

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS RESEARCH

A Journal of Economic and Statistical Research in the

United States Department of Agriculture and Cooperating Agencies

Volume XI

JANUARY 1959

Number 1

Forecasting Date of Volume Movement of Colorado Peaches

By J. W. Gannaway and William J. Cremins

As a means of forecasting probable maturity dates of standard Elberta peaches, the growers in Mesa County, Colo., in past seasons have had only the 126-day average elapsed time from full bloom to the date of shipment of the first car. Methods for forecasting maturity dates more accurately than those formerly used were developed by the authors and are described in this paper. Empirical methods, utilizing daily maximum temperatures from full bloom until June 30, gave calculations accurate within plus or minus 3 days in 25 of 26 years, relative to the first 25-car day, and in all 26 years relative to the first carlot equivalent. The new methods appear to offer a means to enhance harvesting and marketing operations, effect financial savings, and reduce waste.

A N ACCURATE FORECAST of the beginning of volume movement of standard Elberta peaches from Mesa County, Colo., could effect a more orderly and timely arrival of picking and packing labor, inspectors, buyers, trucks, and refrigerator cars than the industry has had, and it would enhance marketing procedures generally.

During the 1957 harvest season, for example, Mesa County was plagued for many days with between 3,000 and 4,000 idle migrant workers. Occasionally, under such conditions, enough of the labor force to cause concern moves on in search of immediate income. In other years, peaches are ready before the labor supply is adequate. The aggregate cost of retaining idle inspectors and buyers and the revenue loss to idle transportation facilities justify a serious effort to develop a relatively accurate method of forecasting volume peach movement early enough in the season to avoid most of these problems. For practical reasons, July 1 is regarded as the latest completely useful date for such a forecast.

The method of estimating harvest dates relied upon by the industry in the past has utilized the long-term average of days elapsed from full bloom to shipment of the first carlot equivalent of standard Elbertas. The average, 126 days, falls within extremes of 119 and 131—historical errors of 7 and 5 days, respectively. Reduction of this error to 3 days or less was the objective of our study. For clarity and want of a better term the prevailing method is referred to as the "industry method."

The study that was the basis for this report was initiated by the senior author 2 years ago. He undertook the study in the hope that weather data might yield a more reliable method of forecasting the beginning of volume peach shipments. Early efforts were confined to monthly average data and deviations thereof from normal. The results were inconclusive, but they suggested the probability that daily data in some form would produce a reliable method.

Maximum Temperature the Yardstick

The daily maximum temperature, a recognized yardstick of photosynthesis, was judged to be the criterion most likely to explain differences in elapsed time from full bloom to harvest. Optimum temperatures for peach development range roughly from 54 to 72 degrees Fahrenheit, although at ripening the range narrows toward the upper limit with little development of consequence below 68 degrees.¹

Fortunately for our purpose, a maximum temperature below 75 degrees rarely is reached in July and August. Maxima range rather consistently between 80 and near 100, and usually are above the threshold value during all daylight hours. It is this climatic feature that facilitates development of a forecasting procedure fitting the specifications of earliness (no later than July 1) and of suitable accuracy.

For all practical purposes then, the period from July 1 to harvest can be considered analogous from year to year. Temperature requirements are met every day alike, whether the maximum is 80 or 100. Hence, if temperature is a reliable measure, any difference of consequence in elapsed time from full bloom to maturity should be detectable in maximum temperatures experienced before July 1. This appears to be borne out, yet it is contrary to the consensus of the industry, which tends to hold that midsummer weather has the greatest influence on harvest dates.

Many empirical methods that utilize variations of accumulated heat units were sampled. The most satisfactory methods—the ones described here—use a reversal of this procedure, whereby a base number of days to maturity is established, to which noncreditable days are added.

Two different base numbers of days were utilized, one the least number of days ever experienced from full bloom to shipment of the first carlot equivalent, and the other the least number of days ever experienced from full bloom to the first day shipments reach or exceed 25 cars perday. These bases were 119 and 123 days, respectively. It should be pointed out that these figures represent years virtually void of cool weather in the postbloom period.

Noncreditable days are those before July 1 when maximum temperature fails to rise into, or above the optimum range of 54 to 72 degrees. Obviously, not all temperatures in the optimum range are equally effective. Peaches would be expected to develop more slowly at the lower limits of this range than at the upper. Arbitrary values, realized from trial and error, were assigned to certain maximum temperature intervals within the optimum range, rating their relative effectiveness. Specifically, maxima below 54 degrees equal one full noncreditable day; 54 to 60 degrees equal two-thirds of a day; 61 to 65 degrees equal a third of a day; and all maxima above 65 degrees are assumed to be fully and equally creditable.

In calculating the number of days from full bloom to shipment of the first carlot equivalent, if 3 days failed to reach 54 degrees, the fruitdevelopment period would be lengthened by days; in other words, 3 days would be added to the base figure of 119, making 122 days. Should 3 days range between 54 and 60 degrees, 2 additional days would be added, making 124; and should 3 days range between 61 and 65 degrees, one more day would be added, bringing the total to 125 days. Thus 125 days would be expected from full bloom to shipment of the first carlot equivalent.

Further Refinement Necessitated

Year-to-year variations in the date of full bloom, varying historically from March 27 to April 27, necessitated further refinement to take account of the fact that years of unusually early bloom invariably required more than the average number of days to maturity. An explanation may lie in the shorter days and the frequently greater diurnal fluctuations in temperature experienced before the average date of full bloom, when maximum temperatures would be expected to remain in the optimum range for shorter intervals.

¹Gardner, V. R., Bradford, F. C., and Hooker, H. D. THE FUNDAMENTALS OF FRUIT PRODUCTION. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1939. Pp. 267-283.

TAI	LE 1.—Comparise	n of	authors'	method,	industry	method,	and	observed	numbe	er of	days	from	full
	bloom to	first a	carlot equ	ivalent o	f standar	d Elbert	a pea	iches, Mes	a Cour	nty, (Colo.		

	Observed	Adjust	119-da to firs	y base st car	Difference	Industry estimate	Difference	
Year	days to first car	Noncredita-	Earliness	plus X X	$\frac{1}{2}$ and	$X_{oe} - X_{o}$	$(\text{mean of } X_o)$	$X_{oc}^{1} - X_{o}$
	Xo	X ₁	\mathbf{X}_2	X	oe		X ¹ oe	
1031	126	7	0		126	0	126	0
1032	126	7	0	1.1.1	126	0	126	(
10331	120							
1034	129	5	5		129	0	126	-3
1935	129	9	. 0	1	128	-1	126	-3
1036	121	4	0	1.100	123	2	126	5
1037	125	4	0		123	-2	126	and a start of the
1038	124	6	0		125	1	126	2
1030	119	Ő	0		119	0	126	
1939	126	6	2		127	1	126	(
10/1	129	8	0	1.2.2	127	-2	126	-8
1042	131	11	0		130	-1	126	-8
1043	130	8	2		129	-1	126	-4
1949	125	8	0		127	2	126	
1045	127	5	0		124	-3	126	-1
1946	124	2	0		121	-3	126	
1047	130	8	1	1.200	128	-2	126	-4
1048	120	4	0		123	3	126	(
1949	123	2	0		121	-2	126	
1950	127	8	0	1	127	0	126	
1951	123	4	0		123	0	126	
1052	121	3	0	(the second	122	1	126	
1053	126	9			128	2	126	
1054	127	5	2	1.2.2.10	126	-1	126	
1055	122	4	0		123	1	126	4
1056	124	4	1		124	0	126	The second second
1057	130	14	Ō		133	3	126	

¹ Data missing.

 X_{o} : Observed number of days from full bloom to first carlot equivalent.

X₁: Number of days discounted for low maximum temperature. Scored 1 day if maximum below 54 degrees; ³/₃ of a day if 54 to 60; ¹/₃ of a day if 61 to 65. Surplus fractions omitted, i. e., 2³/₃ equals 2. X₂: Earliness adjustment, ³/₄ of a day added for each day full bloom occurred before the April 16 average. Surplus

fractions omitted, i. e., 23/4 equals 2.

X_{oc}: Historical average number of days from full bloom to first carlot equivalent.

An adjustment factor for early bloom therefore was incorporated by adding a fourth of a day for each day by which full bloom occurred earlier than the April 16 average. In the example described above, if full bloom occurred on April 12, 4 days earlier than average, one full day would be added to the 125 already accumulated, and 126 would be the forecast number of days from full bloom to the first carlot equivalent.

Early trials assumed that a similar but reversed adjustment would be necessary to take account of late bloom. It was indicated, however, that the factors making such adjustment for early bloom necessary tend to become less important as the season advances, as no clear association of late bloom with fewer than average days to maturity was established.

Old and New Methods Compared

The two methods, one forecasting the first carlot equivalent, the other the first 25-car day, were compared with the industry's forecasting methods. The years 1931 through 1957 were used-1933 was omitted for lack of data. It was deemed advisable to consider forecasting on both bases because, while the industry appears to be most concerned with the first carlot equivalent shipped, the date thereof can be influenced by a number of personal or individual factors other than maturity. The first 25-car day is deemed to be more reliable as a measure of readiness for harvest as, of necessity, it represents the composite maturity judgment of a number of growers.

Year		Observed	Adjus	tments	123-day base to first car	Difference	Industry estimate	Difference	
		days to first car	Noncredita-	Earliness	$\left \begin{array}{c} \text{plus } X_1 \text{ and} \\ X_2 \end{array}\right $		(mean of X _o)		
		X。	X ₁	X_2	X _{oc}	$X_{oc} - X_{o}$	X ¹ oc	$X^{1}_{oc} - X_{o}$	
1931		130	7	0	130	0	130	0	
$1932_{}$		131	7	• 0	130	-1	130	-1	
1934		135	5	5	133		130	-5	
1935		134	9	0	132	-2	130	-4	
1936		124	4	0	127	3	130	6	
1937		129	4	0	127	-2	130	1	
1938		131	6	0	129	-2	130	-1	
1939		124	0	0	123	-1	130	6	
1940		131	6	2	131	0	130	-1	
1941		134	8	0	131	-3	130	-4	
1942		132	11	0	134	2	130	-2	
1943		133	8	2	133	0	130	-3	
1944		130	8	0	131	1	130	Ō	
1945		129	5	0	128	-1	130	1	
1946		127	2	0	125	-2	130	3	
1947		133	8	1	132	-1	130	-3	
1948		124	4	0	127	3	130	6	
1949		125	2	0	125	0	130	5	
1950		129	8	0	131	2	130	1	
1951		126	4	0	127	1	130	4	
1952		123	3	0	126	3	130	7	
1953		127	9	0	132	5	130	3	
1954		131	5	2	130	-1	130	-1	
1955		127	4	0	127	0	130	3	
1956		128	4	1	128	0	130	$\tilde{2}$	
1957		135	14	0	137	2	130	-5	

TABLE 2.-Comparison of authors' method, industry method, and observed number of days from full bloom to first 25-car day of standard Elberta peaches, Mesa County, Colo.

¹ Data missing.

X_o: Observed number of days from full bloom to first 25-car day.

X₁: Number of days discounted for low maximum temperature. Scored 1 day if maximum below 54 degrees; ³/₂ of a day if 54 to 60; ³/₂ of a day if 61 to 65. Surplus fractions omitted; i. e., ²/₂ equals 2. X₂: Earliness adjustment; ¹/₄ of a day added for each day full bloom occurred before April 16 average. Surplus fractions omitted; i. e., ²/₄ equals 2.

X_{oc}: Historical average number of days from full bloom to first 25-car day.

Table 1 compares our method with the industry method of calculating the number of days from full bloom to the first carlot equivalent. The standard error of estimate (table 3) is 1.67 days using our method and 3.38 days using the industry method. Our method placed all 26 years within plus or minus 3 while the industry method fell within this range in only 17 years and exceeded 3 days in 9 years. Moreover, in 15 years our method was accurate within plus or minus 1 day compared with only 9 by the industry method.

Table 2 compares our method with the industry method of calculating the number of days from full bloom to the first 25-car day. The standard error of estimate (table 3) is 2 days using our method and 3.69 days using the industry method. Our method placed 25 of 26 years within plus or

minus 3, with 21 of these within plus or minus 2. The industry method placed only 16 and 11 years, respectively, within these ranges. Only one error greater than 3 was realized using our method, whereas 10 of the industry forecasts exceeds 3.

It should be noted that in 1953, the year of greatest error in our method, the first 25-car day occurred extraordinarily early-on the day following the first carlot equivalent instead of the more usual 3 to 5 days later. This phenomenon lacks clear explanation but it has been established that unfavorable weather, which included rain, immediately preceded the first carlot equivalent shipment. Possibly this contributed to unusual distortion of the normal harvesting pattern.

Whether to use the first carlot equivalent or the first 25-car day depends primarily on the eventual use of the information. No doubt the first 6-car day better expresses volume shipping, but of greater consequence may be the ability of our first carlot calculation to fall more precisely within narrow limits.

Conclusions

Results suggest that the annual differences in elapsed time from full bloom to harvest are functions of low maximum temperatures experienced during the first 10 weeks of this period. Shipment forecasts are possible because maximum temperatures below the threshold value for peaches are unlikely to be experienced after June 30.

Our methods are significantly more accurate than the prevailing industry methods relative to both the first carlot equivalent and the first 25-car day. It is believed that their use could effect savings in time, dollars, and peace of mind, especially in years of unusually long or short periods from bloom to maturity.

Our methods were tested during the 1958 season with favorable results. Full bloom occurred on April 17 followed by 3 noncreditable days. Hence, 3 days added to the 119-day base for the



TABLE 3.-Variance and standard error of estimate

Method	First carlot equivalent F ₁	First 25- car day F ₂₅
Authors:	0.00	4.00
Variance	2.80	4.00
Standard error of estimate	1. 67	2.00
Industry: Variance Standard error of estimate	11. 44 3. 38	13. 60 3. 69

 F_1 : 4.086. Significant. F_{25} : 3.40. Significant.

Ratio of the variances according to the F table indicates a significant difference between the authors' method and the industry method of forecasting initial peach shipments from Mesa County, Colo. There is no significant difference in the ability to forecast the first carlot equivalent and the first 25-car day.

first carlot equivalent and the 123-day base for the first 25-car day produced forecasts of August 17 and August 21, respectively. The first carlot equivalent was shipped on August 16, and August 20 was the first 25-car day. Both forecasts were only a day off the observed. What is more important, as early as mid-June the industry was warned of an impending early harvest and thus was prepared for it.