.1	105.8	120.0	116.5	115.1
Asia:								
Low income	98.7	99.0	103.3	96.1	102.1	116,6	100.7	97.1
Middle income	114,6	119.5	113.7	116.2	115.5	163.0	180.0	137.2
High Income	94.B	95.0	107.9	97.6	98.3	132.4	114.5	120.2
Latin America:								
Middle income	94.9	113.0	105.7	95.A	111.5	103.2	98.2	112.7
High income	95.3	100.9	99.7	99.5	97.8	102.2	105.9	103.9
Petroleum exporters	99.7	96.1	146.6	133.6	120.0	139.0	125.3	136.1

<sup>1</sup>Estimated.

her in an an an and the state of the state of the state of the state of

Sources: (8, 11, 18, 28, 29),

countries' export commodities in tea and jute, products with less growth in demand in the 1960-77 period.

Passche and Laspeyres price indexes are of interest because differences in the two indexes show the relationship between price and quantity changes (table 9). Both indexes are averages of identical commodity price ratios in each developing country group which differ only in the weights anigned to each. The Laspeyres indexes assign hase year (1970) quantity weights to the price relatives, whereas the Pausche index assigns given-year quantity weights. The Passche index thus gives more weight than the Laspeyres to commodifies that increased in quantity relative to the average. A Laspeyres index higher than the Paasche index indicates a shift in quantity toward commodities that fell in price. If the Passche index is higher, there was a shift in quantity toward those commodities that rose in price. A higher Laspeyres index suggests that substitution (or changes in supply conditions) were of predominant importance over the period, while a higher

Paasche index implies that changes on the demand side were a stronger influence (16, pp. 85-86).

Four regional income groups of developing countries had increasing trends in the ratios of Laspeyres to Paasche price indexes (for example, Laspeyres price indexes are larger than the Paasche indexes) over the 1970-78 period. These groups are the low- and high-income African countries, middleincome Asian countries, and middle-income Latin American countries (table 9). The positive trends indicate the substitution effects that would be expected on theoretical grounds, that is, a shift in consumption toward commodities with smaller price increases. The negative price-quantity relationships indicate that changes in supply conditions for the relevant commodities were more predominant than demand changes over the period. The middle-income Asian countries (dominated by the Philippines and Thailand) experienced the largest increase in export supply as indicated by the Fisher's Ideal export quantity index. These countries also experienced relatively small increases in export prices.

Table 9 - Laspeyrce and Pasache export price indexes, 1970-78

Region and incom group	• 1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978
_			Laspey	res price	indexes	(1970	= 100)	
Africa:								
Low income	<b>88.4</b>	93.8	126.6	169.6	140.5	171.9	225.4	209.7
Middle Income	92.3	94.6	128.4	193.3	178.7	183.5	259.4	252.9
High income	105.8	119.1	139.5	246.6	292.0	247 A	250.4	266.8
Asia:								
Low income	103.5	109.5	116.0	155.9	169.6	147.3	186.5	190.5
Middle income	85.8	85.3	131.0	199.1	151.9	152.6	164.5	202.3
High income	98.5	104.2	153.8	221.9	178.4	213.2	246.5	259.4
Letin America:								
Middle income	94.6	98.8	128.1	175.3	171.6	226.5	345.0	314.7
High Income	97.2	105.9	150.0	191.2	182.2	199.2	235.7	217.2
Petroleum exporters	121.2	132.7	181.5	598.7	634.4	639.2	695.3	853.2
			Passch	e price il	idexes (	1970 =	100)	
Africa:								
Low income	88.2	94,4	123.1	156.0	132,4	157.9	191.7	178.3
Middle income	93.2	95.5	129.8	198.3	184.1	227.5	267.2	300.0
High income	104.6	115.9	133.6	223.A	249.7	221.3	231.5	248.2
Asia:								
Low income	101.9	108.5	117.0	153.0	175.2	155.8	185.7	191.9
Middle income	84.7	82.9	127.6	193 <i>.</i> 4	153.8	131.6	140.6	134.7
High income	99.7	104.9	158.1	226,7	192.9	232.4	250.0	288.1
Letin America:								
Middle income	94.0	99.A	128.7	160.0	159.1	214.4	320.5	293.1
High Income	95.2	108.1	147.A	197.5	193.5	204.6	228.8	241.6

Sources: (8, 11, 18, 28, 29).

Two groups of developing countries, middle-income African and highincome Asian countries, exhibit a declining trend in the Laspeyres to Passche price index ratio, which indicates positive correlation between price and quantity. The main influence on this relationship found in the high-income Asian countries (dominated by Malaysia) appears to be increases in demand for exports of palm oil, petroleum, timber, and rubber. The positive correlation between price and quantity in products exported by middle-income African countries appears to be due, primarily, to increases in demand for mineral products, for example, phosphate rock, bauxite, and petroleum.

Passche price indexes greater than Laspeyres price indexes since 1971 for the high-income Latin American countries are due to increases in demand for soybeans exported by Brazil, which emerged as a major exporter in this period. Passche price indexes greater than Laspeyres indexes since 1974 for the petroleum-exporting countries are due to increases in demand for petroleum. Passche and Laspeyres export price indexes for the low-income Asian countries are relatively close in value which indicates more stable demand (and supply) for the exports from these countries, primarily jute and tea.

0

#### International Terms of Trade

Net barter terms of trade of primary exports (Fisher's Ideal price indexes) to manufactured imports, petroleum, and wheat prices for nine regional income groups are shown in table 10. Import price indexes for manufactured goods were obtained by weighting unit value indexes for chemicals, machinery, and other manufactured goods by the percentage of each in the total imports of manufactured goods of each country from 1975 to 1977. The unit value indexes are Paasche indexes and are expressed f.o.b. They may understate the true costs of manufactured goods by omitting costs of transportation and insurance.<sup>16</sup> The import values of wheat and petroleum are cost plus insurance and freight (c.i.f.) and thus include the effects of changes in costs from transport and insurance.

Net barter terms of trade changed for developing country depending upon the countries and import commodity examined. All developing countries suffered a decline in the terms at which their primary product exports exchange for petroleum imports. Higher prices for petroleum products are obviously the result of the success of the Organization of Petroleum-Exporting Countries in setting international prices of oil by restricting the

<sup>16</sup> Failure to include transportation and insurance charges will not affect the results provided that these costs did not change over time.

22

I ADRE 10 - Internetions	terms of trade, primary a	ommodies and
Detroieum, manufi	and a second s	commonly exports to
	second forces and music h	Mports, 1970-78

Region and Incol	me							
<b>p</b> roup	197	1 1972	1973	1974	4 1979	5 1976	i 1977	19781
			Petrole	um terri	s of tre	de (197	0 = 100)	
Africa:								
Low income	66.4	67.8	69.8	20.2				
Middle income	69.8	68.5	72.2	20.4	6 41. D D D	/ 24.	\$ 28.3	23.9
High Income	79.1	84.7	76.3	40.5	7 40.3 A 1	s 30.5	) 35.9	34.0
Asia:					-0.1	34.9	32.8	31.8
Low income	77.2	78.5	65.1	26.8				
Middle income	64.1	60.6	72.3	20.0	2/.	22.6	25.4	23.6
High income	74,6	75.3	87.2	38.0	24.	21.1	20.7	20.4
Letin America:					49.5	33.2	33,8	33.8
Middle income	71.0	71.4	71 8	20.0				_
High income	72.4	77.1	83.1	22.0	20.3	32.9	45.3	37.5
				33.7	28.5	30.1	31.6	28.3
***			nanutect	ures terr	ns of tra	ide (19)	70 = 100	)
Alfica:								
Low income	82.5	80.4	88.5	91.9	67.2	81.5	05.0	90 E
Middle income	86,7	80.5	90.9	110.6	89.3	100.1	120.7	114 7
High income	98.3	100.4	96.8	131.8	133.0	115.8	111 4	107.0
Alle:								107.2
Low income	96.0	94.0	82.6	84.8	84.1	75.0	06 1	70.0
Middle income	78.9	70.7	90.4	110.4	74.9	60 1	60.1	79.0
High Income	91.8	87.9	109.8	126.7	91.4	108.6	117 0	112.0
Latin America:							116.0	112'8
Middle income	68.1	84.7	91.0	92.0	80.6	108 5	163.2	106 C
High income	89.9	91.4	105.4	107.3	92.0	99.4	107.0	120.0
Petroleum exporters	111.1	110.5	127.3	346.3	313.6	310 7	3540	90.4
			Wheet	-	*		004.0	304.2
Africa-				term <b>s O</b> l	r trace (	1970 =	100)	
L Ow Income	04 9							
Middle income	89./	89.8	73.4	58.0	49.0	68.0	99.6	90.0
High income	101.0	90.7	75.9	69.8	65.1	84,3	126.2	128.2
Asia-	0.101	112.2	80.3	83.7	97.0	96.6	115.4	119.7
1 Off income								
Middle income	94.0	104,1	68.5	55.0	61.9	62.5	89.2	89.0
High income	81.9	80.3	76.0	69.9	54,9	58.5	72.9	76.8
atip American	90.2	89 B	91.7	80.0	66.6	91.9	119.0	127.2
Middle incom-	00 C							
Mich income	90.5	94.6	75.5	59.7	59.3	90.9	169.4	141.3
	97.4	102.1	87.4	69.3	67.4	83.3	111.3	106.6
wowneum exporters	116.3	126.5	107.0	213.6	227.6	264.2	377.6	395.6

<sup>1</sup>Estimated. Sources: (11, 18).

volume exported in relation to demand. The decline in the primary product:petroleum price ratio represents a transfer of income from the developing countries to the petroleum-exporting countries.

There has been no clear trend in the net barter terms of trade indexes of primary product exports for manufactured goods and wheat. The terms of trade of primary exports for manufactured imports for the low-income

Asian and African countries appear to have declined from their 1970 values. This indicates that these countries experienced a decline in the purchasing power of their exports in terms of manufactured imports.<sup>17</sup> Thus a higher volume of exports was required in 1978 to purchase the same volume of imports of manufactured goods as in 1970.

The high-income Asian and African countries and petroleum-exporting countries, however, improved their terms of trade of primary exports for manufactured goods compared with the 1970 values. This indicates that these countries increased the purchasing power of their exports compared with imported manufactures. The primary product:manufactures terms of trade for the middle-income Latin American and African countries appear to have declined from 1970 values during the early part of the estimation period and then increased in later years. The primary product: manufactures terms of trade for the high-income Latin American countries fluctuated from year to year.

Table 10 also shows a decline in the primary product:wheat terms of trade ratio during the early part of the estimation period for all developing countries except the petroleum-exporting countries. This result is due to exceptionally high prices for wheat experienced during the 1973-76 period. The declining primary product:wheat ratio for most countries supports the developing countries' claim that commodities exported chiefly by the developed countries (such as wheat) enjoyed stronger market conditions than those exported by developing countries (23, p. 18). More important, a decline in the purchasing power of the developing countries' primary exports in terms of wheat indicates that developing countries will have further difficulty in financing grain imports if the downward trend continuce. It is important to note that the decline in the primary product:wheat price ratio was reversed in the latter part of the 1970-78 period for most countries.

Income terms of trade presented in table 11 indicate the change in foreign exchange earnings or capacity to import from 1970 values. This index takes into account volume changes as well as price changes. The indexes are calculated by multiplying the indexes of net harter terms of trade by Fisher's Ideal export volume index. When primary product prices have declined relative to manufactured goods and wheat, this decline is often

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Although the purchasing power of exports has diminished, an increase in productivity in the exporting country could result in an increase in the purchasing power per unit of input. This is estimated as the product of the net barter terms of trade and a productivity index (double-factoral term of trade).

25

يريع ا

Table 11 — Income terms of trade, primary commedity exports to wheat and manufastered goods imports, 1970-78

Region and income group	1971	1972	1873	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978 <sup>1</sup>	
		Inco	ome term	t of trad	le for wi	heet (19	70 = 10	0)	
Africa:									
Low income	\$6.3	93.8	\$5.7	65.0	54,3	87.5	103.1	104.1	
Middle income	81.5	91.3	78.4	69.8	60.9	84.5	114.5	112.7	
High income	99.1	128.8	102.4	102.2	102.7	115.9	134.5	137.8	
Asis:									
Low Income	97.3	103.1	70.8	52.9	63.2	72.9	89.9	\$6.4	
Middle Income	93.	96.0	86.5	81.3	63.5	95.4	131.3	105.5	
High Income	90.2	94.9	99.0	78.1	65.5	121.7	136.4	153.0	
Latin America:			•••						
Middle income	86.0	107.0	79.8	66.9	66.2	93.9	156.6	178.9	
Mish income	68.0	103.1	87.2	69.0	66.0	85.2	119.1	110.8	
Petroleum exporters	116.0	121.7	157.0	285.5	273.3	367.A	473 <i>.</i> 4	538.7	
	Income terms of trade for manufactured goods (1970 = 100)								
Africa:									
Low Income	84.0	84.0	103.3	103.0	74.5	106.0	99.1	93.2	
Middle Income	79.4	\$1.1	93.9	110.5	\$3.6	110.6	109.5	100.9	
High income	96.5	115.3	123.5	161.0	140.8	139.0	129.9	123,4	
Asia:									
Low income	94.8	93.1	85.3	61.5	<b>65.8</b>	\$7.5	86,8	77.3	
Middle income	90.5	84.5	102.9	128.9	86.6	112.7	124.3	94.4	
High income	87.0	83.5	118.5	123.7	90.0	143.8	129.4	137.0	
Latio America:	••••								
Middle Income	83.7	95.7	96.2	87.7	89.9	112.1	150.5	142.7	
Mish Iscome	85.7	92.3	105.2	106.9	90.0	101.7	114.5	99.2	
Petroleum exporters	110.8	106.2	186.7	462.8	376.6	432.0	444,9	462.3	

<sup>1</sup>Estimated.

われたいです

U

ķ

offset by increased export volumes (table 11). This is particularly true for the middle-income Asian countries and, to a lesser extent, the low-income African countries and '\*\*h-income Latin American countries (1975-78). A decline in the net barter terms of trade for these countries did not necessarily indicate a decline in the capacity to import during this period. The high-income African and Asian countries, and the petroleum-exporting countries appear to have improved their terms of trade as well as expanded their export volume.

# Conclusions

Cocos, coffee, sugar, rice, finhmeal, and petroleum and other minerals increased their purchasing power relative to some manufactured goods as well as to other primary commodities imported by developing countries. Other commodities jute, tea, cotton and other fibers declined in relative purchasing power. The differences in price trends are due to market structures and supply and demand prospects for these commodities. The disparity in relative price increase lowered the terms of trade for lowincome Asian and African countries, but enhanced the terms of trade for high-income Asian and African countries and petroleum-exporting countries.

International programs designed to increase the foreign exchange earnings of the developing countries should be commodity specific, country specific, or both. Such programs would represent a refining of the NIEO programs, which seek to raise the prices of many export products regardless of the countries that depend on their export.<sup>18</sup> These programs also can be counterproductive because some developing countries import other developing countries' exports (especially in the case of sugar). Therefore programs that raised commodity prices for all such exports would benefit those developing countries that exported some commodities, while adding to the foreign exchange burden of other developing countries that import those commodities. Because exports of the lowest income developing countries declined the most in purchasing power, it appears that programs that alleviate the foreign exchange problems of those exports have the greatest potential benefit.

<sup>18</sup> The NIEO programs indicate that 10 core commodities are of primary interest for negotiation of commodity agreements; coffee, cocoa, copper, cotton, jute, rubber, sisal, sugar, tea, and tin. Other commodities of interest, however, are bananas, bauxite, iron ore, manganese, mest, phosphates, timber, and vegetable oils (24).

#### References

(1) Behrman, J. R. International Commodity Agreements: "An Evaluation of the UNCTAD Integrated Commodity Programme," in W. R. Cline, ed., Policy Alternatives for a New International Economic Order. Praeger Publishers, New York, 1979, pp. 63-149.

- (2) Brandao, A. P. "New Perspectives on the Terms of Trade: A Case of Brazil," Ph.D. dissertation, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, Ind., 1978.
- (3) Brandt, W. North-South: A Program of Convival. M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1980.
- (4) Brook, E. M., E. Grilli, and J. Waelbroeck. Commodity Price Stabilization and the Developing Countries: The Problem of Choice. World Bank Staff Paper No. 262, World Bank, Washington, D.C. July 1977.
- (5) Corden, W. M. The NIEO Proposals: A Cool Look. Thames Essay No. 21. Trade Policy Research Center, London, 1979.

# (6) Diaz-Alejandro, C. F. "Trade Policies and Economic Development," in P. B. Kenen, ed., International Trade and Finance. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1975.

- (7) Edwards, R.
  "The Stabilization of the International Coffee Market," Food Policy. Vol. 2, No. 3, August 1977, pp. 184-195.
- (8) Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). Trade Yearbook, Rome, various issues.
- (9) Griliches, Z., ed. Quality Price Indexes and Change. Oxford University Press, London, 1971.
- (10) Haberler, G.

"The Liberal International Economic Order in Historical Perspective," in R.C. Amacher, G. Haberler, and T. D. Willet, eds., *Challenges to a Liberal Economic Order*. American Enterprise Research Institute, Washington, D.C., 1979.

- (11) International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Commodity Trade and Price Trends, Washington, D.C., 1979.
- (12) Kindleberger, C. P. The Terms of Trade: A European Case Study. M.I.T. Press and John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1979.
- (13) Kreinin, M. E. and J. M. Finger. "A Critical Survey of the New International Economic Order," *Journal of World Trade Law.* Vol. 10, November/December 1976, pp. 493-512.
- (14) Lancieri, E.
  "Instability of Agricultural Exports: World Markets, Developing and Developed Countries," Banca Nazionale del Lavoro. No. 130, September 1979, pp. 287-310.
- (15) Lewis, W. A. Aspects of Tropical Trade, 1883-1965. Almqvist & Wiksell, Stockholm, 1969.
- (16) Lipsey, R. E. Price and Quantity Trends in the Foreign Trade of the United States. National Bureau of Economic Research. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1963.
- (17) Montgomery, S. S. "The Terms of Trade of Primary Products and Manufactured Goods in International Trade 1870-1952." Ph.D dimertation, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1960.
- (18) Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. Trade By Commodities, Paris, various years.
- (19) Prebisch, R. The Economic Development of Latin America and its Principal Problems. Lake Success, New York, United Nations Department of Economic Affairs, 1950.
- (20) "Commercial Policy in the Underdeveloped Countries," American Economic Review. Vol. 59, No. 2, May 1959, pp. 251-73.

(21) Porter R. C. "Some Implications of Post-War Primary Product Trends," Journal of Political Economy. Vol. 78, May/June 1970, pp. 586-97.

- (22) Singer, H. "The Distribution of Gains Between Investing and Borrowing Countries," American Economic Review. Vol. 40, No. 2, May 1950, pp. 473-85.
- (23) United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. New Directions and New Structures for Trade and Development. Report by the Secretary-General. United Nations, New York, 1977.
- (25) \_\_\_\_\_. "Outline for a Substantial New Frogramme of Action for the 1980's for the Least Developed Countries". Manila, TD/240 (V), May 1979.
- (26) Handbook for International Trade and Development Statistics, UNCTAD, Geneva, 1979.
- (27) United Nations. Monthly Bulletin of Statistics, various issues.
- (29) Walker Publishing Co. World Trade Annuals. New York, various years.

29

19

£

 $\tilde{I}_{p}^{2}$ 

Appendix table 1 -- Country composition of regional income groups1

13

	Latin American countries
Low income:	None
Middle Income:	Bollvia, Colombia, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Paraguay, Ecuador <sup>†</sup>
High Income:	Argentina, Barbados, Beilze, Brazil, Chile, Costa Rice, Dominican Republic, Guadeloupe, Guyena, Jamaica, Martinique, Maxico, Nicaragua, Paname, Peru, Surinam, Trinided & Tobago <sup>†</sup> , Uruguay, Venezuela <sup>†</sup>
	African countries
Low income:	Benin, Burundi, Ched, Ethiopia, Gambia, Guinea Biasau, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Niger, Rwanda, Sierra Leona, Somalia, Tan- zania, Upper Volta, Zaire
Middle income:	Angola <sup>1</sup> , Botawana, Cameroona, Centrel African Republic, Egypt, Equatorial Guines, Ivory Coast, Kenya, Levotho, Liberia, Medagas- car, Mauritania, Morocco, Nigeria <sup>1</sup> , Senegai, Sudan, Togo, Uganda, Zambia
High income:	Algeria <sup>+</sup> , Gabon <sup>+</sup> , Libya <sup>+</sup> , Mauritius, Namibia, <del>Reunion, Rhodesia,</del> Swaziland, Tunisia <sup>+</sup>
	Asian countries
Low income:	Afghanistan, Bangladech, Burma, India, Laos, Nepel, Pakistan, Sri Lanka
Middle income:	Indonesia <sup>†</sup> , Papua New Guinea, Philippines, South Yemen, Theliand
High Income:	Bahrain <sup>‡</sup> , Brunei <sup>‡</sup> , Cyprus, Iran <sup>†</sup> , Ireq <sup>†</sup> , Jordan, Korea Rep., Kuweit <sup>†</sup> , Malaysia, Oman <sup>‡</sup> , Qatar <sup>†</sup> , Saudi Arabia <sup>†</sup> , Syria <sup>†</sup> , Tur- key, United Arab Emirates <sup>†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>income groups are defined as follows: Low income ~ 0.6200 GNP per capita, middis income = \$201.8800 GNP per capita, high income = \$801 and up GNP per capita. <sup>1</sup>Oil-exporting countries, defined as countries with at least 35 percent of commodity export seminge from petroleum.

\$U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1980 0- 720-003/3704 REGION 3-1

30

نى بىل

