

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Staff Papers Series

Staff Paper ₽85-2

January 1985

Potato Production and Storage Cost Estimates for Minnesota in 1985

by

Fred J. Benson

and

Duane A. Preston



Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics

University of Minnesota Institute of Agriculture, Forestry and Home Economics St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

Potato Production and Storage Cost Estimates for Minnesota in 1985

bу

Fred J. Benson

and

Duane A. Preston

Department of Agricultural & Applied Economics University of Minnesota

January 1985

INTRODUCTION

It appears that overall production costs will increase slightly over last year with not much of an increase in price expected at this time. This year, it appears that machinery costs are up about two percent, fertilizer costs are the same, but with signs of softening, and interest rates are the same as last year at this time.

This year, repair and maintenance costs of machinery were calculated with a new set of standards which appears in the 1984 Agricultural Yearbook. This has made a difference in some of the machinery operating costs. Also ending salvage values were adjusted upwards from last year's analysis and reflect the 1984 Agricultural Engineer standards. Salvage values at the end of ten years ranged from 16 to 29 percent of the purchase cost, instead of 10 percent as previously used. This makes some difference in ownership costs and reduces the cost somewhat. Let's take a closer look at our projections.

Discussion of Budget Information

Potato crop production estimates shown in Appendix Tables 1 through 5 are developed for the Red River Valley. The reader should keep in mind that these budgets are projections and are subject to the many variables and uncertainties that can take place before and while the 1985 crop is produced and marketed. The yields used in the budgets are estimated using previous production records and the expectations of the farmers who provided their production information to me.

Expected Prices: The hardest variable to estimate is that of price. These budgets are set up as production budgets. They do not contain storage costs. Therefore, the price used in the budgets is an expected harvest price. This allows the grower to separate the decision of what to grow from that of when and how to market.

Mid-winter projections of cash market prices for the 1985 crop are highly conjectural. The crop isn't planted. Acreage and yield are unknown. An individual's planting decisions should consider both the level of price expectations and the degree of confidence in those price expectations. Other competing crops may influence potato acreage and the factors affecting these crops are conjecture at this time, but need to be considered in the planning process. All of these variables must be considered and analyzed as best as possible to estimate the resulting impact on potato production acreage and expected prices.

The price forecasts used in the budgets are to provide a benchmark with which to assess harvest price potential as the season unfolds. They are built on crop carryover estimates in December 1984, on possible planted acreage and an estimate of crop yield. As planting time approaches, growers will refine these price estimates and compare the expected net returns from potatoes with other pricing alternatives and other crop production possibilities.

Cropping Costs and Cash Flow Expenses: The per acre costs (shown in the budgets) are developed on the basis of commercial production. Field performance rates are also indicated for the machine sizes given. Field operations are assumed to be done in a timely fashion. Cash flow expenses of field operations include diesel fuel, plus an allowance for lubrication and use-related repairs. Performance rates include discounts for the usual efficiency factors which account for turning time at the ends and other delays in field performance.

This year in our annual discussions with growers, we learned that many will be watching their inputs very closely. Indications are that they are going to more closely monitor fertilizer and pesticide applications. The budgets are developed to show this change in production practices.

Machinery costs are included as "machinery function costs"—that is, the average total costs, on an annual basis, for the tractor and machine (overhead and operating) including operating labor to work one acre. The figure under the "Units of Applic." column indicates how many times it is used on one acre. The "Quantity" column is the time, in hours, required per acre—hours per acre. The figure in the "Price" column is the total cost to operate the tractor plus the machine, including labor for one hour. The "Total Amount" column is the result of multiplying the first three columns together. The "Cash Costs" column for a machine is the estimated value of fuel, oil and repairs for the tractor and/or implement. Labor costs are not included in cash costs. Fuel costs (diesel) are estimated to be \$1.00 per gallon.

Purchased Seed, Fertilizer and Chemicals: Other cost items indicate the number of units and the cost per unit. Quantities and rates indicated in the budgets are based upon recommended practices. Adjustments to individual farm conditions from these recommendations may be necessary. For instance, soil tests and fertilizer carryover from 1984 may suggest different fertilizer recommendations. Potassium and phosphate levels are approximately equal to removals adjusted for the availability of naturally available fertilizer ingredients in the soils.

Herbicide carryover considerations must be considered in terms of which crops are feasible on individual fields. Weed problems must also be considered. Specific chemicals used as herbicides and insecticides were grouped to attain a per acre cost.

<u>Cash Expense Per Acre</u>: Cash expenses are those costs associated specifically with the crop being considered and are incurred only with the production of that crop.

Costs indicated in the budgets are based on recommended practices for a good producer. Adjustments to individual farm conditions may be necessary with varying fertility situations, chemical use, and planing practices.

Cash costs estimate the out-of-pocket cash operating expenses and include estimates for fuel, oil, repairs, fertilizers, seed, chemicals, and land taxes. These costs are basic to any analysis of short-term adjustments to increase profitability.

In the short-run, each grower seeks to maximize his returns over cash costs. This, in turn, provides the greatest amount towards fixed assets, family living expenses and hired labor.

Land and Other Overhead Costs: The actual 1985 cash costs of land will vary greatly among individual operators due to varying land rental arrangements and land finance structures.

The land values used in the budgets are based on recent relationships between land prices and cash rents in Minnesota. The ratio of rent to current land value is estimated between 4.5 and 6.5 percent. Such a ratio for cropland suggests that land renting for \$75 per acre would sell for \$1,150 to \$1,667 per acre. In the budgets, a cash rent of \$75 per acre was used with a land value of \$1,667 per acre.

Labor is considered an overhead cost in the production process. This is the case with both operator and family labor and full-time hired labor. Special labor hired seasonally for a specific crop should be considered a cash cost. The budgets in the appendix tables assume the use of operator and family labor. Unskilled labor for tillage and truck driving is valued at \$6.50 per hour, and skilled labor for planting, spraying and harvesting is valued at \$7.80 per hour. These rates include a 30 percent charge over the wage rate to include workman's compensation, social security, insurance and other employee benefits.

<u>Crop Loss Costs (Insurance)</u>: The calculated crop loss cost can be viewed as either the cash expense of carrying crop insurance or the discount in returns necessary to make fair comparisons between crops under conditions where crop insurance is not carried.

Interest on Cash Expense: It is assumed that cash flow crop expenses are borrowed to grow the crop. The average time this money is on loan until harvest is six months. Interest costs are calculated accordingly using a 13.5 percent annual rate.

The column "Cash Costs" estimates the out-of-pocket cash operating expenses incurred on one acre of the indicated crop. The cash costs include estimates for fuel, oil, repairs, fertilizers, seed, chemicals, and crop insurance. These costs, as mentioned earlier, are basic to any analysis of short-term adjustments to increase profitability in the farming operation.

Costs Not Included: The budgets are developed on an industry cost format. The total costs indicated are all costs, cash and otherwise, required to plant, produce, harvest and haul the crop to storage. Storage costs are not included. This allows the producer to separate the marketing costs associated with different marketing strategies from the production costs. No charge is included for general farm overhead.

The returns over total costs shown are the total returns minus the indicated total costs. The total costs include: land, labor, machinery, and other specific costs as listed in the budget. The total cost figure does not include other total farm overhead charges such as automobile expenses, accounting, building and storage costs (except machinery housing, which is included in machine cost) or the labor and fuel used for off-field purposes. The returns over cash costs allow the budget user to estimate his return over cash costs which goes to pay for land, labor, machinery and management.

Fuel and Labor Needed: At the bottom of each budget is an estimate of fuel use per acre in diesel fuel equivalents. Multiply this figure by 1.39 to estimate gasoline equivalents if gasoline-powered tractors are used. Also included are the amount of hours and value of actual field labor, and the portion of annual machinery overhead and operating expenses charged to the budget.

Credit: If credit is limiting, a grower may need to consider crops with lower cash cost requirements and crops that have a high degree of assurance of enough cash return to cover the cash expense incurred. Some crops are more resistant to drought than others—others may be more disease resistant. It is necessary to consider the net cash flow if yields are less than planned. Estimates are given in the line "Returns Over Cash Costs" and include the value if attaining the listed returns, a 20 percent reduction in returns, and a 50 percent reduction in returns. Reductions in returns may occur because of changes in either or both price and yield.

Using the Budget Information for Decision Making

The main purpose of a budget is for planning. And, at this time of the year, a grower must decide what and how much (acreage) to grow. In the Red River Valley of Minnesota, the most predominant crop is wheat. Another important crop is sugarbeets. Both of these crops can be substituted for potatoes.

Making the decision of what to grow in the upcoming year is a shortrun planning situation which looks at maximizing the returns over cash
costs for the total farming operation. Of course, this is subject to constraints such as land suitability, input availability, sufficient machinery
capacity, adequate operating capital, etc. In the long run, the grower
must look at covering all of his costs, which means adequate payment to
his fixed factors of production (land, labor, capital and management).
Also, when considering a new crop or a change in production practices, the
grower should make his analysis on the expected long-run net returns.

1985 Storage Costs

In order to estimate potato storage costs, we have used a 48,000 cwt., four-bin house with refrigeration and other needed equipment for potato handling. The breakdown of costs is shown in Appendix Table 6. Annual

overhead costs on this storage unit are estimated to be \$53,615. The operating costs are calculated separately for seed and processing potatoes. Using processing potatoes as an example, the estimated total cost per cwt. into storage is \$1.89, but the cost per cwt. of potatoes marketed after a 10 percent shrink is \$2.11.

Total Costs with Marketing from Storage

We find it easiest to estimate total costs by converting all costs to a per cwt. marketed basis. This then correctly considers the shrinkage which occurs in storage. The budgets indicate yields available for sale at harvest or yield going into storage. The resulting breakeven price for tablestock potatoes (Table 7) is \$3.62 per cwt. However, if the 155 cwt. of potatoes go into storage and shrink 10 percent, there are only 139.5 cwt. left for sale from that acre. Production expenses are \$561, so the production expenses per cwt. marketed after shrink are now \$4.02. The storage costs of \$2.11 per cwt. now indicate the total costs per cwt. to be \$6.12.

A breakeven analysis has to consider the shrink factors. We have used 10 percent in calculations, but this can vary considerably. In order to adjust for the shrink factor, you must divide the costs before shrink by one minus the shrink factor (1-.10). Table 7 shows the breakeven price for the various potato production budgets when marketing out of storage and incurring shrinkage. These are the total costs or the prices that would be needed to break even given the stated assumptions on production and storage costs and shrinkage.

In Retrospect

In this analysis, we have estimated the cash operating costs and the overhead costs separately. The cash operating costs for each crop can be expected to be very close to what a grower can expect. These will be very consistent from farm to farm. However, there will be wide variations in overhead costs due to the individual grower's situation and debt load. For example, the young farmer starting out is probably facing cash rent payments and/or principal and interest payments on purchased land and high machinery expenditures. Growers with higher debt loads and high repayment rates may find the returns over listed cash operating costs insufficient to meet scheduled debt repayments.

On the other hand, the established grower with his land paid for and most machinery and equipment paid for will find the returns over cash operating costs to be more than adequate to meet his cash debt repayments and family living expenses. It is the function of management to constantly be striving to get the farm overhead costs down within reason so that the debt load can be reduced to the point where all the factors of production (land, labor, capital and management) could expect a normal return. Management of the overhead costs is as important as the management devoted to the production and marketing functions.



SOIL AREA 12	ROL	ND POTAT SEED	:	12 17 84		
	UNITS OR	QUANTI	TY	PRICE	TOTAL AMOUNT	CASH COSTS
RETURNS	APPLIC.				AMOUNT	COSTS
ROUND CERT. SEED JUMBOS POT.		150.000 15.000		4.000 2.000	600.00 30.00	
TOTAL RETURNS					630.00	
PLANTI	NG COSTS					
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28			HR/A		3.94	1.33
SPRINGTOOTH DRAG 48			HR/A		1.50	.25
ROUND FOUND SEED		18.000		8.000	144.00	
POT SEED TREATMENT POT. SEED CUTTING		18.000		.550	9.90 10.80	9.90 10. 8 0
POTATO ROW MARKER GR			HR/A		11.27	1.90
POTATO FILLER			HR/A		3.87	.31
POTATO PLANTER 6 ROW			HR/A		-	3.89
HEAVY TRUCK	3	.174	HR/A	44.633	23.30	11.17
FERTIL	IZER					
ANHYDROUS AMMONIA		50.000		.130		
NITROGEN		25.000 50.000		.220	5.50	5.50 11.00
PHOSPHORUS P205 POTASSIUM K20		60.000		.100		5.00
ANHYDROUS APPLICATOR			HR/A			2.00
			*****	20, 1305	0.00	
5PRAYI	NG COSTS					
AERIAL APPLICATION	5	3.500	ACRE	1.000		
INSECTICIDE	_	12.000		1.000	12.00	12.00
INSECTICIDE FUNGICIDE	2 3	6.250 3.700		1.000	12.50 11.10	12.50 11.10
VINE KILLER	2	12.000		1.000		24.00
7 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -	•	12.000	_	1.000	24.00	24.00
CULTIV	ATION					
POTATO CULT. 6 ROW	4		HR/A	31.080	13.53	3.74
ROUGEING ·		5.000		1.000	5.00	5.00
HARVES'	r cost					
POTATO HVSTR SEED 2R		. 669	HR/A	92.743	62.08	16.36
HEAVY TRUCK	3	.669	HR/A	44.633	89.54	42.96
DISK 21 FT			HR/A			1.16
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28		.074	HR/A	53.415	3.94	1.33
OTHER (COSTS	-				
LAND CHARGE		1667.000		.039	65.01	
LAND TAXES		1667.000		.006	10.00	10.00
LIGHT TRUCK		1.250		19.191	23.99	9.26
FIELD + DISEASE TEST INSPECTION		1.000	ACRE	.055	11.00 8.25	11.00 8.25
PRONOTION TAXES		165.000		.045		
CROP INSURANCE		630.000			15.75	
INTEREST ON CASH COST	rs	408.131		.067	27.55	
TOTAL COSTS					696.82	408.13
RETURNS OVER TOTAL CO					-66.82	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS					221.87	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS RETURNS OVER CASH COS	TE SO PC	T RETURNS P DETINA	REDU(TTIUM TTOM	95.87 -93.13	
	50 PC			4 WF	- 73 . 13	
CASH COSTS/ACRE	408.1	3 nach	INE OF	PERATING	COSTS/A	95.65
MACHINE OWNERSHIP COS	T/A 130.1	7 FUEL	. USE//	ACRE (GAL	>	25.33
PUBIT	PLANT GR	MUTHE VAS	UEET	OTUBB	TOTAL	
CASH COST 2	291,66	B.74 EI	.80	45.99 4	 08.13	
LABOR HOURS	1.34	.45 4	. 41	1.25	7.45	
LABOR VALUE						

SOIL AREA 12 (10)	RUSSET POTATOES SEED					2 17 84
	UNITS OR	QUANTI	ΓY	PRICE	TOTAL	
RETURNS	RPPLIC.					332.3
RUSSET CERT. SEED JUMBOS POT.		145.000 15.000	CWT.	5.500 2.000	797.50 30.00	
TOTAL RETURNS					827.50	
PLANTIN	G COSTS					
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28		.074	HR/A	53.415	3.94	
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28 SPRINGTOOTH DRAG 48 RUSSET FOUND SEED POT SEED TREATMENT POT. SEED CUTTING POTATO ROW MARKER GR POTATO FILLER POTATO PLANTER 6 ROW HEAVY TRUCK		.033 18.000	HR/A	45.247	1.50 144.00	
NUSSET FOUND SEED		18.000	CWT.	.550	9.90	
POT. SEED CUTTING		18.000	CWT	.600	10.80	10.80
POTATO ROW MARKER GR		18.000	HR/A	84.162	10.80 11.27	1.90
POTATO FILLER		.174	HR/A	22.238	3.87	.31 3.89
POTATO PLANTER 6 ROW	3			146.814		11.17
HERVY TRUCK FERTILI	ZFR ZFR	.1/4	nk/n			
ANHYDROUS AMMONIA		75.000	LB5.	.130	9.75 5.50 11.00 7.50	9.75
NITROGEN		25.000		.220	5.50	5.50
PHOSPHORUS P205		50.000		.220	11.00	11.00
POTASSIUM K20 ANHYDROUS APPLICATOR		75.000		.100		
ANTIDROUS APPLICATOR		.0/3	nn/n	107.000	3.43	2.00
SPRAYIN	G COSTS					
AERIAL APPLICATION	5	3.500		1.000	17.50 12.00	17.50
INSECTICIDE	•	12.000		1.000	12.00	12.00
INSECTICIDE FUNGICIDE	. 4	6.250 3.700		1.000	14.80	12.50 14.80
VINE KILLER	2	8.750		1.000		17.50
CULTIVA					10.11	2 40
POTATO CULT. 6 ROW ROUGEING	3	.109 5.000		1.000	10.14	
		3.333			0.00	0.00
Harvest	COST					
POTATO HVSTR SEED 2R	•	.669	HR/A	92.743	62.08 89.58	16.36 42.96
HEAVY TRUCK DISK 21 FT	3	. 669	HR/A	51.184	5.03	1.16
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28		.074	HR/A	51.184 53.415	3.94	1.33
OTHER C	- •	1667.000		.039	65.01	
LAND TAXES		1667.000		.005	10.00	
LIGHT-TRUCK-			HR/A	19.191		9.26
FIELD + DISEASE TEST		1.000	ACRE	11.000	11.00	11.00
INSPECTION		145.000	CWT.	.055	7.97	7.97
PROMOTION TAXES CROP INSURANCE		827.500		.045	20.69	7.20
INTEREST ON CASH COST	5	408.647		.067	7.97 7.20 20.69 27.58	
TOTAL COSTS					699.86	408.65
RETURNS OVER TOTAL CO				•	127.64	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS RETURNS OVER CASH COS	13 TS 20 PC	T RETURNS	REDII	TION	414.85 253.35	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS	75 50 PC	T RETURNS	REDUC	CTION	5.10	
CASH COSTS/ACRE NACHINE OWNERSHIP COS	408.6 T/A 12 8.4	5 HACI 7 Fuei	ine of	Perating Acre (Gal	COSTS/A .)	94.72 24.84
	PLANT GR					
CASH COST 2	93.61	7.80 61	80	45.43 4	08.65	
LABOR HOURS	1.34	.34 6	1.41 1.42	1.25 8.10	7.34 49.44	
TUBON AUFOR	7.64	43			77.78	

SOIL AREA 12	ROU	ROUND WHITE POTATOES PROCESSING				12 17 84	
	UNITS OR APPLIC.	QUANTIT	Y	PRICE	TOTAL AMOUNT	Cash Costs	
RETURNS							
ROUND WHITE POT.		165.000	CWT.	3.500	577.50		
TOTAL RETURNS					577.50		
PLANTI	NG COSTS						
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28		.074	HR/A		3.94	1.39	
SPRINGTOOTH DRAG 48		.033		45.247	1.50 90.00	.25 90.00	
ROUND CERT SEED		15.000		6.000 .550	8.25	8.25	
POT SEED TREATMENT		15.000		.600	9.00	9.00	
POT. SEED CUTTING	•		HR/A	84.162	11.27	1.90	
POTATO ROW MARKER GR	•		HR/A		3.87	.31	
POTATO FILLER POTATO PLANTER 6 ROW	ı		HR/A	146.814	25.56	3.89	
HEAVY TRUCK	3		HR/A	44.633	23.30	11.17	
FERTIL	IZER					5.50	
NITROGEN		25.000			5.50 9. <i>7</i> 5	9.75	
ANHYDROUS ANMONIA		75.000		.130	11.00	11.00	
PHOSPHORUS P205		50.000		.220	6.00	5.00	
POTASSIUM K20	_	60.000	HR/A		8.45	2.00	
ANHYDROUS APPLICATOR	•	.079	nk/n	107.000			
SDRAY	NG COSTS						
AERIAL APPLICATION	4	3.500	ACRE	1.000		14.00	
INSECTICIDE	3	5.000		1.000	15.00	15.00	
FUNGICIDE	4	3.700		1.000	14.80	14.80	
SPROUT INHIB & APP		12.000		1.000	12.00	12.00	
au mai	MOITA						
POTATO CULT. 6 ROW	4	.109	HR/A	31.080	13.53	3.74	
	ST COST			101 848	51.14	11.54	
POTATO HRVSTR. 2 RO	.		HR/A	101.849	67.22	32.23	
HEAVY TRUCK	3		HR/A HR/A		5.03	1.16	
DISK 21 FT	**		HR/A		3.94	1.33	
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28		.074					
OTHER	COSTS				4E 01		
LAND CHARGE	•	1667.000		.039	65.01 10.00	10.00	
LAND TAXES		1667.000	HR/A		77711	9.26	
LIGHT TRUCK		165.000		,045	-=:::=	7.42	
PRONOTION TAXES		577.500		.025			
CROP INSURANCE INTEREST ON CASH CO	STS	292.840		.067	19.77		
TOTAL COSTS					554.67	292.84	
					22.83		
RETURNS OVER TOTAL	COSTS				284.66		
RETURNS OVER CASH OF RETURNS OVER CASH OF	iusts Poete 20 1	CT RETURN	(S REDI	UCTION	169.16		
RETURNS OVER CASH C	:05TS 50 I	CT RETURE	13 KED	OCITON	-4.09		
CASH COSTS/ACRE MACHINE OWNERSHIP O TOTAL COST PER CWT.	OST/A 119	.57 FUI	CHINE (OPERATING /ACRE (GA	COSTS/A L)	80.11 22.04	
	RU PLANT (BOUTES II	A DUTET	OTUFD	TOTAL		
THE	RU PLANT (3.74	16.26	26.68	292.84		
Cash Cost Labor Hours	1.34		3.35				
LABOR HOURS	9.33						

SOIL AREA 12	POTATOES Tablestock					12 17 84
	UNITS OR APPLIC.	QUANTI	TY	PRICE	TOTAL AMOUNT	
RETURNS						
ROUND RED POT.		155.000	CWT.	3.000	465.00	
TOTAL RETURNS					465.00	
- 	G COSTS			•		
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28			HR/A			
SPRINGTOOTH DRAG 48			HR/A			.25
ROUND CERT SEED POT SEED TREATMENT		15.000		6.000 .550		90.00
POT. SEED CUTTING		15.000		.600		9.00
POTATO ROW MARKER GR			HR/A			1.90
POTATO FILLER			HR/A			.31
POTATO PLANTER 6 ROW		.174	HR/A	146.814	25.56	3.89
HEAVY TRUCK	3	.174	HR/A	44.633	23.30	11.17
FERTILI	ZER					
NITROGEN		25.000		.220		5.50
ANHYDROUS AMMONIA PHOSPHORUS P205		75.000 50.000		.130		9.75
POTASSIUM K20		60.000		.100		6.00
ANHYDROUS APPLICATOR		.079				2.00
	G COSTS					
AERIAL APPLICATION	5	3.500		1.000		17.50
INSECTICIDE	3	5.000		1.000		15.00
FUNGICIDE VINE KILLER	3 2	3.700 12.000		1.000		11.10 24.00
AINE WILLER	4	12.000		1.000	24.00	24.00
CULTIVA	TION					
POTATO CULT. 6 ROW	3	.109	HR/A	31.080	10.14	2.40
UA BURGO	COST					
HARVEST POTATO HRVSTR. 2 ROW	COST	502	HR/A	101.849	51.14	11.54
HEAVY TRUCK	3		HR/A			32.23
DISK 21 FT	_		HR/A	51.184		1.16
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28		.074	HR/A			1.33
,						
OTHER C		467.000		000	65.01	
LAND TAXES		667.000		.039		10.00
LIGHT TRUCK	•	1.250	HR/A	19.191		9.26
PRONOTION TAXES		155.000		.045		6.97
CROP INSURANCE		465.000		.025	11.62	
INTEREST ON CASH COST	5	303.256		.067	20.47	
TOTAL COSTS					560.52	303.26
RETURNS OVER TOTAL CO.					- 95 .52	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS	T S .				161.74	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS RETURNS OVER CASH COS	TS ZO PCT	מאאטינים י מאאטינים י	REDU	CTION	68.74	
	.5 50 FC1	74.7 V 1.27	KADU	O I TON	-/0./4	
CASH COSTS/ACRE HACHINE OWNERSHIP COS TOTAL COST PER CWT.	T/A 117.87	' FUEL	ine oi USE/	PERATING ACRE (GAI	COSTS/A	79.18 21.55
	AL AWW			-		
CASH COST 2	PLANT GRO	MING HAN	VEST	UTHER	TOTAL	
LABOR HOURS	1.34	.34 3	.35	1.25	6.28	

SOIL AREA 12	RU	SSET POTA PROCESSI				12 17 84
	UNITS OR		TY	PRICE	TOTAL	
RETURNS	APPLIC.				AMOUNT	COSTS
U.S.NO.1 RUSSET		145.000	CWT	3.850	558.25	
TOTAL RETURNS					558.25	
51 AVE						
PLANTI FIELD CULTIVATOR 28	NG COSTS	074	UB/A	53.415	3.94	1.33
SPRINGTOOTH DRAG 48			HR/A			
RUSSET CERT SEED		11.000		7.000		
POT SEED TREATMENT		11.000		.550		
POT. SEED CUTTING		11 000	CUT	600		
POTATO ROW MARKER GR		.134	HR/A	84.162	11.27	1.90
POTATO FILLER			HR/A			.31
POTATO PLANTER 6 ROW				146.814		3.89
HEAVY TRUCK	3	.174	HR/A	44.633	23.30	11.17
FERTIL:	IZER					
NITROGEN		25.000				
ANHYDROUS AMMONIA		75.000				
PHOSPHORUS P205		50.000				
POTASSIUM K20		75.000				
ANHYDROUS APPLICATOR		.079	HR/A	107.555	8.45	2.00
CDBAVTI	IG COSTS					
AERIAL APPLICATION		3.500	ACDE	1.000	17.50	17.50
INSECTICIDE	3	5.000		1.000		
FUNGICIDE	4	3.700		1.000		
	•				33.33	-4.00
CULTIV	MOITA	•				•
POTATO CULT. 6 ROW	3	.109	HR/A	31.080	10.14	2.80
HARVEST	COST					
POTATO HRVSTR. 2 ROW	_		HR/A			
HEAVY TRUCK DISK 21 FT	3			44.633	67.22	
DISK 21 FT FIELD CULTIVATOR 28			HR/A HR/A			
FILLD COLITYRIOR 20	•	.0/4	nk/n	53.415	3.94	1.33
OTHER C	COSTS					
LAND CHARGE		1667.000		.039	65.01	
LAND TAXES		1667.000		.006		10.00
LIGHT TRUCK		1.250	HR/A			9.26
PROHOTION TAXES		145.000		.045	6.52	6.52
CROP INSURANCE	•	558.250		.025	13,96	
interest on Cash Cost		266,406		.067	17.94	
TOTAL COSTS						
TUTAL CUSTS					523.52	266.41
RETURNS OVER TOTAL CO	STS				34.73	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS	TS				291.84	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS	TS 20 PC	T RETURNS	REDU	CTION	180.19	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS	TS SO PC	T RETURNS	REDU	CTION -	12.72	
616H coope :						
CASH COSTS/ACRE	266.4	1 HACH			COSTS/A	
MACHINE OWNERSHIP COS TOTAL COST PER CWT			USE/	acre (gai	L)	21.55
	3.6	•				
THRU	PLANT GR	OWING HAD	VEST	CTHER	TOTAL	
Cash Cost 1	91.56	2.80 44	.26		2 66 . 4 1	
LABOR HOURS	1.34			1.25		

1985 ESTIMATED POTATO STORAGE COSTS

12,000 Cwt. Bin (48,000 Cwt. House) Stored 6 Months New Cost/Cwt. \$5.00

<u>Item</u>	Total Cost	Annual Percent	Annual Cost
Building (4-bin) - 20 years	\$240,000	15.5	\$37,200
Refrigeration - 20 years	10,000	15.5	1,550
Equipment (Bobcat Pilers, etc.) - 10 years	59,000	23.5	13,865
Railroad Siding Lease			1,000
Total Annual Overhead Costs			\$53,615

Annual Operating Costs

Seed		Processing	
Electricity 46,286 KWH @ .065	\$ 3,009	Electricity 85,714 KWH @ .065	\$ 5,571
Telephone	1,200	Telephone	2,400
Insurance 48,000 × \$7.00 × .015	5,040	Insurance 48,000 * \$4.50 * .015	3,240
Labor 5 people, 500 hrs. @ \$5.90/hr 2 hrs./day @ \$5.90 (150 days)	•	Labor 4 people, 300 hrs. @ \$5.90/hr. 2 hrs./day @ \$5.90 (150 days)	7,080 1,770
Office Supplies	1,000	Office Supplies	1,000
Interest on Inventory 43,200 x \$7.00 x .065	19,656	Interest on Inventory 43,200 x \$4.50 x .065	12,636
Disinfectant	1,000	Disinfectant	750
		Sprout Inhibitor 6¢/cwt.	2,880
Total Operating Cost	\$ 47,425	Total Operating Cost	\$37,327
Total Annual Cost	\$101,040	Total Annual Cost	\$90,942
Total Cost/Cwt. Stored	\$ 2.11	Total Cost/Cwt. Stored	\$ 1.89
Total Cost/Cwt. Marketed 10% Shrink	\$ 2.34	Total Cost/Cwt. Marketed 10% Shrink	\$ 2.11

1985 Breakeven Prices for the Various Potato $$\rm 1^{\prime}$$ Production Budgets when Marketed Out of Storage $^{\rm 1/}$

	Round White Seed	Russet Seed	Round White Processing	Russet Processing	Tablestock Potatoes
Cwt./Acre	150	145	165	145	155
Total Cost	\$667 ^{2/}	\$670 <u>2</u> /	\$555	\$524	\$561
Cost/Cwt.	\$4.45	\$4.62	\$3.36	\$3.61	\$3.62
Storage Cost/Cwt.	\$2.11	\$2.11	\$1.89	\$1.89	\$1.89
Total Cost/Cwt. Produced	\$6.56	\$6.73	\$5.25	\$5.50	\$5.51
Shrinkage Percent	10	10	10	10	10
Total Cost/Cwt. Marketed	\$7.29	\$7.48	\$5.83	\$6.11	\$6.12

 $[\]frac{1}{\text{After }10\%}$ shrinkage in storage.

 $[\]frac{2}{1}$ Total cost credited by sale of jumbos.