



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

Government Interventions In Agriculture

National Policies and Agricultural Trade. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Paris, 1987, 344 pages, \$25

Reviewed by Mathew Shane

There are serious conflicts in global agricultural markets between growing-world interdependence and domestically focused agricultural policies. The current Uruguay round of multilateral negotiations under the auspices of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) offers a strategic opportunity to alter fundamentally international agricultural markets and to overcome growing conflicts. Domestically focused policies have led to a misalignment of production and use of agricultural products with resulting cycles of substantial surpluses followed by global agricultural shortages.

In this book, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development has provided a benchmark study on the magnitude and consequences of national agricultural policies. As such, it is a major contribution to the global analysis of the effects of government interventions in agriculture. It should be read by all serious students of the subject. The report is timely and relevant given the current multilateral GATT negotiations.

The report is divided into six chapters. Eight annexes follow. In some ways, these are the most interesting part of the report. For instance, tables 2 and 3 on pages 117-8 provide detailed estimates of producer subsidy equivalents (PSE's) and consumer subsidy equivalents (CSE's) by country and commodity. Table 4 on page 134 provides estimates of the level of costs of agricultural policies compared with gross domestic product (GDP), gross value added by agriculture at market prices (GVA), and final value of agricultural production (FVAP). Agricultural subsidies are, not surprisingly, small compared with GDP since agriculture in industrial market economies is a relatively small sector. The costs of the policies in terms of GVA and FVAP, however, are enormous ranging from a low of 6.1 percent of FVAP in Australia to a high of 58 percent in Japan. Compared with GVA, the high exceeds 100 percent for Japan and approaches 100 percent for the EC.

The conclusions of the study are equally unsurprising, supporting the same general conclusions which have been flowing out of the work at ERS and else-

where. Nonetheless, the importance of these conclusions make them worth scrutinizing.

- "Policies have aggravated the growing imbalance between supply and effective demand at current prices and the spread of the imbalance to most commodities and to most countries" (p. 7)
- "The level of assistance to agriculture during the period 1979-81 was quite substantial averaging over one third of the value of output for OECD producers and over 60 percent, on average, for dairy and rice. About half of this assistance came from Government budgets and half from transfers from consumers. Support levels were this high even though this period was one of generally buoyant markets with limited intervention compared with more recent years" (p. 13)
- "Agricultural trade problems have their origin in a set of domestic policies that shield producers from world market signals and are incompatible with the upward trend in agricultural productivity and the low growth in food demand facing OECD countries. Policies, whose principal although not exclusive purpose is to improve the level and stability of the standard of living of farmers, have been largely implemented on the basis of raising the value of output resulting in the expansion of supply beyond market requirements" (p. 24)
- "The income objective of agricultural policies "has not been entirely achieved to achieve it in a more economic and more socially-acceptable way and with the minimum distortion to trade requires a switch away from measures that stimulated output" (p. 25)
- "Policies have evolved to counteract the effect of other policies, either of domestic origin or not. A partial approach, even a unilateral approach, could bring benefits to domestic consumers, to other economic sectors through better resource use and to other exporters if reductions are made by those countries which heavily subsidize exports. However, the partial approach is likely to generate some further imbalances in some cases, exacerbating international trade tensions, and to yield significantly lower benefits than multilateral reform. If progress is to be made in resolving the serious problems confronting all OECD members, a multilateral, multi-commodity approach, both to the analysis and the resolution of the problems, should be urgently pursued" (p. 49)

Shane is deputy director of the Agriculture and Trade Analysis Division, ERS.

- "The higher the degree of insulation of domestic markets, the larger are the world price changes which result from unforeseen changes in market circumstances. The inflexibility of agricultural policies has led in most cases to agricultural trade actions by OECD countries that have exported agricultural adjustment pressures to world markets" (p. 60)
- "There is little choice but to accept that, for the OECD area, adjustment at the point of production is an essential part of reform. Such a reorientation, by reducing the export of adjustment pressures, will be a major contribution to an enduring improvement in the situation" (p. 61)
- "The present problems of agriculture stem primarily from past policy incentives which have led to an excessive expansion of production capacity while the food needed could be produced with far fewer resources and at a lower cost with consequent gains to the whole economy. Furthermore, agricultural assistance policies have not been sufficiently adjusted to take account of productivity increases and the rigidity of the support mechanisms have [sic] not only prevented the appropriate market signals from being transmitted to producers, but has in several cases been interpreted by farmers as an encouragement to produce" (p. 69)

Although the study is well done and an important contribution to this area, there are some serious limitations to the analysis. For example:

- The study has limited commodity and country coverage. The focus is on liberalization of the major industrial market economies' agriculture. Although the developing economies tend not to subsidize their agriculture, they still intervene substantially in their agricultural sectors. Any analysis that assumes that the developing countries are not subject to policy reform is omitting a major part of the global agricultural economy which could lead to serious errors of interpretation.
- A partial equilibrium global commodity model is used. No consideration is given of the interindustry effects of liberalization of agriculture. Other analyses of multilateral liberalization using gen-

eral equilibrium models conclude that major changes occur in the nonagricultural sectors because of trade liberalization.

- The analysis is static in nature. Most of the benefits of trade liberalization will come from the induced structural changes which occur because of the removal of constraints to economic agents. As such, the benefits as estimated in this study should greatly underestimate the potential benefits of policy reforms.
- The liberalization scenario is a very limited one. One must ask whether a 10-percent reduction in subsidies represents policy reform at all. An analysis conducted at ERS suggests that almost all countries could undertake such a reduction without a major change in their policy regimes. The increase in world prices caused by the 1988 US drought reduced subsidy levels as measured by PSE's by more than 10 percent.
- Finally, what is the United States hoping to accomplish by the multilateral negotiations under the GATT? Reducing subsidy levels? Reducing the trade-distorting effects of the current policies? If the United States is interested in the latter, then the PSE's are a poor measure of trade-distorting policies. Rethinking of the analysis, and better tools for the measurement of government subsidies are required.

The United States faces a world that is increasingly interdependent, both in terms of trade and financial resources, and yet agricultural policies are still designed for a world in which international consequences of domestically focused policies are quite small. The increasing divergence between this growing interdependence and interventionist agricultural policies is the longrun driving force toward reform.

Aside from the obvious benefits of trade liberalization and as clearly recognized as the current inefficiencies within existing policies, the movement toward reform has been slow, unsteady, and uncertain. The current lack of progress in the GATT negotiations on agriculture is an indication of how difficult it is to change policies that benefit a few well-organized groups whose costs are broadly dispersed.

mouton de gruyter

Berlin New York

europaean review
of agricultural economics

Editor. Kees Burger
Economic and Social Institute
Free University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

The **European Review of Agricultural Economics** serves as a forum for discussions about the development of theoretical and applied agricultural economics research in Europe and for stimulating ideas regarding the economic problems of agriculture in Europe and other parts of the world

The **ERAE** also promotes discussion on national resource use, protection of the environment, marketing of agricultural products and development of rural areas

Throughout the **ERAE** strives for balanced coverage of all issues in agricultural economics: production economics, operations research and farm management problems, agricultural policy, including farm incomes and farm structure, regional planning and rural development, supply analysis, factor markets, demand analysis and marketing, international trade and development, statistical and econometric methods, etc. Original articles as well as full or abstracted articles which have already appeared in national publications and/or in other languages are included. Shorter features supplement the main contents and ensure that the most recent information available is covered. These features include research notes, book reviews, comments on previously published articles and news items about European activities in the field of agricultural economics such as meetings and conferences

The **European Review of Agricultural Economics** is published as one volume for four issues per year (approximately 520 pages)

Subscription rates for Volume 16 (1989)

Institutions/libraries	DM 198 00
Individuals (prepaid only*)	DM 101 00 (includes postage)
Single issues	DM 54 00

Prices in US\$ for subscriptions in North America only

Institutions/libraries	US\$ 110 00
Individuals (prepaid only*)	US\$ 43 00 (includes postage)
Single issues	US\$ 38 00

Individual subscriptions are for personal use only and must be prepaid and ordered directly from the publisher. Prepayment may be made by cheque or by credit card: MasterCard (Access), EuroCard, Visa and American Express (AMEX may not be used in North America). The individual rate is not available in the FRG, Switzerland or Austria.

Subscriptions, single or back issues may be ordered through your local bookseller or subscription agent, or directly from MOUTON DE GRUYTER (a division of Walter de Gruyter) at either of the following addresses:

For North America
Walter de Gruyter Inc
200 Saw Mill River Road
Hawthorne, N Y 10532
USA

For all other countries
Walter de Gruyter & Co
Postfach 11 02 40
D-1000 Berlin 11
Federal Republic of Germany