

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



Journal of Food Distribution Research Volume 43, Issue 1

Pigeonpea as a Niche Crop for Small Farmers

F. Tegegne^a, S.P. Singh^b, D. Duseja^c, E. Ekanem^d and R. Bullock^e

**Research Professor, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences
Tennessee State University, 3500 John Merritt Blvd., Nashville, TN 37209

*Phone: 615-963-5830, Email: ftegegne@Tnstate.edu

^bProfessor and Department Head, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences Tennessee State University, 3500 John Merritt Blvd., Nashville, TN 37209

^cProfessor, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences Tennessee State University, 3500 John Merritt Blvd., Nashville, TN 37209

^dResearch Professor/Agricultural Economist, Department of Ag and Environmental Sciences College of Agriculture, Tennessee State University, 3500 John Merritt Blvd., Nashville, TN 37209 Phone: 6150963-5823, Email:eekanem@TNstate.edu

Abstract

Pigeonpea is a nutritious legume crop that has the ability to fix nitrogen, grow on marginal lands and has multipurpose use for human consumption, animal feed and fuel. India is the largest producer and consumer of the crop. However, there is growing interest to produce the crop by other countries including the United States. The focus of this study was to examine the role of row spacing on yield. Using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) it was found that spacing affects yield. That is, the more the spacing the more the yield.

Keywords: Pigeonpea, Niche crop, Small Farmers, Tennessee, Analysis of Variance.

Introduction

Small Farms, which make up approximately 91% of all farms in the United States, are diverse (Hoppe, 2010; USDA, 2007). They control a significant share of farm assets and are important for the vitality of rural communities (USDA, 1998; Steele, 1997). They also participate in government programs such as the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and the Wetlands Reserve Program (WRP). Despite their number and importance, small farmers continue to face a number of challenges arising from domestic policy changes, globalization, concentrated and vertically integrated large farm operations that dominate national and international markets (Ebodaghe, 2009; Tegegne et al., 2004).

Small farmers can use different strategies to deal with the above challenges including diversification of their farm operations, introducing on farm value adding activities, as well as strengthening farmers' networks to improve market access using different marketing channels (Mishra et al. 2004; Tubene and Hanson, 2002).

One possible niche crop is Pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan L. Millsp), a low input, and warm season grain legume. It is tolerant of dry weather and poor soil conditions (Metz et al., 2007; Phatak et al., (1993). It grows well on marginal lands and being a deep-rooted crop, is drought tolerant and capable of growing in semi-arid conditions with less than 635 mm of rainfall (Baryeh and Mangope, 2002). Its deep tap root and abundance of organic matter is known to improve soil and soil water storage. It has capability to fix nitrogen and is noted for its great soil adaptability than other legumes. Pigeonpea seeds are nutritious, have high-protein (21%) with high protein digestibility (68%), low in fat, sodium, have zero cholesterol, and high dietary fibers (Morton, 1976; Salunkhe et al., 1986; Sinha et al., 1977). The crop ranks sixth in the World in dry land legume production (Arnold, 2002). It provides healthy food for humans; animal feed and fuel (Whiteman et al., 1981). India is the largest producer and consumer of the crop.

Work by Metz et al., 2007; Phatak et al., (1993) have underscored the potential of pigeonpea production in the Southeastern United States. Studies by Rao et al., (2002; 2003) have focused on production and use of the crop for forage. Currently there is work underway at Tennessee State University, Alabama A and M University and Virginia State University with funding support from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). Similar work is also being done at Texas A and M University and USDA, ARS laboratory in Oklahoma.

The pigeonpea market is highly globalized, very competitive and dominated by India: the major producer and consumer. However, the market for it has been increasing outside Asian and Africa countries into Europe, the United States and Canada due to migration and increase in ethnic populations, especially in large cities. However, the Indian market still remains the largest (Rusike and Dimes, 2004). Work by Lucier, et al. (2000) shows increase in per capita bean consumption in the United States due to interest in ethnic foods cooked with dry beans and change in America's dietary awareness. The objective of this study is to assess the impact of spacing on yield and make exploratory evaluation of the market for the crop in the Nashville area.

Materials and Method

This study was carried out on Tennessee State University Agricultural Research and Demonstration farm in 2006. A Complete Randomized Block Design (CRBD) with four replications. The four pigeonpea cultivars used were (Georgia 1, Georgia 2, W-1 and W-2). The first two cultivars are developed at University of Georgia and hence named accordingly while the latter two are merely designated as White seeded varieties. The seeds were planted using two types of row spacing (10ft x 10ft and 40ft x 40 inch). Land preparation was done and pre-emergence herbicide was applied for weed control. The soil type on the plot where the crop is planted is armour with its texture being armour silt loam. Soil test was done to determine the appropriate application rate of fertilizer and other inputs. During planting inoculant was used to enhance seed germination. The crop was manually harvested at different time intervals and shelled. Yield data for the different varieties was averaged. Analysis Of Variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the impact of spacing on the different pigeonpea cultivars and average yield per acre.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 below provides average yield per acre in 2006 for the four varieties. It shows the yield is higher for all varieties when spacing is large.

Table 1: Spacing and Yield Data

Spacing	Treatment Variety	Average Yield/Acre (in lbs)
10ft x 10ft	Georgia 1	2035
	Georgia 2	995
	W-1	1054
	W-2	799
40iWork inch x 40ft x 4 rows by 3 repli- cations	Georgia 1	2764
	Georgia 2	2253
	W-1	2216
	W-2	2834

Table 2 shows the results of analysis of the Data on the average yield per acre for each pigeonpea variety and spacing. It indicates that there is no significant difference between average yield per acre for pigeonpea varieties overall. The results also show that there is a significant difference between spacing. Finally, there is no significant interaction between varieties and spacing.

Table 2: Result of Data Analysis

ANOVA: Two-Factor With Replication							
SUMMARY	Georgia 1	Georgia 2	W-1	W-2	Total		
10							
Count	3	3	3	3	12		
Sum	6104	2986	3161	2398	14649		
Average	2034.666667	995.333333	1053.667	799.3333	1220.75		
Variance	253461.3333	11529.3333	360390.3	51484.33	373643.3		
40							
Count	3	3	3	3	12		
Sum	8293	6758	6649	8502	30202		
Average	2764.333333	2252.66667	2216.333	2834	2516.833		
Variance	1436356.333	1287108.33	241580.3	368311	693865.2		
Total							
Count	6	6	6	6			
Sum	14397	9744	9810	10900			
Average	2399.5	1624	1635	1816.667			
Variance	835651.1	993721.2	646326.4	1409879			
ANOVA							
Source of							
Variation	SS	df	MS	$\boldsymbol{\mathit{F}}$	P-value	F crit	
Sample	10078992.04	1	10078992	20.10661	0.000376	4.493998	
Columns	2393699.125	3	797899.7	1.591732	0.230531	3.238872	
Interaction	1328452.125	3	442817.4	0.883377	0.470619	3.238872	
Within	8020442.667	16	501277.7				
Total	21821585.96	23					

Work by others support our finding that there is link between yield and spacing (for instance, see Faroda and Johri, 1981).

Summary and Conclusions

Pigeonpea is a nutritious crop that has a number of other desirable qualities. These include its ability to fix nitrogen, grow on marginal lands and multipurpose use for human consumption, animal feed and fuel. The focus of this study was to examine the role of row spacing on yield and explore market potential of the crop. This study found that spacing affects yield. That is, the more the spacing the more the yield. India is the largest producer and consumer of the crop. However, there is growing interest to produce the crop by other countries including the United States. Some universities in the Southern region are engaged in studies involving the crop with funding support from various agencies including the United States Department of Agriculture. Market assessment for the crop was done by holding face to face meetings with managers of ethnic and other food stores as well as vendors at farmers market in the metro Nashville area. All

expressed their willingness to buy large quantities of the crop at competitive price. Thus, growing pigeonpea can provide opportunity for small farmers to earn addition income and supply healthy nutritious food for consumers.

References

Arnold, J. (2002). Pigeonpea Benefits Cattle on the Range. Agricultural Research [On-line]. Available at: http://www.ars.usda.gov/is/AR/archive/aug02/range0802.pdf

Baryeh, A.E., and B.K. Mangope. (2002). Some Physical Properties of QP-38 Variety of Pigeonpea. Journal of Food Engineering, 56, 59–65.

Ebodaghe, D. (Ed). 2009. Proceedings of the 5th National Small Farm Conference, United States Department of Agriculture, NIFA, Washington, D.C.

Faroda, S.A. and J. N. Johri. 1981. Extending Pigeonpea cultivation to Non-traditional Areas in India. In ICRISAT, Proceedings of the International Workshop on Pigeonpea, volume 1, 15-19 December, Patancheru, India.

Hendrickson, M., and W. Heffernan. 2007. Concentration of Agricultural Markets. University of Missouri, Department of Rural Sociology, Columbia.

Hoppe, A. R., J.A. MacDonald and P. Korb. 2010. Small Farms in the United States: Persistence Under pressure. Economic Information Bulletin No. EIB 63.

Lucier, G.; B. Lin; J. Allshouse; and L. S. Kantor (2000, April). Factors Affecting Dry Bean Consumption in the United States ERS/USDA, Vegetables and Specialties S & O/VGS-280.

Metz, P. S., J. J. Sloan, R. Sutton, S. Rao, S. Phatak, D. Reger and J. Heitholt. 2007. Pigeonpea: A Versatile Drought Resistant Crop for the Southern Great Plains. Presented at the 2007 International Annual meeting of the ASA-CSSA-SSSA, New Orleans.

Mishra, K. A., F. Tegegne and C. Sandretto. 2004. The Impact of Participation in Cooperatives on the Success of Small Farms. Journal of Agribusiness 22,1 (Spring2004):31-48.

Morton, J. F. (1976). The Pigeonpea (Cajanus Cajan L. Millsp) A High-Protein, Tropical Legume. HortScience. 11, 11-19.

Phatak, S.C., R.G. Nadimpalli, S.C. Tiwari, and H.L. Bhardwaj. (1993). Pigeonpeas: Potential new crop for the southeastern United States. p. 597-599. In: J. Janick and J.E. Simon (eds.), New Crops. Wiley, New York. [On-line]. Available at: http://www.hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/proceedings1993/v2-597.html

Rao, S. C.; S. W. Coleman, and H. S. Mayeux. (2002). Forage Production and Nutritive Value of Selected Pigeonpea Ecotypes in Southern Great Plains. Crop Science. A2, 1259-1260

Rao, S.C.; W.A. Phillips, H.S. Mayeux., and S.C. Phatak. (2003). Potential Grain and Forage Production of Early Maturing Pigeonpea in the Southern Great Plains. Crop Science. 43, 2212-2217.

Rusike, J., Dimes, J.P. (2004). Effecting change through private sector client services for small-holder farmers in Africa. 4th International Crop Science Congress, Brisbane. [On-line]. Available at: http://www.cropscience.org.au/icsc2004/symposia/4/6/997 rusikej.htm.

Salunkehe, D. K., J. K. Chavan and S. S. Kadam. (1986). Pigeonpea as Important Food Source. CRC Critical Review in Food Science and Nutrition 23(2), 103-141.

Sinha S.K. (1977). Food legumes: distribution, adaptability and biology of yield. In: FAO plant production and protection paper 3. p. 1-102. FAO, Rome.

Steele, C. (1997). Why U.S Agriculture and Rural Areas Have a Stake in Small Farms in Rural Development Perspectives. Economic Research Service, 12(2), pp. 26-31.

Tegegne, F., E. Ekanem and S. Muhammad. (2004). Challenges and Opportunities for Small Farmers in an Era of Growing Competition. The Southern Business and Economic Journal, 27(1)(2).

Tubene, S. and J. Hanson. (2002). The Wholesale Produce Auction: An Alternative Marketing Strategy for Small Farms American Journal of Alternative Agriculture, 17 (1).

United States Department of Agriculture, National Commission on Small Farms. (1998). A Time to Act: A Report of the USDA National Commission on Small Farms, Washington, D.C.

United States Department of Agriculture. 2007Census of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.

Whiteman P. C. and B. W. Norton. (1981). Alternative Uses of Pigeonpea. Proceedings of International Workshop on Pigeonpea, 1, 365-377.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Sharad Phatak, Professor Emeritus, for his encouragement to embark on this work as well as for arranging to get free seed during the first two years. Dr. Rao Mentreddy's comments on the draft version of the paper are also appreciated. Assistance by Tennessee State University Agricultural Research and Demonstration farm crew in preparing the field plot for planting and help by students in field work including planting, harvesting and data collection are acknowledged. We are also grateful for the financial support provided under the Evans-Allen program through the College of Agriculture, Human and Natural Sciences.