

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

ADDING INPUT DEMANDS TO

PARTIAL EQUILIBRIUM AGRICULTURAL

TRADE MUDELS

Ъу

JOHN ZEITSCH**
Industries Assistance Commission

Contributed Paper to the Thirty-Third Conference of the Australian Agricultural Economics Society, Christchurch, New Zealand, 7-9 February 1989.

<u>.</u>; •



*The views expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect those of the Industries Assistance Commission.

1. INTRODUCTION 1

There has been a recent proliferation of partial equilibrium multi commodity multi country agricultural commodity trade models (OECD [8] Tyers and Anderson [11], and the USDA [12]). On the production side, these models explain output responses as a function of the prices of outputs and at most a couple of variable input prices. No explicit production technology is specified. Rather output price elacticities with respect to output prices are cobbled together from available sources. These are then taken to provide a local approximation to the underlying output side of the production technology.

Because the models do not attempt to explain the demand for all variable inputs they are deficient from a policy perspective as they can not provide all the information of relevance to the policy debate. There is also the possibility that they may provide misleading insights on policy issues although this prospect seems slight.

In addition to the trade, world price, production and consumption information normally generated from partial equilibrium trade models, information is also required on the impact of policy changes on:

- national farm income;
- labour demand;
- partial equilibrium estimates of economic welfare;
- the budgetary cost of policies; and
- the unit price of fixed and quasi-fixed inputs, eg owner-operator "wage rates" and land prices.

The theoretical work involved in this note was undertaken at the Agricultural Directorate, OECD, Paris. The application reported in this note was undertaken at the Industries Assistance Commission, Australia. This work has benefitted significantly through valuable contributions and suggestions made by Thomas Hertel. Susan Capalbo, Louis Mahe and Matt Harley. Paul Thomassin of Agriculture Canada provided data in a timely and helpful fashion.

For a model to generate this sort of information a knowledge of the response of variable input demand to price changes is required. In this note a methodology is proposed which enables this sort of information to be added to partial equilibrium trade models at very little additional cost.²

In the following section the proposed methodology is cutlined while Section 3 provides a worked example of the methodology.

THE METHODOLOGY

A local approximation to the profit maximising opportunities available to a producer who produces (m) outputs jointly using (n) variable inputs can be represented by a $(m+n)^2$ matrix of price elasticities of variable commodity supply/demand with respect to variable commodity prices. These elasticities can be split into μ quadrants as depicted in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1 : GROSS MATRIX OF PRICE ELASTICITIES OF VARIABLE COMMODITY
SUPPLY/DEMAND WITH RESPECT TO VARIABLE COMMODITY PRICES

	m Output prices	n Input prices
m	n _{ik}	⁰ i2
Output levels	(m x m)	(m x n)
n	δjk	^β j²
Input levels	(n x m)	(n x n)

In the upper left hand quadrant the familiar own price elasticities of supply with respect to variable output prices are presented (ie the n_{ik} 's). The majority of the supply elasticities contained in the partial equilibrium trade models are of this type.

² Horridge and Pearte [13] modified Tyers and Anderson's model by including page 1-agricultural good. Unfortunately their interpretation of lasticities precludes the calculation of input effects of power changes.

The upper right hand quadrant contains the elasticities θ_{il} . These capture the impact on variable output level of movements in input prices. Typically, the existing partial equilibrium trade models contain a few of these elasticities - mainly livestock supply elasticities with respect to feed prices (OECD [8]).

Finally, the bottom two quadrants pick up the effects of output and input price movements on variable input demand, ie the matrices of elasticities δ_{1k} and β_{1k} respectively.

When (m+n) is large and/or available data bases leave something to be desired, it is unlikely that econometric techniques could be used to isolate the required $(m+n)^2$ elasticities with any degree of precision. A methodology is therefore proposed which enables the $(m+n)^2$ elasticities to be constructed in a theoretically consistent manner using available information.

To derive the relevant formulae first denote the vector of (m + n) variable profit shares by;

where a typical element of S_i is $P_i^p Q_i / \pi > 0$ and a typical element of S_j is $W_j X_j / \pi < 0$ and where π is variable profit.

Also denote the (n x m) matrix of compensated input demand elasticities with respect to output levels as λ_{ji} , where a typical element of this matrix measures the percentage change in the compensated demand for input j with respect to a one per cent movement in the output of commodity i.

2

Denote the (n x n) matrix of elasticities of compensated input demand with respect to input prices as β_{jk}^{C} , where a typical element of this matrix measures the percentage change in the compensated demand for input j with respect to a one per cent change in the price of input £.

Following Hertel [2], the matrix of gross elasticities of input demand with respect to variable output prices is given by

where λ_{jk} is the matrix of elasticities of compensated demand for inputs with respect to output levels and is evaluated at optimal input levels.

Following Lau [5], output supply is assumed to be homogeneous of degree zero in variable commodity prices ie;

$$n_{ik} \quad 1_m \quad + \quad \theta_{ik} \quad 1_n \quad = \quad 0_m \quad ,$$
 $(m \times m) \quad (m \times 1) \quad (m \times n) \quad (n \times 1) \quad (n \times 1)$

where 1_m and 1_n are summing vectors and 0_{ij} is a $(m \times 1)$ vector of zeros.

Next, assume that substitution possibilities between inputs and outputs are symmetric, ie:

$$\theta_{i2}$$
 diag $(S_j)^{-1} = \text{diag } (S_i)^{-1} \delta_{jk}^T$, (3)
 $(m \times n)$ $(m \times m)$ $(m \times m)$

where diag $(S_i)^{-1}$ denotes the diagonal matrix with elements equal to the reciprocal of the elements in the S_i vector and the superscript T denotes the transposition operation.

To derive the relevant formula, (1) is first substituted into the symmetry constraint (3), which gives:

$$\theta_{ig} = \text{diag } (S_i)^{-1} \quad n_{ik}^T \quad \lambda_{jk}^T \quad \text{diag } S_j \quad . \tag{4}$$

$$(m \times n) \quad (m \times m) \quad (m \times n) \quad (n \times n)$$

Second, (4) is then substituted into the homogeneity constraint (2), which gives:

Equation set 5 contain m equations and can be solved for m unknowns. In this analysis the unknowns are chosen to be the own price elasticities of supply ie the diagonal elements of n_{ik} . This leaves $\frac{1}{2}(m^2-m)$ cross price elasticities to be specified; (m+n) profit shares; and $(n\times m)$ compensated input demand elasticities with respect to output levels to be specified. Once these are specified, n_{ik} can be fully determined by solving (5). Once n_{ik} is determined, δ_{jk} follows from (1), θ_{ik} follows from (3), and θ_{ik} is determined (Hertel [3]) by:

$$\beta_{j2} = \beta_{j2}^{c} + \delta_{jk} \quad n_{ik}^{-1} \quad \theta_{i2}.$$

$$(n \times n) \quad (n \times n) \quad (n \times m) \quad (m \times m) \quad (m \times n)$$
(6)

In terms of the decomposition of the gross elasticities given in Figure 1, equation (6) enables the matrix of elasticities, β_{jk} , to be determined. The matrix of elasticities, δ_{jk} , is determined by substituting (5) into equation (1), and θ_{ik} is determined by equation (3). Thus the equations that have been derived enable all of the $(m+n)^2$ elasticities given in Figure 1 to be derived in a theoretically consistent manner. In the following section this methodology is used to derive a set of elasticities for grain and livestock production in Canadian agriculture.

3. An Example

Canadian agriculture was assumed to consist of 3 industries - pig production, poultry production and a multi-product industry producing all other agricultural products. In this section the elasticities for the multi-product industry are calculated. This industry is assumed to produce 5 outputs using 10 inputs. Owner-operator labour is assumed to be the fixed factor of production. The outputs and variable inputs include:

. :

Milk	Compound Feed
Other Grazing Animals	Wheat
Grains	Coarse Grains
Sugar	Other Feed
Other	Fertilizer
	Other Materials
	Grazing Land
	Cropping Land
	Hired Labour
	Capital

As outlined above, to implement the methodology the following information is required;

- $-\frac{1}{2}(m^2-m)$ cross price elasticities of supply;
- (n x m) elasticities of compensated input demand with respect to output levels;
- $\frac{1}{2}(n^2-n)$ price elasticities of input demand with respect to input prices; and
- (m+n) variable profit shares.

This data and their source are detailed in Appendix 1. Applying the data given in Appendix 1 to the formulae derived above give the gross elasticities given in Table 1. In assessing these elasticities, account needs to be taken of the fact that they are medium term, five-year elasticities, in which production is assumed to be constrained only by the availability of the farmer's labour. With few inputs fixed, Sakai's [9] restrictions on a "normal" technology should hold. In particular, as noted in Hertel [3, p.10], the following restrictions characterise a "normal" technology:

$$\frac{\partial X_{\underline{j}}}{\partial W_{\underline{k}}} < \underline{0} \text{ for any j, k}$$

$$\frac{\partial Q_{\underline{j}}}{\partial P_{\underline{s}}} > \underline{0} \text{ for any i, s}$$

$$\frac{\partial X_{\underline{j}}}{\partial P_{\underline{s}}} > \underline{0} \text{ for any j, s}$$

$$\frac{\partial Q_{\underline{s}}}{\partial W_{\underline{s}}} < \underline{0} \text{ for any j, s}.$$

Gross substitution among inputs and outputs is ruled out

Regressive relationships between inputs and outputs are ruled out.

To understand why these restrictions arise consider why joint production arises. Joint production comes about when the cost of producing one commodity is affected by the level of production of another commodity. These cost effects are sometimes described as "economies of scope". They arise from two sources. First, when production is constrained by the presence of a fixed factor of production (in the current study the fixed factor is owner-operator labour) expansion of one commodity will use more of the fixed factor. With less fixed factors available for the production of the other commodities, their production will only be maintained if more variable inputs are used. Hence per unit costs of production of the alternative commodities will rise. If these sorts of "economies of scope" predominate then outputs are more likely to be gross substitutes for one another, ie cross price elasticities of supply will be negative.

In contrast, the second kind of "economies of scope" give rise to gross complementarity between outputs. In the second case, the expansion of one output lowers the cost of producing other commodities. For example, an expansion of dairy production would increase effluent output and this could lead to lower costs for cropping activities as less fertilizer would be used because the effluent could be spread as a fertilizer substitute. When these sorts of economies of scope predominate outputs will be gross complements for one another, ie cross price elasticities of supply will be positive.

Examining the derived elasticities given in Table 1 it can be seen that there are some minor violations of Sakai's restrictions on a normal technology. Some regressive relationships exist between inputs and outputs while some inputs are gross substitutes with one another.

The regressive relationships between inputs and outputs arise because of the existence of gross substitution amongst several outputs. deriving the output supply elasticities. it was difficult to obtain a relatively large own price elasticity for grain crops without making milk a gross substitute for grain crops. When gross substitution exists a price increase of one commodity will not increase the output of all Consequently, the price increase will lead to a other commodities. contraction of the substitute outputs. The decline in these outputs will cause input use to fall. If this fall outweighs the input expansion caused by the own output increase, regressive relationships between inputs and outputs will arise. This is the case with price increases for milk and extensive grazing which lead to less cropping land being used because less crops are produced (Table 1). Similarly, a grain crop price rise reduces the output of milk and extensive grazing. This reduces the demand for land, some feeds, labour and capital.

Examining the input price elasticities with respect to input prices it can be seen that Sakai's restrictions on a normal technology are also violated in some cases. Here it can be seen from Table 3.6 that some inputs are gross substitutes for one another. This is particularly the case for feed inputs. To understand why this result occurs refer back This equation splits the gross to equation (6) in Section 2. elasticities of input demand into two components. The first term on the right hand side of equation (6) picks up the substitution effects between inputs while the second term on the right hand side of equation (6) picks up the expansion effect of moving input prices. In the case of feed the expansion effects are dominated by the substitution effects that overall gross substitution prevails. Given the substitution possibilities between feeds these violations of the restrictions are considered to be valid.

	0, 4331	0.1032	-0.3558	0,0000	9.0001	0.0064	-0.0799	0.0010	0.0928	-0.0837	0.0089	-0.0241	-0.0296	0.0325	-0.0289	0.0141	0.0000	
GPZ	0.0395	0.7619	-0.1779	0.0000	0.0001	0.0064	-0.1344	0.0001	-0.0748	-0.1297	-0.0267	-0.2056	-0.0499	0.0160	-0.0565	-0.0986	0.0000	
P(P	-0.1930	-0.1032	0.1709	9,0000	-0.0005	0.0032	0.0450	-0.0005	-0.0009	0.0464	-0.0023	0.0245	9. G169	-0.0160	0.0151	9.0004	0.0000	
	0 0000	0.0000	8,0000	8,0000	0.0000	0.0600	9.0060	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.0000	9.0000	0.0000	
R	0.0099	0.0103	-0.0883	0,0000	0.4063	0.6635	-0.0355	0.0002	-0.0001	-0.0355	-0.0333	-0.1034	-0.0011	-0.0317	-0. 1201	-9.0405	9.0000	
è	0.0039	0 0103	0.0039	8,0000	0.0018	1.6328	-0.1291	-0.0003	-0.0048	-0.1239	-0.1058	-0.4430	-0.0011	-0. 1222	-0.5257	-0.2078	0.0000	
En .	0.1914	0. 3337	-0 1928	0 0000	0.0008	0.1974	-1.4010	0.0094	0.0616	0.5281	-0.0448	-0.0408	0.0428	0. 1385	-0.0893	0.2651	0.0000	
	-0.1846	-0.0243	0.1496	0.0000	-0.0003	0.0413	0.7221	-1.8128	0.1070	0.4279	-0.0324	0.0932	0.0842	0.1184	0.0020	0.3088	0.0000	
	-0 (743	0.1315	0.0425	0.000	Q. 0000	0.0810	0.6785	0.0153	-1.7212	0.3844	-0.0376	0.0418	0.0691	0. 1253	-0.0262	0.2898	0.0000	
FD	0.2238	0.3593	-0 2215	0.0000	9.0009	0.2114	0.5892	0.0062	0.0389	-1.4550	-0.0457	-0.0511	0.0395	0.1401	-0.0970	0.2620	0.0000	
7.0	-0.0314	0.0000	6 0145	0.0000	0.0011				-0.0050	-0.0604	-0.3632	-0.0872	0.0681	0.1162	-0. 1887	0.2660	0.0000	
MA	0.0240	0.0076	-0.0117	8 0000	0.0010	******	-0.0170		0.0016	-0.0190	-0.0246	-0.8099	0.0590	0.1184	-0.0377	0.2536	0.0000	
1	0.0240	0.4859	-0.2631	0.0000	0.0001	0.0069	0 1578	0.0043	0.0246	0.1389	0.1828	0.5565	-0.4151	-0.2937	0.0811	-0.9349	0.0000	
,	-0. 1640	-0.0838	0.2031	0.0000	0.0001	0.3936	0.2216	0.0032	0.0240	0.2644	0.1660	0.5998	-0.1578	-0.5622	0.0143	-0.9355	0.0000	
na na	0.0833	0.0030	-0.0777	0.0000	0.0034	0.9668	-0. 1074	0.0000		-0. 1046	-0.1540	-0, 1089	0.0249	0.0082	-0.9211	0.2214	0.0000	
UA	v. v033	V. 1063	~V. VI I I	4. UVVV	u. 4403	0.3000	v. 1411	g. 5000	-,					1500 6		0.8190	0.0000	

-0.0204 0.1474 -0.0011 0.0000 0.0006 0.1906 0.1597 0.0024 0.0158 0.1415 0.1087 0.3676 -0.1436 -0.2675 0.1109 -0.8126

(PRICES)

C/G

OTE/FD FIRT

OTH/MA LAND 1 LAND 2 LADOUR CAPITAL

SOM

0,0000

TABLE 1 CROSS PRICE ELECTICITIES OF SUPPLY AND INPUT MEAND WITH RESPECT TO VARIABLE COMPODITY PRICES

COM/FD WITT

OTEXE

SUGLE

MILE

INT/CRI GR/CHOP RICE

Turning to a discussion of the magnitude of the elasticities, the overall impression gained is that Canadian agriculture is generally not very responsive to variable price movements. Apart from "other agriculture", output supply elasticities are less than one. The elasticities are however significantly greater than those obtained from econometric techniques. Lopez [7], for example, obtained estimates of the own price elasticity of supply for crops and animal products of about .01 and .4 respectively.

Given the low value of the supply elasticities obtained in the current study it can be concluded that there are minimal economies of scope in Canadian agriculture. That is, few cost savings arise when one output is expanded. Thus, joint production in Canadian agriculture largely arises because outputs have to compete amongst each other for the limited supply of owner-operators labour.

In contrast to the other elasticities, input price elasticities with respect to input prices are far more price responsive. Feeds exhibit the strongest substitution between one another.

In terms of the production relationships among primary factors, the current study finds that land and capital are gross complements but land and labour and labour and capital are gross substitutes. As in the case of feed, these results are largely determined by the size of Capalbo's estimated substitution elasticities. They dominate the expansion effects implied by the assumed output supply elasticities and compensated input demand elasticities with respect to output levels.

In summary, the elasticities calculated in this study give rise to elasticities with similar overall properties to those obtained from econometric studies of Canadian agriculture. The elasticities imply production is relatively unresponsive to price movements and the there is very little interaction between outputs and inputs. Input use is nowever more price responsive to input price movements. The elasticities are constructed in a manner consistent with the belief that producers maximize profits. Under these circumstances it is concluded that the calculated elasticities provide a reasonable approximation of the profit maximizing opportunities available to farmers in the grains/grazing sector of Canadian agriculture.

APPENDIX 1: SOURCES OF DATA

To calculate the elasticities for the multi-product industry the following base data is required;

- ½(m²-m) cross price elasticities of supply;
- (n x m) elasticities of compensated input demand with respect to output levels;
- $-\frac{1}{2}(n^2-n)$ price elasticities of input demand with respect to input prices; and
- (m+n) variable profit shares.

- (m+n) Variable Profit Shares

The (m+n) profit shares were derived from the data base of an input/output model of Canadian agriculture [10]. Variable profit was defined as net income of farm operators plus net rental income. Input use valued at purchasers' prices was divided by variable profit to obtain the profit shares. Input shares are negative and the shares sum to one over both inputs and outputs.

- (nxm)Elasticities of Compensated Input Demand with Respect to Output Levels

The database in Agricultural Canada's input/output model was used to obtain the (nxm) elasticities of compensated input demand with respect to output levels. Specifically these elasticities are approximated by:

$$\lambda_{jk} = (\partial X_{j}^{c} / \partial Q_{i}) (Q_{i} / X_{j}) = \alpha X_{ji} / \sum_{k} X_{jk} \qquad i, k=1, ..., m$$
(n.xm)
(n.xm)

That i., the percentage change in the demand for the jth input due to a one per cent change in the output of the ith commodity is equal to a scalar. **, times the share of the input consumed in the production of the ith commodity. The share data are scaled to incorporate in the elasticities diminishing returns to variable factors which should exist

in the presence of a fixed factor. That is, in the presence of a fixed factor a proportional increase in all outputs should lead to a proportionately greater increase in variable input use. The scalars were obtained from the estimated profit function for the United States provided by Hertel [4]. They ranged from 1.08 for land up to 1.32 for labour and indicate that labour use intensifies as Ottputs are expanded, whereas the use of land declines relatively.

The elasticities of compensated input demand with respect to output levels are given in Table A1.

- ½ (m²-m) Cross Price Elasticities of Supply

The derivation of the $\frac{1}{2}$ (m²-m) cross price elasticities of supply took account of the existing elasticities in the MTM model OECD [8] and available econometric evidence Lopez [7]. Initial values of the elasticities were chosen and these were altered having regard to the effects of the changes on the signs and magnitudes of the own price elasticities of supply. While there is obviously considerable scope to alter individual elasticities, the process of deriving the elasticities indicated there were definite bounds within which the elasticities had to be set if plausible elasticities were to be obtained. The elasticities finally settled upon are given in Table A2.

$-\frac{1}{2}(n^2-n)$ Price Elasticities of Compensated Input Demand

The $\frac{1}{2}$ (n^2 -n) input price elasticities were derived by disaggregating the elasticities estimated by Capalbo [1]. Capalbo estimated various models for Canadian agriculture and the model chosen for this analysis was the specification which closely accords with that of the current study. This model provides estimates of the compensated input demand elasticities for 6 variable inputs with owner operator labour fixed. Her inputs include;

- feed
- fertilizer
- other materials
- land and building
- capital; and
- hired labour.

This input structure was disaggregated into the 10 available inputs specified in the MTM inputs model using the formula proposed by Fuss [2]. The disaggregated elasticities are given in Table A3.

TARLE A 1 COMPENSATED IMPUT DEMAND WITH RESPECT TO GUTPUTS

	EILE	EXT/GRZ	GR/CRO	RICE	SUGAR	OTHER	SUM
COM/FD	0.4379	0.4040	0.1992	0.0000	0.0018	0.1172	1.1600
HEAT	0.0168	0.1032	1.0169	0.0000	0.0003	0.0228	1.1600
C/G	0.1101	0.2578	0.7445	0.0000	0.0008	0.0467	1.1600
OTR/FD	0.4772	0.4232	0.1321	0.0000	0.0019	0.1257	1.1600
FERT	0.1687	0.1884	0.6266	U. 6000	0.0031	0.1432	1.1300
OTH/HAT	0. 1981	9.3139	0.4761	0.0000	0.0025	0.1694	1.1600
LAND 1	0.5116	0.5684	0.0000	0.6000	0.0000	0.0000	1.0800
LAND 2	0.0000	6.0000	0.8365	0.0000	9.0042	0.2392	1.0800
LABOUR	0.2486	0.2151	0.2599	0.0000	0.0071	0.5894	1.3200
CAPITAL	0.1758	0.2517	0.6169	0.0000	0.0018	0.1138	1.1600
******	*****						

Source : Ariculture Canada

A discription of all variables included in the tables is given in Table 3.

TABLE A 2 ASSUMED CROSS PRICE ELASTICITIES OF SUPPLY AND CALCULATED OWN PRICE ELASTICITIES OF SUPPLY

	HILK	EXT/GRZ	GR/CROP	RICE	SOGAR	OTHER
RILK	0.4331	0.1032	-0.3558	0.0000	0.0001	0.0064
EXT/GRZ	0.0995	0.7619	-0.1779	0.0000	0.0001	0.0064
GR/CROP	-0.1990	-0.1032	0.1709	0.0000	-0.0005	0.0032
RICE	0.0000	0.0000	C. 0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
SUGAR	0.0099	0.0103	-0.0389	0.0000	0.4063	0.0635
OTHER	0.0099	C. 0103	0.0089	0.0000	0.0016	1.6328

TABLE A 3 COMPENSATED PRICE ELASTICITIES OF INPUT DEMAND

	COM/FD	TABEN	C/G	OTH/PD	FERT	OTH/MAT	LAND 1	LAND 2	LABOUR	CAPITAL	SUM
COM/FD	-1.3055	0.0090	0.0630	0.6225	-0.0250	0.1000	0.0727	0. 1353	0.0050	0.3230	0.0000
HEAT	0.6945	-1.8123	0.1084	0.3984	-0.0250	0.1000	0.0727	0. 1353	0.0050	0.3230	0.0000
C/G	0.6945	0.0155	-1.7194	0.3984	-0.0250	0.1900	0.0727	0.1353	0.0050	0.3230	0.0000
OTE/FD	0.6945	0.0058	0.0403	-1.3516	-0.0250	0.1000	0.0727	0. 1353	0.0050	0.3230	0.0000
FERT	-0.0358	-0.0005	-0.0033	-0.0330	-0.3430	0.0040	0.0727	0. 1353	-0.1070	0.3117	0.0000
OTE/MAT	0.0416	0.0005	0.0038	0.0373	0.0011	-0.6770	0.0727	0. 1353	0.0680	0.3167	0.0000
LAND 1	0.2850	0.0037	0.0259	0.2555	0. 1934	0.6857	-0.3716	-0.3194	0. 1280	-0.8862	0.0000
LAND 2	2850	0.0037	0.0259	0.2555	0.1934	0.6857	-0.1716	-0.5194	0.1280	-0.8862	0.0000
LABOUR	0.0060	0.0001	0.0005	0.0054	-0.0873	0.1967	0.0393	0.0731	-0.5950	0.3612	0.0000
CAPITAL	0. 1945	0.0025	0.0177	0.1744	0.1274	0.4590	-0.1361	-0.2534	0.1810	-0.7670	0.0000

COM/FD = Compound feed , WHEAT = Feed wheat ,C/G = Feed coarse grains , OTE/FD = All other feeds

FEET =Fertilizer , OTH/MAT = All purchased materials excluding fertilizer and feeds ,

LAND 1 = Service flow from grazing land , LAND 2 = Service flow from cropping land ,

LABOUR = Hired labour, CAPITAL = Service flow from all capital items excluding land, SUM = Sum of elasticities across a row, MILK = All milk produced. EXT/GRZ = Production of beef & veal, wool and

sheep meat , GR/CRO = Production of wheat , coarse grains , oilseeds and other grains ,

RICE = Rice production , SUGAR = Production of sugar , OTHER = Production of vegetables ,

fruit , tobacco and wine .

REFERENCES

- (1) Capalbo, Susan, "Canadian Agriculture: Cost Shares and Econometric Estimates of the Aggregate Technology, 1961-1980", Montana State University, Mimeographed.
- (2) Fuss, M.A., "The Demand for Energy in Canadian Manufacturing: an Example of the Estimation of Production Structures with Many Inputs". Journal of Econometrics, 5(1977), 89-116.
- (3) Hertel, Thomas W., "Applications of Duality and Flexible Functional Forms: The Case of the Fultiproduct Firm", Research Bulletin 980, Purdue University, Agricultural Experiment Station, September 1984.
- (4) Hertel, Thomas W., "An Aggregate Technology for Modelling U.S. Agricultural Policy", Purdue University, Mimeographed.
- (5) Industries Assistance Commission, <u>The Wheat Industry</u>, AGPS, February 1988. Appendix H.
- (6) Lau, L., "Applications of Profit Functions", in M. Fuss and D. McFadden (eds., <u>Production Economics: A Dual Approach to Theory and Applications</u>, Vol. I, North Holland: Amsterdam (1978).
- (7) Lopez, Ramon E. "Estimating Substitution and Expansion Effects Using a Profit Function Framework", American Journal of Agricultural Economics, August 1984.
- (8) Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, "National Policies and Agricultural Trade", Paris 1987.
- (9) Sakai, Y., "Substitution and Expansion Effects in Production Theory: The Case of Joint Production", <u>Journal of Economic</u> Theory, 9(1974), 255-74.
- (10) Thomassin, P. and Andison Allan, "Agricultural Canada's Input-Output Model FART I: Disaggregation of the Agricultural Sector", Agricultural Canada Working Paper 6/87, April 1987.
- (11) Tyers, R. and K. Anderson, "Distortions in World Food Markets: A Quantitative Assessment", National Centre for Development Studies, Australian National University, January 1986.
- (12) USDA, see, for example, Dixit, P., V. Ronnigan, J. Sullivan and J. Waino, (1987) Impact of Removal of Support to Agriculture in Developed Countries, International Trade Division, Economic Research Service USDA.
- (13) Mark Horridge and David Pearce, "Modelling the Effects on Australia of Interventions in World Agricultural Trade, impact Preliminary Working Paper OP-65, October 1988

AUSTRALIAN AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS SOCIETY 1989 ANNUAL CONTERENCE

Lincoln College 6-9 February 1989

Sponsored by Reserve Bank of New Zealand

PROGRAMME

TUESDAY 7 FEBRUARY

8.30 - 9.00 pm REGISTRATIONS

9.00 - 10.30 am OPENING PLENARY PAPER

Room 12

Bruce Gardner

University of Maryland

"INTERNATIONAL CONSEQUENCES OF UNITED STATES

AGRICULTURAL POLICIES"

Ivan Roberts

Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource

Economics

Discussion Opener

10.30 - 10.45 am Tea and Coffee

10.45 - 12.30 pm INVITED PAPERS (Two concurrent sessions)

(a) Dairy Trade - Room 1
Steve Beare, Lora Domine and Murray Lembit
Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource
Economics

"TRANS-TASMAN TRADE IN MANUFACTURED DAIRY PRODUCTS: A MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING MODEL OF IMPERFECT SECTORAL COMPETITION"

Gary Griffith, Ralph Lattimore and John Robbertson

New South Wales Department of Agriculture, Lincoln College and Virginia Polytechnic and State University

"CER AND AUSTRALIA-NEW ZEALAND DAIRY PRODUCTS TRADE"

(b) Stabilisation - Room 2
John Quiggin and Brian Fisher
University of Sydney
"GENERALISED UTILITY THEORIES - IMPLICATIONS
FOR STABILISATION POLICY"

Rob Fraser, R.W.
University of Western Australia
"ON EVALUATING THE PRODUCER BENEFITS FROM PRICE STABILISATION"

12.30 - 1.30 pm Lunch

1.30 - 3.30 pm CONTRIBUTED PAPERS A

3.30 - 3.45 pm Tea and Coffee

3.45 - 5.00 pm PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS - Room 12

7.00 - 7.30 pm Pre-Dinner Drinks, Oak Room

7.30 pm Conference Dinner, Lincoln Dining Room

WEDWESDAY 8 FEBRUARY

8.30 - 10.30 am CONTRIBUTED PAPERS B

10.30 - 10.45 am Tea and Coffee

10.45 - 12.30 pm PLENARY PAPERS

Room 12

Tony Rayner Lincoln College

"THE ROLE OF AGRICULTURAL POLICY IN NEW ZEALAND:

PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE"

Will Martin

Australian National University "RURAL POLICY: 1983 - 1988"

Brian Easton Consultant

"AGRICULTURAL POLICY: THE RATIONALE FOR ONE"

12.30 - 1.45 pm Lunch

1.45 - 3.30 pm CONTRIBUTED PAPERS C

3.30 - 4.00 pm Tea and Coffee

4.00 - 6.00 pr ANNUAL GENERAL HEETING

Room 12

6.00 pm Depart for Social Evening

THURSDAY 9 FEBRUARY

8.30 - 10.30 am CONTRIBUTED PAPERS D

10.30 - 10.45 am Tea and Coffee

10.45 - 12.30 pm INVITED PAPERS (Two concurrent sessions)

(a) Agricultural Research
Room 1

Jim Ryan and Jeff Davis
Australian Centre for International
Agricultural Research
"ECONOMIC GROWTH, TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE AND
PRIORITY ASSESSMENT IN AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH"

Veronica Jardine Scobie Economic Research "CRISIS IN AGRICULTURAL R AND D IN WEW ZEALAND?"

(b) Macroeconomics
Room 2

Paul O'Mara
Australian Bureau for Agricultural and Resource
Economics
"EXCHANGE RRTES, INTEREST RATE AND AGRICULTURE:
A MACROECONOMIC VIEW"

John Robertson and David Orden Virginia Polytechnic and State University "A VECTOR ERROR CORRECTION MODEL OF MONEY AND PRICE DYNAMICS IN MEW ZEALAND"

12.30 - 1.30 Lunch

1.30 - 3.15 pm CLOSING PLV VARY SESSION Room 12

Gordon Rausser and Foster W.E.
University of California, Berkeley
"POLITICAL PREFERENCE FUNCTIONS AND THE MARKET FOR
PUBLIC POLICY REFORM"

3.15 - 3.30 pm CONFERENCE CLOSURE

3.30 - 4.00 pm Tea and Coffee

4.00 - 6.00 pm INCOMING COUNCIL MEETING

Selected and Contributed Papers, Session A Nesday 7 February - 1.30 to 3.30 pm

Session A-1, Room 1, Chairperson: Tony Zwart Chiao, Y.S. Sandrey, R. and Eveleens, W. Eveloens, W.M.: Reynolds. MECORD R.G. and Moore, W. MAFCORD and Scobie. G.M. MAYCOLD Scobie Economic Research The Cost to Amriculture Effects of Liberalisation New Livestock Industries: The on the Provision of Dynamics and Impacts of of Twoort Protection in New Zealand Government Services Government Policies to Agriculture Session A-2, Room 2, Chairperson: Carolyn Tanner Hardaker, J. B.: Fleming. Delforce, Julie Oian, Dong: Xiji, A: Drynan, and Patten, H, Louise University of New England R.G. and Longworth, John University of New England University of Queensland Issues in Food Policies Expenditure Patterns of Food Consumption Patterns of in the South Pacific Smallholder Farm Households Urban Residents in China in Tonga: an Application of the Almost Ideal Demand System Session A-3, Room 3, Chairperson: Ralph Lattimore McDougall. Robert and Dwyer, G.M. and Topp, V: Williamson, G.; Sugden, Craig Davidson, Brian Lembit, M. and Beare, S. Industries Assis, Come. University of New England A.B.A.R.E. and Beare. S. Reforming Trans-Tasman A Trans-Tassan Trade The Resource Costs of Blended Milk Pricing in in Market Milk - A Spatial Shipping: An International General Equilibrium Analysis Equilibrium Approach Victoria Session A-4, Rock 4, Chairperson: Anton Meister Vidler. Peter Campbell, H. and Lindner, R. Staniford, A.J. Duffy, ACT University of Tas. Dept of Fisheries, S.A. The Production of Fishing Licence Reduction and An Analysis of Some Aspects Gear Restriction Policies of the Australian Domestic Effort and the Economic Raw Cotton Market, 1968-69 Performance of Licence in Limited Entry Fisheries: Limitation Programs A Bioeconomic Analysis to 1985-86 Session A-5, Room 5, Chairperson: Roy Powell Belete. Abenet: Dillon. Esparon, Nanette, H. and Battese, George, E.; Colby, T.C. and Coelli, T.J. John, L. and Anderson, Sturgess, N.H. University of Melbourne University of New England Frank. M. University of New England Technical Efficiency Estimation of Frontier The Development of Production Functions and Agriculture in Ethiopia of Rice Production in East and West Java, Indonesia the Technical Efficiencies Following the 1975 Land Reform of Indian Farms Using Panel Data from ICRISAT'S Village Level Studies

Session A-6, Room 6, Chairperson: Gordon MacAulay Brennan, D. and Lindner, R. Pandey, Sushil University of W.A.

University of W.A.

Malcolm, W. and Edwards, R. Melbourne University

The Long-term Peak Load Problem in Grain Storage: An Empirical Analysis

Economics of Wild Oats Control: An Application of a Stochastic Dynamic Programming Model

Judging Crop Sequences for Crop Farm Management

Selected and Contributed Papers, Session 8 Wednesday 8 Mehruary - 8.30 to 10.30 am

Session B-1. Room 1. Chairperson: Basil Sharpe

Jakobsson, K.M. and

Dragun, A.K.

La Trobe University

Hertzler, G.L; Lindner

R.K. and Pandev. S. University of W.A

and Pannell, D.J. University of W.A.

The Economics of Species

Preservation: Theory and Nethodology

Public Policies for Pest Control: Toward an Analytical Framework

Weed Management in Crop-Pasture Rotations

Ghadim, Amir K., Abbadi

Session B-2, Roca 2, Chairperson: Iam Jarratt

Anaman, K.A.: Izac, A.M.N;

and Fraser, K.I.

University of Queensland

Voon. T. and Edwards, G. in Trobe University

Williams, Christine H:

Longworth John W. and Whan Ian F

University of Queensland

In Economic Evaluation of Dryland Pasture

Improvement Strategies for Beef Cattle Production in Tropical Owensland

Returns to Research for Reef Carcase Quality Improvement in Australia Pricing Efficiency at South East Oueensland Cattle

Auctions

Session B-3, Room 3, Chairperson: Sandra Martin

Connolly, G. and Gry, K.

ABARE

Griffith, J.R. and

Duff. G.L. N.S.V. Aa. & Fisheries Negendank, O. University of Otago

Tests of the Dynamics and Functional Form of Retail Demand for Clothing and Footwear in Western Europe The Influence of Shortrun Price Variability on Swiney Pork Price Spreads: A Preliminary Analysis

Forecasting Systems for the Pork Industry - the Role of Producers

Session 3-4, Room 4, Chairperson: Bob Townsley

Bennatt, Jeff, W. University of N.S.W. Vidler. Peter Duffy, ACT

Lawrence, Denis and Zeitsch, J. Industries Assis. Com.

Wages Determination in the NSV Black Coal Industry

An Analysis of Trends in Queensland Raw Cotton Production, 1971-72 to

Production Flexibility Revisited

1985-87

Sesaion B-5, Room 5, Chairperson: Tim Yapp

Wynen. Els and Kennedy.

John

Sappideen, B. Soil Conservation Service,

La Trobe University

N.S.V.

Policy Options for

Chemical Free Agriculture:

an LP Approach Farzers

Economic Evaluation of the Yass

Salinity Abatement

Demonstration Project: A Methodological Framework

Session B-6, Room 6, Chairperson: John Longworth

Wright, Vic.

University of New England

Wan. Guang Hua; Griffiths, W.E. and

Anderson, J.K.

Woodford, Keith Queensland Ag. College

University of New England

Anribusiness Management and Farm Management: Some Parallels and Their Implications

Estimation of Marginal Risks With Seemingly Unrelated Regression and Fanel Data

Risk Management in Farming and Some Implications for Agricultural Education

Selected and Contributed Papers, Session C Wednesday 8 February - 1.45 to 3.30 pm

Session C-1, Room 1, Chairperson: John Hullen Godden, David Vidler, Peter and Kennedy, John and Dept. of Ag., N.S.W. Devideon, Brian Godden, David Duffy. ACT La Trobe University and NSW Dept of Mariculture The Value of Rights in Induced Institutional The Identification of Innovation: Plant Variety Research Issues in the New Plant Varieties Rights, Patents, Genetic Australian Cotton Industry Engineering and All That Session C-2, Room 2, Chairperson: Anthony Chisholm Vanzetti. David and Anderson, K. Johnson, R.W. Kennedy. John University of Adelaide Massey University Strategic Trade Policy With Rent Seeking and Price The Real Rate of Exchange Competitive Storage Distorting Policies in Revisited: Reflections Rich and Poor Countries on Policies Encouraging International Competitiveness Session C-3, Roca 3, Chairperson: Tony Rayner SriRamaratnam, S. Jarratt, Ian S. and Corra, G.: Dickson A. HAFCorp Longworth, John and Teal. F. University of Queensland A.B.A.R.E. Implications of Changes Why has the Supply Preziums and Discounts in Access to Japanese Beef for Brands of Imported of Beef in Japan Grown Markets for New Zealand Beef in Japan so Rapidly? Trade Session C-4, Room 4, Chairperson: Garry Griffith Chizo, Y.S. and Doyle, C.J. Wheatstone, Margaret Mithall, P.L. MAFCorp and AFRC IGAP Lincoln College Lincoln College The Effects of Market Farm Management by Microdynamics of Farm Structure on the Distribution Computer - Prospects Adjustment of Research Gains in the NZ and Possibilities Sheepmeet Industry Session C-5, Room 5, Chairperson: Ron Sandrey Bewley, R. and Elliott, G. Zeitsch, John Bouis. H.E. University of Sydney Industries Assis. Com. Int. Food Policy Res. Inst. The Rejection of Homogeneity A Food Demand System Adding Input Demands to Based on Demand for in Demand and Supply Analysis partial Equilibrium An Explanation and Solution Characteristics: If There Moricultural Trade Models is Curvative in the Slutsky Matrix. What do the Curves Look Like and Why? Session C-6, Room 6, Chairperson: Brian Hardaker Enjiang, C. Soe, Tin and Fisher, Brian Chandra, S. University of Melbourne ATDAB University of Sydney hasing Expenditure and Australian Aid Experience

in the Livestock Sector

of the Less Developed

Countries

Chinese Rural Development

- Preliminary Results of a

Survey in Jiangsu Province

Burnesse Rice Price

Since Independence

Policies: An Economic

Analysis of Pavelopments

Selected and Contributed Papers, Session D Thursday 9 February - 0.30 to 10.30 as

Sassion D-1, Room 1, Chairperson: Robin Johnson

Powell, Roy

Reynolds, R.G.; Chiao, Y.S.

and Robinson, B.R.

MAFCOCO

Hite, Joses: Hendry, Mark Clemeon Uni. Sth Carolina

Rural Regional

Stabilisation: A Problem and Some Policy Options

University of New England

Macroeconomic Policies and Accionational Sector

Responses

Capital Income and Capital

Gains Taxation in

Chisholm, Tony

La Trobe University

Agriculture and Forestry

Session D-2, Room 2, Chairperson: Gerald Frencley

Galapitage, D.C. La Trobe University

in Victoria

Yapp, T.P. and Connell, L.J. Soil Conservation Service.

N.S.W.

Chewings, R.A.

Soil Conservation Service

N.S.W.

Alternative Management Policies for Mountain Ash (Eucalyptus Regmans R Muell)

A Review of Factors Influencing the Adoption of Conservation Tillage

Economic Evaluation of the Gobondery Soil Conservation Project

Session D-3, Room 3, Chairperson: Tony Zwart

Angel, C.; Beare, S. and Zwart, A.

ABARE and Lincoln College

Martin. W.

ABARE

Dept. of Ag., N.S.W. Alston, J.H.

Mullen, John D.

University of California, Davis

and Wohlgenant, M.K.

M. Caroline State University

Product Characteristics and Arbitrage in the Australian and NZ Wool

Market

Implications of China's Foreign Exchange System for the Wool Market

Demand Parameters in the Wool Processing Industry

Session D-4, Room 4, Chairperson: Peter Nuthall

Vishwakarma, Keshav La Trobe University Wang.K.Mc.: Richmond. G.S.: Hacker, R.B.; Hertzler, G. and Lindner. B.K.

University of W.A.

Man-12v. T.G.: Thomas, W C. and Muscrave, N.F.

University of New England and University of Alaska

Optimization Over Time for Agriculture and Resource Management

Grazing Management Decision-making in the Pastoral Zone of Western Australia: an Application Using Control Theory

Policy Response Functions in Spatial Trading Systems

Session D-5, Room 5, Chairperson: Bob Lindner

Deng, Gang

La Trobe University

Gyles, Amanda; Hard-ker, Brian; Kami Viliami and Speijer, Paul University of New England

The Role of Books and Adricultural Development in Traditional China Classics

Keeping Research Relevant: Experiences with On-Farm

Trials in Tonga