

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Staff Papers Series

Staff Paper P83-2

January 1983

THE IMPACT OF INTEREST RATES ON OPTIMAL TIME ON FEED AND MARKET WEIGHT FOR BEEF CATTLE

Jeffrey Apland and Joanne Geigel



Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics

University of Minnesota
Institute of Agriculture, Forestry and Home Economics
St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

THE IMPACT OF INTEREST RATES ON OPTIMAL TIME ON FEED AND MARKET WEIGHT FOR BEEF CATTLE

Jeffrey Apland and Joanne Geigel*

* Assistant Professor and Research Assistant, respectively, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, University of Minnesota

Staff Papers are published without formal review by the Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics.

The Impact of Interest Rates on Optimal Time on Feed and Market Weight for Beef Cattle

Jeffrey Apland and Joanne Geigel

Introduction

The high rates of interest of recent years have had marked impacts on costs of production for the farm firm and thus production, financial and market decision making. The costs of finishing livestock are especially sensitive to changes in interest rates. A feeder cattle enterprise, for example, involves an initial outlay for feeder calves - the largest component of production costs for the enterprise. The interest expense on the cost of the feeder calves, the cost of feed and other operating costs represents the third largest component of production costs for feeder cattle (feed costs are the second largest, after feeder calves). The use of high grain feed rations will increase feed costs, but a higher rate of gain will be achieved. Thus, while operating expenses increase when more grain is fed, the length of the production process, and interest cost per dollar of operating expenses, will decrease. For a given overall rate of gain, the weight at which the slaughter cattle are marketed can be reduced, also shortening the production process and interest expense. The purpose of the research reported here is to examine how changes in the interest rate influence the optimal number of days on feed for slaughter cattle at given market weights. The sensitivity of optimal market weights to changes in the rate of interest are examined, also.

Minimum Cost Daily Feed Ration Model

Minimum cost daily feed rations were generated for cattle in 6 weight classes and at several rates of gain. The following optimization problem represents a general specification of the model.

MINIMIZE: DAILY RATION COST =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} X_{i}$$
 (1-1)

SUBEJCT TO:
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{2i} x_{i} \leq b_{1}$$
 (1-2)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{2i} X_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} (b_{2}/\alpha_{j}) S_{j} \ge 0$$
(1-3)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{3i} X_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} (b_{3}/(1-\alpha_{j})) S_{j} \ge 0$$
 (1-4)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} S_{i} = 1$$
(1-5)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{4i} X_{i} \stackrel{>}{=} b_{4}$$
(1-6)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{5i} X_{i} \geq b_{5}$$
(1-7)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{6i} X_{i} \geq b_{6}$$
(1-8)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{5i}X_{i} - d_{1}Y_{1} \ge 0$$
 (1-9)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{5i} X_{i} - d_{1} Y_{1} \le 0$$
 (1-10)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{7i} X_{i} - d_{3} Y_{2} \ge 0$$
 (1-11)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{6i} X_{i} - Y_{1} = 0$$
 (1-12)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{1i} X_{i} - Y_{2} = 0$$
(1-13)

$$X_1, \dots, X_n; S_1, \dots, S_m; Y_1, Y_2 \ge 0$$
 (1-14)

Referring to equations (1-1) through (1-14) activities X_1 ; $i=1,\ldots,n_j$ represent the quantities of each of n feeds considered for the feed rations. Parameter c_i represents the unit cost of the ith feed, thus the objective function (1-1) is the total cost of the daily feed ration, which is minimized. Constraint parameters a_{1i} , a_{2i} , a_{3i} , a_{4i} , a_{6i} and a_{7i} are the nutrient contents of the ith feed, representing the pounds of dry matter, megacalories of net energy for maintenance, megacalories of net energy for gain, pounds of total protein, calcium, phosphorus and potassium, respectively, per pound of feed. Constraint (1-2) limits the dry matter content of the ration to no more than level b_1 . Constraints (1-3) and (1-4) represent the net energy requirements of the animal. A separable programming specification was used for these constraints. Net energy requirements for steers follow those suggested by Lofgreen and Garrett. The requirements can be summarized as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{2i} X_{i} \geq b_{2}/\alpha$$
 (1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{3i} X_{i} \ge b_{3}/(1-\alpha)$$
 (2)

$$0 < \alpha < 1 \tag{3}$$

Where: α is the proportion of the ration going to maintenance energy requirements, $(1-\alpha)$ is the portion of the ration going to gain energy requirements, b_2 and b_3 are the net energy requirements for maintenance and gain respectively, and other parameters and variables follow previous definitions. To capture the non-linear restrictions implied by equations (1), (2) and (3) in a linear programming formulation, special variables s_j (j=1,...,m) were defined to represent m values of α ($\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 ... < \alpha_m$) covering the relevant range of the parameter (generally, $0.0 < \alpha \le 1.0$).

Thus, equations (1-3), (1-4) and (1-5) provide a piecewise linear approximation of constraints (1), (2) and (3), [Brokken].

Constraints (1-6), (1-7) and (1-8) maintain the minimum requirements of total protein, calcium and phosphorus in the ration. Equations (1-12) and (1-13) define variables Y_1 and Y_2 as the total phosphorus and dry matter contents of the ration, respectively. Constraints (1-9) and (1-10), then, restrict the ratio of calcium to phosphorus in the ration to a minimum of d_1 and a maximum of d_2 (values used in this study were $d_1 = 1.2$ and $d_2 = 5.0$). By constraint (1-11), the ratio of potassium to total dry matter in the ration must be greater than or equal to d_3 ($d_3 = 0.0066$, here). Finally, the usual non-negativity restrictions apply to all of the linear programming activities.

Minimum Total Feed Cost Model

Results from the minimum cost daily feed ration model were used in a second model to find the minimum cost feeding strategies for a given market weight and a given number of total days on feed. A general specification of the model follows.

MINIMIZE: TOTAL RATION COST =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_4} P_i F_{1i}$$
 (2-1)

$$Y_{jkl}, F_{1i}, F_{2i}, D_{j} \ge 0$$
 $j=1,...,n_{i}; k=1,...,n_{2j};$ (2-7)
 $\ell=1,...,n_{3jk}; i=1,...,n_{4}$

The feeding process is specified in the model by including feed requirements in each of n_1 stages of growth, where a "stage" represents a range in the animal's weight (e.g. 500 to 600 lb., 600 to 700 lb., etc.). Activities Y_{jkl} are defined as the use of the lth alternative daily feed ration ($l=1,\ldots,n_{3jk}$), for feeding to the lth rate of gain ($l=1,\ldots,n_{2j}$) in the lth stage of growth. The units of the feeding activities are days. Activity l1 is defined as the total use of feed i ($l=1,\ldots,n_4$) and activities l2 represent the quantity of feed i used in the l1 stage.

Parameter p_i is the unit price of the ith feed. Thus, the objective function (equation 2-1) is total feed cost per head, which is minimized. G_j is the gain required in stage j and g_{kj} is the kth daily rate of gain in the jth stage. The left hand sides of constraints 2-2, then, give the gain in each of the n_1 stages of growth associated with given feeding strategies (vectors $Y_{k\ell}$) in those stages. Total gain in each stage is constrained to level G_j , the gain associated with the jth stage of growth. Constraint 2-3 limits the total days on feed to no more than T days.

Parameter a_{ijkl} represents the quantity of the ith feed in the lth daily feed ration with the kth daily rate of gain in stage j. Equations 2-4 sum the use of each feed over all stages into activities F_{1i} . Equations 2-5 are accounting rows which sum the use of each feed in each stage into activities F_{2ji} . Constraints 2-6 are also accounting rows to sum the days on feed for each stage into activities D_{i} . Constraints 2-7 are non-negativity

restrictions on each of the linear programming activities.

Alternative daily feeding strategies used in the model may in general be limited to a set of efficient strategies, though the optimization of the model will insure such efficiency in the solution. If all feeds are available in infinitely elastic supply, and all feed is purchased at the beginning of the feeding process, alternative feed rations need include only the least cost daily rations for each animal weight class and each rate of gain derived using the market prices of the feeds. With infinitely elastic supply, feed values have no endogenous components. If all feed is purchased at the same time, interest expense is proportional to the market prices of the feeds and does not influence the relative feed values between stages in the feeding process.

Table 1 contains an abbreviated linear programming tableau to further illustrate the formulation of the minimum total feed cost model. For illustrative purposes, five stages are used. The five stages represent the feeding process from 500 to 600, 600 to 700, 700 to 800, 800 to 900 and 900 to 1000 lb., respectively. "Accounting" rows used to report feed use by stage and days on feed by stage are omitted from the tableau for the sake of clarity.

Row 1 is the objective function row—total feed costs. Coefficients in this row are feed prices per pound for the five alternative feeds — corn silage, corn grain, dicalcium phosphate, ground limestone and soybean meal, respectively. Constraints 2 through 6 maintain the necessary total gain

^{1/} Efficiency here implies minimum cost for the relevant range in implicit and/or explicit feed values. The opportunity set must be convex so that linear combinations of the daily rations specified are feasible and a global optimum is insured.

Model.
Cost
Feed
rota1
Minimum
the
of
Tableau
Programming
Linear
An Abbreviated
::
Table

in each of the stages of growth. The "aj's" in rows 2 through 6 on the daily ration use activities are daily rates of gain. Constraint 7 limits the total time on feed to no more than 240 days. Coefficients on the daily feed ration use activities in constraints 8 through 12 are the quantities of each of the five feeds in the daily rations. These restrictions constrain total feed use to be less than or equal to the quantities of each feed purchased (optimization will insure equality here).

Sensitivity analysis can be performed in a relatively straight forward manner. To capture the impacts on total feed costs of changes in total days on feed, the right-hand side of constraint 7 can be altered. The market weight of the animal can be changed with the appropriate adjustment of the gain required in stage five for weights ranging from 900 to 1000 pounds. As set in Table 1, the market weight is constrained to 950 lb. by requiring 50 lb. of gain in stage 5 (the right-hand side of constraint 6). To set the market weight at 900 lb., gain in stage 5 would be set at zero. For a market weight of 850 lb., gain in stage 5 would be zero and gain in stage 4 would be set at 50 lb. Additional feeding activities for a sixth stage of growth were added when final weights of 1050 and 1100 lb. were considered.

Analysis and Results

Market weights for steers considered in this study were 1000, 1050 and 1100 pounds. Six growth stages were used: 500 to 600 lb., 600 to 700 lb., 700 to 800 lb., 800 to 900 lb., 900 to 1000 lb., and 1000 to 1100 lb. Minimum cost daily feed rations were generated for animals weighing 550, 650, 750, 850, 950 and 1050 lb. and were assumed to be representative of feed requirements over each of the six growth stages. Nutrient requirements used in the minimum cost daily feed ration model were based upon 1976

National Research Council findings as reported in Ross and Sewell. Rations were generated for daily rates of gain in 0.25 lb. increments from 1.00 to 2.50 lb./day for stage 1, 1.50 to 2.50 lb./day for stage 2, and 2.00 to

2.50 lb./day for stages 3 through $6.\frac{2}{}$

Five alternative feeds were considered in the rations -- corn silage, corn grain, dicalcium phosphate, ground limestone and soybean meal. Prices for dicalcium phosphate, ground limestone and soybean meal were set at 1982 levels and are reported in Table 2. The relative costs of daily feed rations at different rates of gain are especially sensitive to corn silage and corn grain prices. Nine combinations of corn and corn silage prices were considered in the analysis. The feed prices for each of the nine price sets are given in Table 2. Corn grain was priced at \$2.85 per bushel for feed price sets 4, 5 and 6, \$2.25 for price sets 1, 2 and 3, and \$3.45 for price sets 7, 8 and 9. A medium corn silage price was calculated based upon each corn price. The silage price per ton was calculated as six times the price of corn per bushel, plus \$2.00 -- a "breakeven" price assuming a 120 bushel per acre yield for grain, 20 tons per acre for silage and a \$2.00 per acre difference in variable costs of production. Silage prices so derived for corn grain prices of \$2.25, \$2.85 and \$3.45 were \$15.50, \$19.10 and \$22.80, respectively and were used in feed price sets 2, 5 and 8. So that the sensitivity of the results to changes in the relative prices of corn grain and corn silage could be examined, low and high silage prices were used for each corn price which were \$3.00 per ton less and \$3.00 greater than the breakeven prices. The low prices (\$12.50, \$16.10

^{2/} The minimum daily rate of gain for each stage was the lowest rate for which nutrient requirements were available. Nutrient requirements for rates of 1.25, 1.75 and 2.25 lb./day were calculated by linear interpolation of requirements at 1.00 and 1.50, 1.50 and 2.00, and 2.00 and 2.50 lb./day respectively, except net energy requirements. Equations were published for calculating net energy for gain requirements at each rate of gain used.

Table 2: Alternative Feed Price Combinations Used in the Analysis.

	Feed Pr	Price Set	•						
	Н	2	က	7	5	9	7	8	6
Corn Silage (\$/ton) Corn Silage (\$/lb.)	12.50	15.50	18.50	16.10	19.10	22.10	19.80	22.80	25.80 .0129
Corn Grain (\$/bu.) Corn Grain (\$/1b.)	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.85	2.85	2.85	3.45	3.45	3.45 .0616
Corn Grain/Silage Price Ratio (1b.)	6.381	5.154	4.323	6.284	5.302	4.586	6.222	5.404	4.775
Dicalcium Phosphate (\$/1b.) .158	.1585	.1585	.1585	.1585	.1585	.1585	.1585	.1585	.1585
Ground Limestone (\$/1b.)	.0308	.0308	.0308	.0308	.0308	.0308	.0308	.0308	.0308
Soybean Meal (\$/lb.)	.0980	0860.	.0980	.0980	0860.	.0980	.0980	.0980	.0980
		.•							

and \$19.80 per ton) were used in feed price sets 1, 4 and 7. The high relative silage prices (\$18.50, \$22.10 and \$25.80) were used in feed price sets 3, 6, and 9.

Minimum cost daily feed rations were generated for each growth stage and rate of gain for use in the model to minimize total per head feed costs. Minimum cost feeding strategies were generated for steers at market weights of 1000, 1050 and 1100 pounds. Total days on feed were constrained to 200, 220 and 240 for the 1000, 1050 and 1100 pound steers, respectively (representing an average daily rate of gain of 2.50 pounds). Solutions were then generated with total days on feed increased in 10 day increments up to 240 days for 1000 lb. steers, 260 days for 1050 lb. steers and 290 days for 1100 lb. steers. Optimal feeding strategies were derived for each market weight and these alternative numbers of days on feed subject to each of the nine feed price sets described.

Once optimal feeding strategies were derived, cash flows were projected for each of the market weights and days on feed and under each feed price set. All feed was assumed to be purchased at the beginning of the production process. Thus initial expenses include all feed costs and the cost of the 500 lb. steers. Feeder calves were priced at \$68.97 per hundredweight. A purchasing commission and trucking costs totaling \$475 were also initial expenses in the cash flow. Veterinary expenses, insurance, and building repairs totaling \$6.35 were charged in the fourth month of production. Expenses for machine operation, utilities and straw were charged evenly per month and totaled \$12.70. Hauling to market was an expense of \$7.80 per head and was charged at the end of the production process.

The results of the analysis are reported in Tables 2.1 through 2.9 (respectively) for each of the nine feed price sets. The tables

1100 290 700.70 468.98 12772 197 3.80 1.54 201 231.72 195.72 186.72 177.71 168.71 159.71 150.71 °8822222 36. 45. 54. 63. 90. 11784 448 3.16 4.64 1100 280 700.70 472.13 57 60 86 11 11 89 89 89 34. 52. 52. 661. 87. 1100 270 700.70 476.75 0 34.11 42.63 51.16 59.69 68.21 76.74 10458 776 3.16 7.67 SET 223. 189. 172. 164. 155. 1100 260 700.70 491.91 8739 1258 .89 13.79 259 0 83 36 30 77 77 77 208. 174. 166. 157. 141. 132. 33. 42. 50. 59. 67. RATES--FEED 6305 1916 0 24.27 447 1100 250 700.70 521.56 0 34.57 43.22 51.86 60.50 69.14 77.79 179. 135. 127. 118. 110. 1100 240 700.70 562.48 3396 2631 0 37.20 753 0 83 83 83 80 87 INTEREST 35. 44. 44. 53. 62. 89. 1050 260 668.85 459.40 274 274 3.35 2.96 186 . 45 . 98 . 98 . 19 . 19 . 19 . 10 . 10 209. 177. 169. 162. 154. 138. ANNUAL 1050 250 668.85 463.55 9799 576 3.16 6.16 30 69 03 38 72 07 76 0 61 27 92 58 88 88 54 205. 174. 167. 159. 151. 136. VARIOUS 1050 240 668.85 475.16 193.69 163.50 155.95 148.40 140.85 133.30 125.75 30.19 37.74 45.29 52.84 60.39 67.94 8285 973 1.60 10.59 234 AT 1050 230 668.85 501.27 167.58 137.04 129.40 121.77 114.13 106.50 98.86 91.23 0 18 18 81 45 08 08 35 5916 1648 0 20.60 375 30. 38. 45. 53. 64. 128.45 96.92 89.04 81.16 73.28 65.40 57.52 1050 220 668.85 540.40 2348 2348 2348 0 33.08 665 31. 39. 39. 39. 70. 70. HEAD--IN 1 10039 165 3 3.80 4 1.54 180 1000 240 637.00 448.42 188.58 160.14 153.03 145.91 138.80 131.69 124.58 AND FEED PER 1 9051 416 3.16 4.64 1000 230 637.00 451.57 185.43 158.01 151.15 144.30 137.44 130.59 123.73 27.42 34.28 441.13 447.99 54.84 61.70 68.56 S DAYS 60 1000 220 637.00 459.53 177.47 177.47 150.80 144.13 137.46 130.79 124.12 117.45 0F FEE 7655 769 2.38 8.33 198 ENSE 0 6.67 3.34 0.01 6.68 6.68 26. 33. 53. 66. 8 EXPE UANTITIES 1 5463 4 1405 0 .04 0 17.35 2 316 REVENUE 154.20 127.36 120.65 113.94 107.23 100.52 93.81 RETURNS 1000 210 637.00 482.80 INTEREST 0 0 57 26.84 36.33.55 35 46.33.55 35 40.26 35 40.26 35 40.26 35 40.26 35 40.26 36 37 40.26 37 40.26 37 40.26 1000 200 637.00 520.28 2741 2084 209.40 592 16. 889. 775. 68. 647. લં WEIGHT DAYS REV \$ OP EXP SILAGE CORN DP GL SBM 000000000 000000000 222745

ED PRICES PER POUND: SILAGE=\$.0063, CORN=\$.0402

0 90 27 27 65 03 41

ď

SET

PRICE

--FEED

RATES

INTEREST

ANNUAL

VARIOUS

ΔT

HS

AND

FEED

ö

DAYS

В

RETURNS

Z 2

N

щ

1100 290 700.70 488.09 212.61 175.09 165.71 156.34 146.96 137.58 128.20 1100 280 700.70 489.77 026446428 93 61 53 53 24 21 21 13 210. 174. 156. 156. 147. 129. 36. 45. 72. 81. 90. 1100 270 700.70 492.44 0 26 08 90 71 71 71 16 26 00 18 36 10 10 10 208. 173. 164. 155. 137. 128. 35. 52. 570. 79. 1100 260 700.70 505.02 0322280 68 86 16 16 75 05 34 64 434 600 78 78 78 1100 250 700.70 531.02 022882449 68 64 64 64 64 64 68 64 64 64 35. 44. 52. 52. 70. 79. 169 125 125 108 108 108 108 108 108 1100 240 700.70 567.58 0 225 33 43 43 62 62 12 81 75 63 63 57 36. 45. 54. 63. 81. 33 96 96 78 78 69 60 60 42 1050 260 668.85 475.85 1050 250 668.85 478.23 04222240 159. 151. 143. 143. 127. 119. 1050 240 668.85 487.58 0 76 76 77 77 77 34 38 38 38 38 54 65 77 1050 230 668.85 510.15 0 10 87 87 20 20 74 158. 127. 1149. 104. 96. 88. 1050 220 668.85 545.05 23.80 91.99 84.04 76.09 68.14 60.19 44.29 0 81 76 71 71 66 66 61 51 1000 240 637.00 463.44 173.56 144.13 136.78 129.42 122.06 114.71 107.35 99.99 0 443 443 50 50 51 57 1000 230 637.00 465.12 171.88 143.61 136.54 129.47 122.40 115.34 108.27 28. 35. 442. 70. 1000 220 637.00 471.01 165.99 138.63 131.79 124.95 118.10 111.26 104.42 97.58 ENSE. 0 7.36 7.36 11.04 17.89 14.73 8.41 EXPE REVENUE 146.00 118.69 111.87 105.04 98.21 91.38 84.56 1000 210 637.00 491.00 0 27.31 34.13 40.96 47.79 54.62 61.44 EREST INTE 0 0 27.79 34.74 41.69 48.64 55.58 62.53 NET 112.61 84.82 77.87 70.92 63.97 57.03 50.08 1000 200 637.00 524.39 RATE WEIGHT DAYS REV \$ OP EXP 000000000 00000000 1NT 10.0 12.5 15.0 20.0 25.0 25.0

CORN=\$. 0078, SILAGE=\$ POUND: PER PRICES

12708 197 3.83 1.54 206

11738 448 3.16 4.64 193

0458 776 3.16 7.67

8739 1258 . 89 3.79 259

6305 1916 0 24.27 447

3396 2631 0 37.20 753

0947 274 3.35 2.96 189

9776 576 3.16 6.16

8285 973 1.60 10.59 234

5916 1648 0 20.60 375

POUNDS 3099 2348 0 33.08 665

HEAD--IN 9975 6 165 6 3.83 4 1.54 5 184

FEED 7655 769 2.38 8.33

SILAGE CORN DP GL SBM

1100 290 700.70 507.08 193.62 154.60 144.84 135.09 125.33 115.88 105.82 12615 220 3.80 1.77 204 39. 48. 58. 68. 78. 1100 280 700.70 507.38 0 66 08 08 50 91 75 75 193.32 155.66 146.24 136.82 127.41 117.99 108.57 99.16 11738 448 3.16 4.64 37. 47. 556. 655. 94. m 1100 270 700.70 508.12 192.58 156.16 147.06 137.95 128.85 119.74 110.64 042220 SET 10458 776 3.16 7.67 36.4 45.8 54.6 63.7 72.8 BY DAYS ON FEED AND MARKET WEIGHT AT VARIDUS ANNUAL INTEREST RATES--FEED PRICE 1100 260 700.70 517.96 0 35.73 44.67 53.60 62.53 71.47 80.40 182.74 147.01 138.07 129.14 120.21 111.27 102.34 93.41 8611 1309 . 96 14.41 249 1100 250 700.70 540.48 6305 1916 0 24.27 447 35.86 44.83 53.79 62.76 71.73 80.69 160.22 124.36 115.39 106.43 97.46 88.49 79.53 1100 240 700.70 572.67 0 36.58 45.72 54.87 64.01 73.16 82.30 91.45 128.03 91.45 82.31 73.16 64.02 54.87 45.73 3396 2631 1050 260 668.85 492.27 176.58 142.67 134.19 125.71 117.24 108.76 100.28 10947 274 3.35 2.96 189 33.91 42.39 50.87 59.34 67.82 76.30 1050 250 668.85 492.89 175.96 143.34 135.19 127.04 118.88 110.73 102.57 94.42 0 32.62 40.77 48.92 57.08 65.23 73.39 81.54 9776 576 3.16 6.16 1050 240 668.85 499.68 31.80 39.75 47.70 555.65 63.60 71.55 169.17 137.37 129.42 121.47 113.52 105.57 97.62 89.67 8030 1075 1.73 11.84 213 1050 230 668.85 519.02 5916 1648 0 20.60 375 0.000 4 31. 39. 39. 47. 71. POUNDS. 3099 2348 0 33.08 665 1050 220 668.85 549.70 0 32.08 40.11 48.13 56.15 64.17 72.19 80.21 19.15 87.07 79.04 71.02 63.00 54.98 46.96 38.94 1000 240 637.00 478.32 HEAD--IN 04888848 9883 188 3.80 1.77 158 120 13.0 97.8 90.3 82.6 30. 38. 45. 60. 76. 1000 230 637.00 478.62 158.38 129.26 121.98 114.70 107.42 100.14 92.86 85.58 29.12 36.40 443.68 550.96 558.24 65.52 PER + 9005 416 3.16 4.64 175 JF FEED F 7528 820 2.44 8.95 188 1000 220 637.00 482.33 EXPENSE. 0 0 0 28.04 2 35.05 7 42.06 1 49.07 1 56.08 1 70.11 67 63 64 60 60 59 58 56 154. 1126. 112. 105. 98. 98. QUANTITIES (2741 5463 2084 1405 0 .04 29.40 17.35 592 2.3: NET RETURNS REVENUE 137.81 110.03 103.09 96.14 89.26 75.31 68.37 1000 210 637.00 499.19 0 27.78 34.72 41.67 48.61 55.55 62.50 INTE 0 28.02 35.02 42.02 49.03 56.03 63.04 NET 108.50 1 80.48 1 73.48 1 66.48 59.47 52.47 45.46 1000 200 637.00 528.50 RATE × EXP SILAGE CORN DP GL SBM TABLE 00000000 000000000 INT INT 25.7.2 25.7.2 25.7.2 222722

PRICES PER POUND: SILAGE=\$.0093, CORN=\$.0402

1100 290 700.70 494.01 12708 197 3.83 1.54 206 222222 0 99 44 47 47 96 206. 168. 159. 149. 140. 130. 37. 56. 56. 75. 94. 202.62 165.67 156.43 147.19 137.95 128.71 119.47 1100 280 700.70 498.08 0 36.95 46.19 55.43 64.67 73.91 83.15 4 1100 270 700.70 503.87 196.83 160.72 151.70 142.67 133.64 124.62 115.59 10458 776 3.16 7.67 0 36.11 45.13 54.16 63.19 72.21 81.24 SET PRICE 1100 260 700.70 521.10 0 96 95 95 94 95 95 95 95 95 95 89 95 89 60 65 65 68 68 69 71 179. 143. 134. 125. 107. 98. 35. 44. 44. 53. 77. 77. 89. RATES -- FEED 6305 1916 0 24.27 447 1100 250 700.70 553.41 236 36 17 17 80 80 43 36. 45. 55. 64. 73. 47. 10. 92. 73. 55. 1100 240 700.70 596.75 03.95 65.79 56.25 46.72 37.18 27.64 18.10 8.56 AT VARIOUS ANNUAL INTEREST 38. 47. 57. 66. 76. 85. 1050 260 668.85 482.07 186.78 153.59 145.30 137.00 128.70 120.41 112.11 274 274 3.35 2.96 189 0 148 148 178 008 008 008 008 008 008 333. 449. 588. 747. 181.52 149.28 141.22 133.16 125.10 117.05 108.99 1050 250 668.85 487.33 9776 576 3.16 6.16 32. 40. 48. 56. 72. 1050 240 668.85 500.68 0 31.87 39.83 47.80 55.77 63.73 71.70 68. 128. 128. 142. 164. 164. 1050 230 668.85 529.56 5916 1648 0 20.60 375 0 32 39 39 47 71 71 71 29 90 90 74 74 58 50 WEIGHT 139 106 147 147 166 32. 460. 56. 56. 1050 220 668.85 571.10 33.08 33.08 33.08 665 97.75 64.38 56.04 47.70 39.36 31.01 22.67 0 33.37 41.71 50.05 58.39 66.74 75.08 MARKET D--IN 9975 165 3.83 1.54 1000 240 637.00 468.19 168.81 139.07 131.64 124.20 116.77 109.33 101.90 AND 0 37.17 44.61 52.04 59.48 66.91 74.35 FEED 1000 230 637.00 472.27 1564.73 136.01 128.83 121.65 114.47 107.29 100.11 9005 416 3.16 4.64 Š 0F FEED 7655 769 2.38 8.33 198 DAYS EREST EXPENSE.

0
28.26
27.99
35.33
34.99
42.39
41.99
42.39
63.59
63.59
63.59
70.66
69.99 1000 220 637.00 481.54 155.46 127.47 120.47 113.47 106.47 99.47 85.47 B QUANTITIES (2741 5463 2084 1405 0 .04 29.40 17.35 592 316 REVENUE 129.32 101.06 93.99 86.93 79.86 72.79 65.73 RETURNS 1NTEREST E'

1NTEREST E'

29.05 28.26
36.32 35.7
43.58 42.
50.84 49
58.11 5/
65.37 f 1000 210 637.00 507.68 NET 89.48 60.43 53.16 45.90 38.64 31.37 24.11 1000 200 637.00 547.52 PET SILAGE CORN DP GL SBM WEIGHT DAYS REV \$ OP EXP 220.0 220.0 220.0 250.0 250.0 250.0 00000000

FEED PRICES PER POUND: SILAGE=\$.0081, CORN=\$.0509

TABLE 2.5: NET RETURNS BY DAYS ON FEED AND MARKET WEIGHT AT VARIOUS ANNUAL INTEREST RATES--FEED PRICE SET 5.

WEIGHT	2 2 2 8 8 8 8	200 210 210	250	2 2 3 3 3 3 3	1000 240						240	250			7 7 80 7 80	290
REV \$	637.00 551.63	637.00 515.87	637.00	0	637.00 483.16	668.85 575.75	668.85 538.43	668.85 512.91	668.85 501.99	668.85 498.49	700.70 601.84	700.70 562.87	700.70 534.20	700.70 519.56	700.70 515.69	700.70 513.07
TNT RATE	Z.	RATE INTEREST F	FXPENSE													
	0	0	0		0		0	0	0	0			0		0	Ŭ
	29.28	28.73	28.68	ĕ	30.72		32.87	32.67	33.24	34.35	38.49		36.89		38.30	39.49
	36.60	35.91	35.85	æ		42.	41.09					46	46.11	46.	٠	
	43.92	43.10	43.03	7		50	49.31					56	55.33	55		
	51.24	50.28	50.20	Ξ		28	57.53					65	64.55	65.		
	58.55	57.46	57.37	စ္တ		67.	65.74					74	73.77	74.	•	
	65.87	64.65	64.54	66.53	69.12	75	73.96	73.50	74.78	77.29		84	82.99	83.	86.18	88.8
25.0	73.19	71.83	3 71.71	33		84	82.18					93	92.22	93.	•	
INT RATE	E NET	T REVENUE	UE													
0	85.37	-	43.98	151.23	153.84	93.	130.42		166.	170.			166.	181.14		
10.0	56.09	92.	115.30	121.66	123.12	59.	97.55		133.	136.			129.	143.88		148.14
12.5	48.77	82	108.13	114.27	115.44	51.	89.33		125.	127			120	134.56		
15.0	41.45	78	100.95	106.88	107.76	42.	81.11		117.	118			111.	125.25		
17.5	34.13	70.85	93.78	99.49	100.08	34	72.89		108	110.			101.	115.93		
20.0	26.82	63	86.61	92.09	92.40	25.81	64.68	90.61	100.39	101.66	21.88	63.05	92.73	106.62	108.41	108.6
22.5	19.50		79.44	84.70	84.72	17.	56.46		92.	93.			83.	97.30		
25.0	12.18	49.30	72.27	77.31	77.04	œ	48.24		83.	84			74.	87.98		
	9	QUANTITIES	Ö	9	+EADI											
SILAGE	2741	5463	7655	9005	9975	3099	5916	8285	9116	10947	3336	6305	8739	10458	11738	12708
CORN	2084				165			973		274	2631	1916	1258	776	448	19
DP	0				3.83			1.60		3.35	0	0	.89	3.16	3.16	ა. დ
GL	29.40	_			1.54			10.59		2.96	37.20	24.27	13.79	7.67	4.64	1.5
ARM	ROD				701			VCC		00+	782	747	250	407	40,5	20

FEED PRICES PER POUND: SILAGE=\$.0096, CORN=\$.0509

12708 197 3.83 1.54 206 1100 290 700.70 532.13 14.00 68 27 17 17 00 16 66 1100 280 700.70 533.30 11738 448 3.16 4.64 193 047 8 8 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 39. 59. 79. 167 127 117 107 98 98 78 78 ø. 1100 270 700.70 535.25 0458 776 3.16 7.67 45 43 43 40 40 40 40 SET 38 448 57 76 76 96 165 127 117 107 107 98 88 88 79 69 PRICE 1100 260 0.70 7.31 8739 1258 . 89 13.79 259 0 27 27 18 18 63 63 63 39 12 12 12 14 16 17 16 17 16 17 18 18 18 RATES--FEED 37 47 75 85 94 6305 1916 0 24.27 447 1100 250 700.70 572.33 33 33 33 33 30 30 28 28 28 38. 47. 57. 66. 85. 28. 90. 71. 71. 852. 33. 1100 240 700.70 606.94 3396 2631 0 37.20 753 924 924 935 935 965 949 945 941 953 953 953 953 953 953 ANNUAL INTEREST 93. 45. 45. 15. 16. 38. 48. 58. 67. 97. 1050 260 668.85 514.91 274 274 3.35 2.96 189 94 42 42 54 54 66 66 66 60 90 90 90 15 153. 109. 100. 91. 74. 35. 533. 74. 74. 88. 1050 250 668.85 516.66 19 95 95 83 83 71 71 71 59 9776 576 3.16 6.16 152. 117. 109. 100. 92. 83. 75. VARIOUS 34. 42. 531. 777. 1050 240 668.85 525.33 8285 973 1.60 10.59 234 83 75 83 83 83 AT 1050 230 668.85 547.31 5916 1648 0 20.60 375 WEIGHT 33. 550. 75. 83. 1050 220 668.85 580.40 3099 3099 2348 0 33.08 665 888.45 54.52 46.04 37.56 229.08 12.12 3.63 0 93 89 33 33 82 82 MARKET 33. 42. 550. 67. 84. 1000 240 637.00 498.12 HEAD--IN 9975 3 165 3 3.83 4 1.54 AND 88 18 18 33 33 41 41 43 63 138. 107. 99. 91. 83. 75. FEED 1000 230 637.00 499.28 PER H 9005 416 3.16 4.64 S 137. 107. 99. 92. 84. 76. 69. 30. 38. 53. 76. DAYS EREST EXPENSE.

0
29.20
29.37
36.50
36.72
43.80
44.06
51.10
58.70
66.09
73.00
73.43 1000 220 637.00 504.50 132.50 103.13 95.78 88.44 81.10 73.76 66.41 B QUANTITIES (2741 5463 2084 1405 0 .04 29.40 17.35 592 REVENUE 12.93 83.73 76.43 69.13 61.83 54.53 39.93 RETURNS 1000 210 637.00 524.07 NET 26 76 76 .01 .01 .01 .26 .26 .88 .51 1000 200 637.00 555.74 INT 00 50 25 25 63 38 75 RET 881 544 37.0 229.0 7.4 29. 36. 44. 51. 59. .. ш w ď RAT WEIGHT DAYS REV \$ OP EXP SILAGE CORN DP GL SBM 000000000 000000000 1NT 10.01 12.55 17.50 220.02 25.03 TABL 10.00 10.01 17.51 17.52 22.52 25.02

FEED PRICES PER POUND: SILAGE=\$.0111, CDRN=\$.0509

1100 290 700.70 518.99 181.71 141.75 131.76 121.77 111.77 101.78 91.79 81.80 0 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 39. 509. 89. 11738 448 3.16 4.64 193 1100 280 700.70 524.00 176.70 137.76 128.03 118.30 108.56 98.83 89.10 79.36 0 60 4 40 40 40 40 87 87 87 38 48 58 77 77 87 ۲. 1100 270 700.70 531.00 0 38.11 47.63 57.16 66.68 76.21 85.74 95.26 10458 776 3.16 7.67 SET 189 122 122 123 147 147 1100 260 700.70 550.28 8739 1258 . 89 13.79 259 000 000 000 000 000 000 150. 102. 93. 83. 74. 64. 38. 47. 57. 56. 66. 95. 6305 1916 0 24.27 447 1100 250 700.70 585.26 15.44 76.52 66.79 57.06 47.33 37.60 27.87 18.15 38. 48. 58. 68. 77. 87. 69.69 29.29 19.19 9.09 -1.01 -11.11 -21.21 1100 240 700.70 631.01 3396 2631 0 37.20 753 04005800 INTEREST 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 1050 260 668.85 504.71 10947 274 3.35 2.96 189 164.14 129.35 120.65 111.95 103.25 94.55 85.85 34. 52. 50. 60. 78. VARIOUS ANNUAL 1050 250 668.85 511.09 9776 576 3.16 6.16 76 90 94 97 97 15 15 11 33. 42. 50. 59. 67. 157. 106. 98. 90. 73. 143.05 109.54 101.16 92.78 84.41 76.03 67.65 1050 240 668.85 525.80 33. 41. 50. 58. 67. 83. AT 5916 1648 0 20.60 375 1050 230 668.85 557.85 00 91 33 34 34 37 77 00.09 44...66 81...70 WEIGHT 34. 551. 59. 68. 85. 67.05 31.84 23.04 14.23 5.43 -3.37 -12.17 1050 220 668.85 601.80 0 35.21 44.01 52.82 61.62 70.42 79.22 88.03 MARKET HEAD--IN 9975 6 165 6 3.83 6 1.54 1.54 1000 240 637.00 487.91 AND 149.09 118.06 110.30 102.55 94.79 87.03 79.27 FEED 1000 230 637.00 492.93 Š 144. 106. 99. 84. 76. 30. 37. 52. 60. DAYS 1000 220 637.00 503.55 B⊀ QUANTITIES (2741 5463 2084 1405 0 .04 29.40 17.35 592 316 REVENUI 104.04 74.33 66.90 59.47 52.05 44.62 37.19 29.76 RETURNS 1000 210 637.00 532.96 29.71 37.14 44.57 51.99 59.42 66.85 NET 62.24 1 31.70 24.07 16.43 880 1.16 -6.47 -14.11 INTE 0 30.54 38.17 45.81 53.44 61.08 68.71 1000 200 637.00 574.76 NET RATE WEIGHT DAYS REV \$ OP EXP SILAGE CORN DP GL SBM 000000000 000000000 15. 15. 22. 25. 25.

D PRICES PER POUND: SILAGE=\$.0099, CORN=\$.0616

 ∞

PRICE

RATES--FEED

AT VARIOUS ANNUAL INTEREST

WEIGHT

MARKET

AND

ON FEED

DAYS

B

RETURNS

RET .. œ ď

1100 290 700.70 538.05 1100 280 700.70 541.61 0 40.28 50.35 60.42 70.49 80.56 90.63 1100 270 700.70 546.68 088925 1100 260 700.70 563.39 0 38.96 48.70 58.44 68.18 77.92 87.65 1100 250 700.70 594.72 39. 59. 69. 89. 1100 240 700.70 636.10 0 40.73 50.92 61.10 71.28 81.47 91.65 1050 260 668.85 521.13 0 35.96 44.95 53.94 62.93 71.92 80.91 89.90 1050 250 668.85 525.76 34.86 43.57 52.29 61.00 69.72 78.43 1050 240 668.85 538.23 0 332 934 07 07 81 81 34. 551. 660. 85. 1050 230 668.85 566.72 65 96 96 96 96 34. 43. 51. 60. 69. 86. 1050 220 668.85 606.45 35. 44. 44. 533. 70. 70. 88. 1000 240 637.00 502.88 0222222 32. 40. 48. 56. 72. 80. 1000 230 637.00 506.43 30.86 38.58 46.30 54.01 61.73 69.44 1000 220 637.00 515.03 EXPENSE. 0 30.00 37.51 45.01 7 52.51 7 60.01 1000 210 637.00 540.75 0 30.16 37.70 45.23 52.77 60.31 67.85 INTE 0 30.76 38.45 46.14 53.83 61.53 69.22 76.91 1000 200 637.00 578.87 WEIGHT DAYS REV \$ OP EXP 000000000

PRICES PER POUND: SILAGE=\$.0114, CORN=\$.0616

12708 197 3.83 1.54 206

11738 448 3.16 4.64

10458 776 3.16 7.67

6305 1916 0 24.27 447

274 274 3.35 2.96 189

8285 973 1.60 10.59 234

5916 1648 0 20.60 375

3099 3099 2348 0 33.08 665

HEAD--IN 9975 6 3.83 6 1.54 1.54

9005 416 3.16 4.64

QUANTITIES (2741 5463 2084 1405 0 . .04 29.40 17.35 592 316

SILAGE CORN DP GL SBM

65 81 84 70 70 70 76

162. 121. 170. 100. 100. 79. 58.

90. 81. 74. 60. 60. 60. 83. 84. 84. 86. 159. 118. 108. 98. 78. 68.

154. 114. 104. 95. 85. 75. 65.

31 35 35 43 13 39 66 66 92 37. 98. 88. 78. 69. 59.

98 442 74 74 85 96 07 05. 666. 566. 266. 77.

64. 23. 13. 3. 146. -27.

147.72 111.76 102.77 93.78 84.79 75.80 66.81

143. 108. 99. 90. 73. 64.

62 30 71 13 13 13 97 39 81 30. 87. 779. 770. 744.

102.13 67.48 58.82 50.16 41.50 32.84 24.17

62.40 26.91 18.04 9.17 -8.58 -17.45

134. 12 102. 11 94. 11 86. 11 78. 10 70. 10 54. 10

130.57 99.71 91.99 84.27 76.56 68.84 61.13

121.97 91.97 91.97 84.46 76.96 69.46 61.96 54.46

REVENUI 96.25 66.09 58.55 51.02 43.48 35.94 28.40

NET 58.13 27.37 19.68 11.99 4.30 -3.40 -11.09 -11.09

000000000

1NT 10. 12. 17. 17. 22. 22.

TABLE 2.9: NET RETURNS BY DAYS ON FEED AND MARKET WEIGHT AT VARIOUS ANNUAL INTEREST RATES--FEED PRICE SET 9.

| | Ç | 1000 | 000 | 500 | 1000 | | | | | | | 5 | | 7 | ? | 7 |
|----------|--------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| DAYS | 200 | 210 | 220 | 230 | 240 | 220 | 230 | 240 | 250 | 260 | 240 | 250 | | 220 | 28 | - 6 |
| REV \$ | 637.00 | 637.00 | 637.00 | 637.00 | 637.00 | | | | | | | 700.70 | | 700,70 | 7007 | 7007 |
| OP EXP | 582.99 | 548.95 | 526.51 | 519.93 | 517.84 | | | | | | | 604.17 | 576.50 | 562.37 | 559.21 | 557.11 |
| INT RATE | H | FREST | EXPENSE. | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | С | С | С | C | C | C | _ |
| 10.0 | 30.99 | 30.6 | 30.69 | | | 35.77 | 35.20 | | | | | | | | 41 63 | 42 98 |
| 12.5 | 38.73 | 38.2 | 38.37 | 39.64 | 41.24 | 44.71 | 44.00 | 4 | 44 | | | | | | 52.03 | 53.73 |
| 15.0 | 46.48 | 45.9 | 46.04 | | | | | 52 | 53 | | | | | | 62 44 | 64 47 |
| 17.5 | 54.23 | 53. | 53.71 | 55.49 | | | | 61 | 62. | | | | | | 72.85 | 75.00 |
| 20.0 | 61.97 | 61. | | 63.42 | | | | 70 | 71. | | | | | | 83.25 | 85.96 |
| 22.5 | 69.72 | 68 | | 71.35 | • | | | 79 | 80. | | | | | | 93.66 | 96.71 |
| • | 4 | | | 79.28 | | | | 87 | 89 | 92.81 | 102.67 | 100.52 | 99.72 | 101.04 | 104.07 | 107.45 |
| INT RATE | | | Ē | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 54.01 | | 2 | 117.07 | | 57.75 | | | 128. | | | | 124.20 | | 141 49 | 143 |
| 10.0 | • | | 5 | 85.36 | | 21.98 | | | 92. | , , | | | 84.31 | | 98 86 | 8 |
| 12.5 | 15.28 | 49.77 | 2 | 77.43 | | 13.04 | | | 83 | , , | | | 74.34 | | 89.46 | 0 00 |
| 15.0 | • | 42.11 | Ä | 69.50 | | 4.10 | | | 74. | | | | 64.37 | | 79.05 | 6 |
| 17.5 | 22 | 34.45 | 56.78 | 61.58 | | -4.84 | • | | 65 | | | | 54.40 | | 68.64 | 89 |
| 20.0 | -7.96 | 26.80 | 49.10 | 53.65 | | -13.79 | | | 26 | | | | 44 42 | | 58.04 | |
| 22.5 | -15.71 | 19.14 | 41.43 | 45.72 | | -22.73 | | | 47 | • | | | 34 45 | | 47 83 | 46. |
| | -23.46 | 11.49 | 33.76 | 37.79 | 36.69 | -31.67 | 5.24 | 30.34 | 38.78 | 38.49 | -43.17 | -3.99 | 24.48 | 37.29 | 37.42 | 36. 14 |
| | 700 | INTITIES | OF FEED | PER | HEADIN | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| SILAGE | 2741 | 741 5463 | 7655 | 8992 | 9975 | 3099 | 5916 | | 9765 | 10946 | 3396 | 6305 | 8739 | 10458 | 11738 | 12708 |
| CORN | 2084 | 1405 | 769 | 417 | 165 | | | 973 | 577 | 274 | 2631 | 1916 | 1258 | 776 | 448 | 197 |
| БР | 0 | 9 | 2.38 | 3.16 | 3.83 | | | | 3.16 | 3.35 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 3, 16 | 3.16 | 8 |
| 립 | 29.40 | 17.35 | 8.33 | 4.35 | 1.54 | | | _ | 5.91 | 2.96 | 37.20 | 24.27 | 13.79 | 7.67 | 4.64 | 1.54 |
| SBM | C O I | 245 | 90 | 477 | 707 | | | | | ((. | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | |

FEED PRICES PER POUND: SILAGE=\$.0129, CORN=\$.0616

give total receipts (based upon a market price of \$63.70/cwt), operating expenses and the optimal total feed use by market weight and days on feed. Interest cost on operating expenses and net returns per head are also shown, calculated at annual interest rates of 0.00%, 10.0%, 12.5%, 15.0%, 20.0%, 22.5% and 25.0%. Table 3 summarizes the results, showing the net return maximizing days on feed for each of the three market weights, each of the nine feed price sets and at each rate of interest.

Recall that feed price sets 1, 2 and 3 represent low, medium and high silage prices with a corn grain price of \$2.25/bushel. Price sets 4, 5 and 6, and 7, 8 and 9 are for low, medium and high silage prices with corn grain priced at \$2.85/bushel and \$3.45/bushel, respectively. "Medium" silage prices (sets 2, 4 and 8) are "breakeven" prices -- six times the associated corn price plus \$2.00 per ton. Low prices are \$3.00 per ton less and high prices are \$3.00 per ton more than the "breakeven" prices.

When the corn silage price was low relative to the corn grain price (price sets 1, 4 and 7), the optimal number of days on feed remained at 240, 260 and 290 for 1000, 1050 and 1100 lb. steers, respectively, at every interest rate considered. More corn is used in feed rations as the rate of gain is increased. The effect on feed costs of feeding the cattle more rapidly is most accute then, when corn is relatively expensive and this change in costs was not offset by interest expenses even when an annual rate of 25% was used.

At medium silage prices, no adjustment in days on feed occurred with the price of corn set at \$3.45. The optimal number of days on feed decreased by 10 for 1000 and 1100 lb. steers when corn was priced at \$2.85 as the interest rate reached higher levels than have been historically observed -- 25% for 1000 lb. and 22.5% for 1100 lb. steers. Optimal days on feed did not

Table 3: Optimal Number of Days on Feed for Each Market Weight and Feed Price Set.

| Market | Feed | | | est Rate | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|-------|-------------|--|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Weight | Price Set | .000 | .100 | .125 | .150 | .175 | .200 | .225 | .25 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 1000 1ъ. | 1 | 240 | | | | | | | - 24 |
| | 2 | 240 - | | - 240 | 230 - | | | | - 23 |
| | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | 240 - | ····· | | | | | | - 24 |
| | 5 | 240 - | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | 240 | | | | | | | |
| | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| | 9 | 240 - | | | 240 | 230 - | | | - 23 |
| 1050 1b. | 1 | 260 - | | | | | | | - 26 |
| | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | ······································ | | | | |
| | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | | | | 250 — | | | | |
| | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| | 8 | | | | 4 | | | | |
| | 9 | 260 - | | | | | | 260 | 25 |
| 1100 lb. | 1 | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | 2 | 290 - | | | 280 - | | | | |
| | 3 | 290 | 280 - | | | | | | - 28 |
| | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| | 8 | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | 9 | 290 - | | | 290 | 280 - | | | - 28 |

change over the range of interest rates considered for 1050 lb. steers under the same feed price set (set 5). At the low corn price (\$2.25/bu.) and the medium silage price (\$15.50/t.), days on feed shifted from 240 to 230 as the rate of interest increased from 12.5% to 15.0% for 1000 lb. steers. Shifts from 260 to 250 and 290 to 280 occurred at 22.5% and 15.0% for market weights of 1050 and 1100 lb., respectively. When the medium silage prices were used, adjustment of the rate of gain associated with interest rate changes was sensitive to the absolute prices of the feeds. While in part attributable to the relative increases in feed costs as the rate of gain increased, this results stems also from the added interest expense on feed when maximum total days on feed was decreased.

Under relatively high silage prices (price sets 3, 6 and 9), optimal days on feed was most sensitive to changes in the interest rate. At the lowest positive interest rate considered (10.0%) and with corn priced at \$2.25, optimal days on feed were 230, 250 and 280 for market weights of 1000, 1050 and 1100 lb., respectively -- 10 days less than when no interest was charged. Generally the shift in days on feed occurred at higher rates of interest as the price of corn was increased. However, with silage priced at the relatively high levels, increases in the optimal rate of gain occurred at interest rates within the range of rates faced by farmers in recent years.

The highest market weight, 1100 lb., generated the greatest net revenue under all combinations of feed prices and interest rates considered except one. With the feed prices at the highest levels (price set 9), the optimal market weight shifted from 1100 to 1050 lb. when the interest rate was increased to 25.0%. The increase in net revenue associated with feeding from 1050 to 1100 lb. ranged from \$6.43 to \$17.85 per head over all feed price

sets when interest was changed at 10%. With an interest rate of 20% the range fell to between \$0.06 and \$13.42 -- \$3.81 to \$6.37 per head lower than the changes in net revenue with a 10.0% interest rate. Although the optimal market weight was not sensitive to interest rate changes within the historically observed range of rates, consideration of other time related costs along with costs considered here could imply an adjustment in market weight in response to interest rate increases.

Two categories of costs not considered in this analysis are worth noting. Labor use and thus labor costs are directly related to the number of days on feed. For farmer feedlots considered here, the value of labor in a given time period may vary widely, depending upon the availability of part-time labor and the implicit value of scarce full-time labor. While the focus of this study was on the impacts of interest rates on operating expenses, the impacts of interest rate changes on optimal days on feed and market weights would be exaggerated as implicit and/or explicit labor costs increase. A second time related cost is implied by the value of feeding facility services. For "turnover" feedlot operations, a group of feeder cattle is replaced after sale by another group. Thus the value per day of feeding facilities reflect the average return per day from the replacement herd. When operating at capacity, the implicit value of scarce feeding facilities may lead to the use of high cost, high rate of gain feed rations even at lower interest rates.

Conclusions

The research reported here focused on the impact of interest rates on optimal rates of gain and market weights for beef cattle. The emphasis was on operations which produce one group of slaughter cattle per year. The results suggest that for such operations, high grain rations with the associated

higher rates of gain are optimal when the opportunity cost of operating capital approaches 15.0% to 25.0% per year. High grain rations were found to be optimal at interest rates around 15.0% when corn prices are low (\$2.25/bu. was used here), and the corn grain-corn silage price ratio was around \$5.3 (prices in pounds). Optimal days on feed were especially sensitive to interest rates when the corn grain-corn silage price ratio was around \$4.5. Optimal days on feed then decreased, even at interest rates lower than current levels. The results suggest that a crucial consideration in determining optimal feeding strategies is the value of corn silage.

Optimal market weights were not as sensitive to interest rate changes as days on feed under price situations considered in the study. However, it was pointed out that other time-related costs, especially labor, when added to interest expenses may influence optimal feeding strategies.

The enterprise level model for estimating minimum cost feeding strategies was employed using least cost daily feed rations at rates of gain for which nutrient requirements were available. Specific data on nutrient requirements at other rates of gain would permit a more accurate capture of changes in feed costs associated with changes in overall rates of gain. With such information, adjustment within the model would be smoother and detailed analyses of the dynamics of the feeding process would be enhanced.

References

- Apland, Jeffrey, (1980), "Documentation of Romp-Frlll." Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Kentucky.
- Carlson, Daryl E. "Least Cost Gain and Profit Projection. II. Development of the Model." 15th California Feeders' Day Program, Department of Animal Science and Agricultural Extension; University of California, Davis; 1976.
- Garrett, W. N. "Estimating Feed Intake for Practical Management Decisions".

 12th California Feeders' Day Program, Department of Animal Science
 and Agricultural Extension; University of California, Davis; 1973.
- Lofgreen, G. P. and Garrett, W. N. "A System for Expressing Net Energy Requirements and Feed Values for Growing, Finishing Beef Cattle."

 Journal of Animal Science 27 (1968): 793.
- Petritz, David, and Craig Dobbins. (1981). "Coping with High Interest
 Rates in Feedlot Management," Purdue University.
- Ross, James E. and Sewell, Homer B. "Daily Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle." Department of Animal Husbandry, College of Agriculture,

 University of Missouri Columbia. From Nutrient Requirements of
 Beef Cattle, Fifth Edition, National Research Council, 1976.