

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Agribusiness Opportunities in the 21st Century

by Christopher Peterson and Scott Swinton

> Falling commodity returns. Proliferating environmental regulations. More complicated technologies. Pickier consumers. The general outlook is for an economic environment that puts greatly increased demands on agribusiness management. It's a sow's ear. What can be done with it?

"This process of Creative
Destruction is the essential
fact about capitalism...
It is not [price] competition
which counts but the...
competition which commands
a decisive cost or quality
advantage and which strikes
not at the margins of the
profits and the outputs of
the existing firms but at
their foundations and
their very lives."

- J. A. Schumpeter, 1947

Christopher Peterson and Scott Swinton are Assistant Professors in the Department of Agricultural Economics, Michigan State University, East Lansing.



hat innovations will command the decisive cost or quality advantages for U.S. agricultural businesses in the next century? What strategies can generate these innovations? Several discernable current trends in the agricultural economy offer inklings of how strategies and innovations may evolve.

Where there is change, there is opportunity. Agribusinesses must set their sights on strategies that are value-added, environmentally aware, technologically innovative, and consumer oriented.

Population Drives Economic Trends

Demographics is the key agent transforming the operating environment for U.S. agricultural businesses. A population growing in size and diversity will place new limits on how food and fiber can be produced, while at the same time requiring more of both in greater variety. The issue takes several distinct forms.

Population growth causes the per person natural resource base to shrink. Growing demand for industrial, commercial and residential space raises the price of farm land and the opportunity cost of farming. The overlap of farmers and non-farmers at the expanding metropolitan fringe puts agricultural practices under greater scrutiny. "Right-to-farm" laws have been passed in many states as a result. These laws aim to protect farm land from being zoned non-agricultural, but in defining acceptable farming practices they limit farm management options.

Growing demand for clean air and water brings more stringent controls on agricultural chemicals that leach into groundwater (pesticides and nitrate fertilizers) or run off into surface water (phosphate-laden manures and fertilizers). Moreover, erosive tillage practices contaminate surface water and undermine productivity.

Meanwhile, the impacts of agriculture on air quality have only recently come under scrutiny. Where rural areas become suburban, odor from livestock manure and spray drift from crop production become local issues. But global concerns will not be far behind. Even the benign dairy cow has come under attack in the Netherlands because the ammonia she produces is believed to exacerbate acid rain.

Population change not only affects how farm products can be produced and marketed; it is also changing the nature of the American farm itself. Part-time farming is becoming commonplace and while many part-time farmers love farming, they have limited time for the work it requires. Large, multi-operator farms are also growing in number. Managing these operations is a challenge. As farms get larger, they get more complicated to manage, and the financial barrier to entry gets ever higher. The seeds for change are already in the wind. American agriculture can expect to see increasing separation of ownership and management in the larger operations. While this may accelerate the transformation of the family farm, it will also bring to farming a fresh cadre of managers, many of them specialists in production, marketing or finance.

Changing Demands

American households continue to grow more prosperous. But this increased prosperity is tied to more people per household who have joined the paid work force rather than higher personal incomes. Even so, higher household income raises demand for such income-elastic goods as varied diets, diverse wardrobes and good health. Health concerns are already limiting the market for foods perceived to contain chemical residues or unhealthy ingredients. They may begin to have a similar effect on fibers. Food safety concerns are also fueling pressure for more detailed content labeling. Heretofore, animal treatment has been a significant mar-

ket factor only for furs. But benevolent animal husbandry is becoming a new attribute that some consumers will seek in meat, dairy, and possibly, textile products.

The changing household is also demanding greater convenience to cope with increasing job demands. They are turning to more quickly-prepared foods and consequently, demand is growing for individually-packaged and fast-food meals. Will the trends continue into the 21st century? Certainly not at current rates. What will continue are the underlying trends toward consumption that is perceived to be healthful, varied, and ethical, in markets that provide more and more information to consumers about product characteristics.

Technological and Regulatory Framework

The technological and regulatory settings are also changing. Advances in genetic engineering have created powerful incentives for private-sector involvement in agricultural research. The emerging response is an explosion of plant and animal varieties that cut production costs, cut processing costs, or add desired marketing qualities. Examples include herbicide-tolerant crops, cereals high in protein or flour content, lean hogs, and vegetable oils low in saturated fats.

On the regulatory front, income supports will decline as environmental and food safety regulations increase. Currently, the federal budget deficit is driving the reductions in farm income supports. They are unlikely to be reversed soon, if ever.

Reconciling farm politics with budget constraints is leading to government policies that return more flexibility to farm managers in choosing "what to" produce. But the same political calculus on environmental issues is leading to policies that curtail flexibility in choosing "how to" produce.

Increased International Competition

Heightened competition is in prospect for international agricultural commodity markets. If the Uruguay Round of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) is approved and ratified, trade liberalization will lead to higher world commodity prices in the short-run. Those higher prices will elicit a supply response which will make long-run commodity returns to U.S. producers no better than today's. After several years of adjustment, grain output in Russia, Ukraine, and Eastern Europe can be expected to increase dramatically. South American oilseed production will remain strong. These effects will more than counterbalance any decline in European Community output resulting from reduced Common Agricultural Policy price supports.

On the demand side, improving incomes in East Asia and the former Soviet bloc countries will likely boost world prices of meat and dairy products, as well as specialty fruits and vegetables. However, some of the ex-Soviet bloc nations will eventually present serious competition in livestock production. Environmental regulations at home will place U.S. commodity producers in a cost squeeze against this backdrop of stabilizing world prices. In the final analysis, the immediate gains of trade liberalization to U.S. farmers will in time be eroded by lower commodity returns as global competition intensifies. If GATT should fail, a similar outcome will evolve, but perhaps sooner.

The future does resemble a sow's ear: Falling commodity prices, more complicated technologies, proliferating environmental regulations, and pickier consumers.

Stress Differentiation

For all agribusiness firms, be they input suppliers, producers, or processors, the first step toward a brighter future is adopting a strategic frame of mind: You must envision the silk purse. The



Differentiating traditional commodities and certitifying qualities that cannot be seen are two agribusiness challenges for the future.

Courtesy of Michigan State University

changes being wrought by these trends cannot be avoided. Therefore, dwelling on potential threats to established, comfortable business practices will only be counterproductive. The trends contain inherent opportunities, and those agribusiness firms that seize the opportunities will have the edge on the competition.

Start with the pickier consumer. Where there are strong preferences, there are opportunities for profit through differentiating the product. Products that stand apart—nutritionally, environmentally, and ethically—will command a premium in the market. Low chemical foods, "humanely raised" meat products, and "healthful" foods are all examples. Pioneer's Better-LifeTM grains, with their certification of pesticide-free production (Urban), are the result of a specific

Third Quarter 1992 CHOICES • 39



The whole food system from seed to table must focus on the ultimate consumers and their demands for quality.

Courtesy of Michigan State University

business strategy designed to take advantage of these trends. Even cotton can now be grown in natural colors, thus reducing the expense and toxicity of dyes in clothing manufacturing.

But providing assurance of special qualities throughout the food and fiber chain will demand different managerial perspectives from the past. Producers need to look beyond production to marketing, taking an interest in consumer demands and tailoring the production process to meet these demands. Input suppliers too must be sensitive to the needs of the ultimate consumers. They must understand the impact that each input has on the final quality and characteristics of the products moving to market. Even though many value-added processors already exist in the food chain, the commodity processors will need to alter their focus to handle products in ways which contribute to quality and consumer-market differentiation.

The various agribusinesses in the food chain can hardly hope to produce needed quality differentiation through individual, standalone efforts. Producers, input suppliers, handlers, and processors will have to align with each other to establish an unbroken chain of quality from the generic base to the food table. Yes, total quality management as seen in the Deming method, or so-called Japanese management, must come to agribusiness as it has come to so many other American firms in the last several years.

But are agribusinesses prepared for such management practices? Total quality management is more than just traditional product quality control; it is a frame of mind focused on the relentless pursuit of improved quality in every facet of a firm's operations, including (but not limited to) product manufacturing, marketing, and customer service. Total quality reaches beyond the firm itself to include suppliers, since their inputs bear heavily on final quality. The consumer must also be viewed as an integral part of the production process. As W. Edwards Deming exhorts managers, "Improve [material] that comes in, adapt it, provide more and more what the customer needs. That requires cooperation, working together. And continual change, as requirements change. And they will change. In a continual cycle." (Walton, p. 29) This is a far cry from the commodity mentality and strategies which have historically driven agribusiness operations.

Admittedly, the vertical alliances to meet changing consumer needs can involve a loss of independence for individual agribusiness firms. It is tempting to see only this loss. But firms must counterbalance this threat with the potentially large payoffs from differentiation. For example, falling commodity returns can be

less damaging for producers if their output is no longer a commodity in the strictest sense. The introduction of complicated technologies in physical production, biological reproduction, and management practice can actually enhance differentiation and be supported throughout the market chain through the mutual cooperation of interdependent trading partners. The burden of high conversion costs need not be borne by one set of actors who are isolated in the chain and end up facing inadequate returns. In addition, competition from foreign producers who have only a commodity infrastructure will be far less threatening if we are producing varied, value-added products. The interdependence necessary for quality also substantially lessens the incentive for actors to take advantage of one another. Trust is critical to quality assurance and continual improvement.

It Can Be Done Alone, Too

Producers can generate value-added on their own. Many innovative fruit and vegetable producers have found this to be true as they create "entertainment" for city dwellers at their roadside markets. The sight of a cider mill in operation, hay rides in the orchard, and country and western bands playing for customers all enhance the products for sale.

Quality certification brings opportunities for product testing firms. Legitimately skeptical consumers will demand more than the simple promises found in advertising slogans or trendy product names. Invisible attributes arising from genetic engineering, humane animal husbandry, and chemical-free crop production will need to be certified, creating demand for procedures that do so reliably and at reasonable cost.

As environmental regulations evolve in concert with the refinement of sustainable agricultural methods, a diverse mix of business opportunities will materialize. Livestock waste disposal regulations will create demand for the breeding of waste-digestion organisms and marketing services for manure products. Record-keeping services will be needed to account for nutrient and chemical flows, as well as plant and animal health. Ecosystem-based farm management will call for more comprehensive scouting services and computer models to track the state of the agro-ecosystem and forecast its evolution under alternative management tactics. More custom services will be needed to apply hazardous chemicals and meet the special needs of part-time producers. Current agricultural input suppliers should be carefully studying the marketplace to determine which of these services and products they should add to their current lines of business.

Agricultural manufacturers also face new opportunities. Equipment manufacturers have opportunities to produce "smart" fertilizer and herbicide applicators, composting equipment, and computerized environmental controls for livestock. Chemical manufacturers will find opportunities to make fertilizers and pesticides that "mix-on-the-go," allowing application rates tailored to need and safer disposal of leftover chemicals.

From both environmental and product-differentiation perspectives, genetic engineering firms have dramatic opportunities and challenges ahead. In crops, demand exists for herbicide tolerance, greater disease and pest resistance, weed smothering properties, and product characteristics that facilitate efficient processing and handling. Engineering low-fat livestock and biological pest controls is also in demand. The key challenge is to meet these needs while insuring negligible ill effects from engineered genotypes.

Importance Of Management

The confluence of environmental and product-differentiation trends will require more sophisticated management of all seg-

ments of the food system. The blanket application of fertilizers and pesticides and the historic lack of strict production controls must give way to tailored applications and documented production procedures based on specific information about a field, a crop, an animal, or a herd. This opens up opportunities for information systems designed to support agricultural management at the input supplier, producer, and processor levels.

The opportunities mentioned above are more than domestic; they are international as well. As tariff barriers fall, the strategic skills developed to serve pickier domestic consumers can be applied equally well in marketing value-added products abroad which appeal to international market niches. Alliances among input, producer, and processor firms must be augmented with marketing and trading firms to assure the full mix of information and resources necessary for successful global trade. U.S. agribusiness players should become more familiar with general trade policy and with the procedural intricacy and cultural sensitivity needed to forge specific trade strategies. In doing this, U.S. firms must remain focused on moving our products out to the world rather than protecting the home market through new non-tariff trade barriers.

Management consultants will be in high demand to help agribusinesses implement and manage new technologies, services, and products: Market research, international trade development, financial and strategic planning, production process analysis, personnel and supervisory training, statistical process controls, and system engineering design/layout are a few consulting examples. Not to mention the number of lawyers needed to help forge the contractual relationships among partners in the differentiated product chain.

The managerial complexity of the new agriculture also opens doors for the "business" of education. Agriculture undergraduates

must be prepared for the management environment they will face in agribusinesses, including management of technology, team building in a contractually integrated food system, and decision making in an information-intensive field. More specialized graduate degrees, e.g., MBA in agribusiness, may be needed as the system evolves, becomes more complex, and matures. Continuing education for managers already in agribusiness will be essential to the maintenance of competitive advantage.

The Future is Bright, But . . .

The sow's ear of falling commodity returns, proliferating environmental regulations, complicated technologies, and pickier consumers can become the silk purse of quality product differentiation and improved management—but the transformation will not be easy. While Schumpeter may revel in the process of "creative destruction," real managers and employees will face unprecedented changes which will be threatening. As new firms, new products, and new services emerge, old ones will pass away. Product differentiation and management intensity will demand new talents from agribusinesses. We must avoid the temptation to rely on the old and familiar and focus instead on preparing for what lies ahead. We must envision and grasp the opportunities.

For More Information

Schumpeter, Joseph A. *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy.* 3rd edition. New York: Harper Colophon, 1975. Pages 83-84. Urban, Thomas N. "Agricultural Industrialization." *CHOICES* (Fourth Quarter 1991), 5(4): 4-6.

Walton, Mary. *The Deming Management Method*. New York: Dodd, Mead, 1986.